Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.4

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.
Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Amanda P. Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.


On June 23, 2016, the Commission found that a Section 337 violation had occurred as to the '537, '592, and '164 patents and therefore issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order against Arista. 81 FR 42375–76 (June 29, 2016).

On August 28, 2018, Cisco filed a petition pursuant to Commission Rule 210.76, 19 CFR 210.76, to suspend the remedial orders issued in this investigation based on a settlement agreement between Cisco and Arista. Specifically, Cisco requested that the Commission suspend the remedial orders subject to Arista’s continued compliance with settlement provisions relating to the removal of certain features from its redesigned products.

Neither Arista nor OUII filed a response.

On October 22, 2018, the Commission instituted this modification proceeding and requested briefing from the parties on their positions regarding modification of the existing remedial orders to expressly exempt the Arista redesigned products from the scope of the remedial orders. 83 FR 54137 (October 26, 2018). The parties filed their initial submissions on November 1, 2018. On November 8, 2018, Cisco and Arista filed responsive submissions.

Having considered Cisco’s petition and the briefing from the parties, the Commission has determined not to suspend the remedial orders as requested by Cisco. The Commission has only suspended or temporarily rescinded its orders in very limited circumstances involving adjudication in other tribunals. The Commission has considered the parties’ filings and declines to extend the rare circumstances in which it suspends or temporarily rescinds its remedial orders to the circumstances presented in this investigation. For various reasons, the redesigned products are not currently being excluded under the limited exclusion order.

The private parties are not precluded from filing a future petition requesting that the Commission modify its remedial orders including to exempt the redesigned products.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: February 8, 2019.
Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

***

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 337–TA–944 (Modification Proceeding)]**

**Certain Network Devices, Related Software and Components Thereof (I); Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Suspend or Modify the Remedial Orders; Termination of the Modification Proceeding**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to modify or suspend the remedial orders in the above-captioned investigation. The modification proceeding is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda P. Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission.

---

3 All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Veolia Water Belgium, Brussels, BELGIUM, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PERF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 10, 1986, PERF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 14, 1986 (51 FR 8903). The last notification was filed with the Department on May 31, 2018. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 9, 2018 (83 FR 31775).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Merger and Division Statistics Unit, Antitrust Division.

The proposed Consent Decree, Settling in Crossett, Arkansas. Under the provisions for Air Programs at their Chemical and Accident Prevention Hazardous Air Pollutants and the National Emission Standards for the New Source Performance Standards, seq., 7413(b)(2) and 7412(r), as well as Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. Sections 113(b)(2) and 112(r) of the Act. The Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in the lawsuit entitled United States et al. v. Drummond Company, Inc. d/b/a ABC Coke (Drummond), Civil Action No. 2:19-cv–00240–AKK. The United States is joined in this matter by its co-plaintiff the Jefferson County Board of Health (JCBH).

This case relates to alleged releases of benzene from Drummond’s coke by-product recovery plant in Tarrant, Alabama (Facility). The case involves claims for civil penalties and injunctive relief under the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq., and its implementing regulations known as Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs), including 40 CFR part 61, subpart L (Benzene Emissions from Coke By-product Recovery Plants), Subpart V (Equipment Leaks and Fugitive Emissions), and Subpart FF (Benzene Waste Operations), as well as related claims under laws promulgated by the Jefferson County Board of Health. The settlement resolves the alleged claims by requiring Drummond to, among other things: (1) Pay a civil penalty of $775,000 for the past alleged violations to be split equally between the United States and JCBH; (2) undertake fixes to the Facility to address the alleged violations; (3) implement a leak detection and repair program to ensure compliance and reduce potential future fugitive benzene emissions; and (4) implement a supplemental environmental project of two years of semi-annual use of an infrared camera as part of leak detection efforts at a cost of $16,000.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: http://www.usdoj.gov/erno/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $13.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Thomas Carroll,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

To submit comments: Send them to:

By email ……… pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On February 8, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in the lawsuit entitled United States et al. v. Drummond Company, Inc. d/b/a ABC Coke (Drummond), Civil Action No. 2:19-cv–00240–AKK. The United States is joined in this matter by its co-plaintiff the Jefferson County Board of Health (JCBH).

This case relates to alleged releases of benzene from Drummond’s coke by-product recovery plant in Tarrant, Alabama (Facility). The case involves claims for civil penalties and injunctive relief under the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq., and its implementing regulations known as Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs), including 40 CFR part 61, subpart L (Benzene Emissions from Coke By-product Recovery Plants), Subpart V (Equipment Leaks and Fugitive Emissions), and Subpart FF (Benzene Waste Operations), as well as related claims under laws promulgated by the Jefferson County Board of Health. The settlement resolves the alleged claims by requiring Drummond to, among other things: (1) Pay a civil penalty of $775,000 for the past alleged violations to be split equally between the United States and JCBH; (2) undertake fixes to the Facility to address the alleged violations; (3) implement a leak detection and repair program to ensure compliance and reduce potential future fugitive benzene emissions; and (4) implement a supplemental environmental project of two years of semi-annual use of an infrared camera as part of leak detection efforts at a cost of $16,000.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to Georgia Pacific Chemicals LLC, Georgia Pacific Consumer Operations LLC, Case No. 1:18–cv–01076–SOH, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–11705. All comments must be submitted no later than February 28, 2019. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:

By email ……… pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.