I. Overview

A. Background

On May 14, 2018, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) (collectively, the agencies) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR or proposal) that would have revised certain of their regulations to account for forthcoming changes to credit loss accounting under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP).1 In particular, the proposal would have amended certain of the agencies’ rules to address the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (FASB) issuance of Accounting Standards Update No. 2016–13, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, Topic 326, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (ASU 2016–13).2 ASU 2016–13 introduces the current expected credit losses methodology (CECL), which replaces the incurred loss methodology for financial assets measured at amortized cost; introduces the term purchased credit deteriorated (PCD) assets, which replaces the term purchased credit-impaired (PCI) assets; and modifies the treatment of credit losses on available-for-sale (APS) debt securities.

The proposal would have applied to banking organizations 3 that are subject

1 83 FR 22312 (May 14, 2018).
3 Banking organizations subject to the capital rule include national banks, state member banks, state nonmember banks, savings associations, and top-tier bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies domiciled in the United States not subject to the Board’s Small Bank Holding Company Policy Statement (12 CFR part 225, Appendix C), but exclude certain savings and loan
to the agencies’ regulatory capital rule 4 (capital rule), to banking organizations that are subject to stress testing requirements, and to banking organizations that file regulatory reports that are uniform and consistent with U.S. GAAP. 5 In particular, the proposal would have revised the agencies’ capital rule to distinguish which credit loss allowances under the new accounting standard would be eligible for inclusion in a banking organization’s regulatory capital. The proposal would also have provided banking organizations that experience a reduction in retained earnings as a result of adopting CECL with an option to elect a three-year transition period to phase in the effects of CECL adoption on regulatory capital.

The proposal also would have revised regulatory capital disclosure requirements applicable to certain banking organizations, amended references to credit loss allowances in other regulations, and required the inclusion of CECL provisions in a banking organization’s company-run stress testing projections beginning with the 2020 stress test cycle.

The agencies are adopting as final the proposal. The final rule is effective as of April 1, 2019, but a banking organization may choose to adopt the final rule starting as early as first quarter 2019.

B. Changes to U.S. GAAP

ASU 2016–13 revises U.S. GAAP and, consequently, affects regulatory reports based on U.S. GAAP. CECL differs from the incurred loss methodology in several key respects. First, for financial assets measured at amortized cost, CECL requires banking organizations to recognize lifetime expected credit losses, not just credit losses incurred as of the reporting date. CECL requires the incorporation of reasonable and supportable forecasts in developing an estimate of lifetime expected credit losses, while also maintaining the current requirement that banking organizations consider past events and current conditions. Furthermore, the probable threshold for recognition of allowances in accordance with the incurred loss methodology is removed under CECL. Taken together, estimating expected credit losses over the life of an asset under CECL, including consideration of reasonable and supportable forecasts but without applying the probable threshold that exists under the incurred loss methodology, results in earlier recognition of credit losses.

CECL replaces multiple impairment approaches in existing U.S. GAAP. CECL allowances will cover a broader range of financial assets than the allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) under the incurred loss methodology. Under the incurred loss methodology, ALLL generally covers credit losses on loans held for investment and lease financing receivables, with additional allowances for certain other extensions of credit and allowances for credit losses on certain off-balance sheet credit exposures (with the latter allowances presented as liabilities). 6 These exposures will be within the scope of CECL. In addition, CECL applies to credit losses on held-to-maturity (HTM) debt securities. As previously mentioned, ASU 2016–13 replaces the term PCI assets with the term PCD assets. The PCD asset definition covers a broader range of assets than the PCI asset definition. CECL requires banking organizations to estimate and record a credit loss allowance for a PCD asset at the time of purchase. This credit loss allowance is then added to the purchase price to determine the purchase date amortized cost basis of the asset for financial reporting purposes. Post-acquisition changes in credit loss allowances on PCD assets will be established through earnings. This is different from the current treatment of PCI assets, for which banking organizations are not permitted to estimate and recognize credit loss allowances at the time of purchase. Rather, banking organizations generally estimate credit loss allowances for PCI assets subsequent to the purchase only if there is deterioration in the expected cash flows from such assets.

ASU 2016–13 also introduces new requirements for AFS debt securities. The new accounting standard requires that a banking organization recognize credit losses on individual AFS debt securities through credit loss allowances, rather than through direct write-downs, as is currently required under U.S. GAAP. AFS debt securities will continue to be measured at fair value, with changes in fair value not related to credit losses recognized in other comprehensive income. Credit loss allowances on an AFS debt security are limited to the amount by which the security’s fair value is less than its amortized cost.

Upon adoption of CECL, a banking organization will record a one-time adjustment to its credit loss allowances as of the beginning of its fiscal year of adoption equal to the difference, if any, between the amount of credit loss allowances required under the incurred loss methodology and the amount of credit loss allowances required under CECL. Except for PCD assets, banking organizations will recognize the adjustment to the credit loss allowances with offsetting entries to deferred tax assets (DTAs), if appropriate, and to the fiscal year’s beginning retained earnings.

The effective date of ASU 2016–13 varies for different banking organizations. For banking organizations that are U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filers, 7 ASU 2016–13 will become effective for the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within that fiscal year. For banking organizations that are public business entities (PBE) but not SEC filers (as defined in U.S. GAAP), 8 ASU 2016–13 will become effective for the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2020, including interim periods within that

7 For this purpose, a SEC filer is an entity (e.g., a bank holding company or savings and loan holding company) that is required to file financial statements with the SEC under the federal securities laws or, for an insured depository institution, the appropriate federal banking agency under section 12(i) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The banking agencies named under section 12(i) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are the OCC, the Board, and the FDIC.

8 A PBE that is not an SEC filer would include: (1) An entity that has issued securities that are traded, listed, or quoted on an over-the-counter market, and (2) an entity that has issued one or more securities that are not subject to contractual restrictions on transfer and is required by law, contract, or regulation to prepare U.S. GAAP financial statements (including footnotes) and make them publicly available periodically (e.g., pursuant to Section 36 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and Part 363 of the FDIC’s rules). For further information on the definition of a PBE, refer to ASU 2013–12, Definition of a Public Business Entity, issued in December 2013.
fiscal year. For banking organizations that are not PBEs (as defined in U.S. GAAP), ASU 2016–13 will become effective for the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2021, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A banking organization that chooses to adopt ASU 2016–13 early may do so in a fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that fiscal year. The following table provides a summary of the effective dates.

### CECL Effective Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBEs that are SEC Filers</th>
<th>U.S. GAAP effective date</th>
<th>Regulatory report effective date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fiscal years beginning after 12/15/2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years.</td>
<td>3/31/2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other PBEs (Non-SEC Filers)</td>
<td>Fiscal years beginning after 12/15/2020, including interim periods within those fiscal years.</td>
<td>3/31/2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-PBES</td>
<td>Fiscal years beginning after 12/15/2021, including interim periods within those fiscal years.</td>
<td>3/31/2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Adoption</td>
<td>Early adoption permitted for fiscal years beginning after 12/15/2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years.</td>
<td>3/31 of year of effective date of early adoption of ASU 2016–13.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For institutions with calendar year-ends.

### C. Regulatory Capital

A banking organization’s implementation of CECL will likely affect its retained earnings, DTAs, and allowances and, as a result, its regulatory capital ratios. Retained earnings are a key component of a banking organization’s common equity tier 1 (CET1) capital. An increase in a banking organization’s allowances, including those estimated under CECL, generally will reduce the banking organization’s earnings or retained earnings, and therefore its CET1 capital. DTAAs arising from temporary differences (temporary difference DTAs) must be included in a banking organization’s risk-weighted assets or deducted from CET1 capital if they exceed certain thresholds. Increases in allowances generally give rise to increases in temporary difference DTAs that will partially offset the reduction in earnings or retained earnings. Under the standardized approach for risk-weighted assets (standardized approach), ALLL is included in a banking organization’s tier 2 capital up to 1.25 percent of its standardized total risk-weighted assets (excluding standardized market risk-weighted assets, if applicable), as those terms are defined in the rule. An advanced approaches banking organization that has completed the parallel run process includes in its advanced-approaches-adjusted total capital any eligible credit reserves with the capital rule’s standardized approach. To the extent that the excess reserve amount does not exceed 0.6 percent of the banking organization’s credit risk-weighted assets.

### II. Summary of the Proposal

#### A. Proposed Revisions to the Capital Rule To Reflect the Change in U.S. GAAP

The agencies proposed to amend the capital rule to identify which credit loss allowances for the new accounting standard would be eligible for inclusion in a banking organization’s regulatory capital. In particular, the proposal would have added allowance for credit losses (ACL) as a newly defined term in the capital rule. As proposed, ACL would have included credit loss allowances related to financial assets measured at amortized cost, except for allowances for PCD assets. ACL would have been eligible for inclusion in a banking organization’s tier 2 capital subject to the current limit for including ALLL in tier 2 capital under the capital rule’s standardized approach.

Further, the agencies proposed to revise the capital rule, as applicable to an advanced approaches banking organization that has adopted CECL, and that has completed the parallel run process, to align the definition of eligible credit reserves with the definition of ACL in the proposal. The proposal would have retained the current limit for eligible credit reserves in tier 2 capital. The proposal also would have provided a separate capital treatment for allowances associated with AFS debt securities and PCD assets that would have applied to all banking organizations upon adoption of ASU 2016–13.

---

*The FASB amended the effective date to the periods indicated for non-PBEs through an ASU issued November 15, 2018, ASU No. 2018–19, Codification Improvements to Topic 326: Financial Instruments—Credit Losses. ASU 2016–13 will now take effect for non-PBEs for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Thus, a non-PBE with a calendar year fiscal year must adopt ASU 2016–13 as of January 1, 2022, if the entity does not elect to early adopt prior to January 1, 2022, and would first report in accordance with the credit losses standards in its regulatory reports and any financial statements for March 31, 2022.

*However, allowances recognized on PCD assets upon adoption of CECL and upon later purchases of PCD assets generally would not reduce the banking organization’s earnings, retained earnings, or CET1 capital.

*Deemed tax assets are a result of deductible temporary differences and carryforwards which may result in a decrease in taxes payable in future years.

*See 12 CFR 3.2 (OCC); 12 CFR 217.2 (Board); 12 CFR 324.2 (FDIC). Any amount of ALLL greater than the 1.25 percent limit is deducted from standardized total risk-weighted assets. 12 CFR 3.20(d)(3)(i) (OCC); 12 CFR 217.20(d)(3)(i) (Board); 12 CFR 324.20(d)(3)(i) (FDIC).

*A banking organization is an advanced approaches banking organization if it has consolidated assets of at least $250 billion or if it has consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposures of at least $10 billion, or if it is a subsidiary of a depository institution, bank holding company, savings and loan holding company, or intermediate holding company that is an advanced approaches banking organization. See 12 CFR 3.100 (OCC); 12 CFR 217.100 (Board); 12 CFR 324.100 (FDIC). On October 31, 2018, the OCC and the Board issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that would modify the definition of an advanced approaches banking organization. On November 20, 2018, the FDIC issued a substantively identical notice of proposed rulemaking.

*An advanced approaches banking organization is considered to have completed the parallel run process once it has completed the advanced approaches qualification process and received notification from its primary federal regulator pursuant to section 121(d) of subpart E of the capital rule. See 12 CFR 3.121(d) (OCC); 12 CFR 217.121(d) (Board); 12 CFR 324.121(d) (FDIC).

*12 CFR 3.10(c)(3)(ii) (OCC); 12 CFR 217.10(c)(3)(ii) (Board); and 12 CFR 324.10(c)(3)(ii) (FDIC).

*DCF 1831(a)(22)(A). Consistency in reporting under the statute would be addressed by the agencies’ proposed CECL revisions to the Call Report pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act. See 83 FR 49160 (September 28, 2018).
Before the agencies issued the proposal, some banking organizations expressed concerns about the difficulty in capital planning due to the uncertainty about the economic environment at the time of CECL adoption. This is largely because CECL requires banking organizations to consider current and future expected economic conditions to estimate allowances and these conditions will not be known until closer to a banking organization’s CECL adoption date. Therefore, it is possible that despite adequate capital planning, uncertainty about the economic environment at the time of CECL adoption could result in higher-than-anticipated increases in credit loss allowances. To address these concerns, the agencies proposed to provide a banking organization with the option to phase in a one-year period the new adverse effects of CECL on the banking organization’s regulatory capital ratios.

The proposal also would have revised regulatory capital disclosure requirements that would have applied to certain banking organizations following their adoption of CECL. The proposal would have provided conforming amendments to the agencies’ other regulations that refer to credit loss allowances to reflect the implementation of ASU 2016–13. In particular, the proposal would have amended the Board’s and FDIC’s company-run stress testing rules to require a banking organization that has adopted CECL to include its CECL provisions as part of its stress testing projections beginning with the 2020 stress test cycle.

Finally, the proposal would not have changed the limit of 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets governing the amount of allowances eligible for inclusion in tier 2 capital. The agencies stated in the proposal that they would intend to monitor the effects of this limit on regulatory capital and bank lending practices. This ongoing monitoring would have included the review of data, including data provided by banking organizations, and would have assisted the agencies in determining whether any further change to the capital rule’s treatment of ACL might be warranted in the future.

For certain banking organizations, sections 63 and 173 of the capital rule requires disclosure of items such as capital structure, capital adequacy, credit risk, and credit risk mitigation.

B. Summary of Comments Received on the Proposal

The agencies received 25 comment letters from banking organizations, trade associations, public interest groups, and individuals. Most commenters supported the agencies’ proposal to provide an option to elect temporary regulatory capital relief as banking organizations adopt CECL. Most commenters also requested further additional measures for addressing CECL’s effect on regulatory capital. Many commenters supported the agencies’ proposal to provide a three-year transition provision to phase-in CECL’s day-one effect on a banking organization’s regulatory capital ratios, with most of these commenters favoring a longer five-year transition period. Some commenters offered targeted recommendations regarding implementation of a transition provision that would phase in CECL’s effect in periods after CECL’s day-one implementation. Several commenters requested that, instead of a transition provision, the agencies should provide a temporary neutralization adjustment of CET1 capital while further consideration of the effect of CECL is undertaken. Many of these and other commenters asserted that any transitional provision would be inadequate and preferred neutralizing the effect of CECL on regulatory capital ratios by either adjusting the CET1 capital calculation or revising the overall capital requirements.

One commenter requested that the agencies expand the scope of credit loss allowances eligible for inclusion in a banking organization’s tier 2 capital to permit banking organizations to include post-acquisition allowances for PCD assets when PCD assets exceed a materiality threshold. Some commenters requested that the agencies increase or remove the current limit on allowances includable in tier 2 capital. Several commenters raised concerns with the proposed schedule for incorporation of CECL provisions into the stress testing cycle. One commenter requested that CECL’s implementation in the stress testing cycle align with the proposal’s three-year transition provision to allow time for industry standard practices to converge. Other commenters raised concerns and requested guidance in connection with how CECL interacts with regulatory capital and stress testing. Many of these commenters requested that the agencies undertake additional “cost-benefit” and impact studies to assess CECL’s effect on the regulatory capital of banking organizations of various sizes and under varying economic conditions over time. Numerous commenters urged the agencies to delay CECL’s implementation until additional impact studies have been completed, and to intervene on commenters’ behalf with the FASB to revise the accounting treatment of credit losses.

III. Final Rule

A. Changes to the Capital Rule To Reflect the Change in U.S. GAAP

The agencies are adopting as final the proposal for the credit loss allowances that would have been eligible for inclusion in tier 2 capital, with one non-substantive change from the proposal with respect to terminology. The final rule includes a new term, adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL), which replaces the term ACL, as used in the proposal. The agencies believe that the term AACL for regulatory capital purposes minimizes confusion, as its meaning is different from the term ACL used in applicable accounting standards. The term allowance for credit losses as used by the FASB in ASU 2016–13 applies to both financial assets and AFS debt securities. In contrast, the term ACL as used in the proposal for regulatory capital purposes excludes credit loss allowances on PCD assets and AFS debt securities. Consistent with the proposal and as described in the following sections, the AACL definition includes only those allowances that have been charged against earnings or retained earnings.

Under the final rule, the term AACL, rather than ALLL, will apply to a banking organization that has adopted CECL. Consistent with the treatment of ALLL under the capital rule’s standardized approach, amounts of AACL are eligible for inclusion in a banking organization’s tier 2 capital up to 1.25 percent of the banking organization’s standardized total risk-weighted assets (excluding its standardized market risk-weighted assets, if applicable).

AACL covers a broader range of financial assets than ALLL under the incurred loss methodology. Under the standardized approach of the capital rule, ALLL includes valuation allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings to cover estimated credit losses on loans or other extensions of credit as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Under CECL, credit loss allowances represent an accounting valuation adjustment, measured as the difference between the financial assets’ amortized cost basis
and the amount expected to be collected on the financial assets (i.e., lifetime credit losses). Thus, AACL includes allowances for expected credit losses on HTM debt securities and lessors’ net investments in leases that have been established to adjust these assets to amounts expected to be collected, as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. AACL also includes allowances for expected credit losses on off-balance sheet credit exposures not accounted for as insurance, as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. As described below, however, credit loss allowances related to AFS debt securities and PCD assets are not included in the definition of AACL. As with the definition of ALLL, AACL under the final rule also excludes allocated transfer risk reserves.

2. Definition of Carrying Value

The agencies are adopting as final, without change from the proposal, the definition of carrying value. Under the final rule, carrying value means, with respect to an asset, the value of the asset on the balance sheet as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Furthermore, carrying value under the final rule provides that, for all assets other than AFS debt securities and PCD assets, the carrying value is not reduced by any associated credit loss allowance. The agencies did not receive comments on the proposed treatment of AFS debt securities and, as discussed below, received one comment on the proposed treatment of PCD assets.

Current accounting standards require a banking organization to make an individual assessment of each of its AFS debt securities and take a direct write-down for credit losses when such a security is other-than-temporarily impaired. The amount of the write-down is charged against earnings, which reduces CET1 capital and results in a reduction in the same amount to the carrying value of the AFS debt security. ASU 2016–13 revises the accounting for credit impairment of AFS debt securities by requiring banking organizations to determine whether a decline in fair value below an AFS debt security’s amortized cost resulted from a credit loss, and to record any such credit impairment through earnings with a corresponding allowance. Similar to the current regulatory treatment of credit-related losses for other-than-temporary impairment, under the final rule all credit losses recognized on AFS debt securities will correspondingly affect CET1 capital and reduce the carrying value of the AFS debt security. Since the carrying value of an AFS debt security is its fair value, which would reflect any credit impairment, credit loss allowances for AFS debt securities required under the new accounting standard are not eligible for inclusion in a banking organization’s tier 2 capital.

Under the new accounting standard, PCD assets are acquired individual financial assets (or acquired groups of financial assets with shared risk characteristics) that, as of the date of acquisition and as determined by an acquirer’s assessment, have experienced a more-than-insignificant deterioration in credit quality since origination. The new accounting standard will require a banking organization to estimate expected credit losses that are embedded in the purchase price of a PCD asset and recognize these amounts as an allowance as of the date of acquisition. As such, the initial allowance amount for a PCD asset recorded on a banking organization’s balance sheet will not be established through a charge to earnings. Including in tier 2 capital allowances that have not been charged against earnings would diminish the quality of regulatory capital. Post-acquisition increases in allowances for PCD assets will be established through a charge against earnings.

Accordingly, the agencies are maintaining the requirement that valuation allowances be fully charged against earnings in order to be eligible for inclusion in tier 2 capital. The agencies also are clarifying that valuation allowances that are charged to retained earnings in accordance with U.S. GAAP (i.e., the allowances required at CECL adoption) are eligible for inclusion in tier 2 capital. The final rule, however, excludes PCD allowances from being included in tier 2 capital; rather, a banking organization calculates the carrying value of PCD assets net of allowances. This treatment of PCD assets, in effect, will reduce a banking organization’s standardized total risk-weighted assets, similar to the proposed treatment for credit loss allowances for AFS debt securities. One commenter recommended that the agencies require or provide an option to allow banking organizations to use a bifurcated approach for the treatment of PCD assets whereby a banking organization could include post-acquisition allowances on PCD assets in tier 2 capital when the banking organization’s PCD balances exceed a materiality threshold. The commenter was concerned that the proposed approach could discourage banking organizations from acquiring distressed firms if the post-acquisition allowance were not includable in regulatory capital. As noted in the proposal, the agencies are concerned that a bifurcated approach could create undue complexity and burden for banking organizations and believe that requiring banking organizations to calculate the carrying value of PCD assets net of allowances appropriately accounts for post-acquisition allowances in the calculation of regulatory capital.

B. CECL Transition Provision

In the preamble of the proposal, the agencies noted that some banking organizations have concerns about the difficulty in capital planning due to the uncertainty about the economic environment at the time of CECL adoption. This is largely because CECL requires banking organizations to consider current and future expected economic conditions to estimate allowances and banking organizations will not understand these conditions until closer to their CECL adoption date. Therefore, it is possible that despite adequate planning to prepare for the implementation of CECL, unexpected economic conditions at the time of CECL adoption could result in higher-than-anticipated increases in allowances. To address these concerns, the agencies proposed to provide banking organizations with the option to phase in over a three-year period the day-one adverse effects of CECL on their regulatory capital ratios.

Several commenters requested that the agencies extend the transition period from three years to five or longer. In particular, commenters noted that effects of CECL on bank capital in the aggregate and for individual banks cannot be precisely estimated prior to actual adoption of CECL. Some commenters noted that a five-year transition period would help to soften any adverse effects due to unresolved interpretive issues with respect to CECL implementation. According to one commenter, a five-year transition period would allow a bank to transition through the vast majority of the expected life of its loan portfolio. One commenter argued that a three-year transition period might not be sufficient for firms that enter a stress environment at the time of CECL implementation. One commenter supported the proposed three-year transition period.

A few commenters asked the agencies to adopt a dynamic transition provision, whereby a banking organization could calculate and phase-in additional capital differences between CECL and the current incurred loss methodology (or a proxy for the incurred loss methodology) for any new credit loss allowances generated throughout the entire transition period, rather than just at initial adoption of CECL. Several
Commenters requested that the agencies delay the implementation of CECL or neutralize the impact of CECL on regulatory capital until further study of the effect of CECL has been completed. The agencies note that ASU 2016–13 was issued in 2016 and becomes mandatory in 2020 at the earliest for banking organizations that are U.S. SEC filers, which provides banking organizations with at least a four year period to plan for CECL implementation. Most banking organizations are not required to adopt CECL until 2021 or 2022, according to the U.S. GAAP effective dates for ASU 2016–13.

While the exact effects of CECL adoption may not be known currently, a banking organization will be able to better understand and estimate the macroeconomic factors that may affect the size of the banking organization’s one-time adjustment to CECL closer to its CECL adoption date. The agencies recognize that these estimates may change, and the three-year transition period may help mitigate capital volatility due to refinements in CECL allowance estimates that may be made as a banking organization approaches its CECL adoption date.

The agencies continue to view the period of at least four years that banking organizations will have had to plan for the implementation of CECL, combined with the proposed three-year transition period, as a sufficient amount of time for a banking organization to adjust and adapt to any immediate adverse effects on regulatory capital ratios resulting from CECL adoption. Further, the agencies considered adopting a dynamic or ongoing transition approach, but believe, that relative to the straight-line approach, it would create unnecessary complexity and operational burden. Therefore, the agencies are finalizing the three-year transition period as proposed.

As previously stated, many commenters requested that the agencies take action to “neutralize” the effect of CECL on regulatory capital on a more permanent basis. The agencies acknowledge that because changes in allowances may reduce retained earnings, which is a key component of CET1 capital, CECL implementation could affect regulatory capital levels at some banking organizations. In defining regulatory capital, the agencies have long sought to recognize the ability of capital to absorb losses and to support the ongoing operations of a banking organization. The agencies recognize commenters’ concerns with CECL and intend to closely monitor the effects of CECL on regulatory capital and bank lending practices as the standard is implemented.

1. Election of the Optional CECL Transition Provision

Under the final rule, a banking organization that experiences a reduction in retained earnings due to CECL adoption as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the banking organization adopts CECL may elect to phase in the regulatory capital impact of adopting CECL over a three-year transition period (electing banking organization). An electing banking organization is required to begin applying the CECL transition provision as of the electing banking organization’s CECL adoption date. An electing banking organization must indicate in its Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report) or Form FR Y–9C, as applicable, its election to use the CECL transition provision, by reporting the amounts in the affected line items of the regulatory capital schedule, adjusted for the transition provisions, beginning in the regulatory report for the quarter in which it first reports its credit loss allowances as measured under CECL. For example, an electing banking organization would adjust the amount of retained earnings it reports in Schedule RC–R of the Call Report or Schedule HC–R of the Form FR Y–9C to incorporate the transition provision.

A banking organization that does not elect to use the CECL transition provision in the regulatory report for the quarter in which it first reports its credit loss allowances as measured under CECL, will not be permitted to make an election in subsequent reporting periods and will be required to reflect the full effect of CECL in its regulatory capital ratios beginning as of the banking organization’s CECL adoption date. For example, a banking organization that adopts CECL as of January 1, 2020, and does not elect to use the CECL transition provision in its regulatory report as of March 31, 2020, must include the full effects of CECL adoption in its regulatory capital schedule as of March 31, 2020, and will not be permitted to use the CECL transition provision in any subsequent reporting period.

A banking organization that initially elects to use the CECL transition provision in the final rule, but opts out of the transition provision in a subsequent reporting period, will not be permitted to resume using the transition provision at a later date within the three-year transition period. A banking organization may opt out of applying the transition provision by reflecting the full impact of CECL on regulatory capital in Schedule RC–R of the Call Report or Schedule HC–R of Form FR Y–9C, as applicable.

A depository institution holding company subject to the Board’s capital rule and each of its subsidiary institutions is eligible to make a CECL transition provision election independent of one another.

2. Mechanics of the CECL Transition Provision

Under the final rule, an electing banking organization must calculate transitional amounts for the following items: Retained earnings, temporary difference DTAs, and credit loss allowances eligible for inclusion in regulatory capital. For each of these items, the transitional amount is equal to the difference between the electing banking organization’s closing balance sheet amount for the fiscal year-end immediately prior to its adoption of CECL (pre-CECL amount) and its balance sheet amount as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it adopts CECL (post-CECL amount). An electing banking organization must phase in the transitional amounts to its regulatory capital calculations over a three-year period beginning the first day of the fiscal year in which the electing banking organization adopts CECL.

An electing banking organization’s “CECL transitional amount” is equal to the difference between its pre-CECL and post-CECL amounts of retained earnings (CECL transitional amount). An electing banking organization’s “DTA transitional amount” is equal to the difference between its pre-CECL and post-CECL amounts of temporary difference DTAs. An electing banking organization’s AACL transitional amount is equal to the difference between its pre-CECL amount of ALLL and its post-CECL amount of AACL (AACL transitional amount).

Under the standardized approach, an electing banking organization must phase in over the three-year transition period its CECL transitional amount, DTA transitional amount, and AACL transitional amount. The electing banking organization also must phase in over the transition period the CECL transitional amount to its average total consolidated assets for purposes of calculating its tier 1 leverage ratio. Each transitional amount must be phased in over the transition period on a straight-line basis.

When calculating regulatory capital ratios during the first year of an electing banking organization’s CECL adoption phase, the organization must phase in 25 percent of the transitional amounts. The electing banking organization would...
phase in an additional 25 percent of the transitional amounts over each of the next two years so that a banking organization would have phased in 75 percent of the day-one adverse effects of adopting CECL during year three. At the beginning of the fourth year, the banking organization would have completed the phase-in of the CECL transitional amounts.

For example, consider a hypothetical electing banking organization that has a CECL effective date of January 1, 2020, and a 21 percent tax rate. On the closing balance sheet date immediately prior to adopting CECL (i.e., December 31, 2019), the electing banking organization has $10 million in retained earnings and $1 million of AACL. On the opening balance sheet date immediately after adopting CECL (i.e., January 1, 2020), the electing banking organization has $1.2 million of AACL. The electing banking organization would recognize the adoption of CECL by recording an increase to AACL (credit) of $200,000, with an offsetting increase in temporary difference DTAs of $42,000 (debit), and a reduction in beginning retained earnings of $158,000 (debit). For each of the quarterly reporting periods in year 1 of the transition period (i.e., 2020), the electing banking organization would increase both retained earnings and average total consolidated assets by the following percent-

| TABLE 1—CECL TRANSITION AMOUNTS TO APPLY TO REGULATORY CAPITAL COMPONENTS |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Increase retained earnings and average total consolidated assets by the percentage of the CECL transitional amount | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| Decrease temporary difference DTAs by the percentage of the DTA transitional amount | 75% | 50% | 25% |
| Decrease AACL by the following percentages of the AACL transitional amount | | | |

The result of the CECL transition provision for an electing banking organization is to phase in the effect of the adoption of CECL in its regulatory capital ratios in a uniform manner. The phase-in of the CECL transitional amount to retained earnings will mitigate the decrease in an electing banking organization’s CET1 capital resulting from CECL adoption, and would increase the levels at which the capital rule’s CET1 capital deduction thresholds would be triggered. The DTA transitional amount phases in the amount of an electing banking organization’s temporary difference DTAs subject to the CET1 capital deduction thresholds and the amount of temporary difference DTAs included in risk-weighted assets. The AACL transitional amount phases in the amount of AACL that an electing banking organization may include in its tier 2 capital up to the limit of 1.25 percent of its standardized total risk-weighted assets (excluding its standardized market risk-weighted assets, if applicable). Finally, for purposes of an electing banking organization’s tier 1 leverage ratio calculation, the addition of the CECL transitional amount to average total consolidated assets offsets the immediate decrease that would otherwise occur as a result of the adjustments to credit loss allowances and temporary difference DTAs resulting from the adoption of CECL.

Notwithstanding the CECL transition provision, all other aspects of the capital rule will continue to apply. Thus, all regulatory capital adjustments and deductions will continue to apply and an electing banking organization will continue to be limited in the amount of credit loss allowances that it could include in its tier 2 capital.19

3. Business Combinations

Under the proposal, during the period in which an electing banking organization is using the CECL transition provision, if the electing banking organization acquired another banking organization through a business combination (as determined under U.S. GAAP), the electing banking organization would have been able to continue to make use of its transitional amounts based on its calculation as of the date of its adoption of CECL. Business combinations would have covered mergers, acquisitions, and transactions in which two existing unrelated entities combine into a newly created third entity.

One commenter requested that the agencies allow transitional amounts of an acquired electing banking organization to flow through to the resulting banking organization. The agencies do not believe that such a treatment is appropriate, as any assets acquired and liabilities assumed will be

| TABLE 2—EXAMPLE OF A CECL TRANSITION PROVISION SCHEDULE |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| In thousands | Column A | Column B | Column C | Column D |
| Column | Year 1 at 75% | Year 2 at 50% | Year 3 at 25% |
| Increase retained earnings and average total consolidated assets by the CECL transitional amount | $158 | $118.50 | $79 | $39.50 |
| Decrease temporary difference DTAs by the DTA transitional amount | 42 | 31.50 | 21 | 10.50 |
| Decrease AACL by the AACL transitional amount | 200 | 150 | 100 | 50 |

---

measured at fair value as of the acquisition date under U.S. GAAP, and therefore the capital relief is no longer relevant for the acquired banking organizations. Thus, under the final rule, any transitional amounts of an acquired electing banking organization will not be eligible for inclusion in the calculation of the regulatory capital ratios of the resulting banking organization.20

4. Supervisory Oversight

For purposes of determining whether an electing banking organization is in compliance with its regulatory capital requirements (including capital buffer and prompt corrective action (PCA) requirements), the agencies will use the electing banking organization’s regulatory capital ratios as adjusted by the CECL transition provision. Through the supervisory process, the agencies will continue to examine banking organizations’ credit loss estimates and allowance balances regardless of whether the banking organization has elected to use the CECL transition provision. In addition, the agencies may examine whether electing banking organizations will have adequate amounts of capital at the expiration of their CECL transition provision period.

C. Additional Requirements for Advanced Approaches Banking Organizations

Under the capital rule, an advanced approaches banking organization that has completed the parallel run process must include in its advanced-approaches-adjusted total capital any amount of eligible credit reserves that exceeds its regulatory expected credit losses to the extent that the excess reserve amount does not exceed 0.6 percent of the banking organization’s credit risk-weighted assets.21 Consistent with the proposal, the agencies are revising the definition of eligible credit reserves to align with the definition of AACL in the final rule. Under the final rule, for an advanced approaches banking organization that has completed the parallel run process and that has adopted CECL, eligible credit reserves includes all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or retained earnings to cover expected credit losses associated with on- or off-balance sheet wholesale and retail exposures, including AACL associated with such exposures. Similar to the current definition of eligible credit reserves, the definition of eligible credit reserves applicable to banking organizations that have adopted CECL excludes allocated transfer risk reserves established pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3904. In addition, the revised eligible credit reserves definition excludes expected credit losses on PCD assets and expected credit losses on AFS debt securities, and other specific reserves created against recognized losses. The definition of eligible credit reserves remains unchanged for an advanced approaches banking organization that has not adopted CECL.

For purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio (SLR), which is applicable to all advanced approaches banking organizations, the final rule maintains the current definition of total leverage exposure. Thus, total leverage exposure continues to include, among other items, the balance sheet carrying value of such a banking organization’s on-balance sheet assets less amounts deducted from tier 1 capital.

### Table 3—CECL Transition Amounts for Advanced Approaches Banking Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase total leverage exposure for SLR by the following percentages of the CECL transitional amount</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase eligible credit reserves by the following percentages of the eligible credit reserves</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transitional amount.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An advanced approaches banking organization that has completed the parallel run process is required to deduct the amount of expected credit losses that exceeds its eligible credit reserves (ECR shortfall) from its CET1 capital. Due to this requirement, an advanced approaches banking organization’s CET1 capital immediately after CECL adoption may be greater than its CET1 capital immediately before CECL adoption.22 This is because, for a banking organization with an ECR shortfall, an increase in allowances resulting from CECL adoption can have a dual impact on CET1 capital: (1) A reduction in retained earnings (partially offset by DTAs) that may be less than (2) a concurrent reduction in the ECR shortfall amount because while the CET1 capital reduction is net of DTAs, the reduction in ECR shortfall is not net of DTAs. The agencies were concerned that the use of the CECL transition provision could provide an undue benefit to a banking organization that has an ECR shortfall prior to its adoption of CECL and could undermine an objective of the CECL transition provision to provide relief to banking organizations that experience an immediate adverse impact to regulatory capital as a result of CECL adoption.

The agencies received one comment that supported this aspect of the proposal, for the stated reasons above. The final rule, therefore, limits the CECL transitional amount that such an electing advanced approaches banking organization can include in retained earnings.

Under the final rule and consistent with the proposal, an electing advanced approaches banking organization that (1) has completed the parallel run process, (2) has an ECR shortfall immediately prior to the adoption of CECL, and (3) would have an increase in CET1 capital as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it adopts CECL after including the first year portion of the CECL transitional amount, is required to decrease its CECL transitional amount by its DTA transitional amount.23

20 For combinations of banking organizations under common control the transitional amounts of each banking organization could be combined in the calculation of the regulatory capital ratios of the resulting banking organization.

21 See footnote 13.

22 12 CFR 3.10(c)(3)(ii) (OCC); 12 CFR 217.10(c)(3)(ii) (Board); and 12 CFR 324.10(c)(3)(ii) (FDIC).

23 See 12 CFR 3.121(d) (OCC); 12 CFR 217.121(d) (Board); and 12 CFR 324.121(d) (FDIC).

24 For example, if a banking organization has completed the parallel run process, has an ECR shortfall immediately prior to the adoption of CECL, would have an increase in CET1 capital as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it adopts CECL after including the first year portion of the CECL transitional amount, and, upon the adoption of CECL, records an increase in AACL (credit) of $200,000, with an offsetting increase in temporary difference DTAs of $42,000 (debit), and a reduction in beginning retained earnings of $158,000 (debit), then that banking organization would have a CECL transitional amount of $116,000 ($158,000 – $42,000), and would apply $87,000 in year 1, $58,000 in year 2, $29,000 in year 3 of the transition period.
D. Disclosures and Regulatory Reporting

One commenter urged the agencies to consider requiring banking organizations to disclose the full effect of CECL. The agencies recognize that increased disclosures help to provide users of financial reports with additional information, but doing so can increase burden for banking organizations. The agencies have proposed revisions to certain regulatory reporting forms to reflect the changes in U.S. GAAP provided by ASU 2016–13 in a separate proposal.25 The proposed revisions would specify how electing banking organizations report their transitional amounts for the affected line items in Schedule RC–R of the Call Report and Schedule HC–R of the FR Y–9C.26 In addition, the agencies intend to update instructions for certain other reporting forms, including the FFIEC 101, to reflect the three-year CECL transition period.

In addition, under the final rule, banking organizations subject to the disclosure requirements in section 63 of the capital rule (i.e., banking organizations with total consolidated assets of $50 billion or more) would be required to update their disclosures to reflect the adoption of CECL. Such banking organizations would be required to disclose AACL instead of ALLL after CECL adoption.

For advanced approaches banking organizations, the final rule makes similar revisions to Tables 2, 3, and 5 in section 173 of the capital rule to reflect the adoption of CECL. In addition, the final rule revises those tables requiring electing advanced approaches banking organizations to disclose two sets of regulatory capital ratios. One set would reflect the banking organization’s capital ratios with the CECL transition provision and the other set would reflect the banking organization’s capital ratios on a fully phased-in basis.

E. Conforming Changes to Other Agency Regulations

1. OCC Regulations

In addition to the capital rule, seven provisions in other OCC regulations refer to ALLL, as defined in 12 CFR part 3, in calculating various statutory or regulatory limits. Specifically, ALLL is used in calculating limits on holdings of certain investment securities (12 CFR part 1); limits on ownership of banks’ stock bank (12 CFR 5.20); limits on investments in bank premises (12 CFR 5.37); limits on leasing of personal property (12 CFR 23.4); limits on certain community development investments (12 CFR 24.4); lending limits (12 CFR part 32); and, limits on improvements to other real estate owned (12 CFR part 34, subpart E).

The OCC has revised the calculations used in six of those sections that currently reference ALLL to reference AACL, once a banking organization has adopted the FASB standard. The revisions ensure that banking organizations will not experience a material decrease in any of the affected limits due to the adoption of CECL. With respect to limits on improvements to other real estate owned in 12 CFR part 34, subpart E, the OCC is withdrawing the proposed revision in anticipation of making comprehensive revisions to that subpart in the near future.

The OCC also made conforming edits to the terminology used in the stress testing regulation at 12 CFR part 46 to incorporate the new CECL methodology. Some commenters requested that the OCC mirror the Board and FDIC in adopting detailed CECL-specific provisions and effective dates in part 46. The OCC currently addresses these details through instructions to the stress tests and will continue to do so through amending the instructions instead of through rulemaking.

2. Board Regulations

Certain Board regulations reflect the current practice of banking organizations establishing an ALLL under the incurred loss methodology to cover estimated credit losses on loans, lease financing receivables, or other extensions of credit. As discussed above, banking organizations that adopt CECL will hold AACL to cover expected credit losses on a broader array of financial assets than covered by the ALLL. As a result, the final rule makes conforming changes to those other regulations.

Specifically, the final rule amends the definition of “capital stock and surplus” in the Board’s Regulation H, 12 CFR part 208, to include the balance of a member bank’s AACL. Similarly, the final rule incorporates “allowance for credit losses” in the definition of “capital stock and surplus” in the Board’s Regulation K, 12 CFR part 211; Regulation W, 12 CFR part 223; and Regulation Y, 12 CFR part 225. A related change will be made to the definition of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus in the Board’s Regulation O, 12 CFR part 215.

The final rule makes a similar change to the Board’s Regulation K relating to the establishment of allocated transfer risk reserve (ATRR). Specifically, the final rule replaces, for CECL adopters, all references to ALLL, in the section relating to the accounting treatment of ATRR, with AACL.

The final rule incorporates technical amendments to section 225.127 of the Board’s Regulation Y to provide corrected reference citations to sections of Regulation Y that have been revised and renumbered.

Finally, the final rule amends the supervisory stress testing and company-run stress testing rules in the Board’s Regulation YY, 12 CFR part 252, to address the changes made in U.S. GAAP following the issuance of ASU 2016–13.27 Several commenters requested that the Board delay incorporation of CECL into the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) given CECL’s operational and governance challenges. In particular, some commenters requested a delay for incorporating CECL until the 2021 stress testing cycle, while one commenter requested a delay until the year following a banking organization’s adoption of CECL and another commenter requested a delay until an industry standard practice regarding incorporating CECL into CCAR emerges. One commenter requested that the Board phase in CECL into CCAR over three years, consistent with the proposed transition provision.

The Board notes that the proposal did not address the incorporation of CECL into CCAR and instead addressed the incorporation of CECL into the Board’s stress testing rules. As a result, the Board is addressing the comments in the context of incorporation of CECL in its stress testing rules. While CCAR and the capital plan rule are outside of the scope of this rulemaking, the Board is carefully considering the effect of CECL on key aspects of CCAR, including its assessment of a firm’s post-stress capital

25 83 FR 49160 (September 28, 2018).
26 On November 20, 2018, the agencies issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to implement Section 201 of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act. Under the proposal, depository institutions and depository institution holding companies that have less than $10 billion in total consolidated assets, meet risk-based qualifying criteria, and have a community bank leverage ratio (as defined in the proposal) of greater than 9 percent would be eligible to opt into a community bank leverage ratio (CBLR) framework. Banking organizations that use the CBLR framework would no longer be required to complete the current Schedule RC–R of the Call Report or Schedule HC–R of the FR Y–9C, as applicable. The agencies anticipate issuing for public comment a proposed alternative capital reporting schedule for such banking organizations.
27 12 CFR 3.173 (OCC); 12 CFR 217.173 (Board); 12 CFR 324.173 (FDIC).
28 See 12 CFR part 252, subparts B, E, and F.
adequacy and its supervision of a firm’s internal capital planning practices. The Board will look to provide more information on the intersection of CECL and CCAR.

The Board acknowledges that incorporating CECL on a forward-looking basis in the Board’s supervisory stress testing and company-run stress testing rules involves additional challenges apart from those involved in financial reporting. However, in order to address the purpose of the stress testing rules—to assess whether banking organizations have sufficient capital to absorb losses as a result of adverse economic conditions—the Board expects that banking organizations implementing CECL for financial reporting will also reflect CECL in their stress testing processes starting in the same year. Otherwise, stress test projections may not reflect how the banking organizations’ balance sheets and regulatory capital ratios would evolve during stressful conditions. The Board also believes an extended phase-in of CECL into the stress test rules would introduce undue complexity in the requirements. Such a transition would require estimating regulatory capital ratios under both the incurred loss method and CECL for three annual stress testing exercises.

For these reasons, the Board is finalizing the initial application of CECL in stress testing as proposed. As such, under the final rule, a banking organization that has adopted CECL will be required to include its provision for credit losses beginning in the 2020 stress test cycle, which would include provisions calculated under ASU 2016–13, instead of its provision for loan and lease losses, in its stress testing methodologies and data and information required to be submitted to the Board and that the disclosure of the results of those stress tests includes estimates of those provisions. To promote comparability of stress test results across firms, for the 2018 and 2019 stress test cycles, a banking organization will continue to use its provision for loan and lease losses, as would be calculated under the incurred loss methodology, even if the firm adopts CECL in 2019. Finally, under the final rule, a banking organization that does not adopt CECL until 2021 will not be required to include its provision for credit losses for these purposes until the 2021 stress test cycle. The following table describes the stress test cycles in which a banking organization will be required to use its provision for credit losses instead of the provision for loan and lease losses, based on the varying dates of adoption of ASU 2016–13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of adoption of ASU 2016–13</th>
<th>2019 Stress test cycle</th>
<th>2020 Stress test cycle</th>
<th>2021 Stress test cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 ................................</td>
<td>Provision for loan and lease losses</td>
<td>Provision for credit losses</td>
<td>Provision for credit losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 ................................</td>
<td>Provision for loan and lease losses</td>
<td>Provision for loan and lease losses</td>
<td>Provision for credit losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 ................................</td>
<td>Provision for loan and lease losses</td>
<td>Provision for loan and lease losses</td>
<td>Provision for credit losses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, beginning in the 2020 stress test cycle, a banking organization that has adopted CECL will be required under the final rule to incorporate the effects of the maintenance of AACL when estimating the impact on pro forma regulatory capital levels and pro forma capital ratios.

3. FDIC Regulations

The final rule makes conforming amendments to references to provisions or allowances for loan and lease losses in the FDIC’s regulations. Specifically, the final rule would replace, for CECL adopters, all references to ALLL with AACL (as applicable) in the FDIC’s capital rule codified at 12 CFR part 324, including in the definitions of “identified losses” and “standardized total risk-weighted assets.” The final rule also makes conforming changes to the FDIC regulations in 12 CFR parts 327 and 347 by replacing references to ALLL with allowance for credit losses (as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP). The final rule also makes conforming changes to 12 CFR part 390 by adding provision for credit losses. Finally, consistent with the changes to the Board’s stress testing rules, the final rule makes similar conforming changes to the FDIC’s stress testing rules codified at 12 CFR part 325.

IV. Long Term Considerations With CECL

Several commenters recommended that the agencies neutralize the effects of CECL in the capital rule as an alternative to the proposed phase-in approach. Several commenters requested that the agencies study CECL’s effect on regulatory capital, including CECL’s effects over the economic cycle; review the regulatory capital requirements with respect to allowances; and conduct cost-benefit analysis of CECL’s implementation on small and medium-sized banking organizations. One commenter requested that the agencies ask the FASB to delay implementation of CECL until a study is conducted on CECL’s effects on regulatory capital, including CECL’s effects over the overall stability of the banking sector and on the availability, accessibility, and affordability of credit. Another commenter asked the agencies to consider issuing interpretative industry guidelines that will help narrow the range of potential practices.

V. Regulatory Analyses

A. Paperwork Reduction Act

Certain provisions of the final rule contain “collection of information” requirements within the meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521) (PRA). In accordance with the requirements of the PRA, the agencies may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The agencies reviewed the final rule and determined that the final rule revises certain
Disclosure and reporting requirements that have been previously cleared by the OMB under various control numbers. The agencies will revise and extend these information collections for three years. The information collections for the disclosure requirements contained in the final rulemaking have been submitted by the OCC and FDIC to OMB for review and approval under section 3507(d) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) and section 1320.11 of the OMB’s implementing regulations (5 CFR part 1320). The Board reviewed the final rule under the authority delegated to the Board by OMB.

Disclosure Burden—Advanced Approaches Banking Organizations

Current Actions

Section 173 of the capital rule requires that advanced approaches banking organizations publicly disclose capital-related information as provided in a series of 13 tables. For advanced approaches banking organizations, the agencies made revisions to Tables 2, 3, and 5 in section 173 of the capital rule to reflect the adoption of CECL. In addition, the agencies made revisions to those tables for electing advanced approaches banking organizations to disclose two sets of regulatory capital ratios. One set reflects such banking organization’s capital ratios with the CECL transition provision and the other set reflects the banking organization’s capital ratios on a fully phased-in basis. This aspect of the final rule affects the below-listed information collections.

The changes in the disclosure requirements to Tables 2, 3, and 5 in section 173 of the capital rule result in an increase in the average hours per response per agency of 6 hours for ongoing (quarterly) burden.29

Revisions, With Extension, of the Following Information Collections

OCC

**Title of Information Collection:** Risk-Based Capital Standards: Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework.

**Frequency:** Quarterly, annual.

**Affected Public:** Businesses or other for-profit.

**Respondents:** National banks, state member banks, state nonmember banks, and state and federal savings associations.

**OMB control number:** 1557–0318.

**Estimated number of respondents:** 1,365 (of which 18 are advanced approaches institutions).

**Estimated average hours per response:**

- Minimum Capital Ratios
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—16.
  - Standardized Approach
  - Recordkeeping (Initial setup)—122.
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—20.
  - Disclosure (Initial setup)—226.25.
  - Disclosure (Ongoing quarterly)—131.25.
  - Advanced Approach
  - Recordkeeping (Initial setup)—460.
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—540.77.
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing quarterly)—20.
  - Disclosure (Initial setup)—328.
  - Disclosure (Ongoing)—5.78.
  - Disclosure (Ongoing quarterly)—41.
  - Disclosure (Table 13 quarterly)—5.

**Revisions estimated annual burden:**

- 432 hours.
- 1,088 hours initial setup, 66,017 hours for ongoing.

**Board**

**Title of Information Collection:** Recordkeeping and Disclosure Requirements Associated with Regulation Q.

**Frequency:** Quarterly, annual.

**Affected Public:** Businesses or other for-profit.

**Respondents:** State member banks (SMBs), bank holding companies (BHCs), U.S. intermediate holding companies (IHCs), savings and loan holding companies (SLHCs), and global systemically important bank holding companies (GSIBs).

**Legal authorization and confidentiality:** This information collection is authorized by section 38(o) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1831o(c)), section 908 of the International Lending Supervision Act of 1983 (12 U.S.C. 3907(a)(1)), section 9(6) of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 324), and section 5(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1844(c)). The obligation to respond to this information collection is mandatory. If a respondent considers the information to be trade secrets and/or privileged such information could be withheld from the public under the authority of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)). Additionally, to the extent that such information may be contained in an examination report such information could also be withheld from the public (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6)).

**Agency form number:** FR Q.  
**OMB control number:** 7100–0313.  
**Estimated number of respondents:** 1,431 (of which 17 are advanced approaches institutions).

**Estimated average hours per response:**

- Minimum Capital Ratios
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—16.
  - Standardized Approach
  - Recordkeeping (Initial setup)—122.
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—20.
  - Disclosure (Initial setup)—226.25.
  - Disclosure (Ongoing quarterly)—131.25.

**FDIC**

**Title of Information Collection:** Regulatory Capital Rule.

**Frequency:** Quarterly, annual.

**Affected Public:** Businesses or other for-profit.

**Respondents:** State nonmember banks, state savings associations, and certain subsidiaries of those entities.

**OMB control number:** 3064–0153.  
**Estimated number of respondents:** 3,575 (of which 2 are advanced approaches institutions).

**Estimated average hours per response:**

- Minimum Capital Ratios
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—16.
  - Standardized Approach
  - Recordkeeping (Initial setup)—122.
  - Recordkeeping (Ongoing)—20.
  - Disclosure (Initial setup)—328.
  - Disclosure (Ongoing)—5.78.
  - Disclosure (Ongoing quarterly)—41.
  - Disclosure (Table 13 quarterly)—5.

**Revisions estimated annual burden:**

- 456 hours.
- 1,136 hours initial setup, 78,591 hours for ongoing.

---

29 In an effort to provide transparency, the total cumulative burden for each agency is shown. In addition, as stated in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Simplifications to the Capital Rule Pursuant to the Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996, 62 FR 49984 (October 27, 2017), in order to be consistent across the agencies, the agencies are also applying a conforming methodology for calculating the burden estimates.
Disclosures (Ongoing quarterly)—41. Revisions estimated annual burden: 96 hours.

Estimated annual burden hours: 1,136 hours initial setup, 130,806 hours for ongoing.

Reporting Burden—FFIEC and Board Forms

Current Actions

The agencies also plan to make changes to certain FFIEC and Board reporting forms and/or their related instructions as a result of the issuance of ASU 2016–13. In particular, the forms and/or related instructions for the following FFIEC reports could be affected: Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (Call Reports) (FFIEC 031, FFIEC 041, and FFIEC 051; OMB No. 1557–0081, 7100–0036, and 3064–0052), Report of Assets and Liabilities of U.S. Branches and Agencies of Foreign Banks (FFIEC 002; OMB No. 7100–0032), Report of Assets and Liabilities of a Non-U.S. Branch that is Managed or Controlled by a U.S. Branch or Agency of a Foreign (Non-U.S.) Bank (FFIEC 002S; OMB No. 7100–0032), Foreign Branch Report of Condition (FFIEC 030; OMB No. 1557–0099, 7100–0071, and 3064–0011), Abbreviated Foreign Branch Report of Condition (FFIEC 030S; OMB No. 1557–0099, 7100–0071, and 3064–0011), and Regulatory Capital Reporting for Institutions Subject to the Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework (FFIEC 101; OMB No. 1557–0239, 7100–0319, and 3064–0159). As a result of the proposal, a separate 60-day Federal Register notice 30 addressed these changes to the FFIEC forms and/or instructions. These changes will also be addressed in separate 30-day Federal Register notice.


B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

OCC: The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq. (RFA), requires an agency, in connection with a final rule, to prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis describing the impact of the rule on small entities (defined by the Small Business Administration (SBA) for purposes of the RFA to include commercial banks and savings institutions with total assets of $550 million or less and trust companies with total revenue of $38.5 million or less) to certify that the final rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. As of December 31, 2017, the OCC supervised 886 small entities. The final rule would apply to all OCC-supervised entities, and thus potentially affects a substantial number of small entities. To determine whether a final rule would have a significant effect on those small entities, the OCC considers whether the economic impact associated with the final rule is greater than or equal to either 5 percent of a small entity’s total annual salaries and benefits or 2.5 percent of a small entity’s total non-interest expense. The OCC estimates the final rule would not generate any costs for affected small entities. The final rule may generate a benefit for those small entities that elect the transition. The benefit ranges between approximately $4,800 to $30,000 per electing small entity, depending on the year the entity adopts the transition and the amount of increase in the entity’s loss loan reserves. This estimate is based on the potential savings to small entities from not needing to raise additional capital related to CECL implementation due to the regulatory capital transition. The estimated benefit is not significant in relation to the scenarios described above. Therefore, the OCC certifies that the final rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of OCC-supervised small entities.

Board: The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., generally requires that, in connection with a proposed rulemaking, an agency prepare and make available for public comment an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA). 31 The Board solicited public comment on this proposal in a notice of proposed rulemaking 32 and has since considered the potential impact of this proposal on small entities in accordance with section 604 of the RFA. Based on the Board’s analysis, and for the reasons stated below, the Board believes the final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The RFA requires an agency to prepare a final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) unless the agency certifies that the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. 33 The FRFA must contain: (1) a statement of the need for, and objectives of, the rule; (2) a statement of the significant issues raised by the public comments in response to the IRFA, a statement of the agency’s assessment of such issues, and a statement of any changes made in the proposed rule as a result of such comments; (3) the response of the agency to any comments filed by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration in response to the proposed rule, and a detailed statement of any changes made to the proposed rule in the final rule as a result of the comments; (4) a description of an estimate of the number of small entities to which the rule will apply or an explanation of why no such estimate is available; (5) a description of the projected reporting, recordkeeping and other compliance requirements of the rule, including an estimate of the classes of small entities which will be subject to the requirement and the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record; and (6) a description of the steps the agency has taken to minimize the significant economic impact on small entities, including a statement for selecting or rejecting the other significant

32 Under regulations issued by the Small Business Administration, a small entity includes a depository institution, bank holding company, or savings and loan holding company with total assets of $550 million or less and trust companies with total assets of $38.5 million or less. As of December 31, 2017, there were approximately 3,384 small bank holding companies, 230 small savings and loan holding companies, and 559 small state member banks.
33 See 5 U.S.C. 603, 604 and 605.
alternatives to the rule considered by the agency.

Statement of the need for, and objectives of, the final rule.

As discussed in detail above, the final rule identifies which credit loss allowances under ASU 2016–13 are eligible for inclusion in regulatory capital and provides banking organizations an optional three-year transition period to phase in the immediate effect on regulatory capital that may result from adoption of this accounting standard (ASU 2016–13). The final rule also makes conforming amendments to other regulations.

The Board has authority under the International Lending Supervision Act (ILSA) \textsuperscript{34} and the PCA provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act \textsuperscript{35} to establish regulatory capital requirements for the institutions it regulates. For example, ILSA directs each Federal banking agency to cause banking institutions to achieve and maintain adequate capital by establishing minimum capital requirements as well as by other means that the agency deems appropriate.\textsuperscript{36} The PCA provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act direct each Federal banking agency to specify, for each relevant capital measure, the level at which an insured depository institution is well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, and significantly undercapitalized.\textsuperscript{37} In addition, the Board has authority to establish regulatory capital standards for bank holding companies under ILSA \textsuperscript{38} and the Bank Holding Company Act \textsuperscript{39} and for savings and loan holding companies under the Home Owners Loan Act.\textsuperscript{40} All banking organizations will be required to adopt ASU 2016–13, which will likely result in an increase in credit loss allowances. An increase in a banking organization’s credit loss allowances will reduce the firm’s retained earnings and therefore its CET\textsubscript{1} capital. The final rule identifies those credit loss allowances under ASU 2016–13 that are eligible for inclusion in regulatory capital. Further, the final rule introduces a three-year transition period, which allows a banking organization to phase in the immediate impact of adoption of ASU 2016–13. During the transition period, a banking organization that elects to use the phase-in will report higher capital than it otherwise would under the current capital rule.

The final rule also makes conforming amendments to certain of the Board’s other regulations. In particular, certain other regulations of the Board include a definition of “capital stock and surplus,” which reflect the current practice of banking organizations establishing ALLL to cover estimated credit losses on loans, lease financing receivables, or other extensions of credit. The final rule allows banking organizations that are subject to these regulations to also include in the definition of “capital stock and surplus” those credit loss allowances under ASU 2016–13 that would be eligible for inclusion in regulatory capital.

A discussion of the significant issues raised by public comments in response to the IRFA, and the Board’s response to any comments filed by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration in response to the proposed rule.

The Board did not receive any comments on the IRFA that it published in connection with the proposal. In addition, the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration did not file any comments in response to the proposal. Accordingly, no changes were made to the proposal as a result of RFA-related comments.

Description and estimate of the number of small entities to which the rule will apply.

Most aspects of the final rule apply to all state member banks, as well as generally all bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies that are subject to the Board’s capital rule. As of December 31, 2017, there were approximately 3,384 bank holding companies, 230 savings and loan holding companies, and 559 state member banks that qualified as small entities. The final rule revises the Board’s capital rule, which applies to bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies with greater than $1 billion in total assets. Therefore, virtually all bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies that would be subject to the final rule do not qualify as small entities. The final rule will apply to state member banks that qualify as small entities.

A description of the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other compliance requirements of the rule.

The final rule will impose some small recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance requirements on Board-regulated institutions. Specifically, the final rule would change certain disclosure requirements for advanced-approaches institutions, which include banking organizations with consolidated assets of at least $250 billion or consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposures of at least $10 billion or if the banking organization. These requirements would not apply to small entities, and there are no other expected compliance requirements associated with the final rule. The agencies are separately updating the relevant reporting forms.

Description of the steps taken to minimize any significant economic impact on small entities.

The Board does not believe that the final rule will impose significant costs on small entities. With respect to Board-regulated institutions that do qualify as small entities, the final rule’s revisions to the Board’s capital rule should allow institutions to include additional credit loss allowances into regulatory capital than they otherwise would be able to under the current capital rule. However, there is uncertainty as to the amount of the benefit that institutions will accrue, given that the impact of CECL will depend on the economic environment at the time a firm adopts CECL. The Board does not believe there are significant alternatives to the final rule that have less economic impact on small entities but the Board is committed to closely monitoring the effects of CECL on regulatory capital and bank lending practices. In addition, the Board does not believe that the final rule duplicates, overlaps, or conflicts with any other Federal Rules.

FDIC: The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., generally requires an agency, in connection with a final rule, to prepare and make available a final regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the impact of a final rule on small entities.\textsuperscript{41} However, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Small Business Administration (SBA) has defined “small entities” to include banking organizations with total assets of less than or equal to $550 million who are independently owned and operated or owned by a holding company with less than $550 million in total assets.\textsuperscript{42}

\textsuperscript{34} 12 U.S.C. 3901–3911.
\textsuperscript{35} 12 U.S.C. 1831 et seq.
\textsuperscript{36} 12 U.S.C. 3907(a)(1).
\textsuperscript{37} 12 U.S.C. 1831c(2).
\textsuperscript{38} See 12 U.S.C. 3907.
\textsuperscript{39} See 12 U.S.C. 1844.
\textsuperscript{40} See 12 U.S.C. 1467a(g)(1).
\textsuperscript{41} 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.
\textsuperscript{42} The SBA defines a small banking organization as having $550 million or less in assets, where “a financial institution’s assets are determined by averaging the assets reported on its four quarterly financial statements for the preceding year.” See 13 CFR 121.201 (as amended, effective December 2,
Description of Need and Policy Objectives

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016–13, which revises the accounting for credit losses under U.S. GAAP. CECL differs from the incurred loss methodology currently implemented by institutions in several key respects. CECL requires banking organizations to recognize lifetime expected credit losses for financial assets measured at amortized cost, not just those credit losses that are probable of having been incurred as of the reporting date. In addition to maintaining the current requirement for banking organizations to consider past events and current conditions, CECL requires the incorporation of reasonable and supportable forecasts in developing an estimate of lifetime expected credit losses.

Upon adoption of CECL, a banking organization will record a one-time adjustment to its allowance for credit losses as of the beginning of its fiscal year of adoption equal to the difference, if any, between the amount of credit loss allowances required under the incurred loss methodology and the amount of credit loss allowances required under the CECL methodology. Changes to retained earnings, DTAs, and credit loss allowances affect a banking organization’s calculation of regulatory capital.43 To address changes made in organization’s calculation of regulatory capital, the FDIC is amending its capital rule 44 to give banking organizations the option to phase in the immediate, potentially adverse effects of CECL adoption over a three-year period.

Description of the Final Rule

A description of the rule is presented Section III: Final Rule. Please refer to it for further information.

Other Federal Rules

The FDIC has not identified any likely duplication, overlap, and/or potential conflicts between the final rule and any other federal rule.

Response to Comments Regarding the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The FDIC did not receive any public comments on the supporting information it presented in the Regulatory Flexibility Act section of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. The Agencies did receive public comments on the proposed rulemaking. A summary of those comments, and the Agencies’ consideration of them, is presented in Section ILB. The vast majority of public comments on the NPR related to the implementation of CECL rather than the economic impacts of the proposed transition period.

Several commenters requested that the FDIC increase the transition period from the proposed three-year transition in the NPR to five years in order to develop and validate the necessary data and models. One commenter opposed expansion of the transition period claiming that the three-year phase in is already generous given the advance notice banks have had of the new accounting standards, and that the new capital requirements reflect the socially optimal level of capital. Upon consideration of the comments, the FDIC has chosen to maintain the proposed three-year transition period. The FDIC believes that the three-year CECL transition provision will adequately address banking organizations’ challenges in capital planning for CECL implementation, while reducing the likelihood of a coinciding with a potential future downturn in the business cycle which could reduce the benefits of the rule and have deleterious effects on lending activity.

Economic Impacts on Small Entities

The final rule applies to all FDIC-supervised small entities. The FDIC supervises 3,575 depository institutions, of which 2,763 are defined as small banking entities by the terms of the RFA.45 However, the number of small entities that will elect to utilize the three-year transition schedule is difficult to estimate with available information. Utilization will likely depend on an institution’s business model, the preferences of senior management or ownership, the assets held by the institution, and reasonable expectations of future macroeconomic conditions, among other things.

As described in the overview section, the adoption of CECL will result in earlier recognition of credit losses when compared to the current incurred loss methodology. Therefore, the rule is intended to provide relief to covered institutions for certain adverse effects associated with the timing difference in provisioning for such losses, should they elect to utilize the option that this rule provides. The final rule will benefit small, FDIC-supervised institutions that adopt the three year transition schedule by allowing them to phase-in any needed increases in capital associated with the implementation of CECL over that time, thereby reducing costs by the time value of money. It is difficult to accurately estimate the potential benefit for small institutions with available data because it depends on the assets held by small institutions, their provision activity, future economic conditions, and the decisions of senior management. However, institutions will ultimately need to raise the same amount of capital whether they use the phase-in option or not. The rule allows banks to spread the cost of raising additional capital over three years rather than incurring that cost right away, should they choose to do so. The value of that option depends on the discount rate, which is generally assumed to be near the risk-free interest rate, so the benefits of the rule are unlikely to constitute a significant economic impact.

The final rule would pose some small regulatory costs for small, FDIC-supervised institutions that opt to utilize the three-year transition schedule. However, the small regulatory costs associated with implementing the three-year transition schedule will be less than the benefits posed by utilizing the schedule for those institutions that opt to utilize it.

Alternatives Considered

As an alternative to the final rule, the FDIC considered allowing CECL to go into effect with no accompanying action by the financial regulators. However, this alternative would likely result in higher costs for small entities. The FDIC considered a longer transition period of up to five years, as some commenters requested. While this alternative might reduce the costs of adopting CECL more than the proposed alternative, it also heightens the risk of capital increases coinciding with a potential future downturn in the business cycle. The coincidence of rising capital requirements during a future downturn in the business cycle could reduce the benefits of the proposed rule and have deleterious effects on lending activity.

A few commenters suggested allowing dynamic amortization whereby differences in allowances from an incurred loss estimate after the effective date could be amortized over the
remaining transition period in order to address the volatility in the CECL allowance from a downturn in economic forecasts. The FDIC responded that, while there may be difficulties for capital planning due to the uncertainty of the economic environment at the time of CECL adoption, the extended transition period will mitigate any day-one adverse effects. The straight-line approach adopted by the FDIC avoids unnecessary complexity and operational burdens.

Certification

Based on the information presented above, the FDIC certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

C. Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act 46 requires the Federal banking agencies to use plain language in all proposed and final rules published after January 1, 2000. The agencies have sought to present the final rule in a simple and straightforward manner and did not receive any comments on the use of plain language.

D. OCC Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The OCC analyzed the final rule under the factors set forth in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1532). Under this analysis, the OCC considered whether the final rule includes a Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year (adjusted for inflation). The OCC has determined that this final rule would not result in expenditures by State, local, and Tribal governments, or the private sector, of $100 million or more in any one year. Accordingly, the OCC has not prepared a written statement to accompany this proposal.

E. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA)

For purposes of SBREFA, the OMB makes a determination as to whether a final rule constitutes a “major” rule. If a rule is deemed a “major rule” by the OMB, SBREFA generally provides that the rule may not take effect until at least 60 days following its publication. 47 Notwithstanding any potential delay related to the OMB’s pending determination, banking organizations subject to this final rule will be permitted to elect to comply with it as of January 1, 2019.

SBREFA defines a “major rule” as any rule that the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the OMB finds has resulted in or is likely to result in—(A) an annual effect on the economy of $100,000,000 or more; (B) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies or geographic regions, or (C) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic and export markets. 48 As required by SBREFA, the agencies will submit the final rule and other appropriate reports to Congress and the Government Accountability Office for review.

F. Administrative Procedure Act and Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994

The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) requires that a final rule be published in the Federal Register no less than 30 days before its effective date unless, among other exceptions, the final rule relieves a restriction. 49 Pursuant to section 302(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act ("RCDRIA"), 50 in determining the effective date and administrative compliance requirements for a new regulation that imposes additional reporting, disclosure, or other requirements on insured depository institutions, each Federal banking agency must consider, consistent with principles of safety and soundness and the public interest, any administrative burdens that such regulations would place on depository institutions, including small depository institutions, and customers of depository institutions, as well as the benefits of such regulations. In addition, section 302(b) of RCDRIA requires new regulations and amendments to regulations that impose additional reporting, disclosure, or other new requirements on insured depository institutions generally to take effect on the first day of a calendar quarter that begins on or after the date on which the regulations are published in final form. 51

In accordance with these provisions, the agencies considered any administrative burdens, as well as benefits, that the final rule would place on depository institutions and their customers in determining the effective date and administrative compliance requirements of the final rule. The final rule provides regulatory capital transition provisions for banking organizations that early adopt CECL beginning after December 15, 2018, and thus relieves those banking organizations from compliance with certain stricter capital requirements that would otherwise have taken effect on January 1, 2019. However, the final rule also imposes new disclosure requirements for institutions that opt to utilize the three-year transition period. Therefore, in accordance with RCDRIA and the APA, the final rule will be effective no earlier than the first day of the calendar quarter following 30 days from the date on which the final rule is published in the Federal Register.

Notwithstanding, banking organizations subject to this final rule will be permitted to elect to comply with it as of January 1, 2019.
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---

48 5 U.S.C. 804(2).
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12 CFR Part 217
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12 CFR Part 225
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12 CFR Part 325
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12 CFR Part 390
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Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
For the reasons set out in the joint preamble, the OCC proposes to amend 12 CFR chapter I as follows.

PART 1—INVESTMENT SECURITIES
■ 1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read as follows:
■ 2. Section 1.2 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(2) to read as follows:

§ 1.2 Definitions.
(a) * * *
(2) The balance of a bank’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowances for credit losses, as applicable, not included in the bank’s Tier 2 capital, for purposes of the calculation of risk-based capital described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, as reported in the bank’s Call Report.
* * * * *

PART 3—CAPITAL ADEQUACY STANDARDS
■ 3. The authority citation for part 3 continues to read as follows:
■ 4. Section 3.2 is amended by:
(a) Adding in alphabetical order a definition for “adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL)”;
(b) Revising the definition of “carrying value”;
(c) Adding in alphabetical order a definition for “current expected credit losses (CECL)”;
(d) Revising the definition for “eligible credit reserves” and paragraph (2) of the definition of “standardized total risk-weighted assets”.

The additions and revisions read as follows:

§ 3.2 Definitions.
* * * * *
Adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL) means, with respect to a national bank or Federal savings association that has adopted CECL, valuation allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or retained earnings for expected credit losses on financial assets measured at amortized cost and a lessor’s net investment in leases that have been established to reduce the amortized cost basis of the assets to amounts expected to be collected as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Carrying value means, with respect to an asset, the value of the asset on the balance sheet of the national bank or Federal savings association as determined in accordance with GAAP. For all assets other than available-for-sale debt securities or purchased credit deteriorated assets and available-for-sale debt securities.

Current Expected Credit Losses (CECL) means the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP.

Eligible credit reserves means:
(1) For a national bank or Federal savings association that has not adopted CECL, all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings to cover estimated credit losses associated with on- or off-balance sheet wholesale and retail exposures, including the ALLL associated with such exposures, but excluding allocated transfer risk reserves established pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3904 and other specific reserves created against recognized losses; and
(2) For a national bank or Federal savings association that has adopted CECL, all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or retained earnings to cover expected credit losses associated with on- or off-balance sheet wholesale and retail exposures, including AACL associated with such exposures. Eligible credit reserves exclude allocated transfer risk reserves established pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3904, allowances that reflect credit losses on purchased credit deteriorated assets and available-
for-sale debt securities, and other specific reserves created against recognized losses.

* * * * *

Standardized total risk-weighted assets means:

* * * * *

(2) Any amount of a national bank’s or Federal savings association’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, that is not included in tier 2 capital and any amount of “allocated transfer risk reserves.”

§ 3.10 [Amended]

5. Section 3.10 is amended in paragraph (c)(3)(iii)(A) by removing the words “allowance for loan and lease losses” and adding in their place the words “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable.”

§ 3.20 [Amended]

6a. In § 3.20, in paragraph (d)(3), remove first occurrence of the word “ALLL” and adding in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable,” and in the second occurrence “ALLL or AACL, as applicable” is added in its place.

§ 3.22 [Amended]

6b. In § 3.22, in footnote 23 at the paragraph (c) subject heading, remove the word “ALLL” and add in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable.”

§ 3.63 [Amended]

7a. In § 3.63, Table 5 is amended in its paragraphs (a)(5) and (e)(5) by removing the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses,” and adding in its place wherever it appears the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable,” and in its paragraph (g) by removing the word “ALLL” and adding in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable.”

§ 3.124 [Amended]

7b. In § 3.124, in paragraph (a) remove the word “ALLL” and add in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable,” and in paragraph (b)(2) remove the word “ALLL” and add in its place “ALLL or AACL, as applicable.”

§ 3.173 [Amended]

8. Section 3.173 is amended:

a. In Table 2 by adding a paragraph (e);

b. In Table 3, by revising its paragraph (e), redesignating paragraph (f) as paragraph (g), and adding a new paragraph (f); and

c. In Table 5 by:

i. Removing the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses,” and adding in its place the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable,” in its paragraph (a)(5); and

ii. Revising its paragraph (g).

The additions and revisions read as follows:

§ 3.173 Disclosures by certain advanced approaches national banks or Federal savings associations.

* * * * *

TABLE 2 TO § 3.173—CAPITAL STRUCTURE

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>(1) Whether the national bank or Federal savings association has elected to phase in recognition of the transitional amounts as defined in § 3.301.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The national bank’s or Federal savings association’s common equity tier 1 capital, tier 1 capital, and total capital without including the transitional amounts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3 TO § 3.173—CAPITAL ADEQUACY

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>(1) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios reflecting the transition provisions described in § 3.301:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) For the top consolidated group; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios reflecting the full adoption of CECL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) For the top consolidated group; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) For each depository institution subsidiary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5 TO § 3.173—CREDIT RISK: GENERAL DISCLOSURES

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(g) Reconciliation of changes in ALLL or AACL, as applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 The reconciliation should include the following: A description of the allowance; the opening balance of the allowance; charge-offs taken against the allowance during the period; amounts provided (or reversed) for estimated probable loan losses during the period; any other adjustments (for example, exchange rate differences, business combinations, acquisitions and disposals of subsidiaries), including transfers between allowances; and the closing balance of the allowance. Charge-offs and recoveries that have been recorded directly to the income statement should be disclosed separately.

§ 3.301 Current Expected Credit Losses (CECL) Transition.

(a) CECL transition provision criteria. A national bank or Federal savings association may elect to use a CECL transition provision pursuant to this section only if the national bank or Federal savings association records a reduction in retained earnings due to the adoption of CECL as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the national bank or Federal savings association adopts CECL.

(b) Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) Transition period means the three-year period beginning the first day of the fiscal year in which a national bank or Federal savings association adopts CECL.

(2) CECL transitional amount means the decrease net of any DTAs, in the amount of a national bank’s or Federal savings association’s retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the national bank or Federal savings association adopts CECL from the amount of the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s retained earnings as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s adoption of CECL.

(3) DTA transitional amount means the increase in the amount of a national bank’s or Federal savings association’s DTAs arising from temporary differences as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the national bank or Federal savings association adopts CECL from the amount of the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s DTAs arising from temporary differences as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s adoption of CECL.

(4) AACL transitional amount means the difference in the amount of a national bank’s or Federal savings association’s AACL as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the national bank or Federal savings association adopts CECL and the amount of the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s ALLL as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s adoption of CECL.

(5) Eligible credit reserves transitional amount means the increase in the amount of a national bank’s or Federal savings association’s eligible credit reserves as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the national bank or Federal savings association adopts CECL from the amount of the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s eligible credit reserves as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the national bank’s or Federal savings association’s adoption of CECL.

(c) Calculation of CECL transition provision. (1) For purposes of the election described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, an advanced approaches national bank or Federal savings association must make the following adjustments in its calculation of regulatory capital ratios:

(i) Increase retained earnings by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, and increase retained earnings by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase retained earnings by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(ii) Decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by seventy-five percent of its DTA transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by fifty percent of its DTA transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by twenty-five percent of its DTA transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(iii) Decrease amounts of AACL by seventy-five percent of its AACL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of AACL by fifty percent of its AACL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of AACL by twenty-five percent of its AACL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(iv) Increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(2) For purposes of the election described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, an advanced approaches national bank or Federal savings association must make the following additional adjustments to its calculation of regulatory capital ratios:

(i) Increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by seventy-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period; and

(ii) An advanced approaches national bank or Federal savings association that has completed the parallel run process and that has received notification from the OCC pursuant to § 3.121(d) must decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by seventy-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by fifty percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by twenty-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the third year of the transition period.

(3) An advanced approaches national bank or Federal savings association that has completed the parallel run process and that has received notification from the OCC pursuant to § 3.121(d), and whose amount of expected credit loss exceeded its eligible credit reserves immediately prior to the adoption of CECL, and that this has an increase in common equity tier 1 capital as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it adopts CECL after including the first
year portion of the CECL transitional amount must decrease its CECL transitional amount used in paragraph (c) of this section by the full amount of its DTA transitional amount.

(4) Notwithstanding any other requirement in this section, for purposes of this paragraph, in the event of a business combination involving a national bank or Federal savings association where one or both of the national banks or Federal savings associations have elected the treatment described in this section:

(i) If the acquirer national bank or Federal savings association (as determined under GAAP) elected the treatment described in this section, the acquiring national bank or Federal savings association must continue to use the transitional amounts (unaffected by the business combination) that it calculated as of the date that it adopted CECL through the end of its transition period.

(ii) If the acquired insured depository institution (as determined under GAAP) elected the treatment described in this section, any transitional amount of the acquired insured depository institution does not transfer to the resulting national bank or Federal savings association.

PART 5—RULES, POLICIES, AND PROCEDURES FOR CORPORATE ACTIVITIES

10. The authority citation for part 5 continues to read as follows:


11. Section 5.3 is amended by revising paragraph (e)(2) to read as follows:

§ 5.3 Definitions.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(2) The balance of a national bank’s or Federal savings association’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in the bank’s Tier 2 capital, for purposes of the calculation of risk-based capital described in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section, as reported in the Call Report.

PART 23—LEASING

13. The authority citation for part 23 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1 et seq., 24(Seventh), 24(Tenth), and 93a.

14. Section 23.2 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(2) to read as follows:

§ 23.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) The balance of a bank’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in the bank’s Tier 2 capital, for purposes of the calculation of risk-based capital described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, as reported in the bank’s Call Report.

PART 24—COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ENTITIES, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, AND OTHER PUBLIC WELFARE INVESTMENTS

15. The authority citation for part 24 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 24(Eleventh), 93a, 481 and 1818.

16. Section 24.2 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(2) to read as follows:

§ 24.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) The balance of a bank’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in the bank’s Tier 2 capital, for purposes of the calculation of risk-based capital described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, as reported in the bank’s Call Report.

PART 32—LENDING LIMITS

17. The authority citation for part 32 continues to read as follows:


18. Section 32.2 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(2) to read as follows:

§ 32.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(2) The balance of a national bank’s or savings association’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in the bank’s Tier 2 capital, for purposes of the calculation of risk-based capital described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, as reported in the bank’s Call Report.

PART 46—ANNUAL STRESS TEST

21. The authority citation for part 46 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 93a; 1463(a)(2); 5365(i)(2); and 5412(b)(2)(B).

§ 46.8 [Amended]

22. Section 46.8 is amended by removing the phrase “loan and lease” and adding in its place “credit” in paragraphs (c)(3) and (d)(1).

* * * * *

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

12 CFR Chapter II

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, chapter II of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 208—MEMBERSHIP OF STATE BANKING INSTITUTIONS IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM (REGULATION H)

23. The authority citation for part 208 continues to read as follows:


24. In § 208.2, paragraph (d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 208.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

(d) Capital stock and surplus means, unless otherwise provided in this part, or by statute:
(1) Tier 1 and tier 2 capital included in a member bank’s risk-based capital (as defined in §217.2 of Regulation Q); and

(2) The balance of a member bank’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in its tier 2 capital for calculation of risk-based capital, based on the bank’s most recent Report of Condition and Income filed under 12 U.S.C. 324.

PART 211—INTERNATIONAL BANKING OPERATIONS (REGULATION K)

25. The authority citation for part 211 continues to read as follows:


Subpart A—International Operations of U.S. Banking Organizations

26. In §211.2, revise paragraph (c)(1) to read as follows:

§211.2 Definitions.

(c) * * * * *

(1) For organizations subject to Regulation Q:

(i) Tier 1 and tier 2 capital included in an organization’s risk-based capital (under Regulation Q); and

(ii) The balance of allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in an organization’s tier 2 capital for calculation of risk-based capital, based on the organization’s most recent consolidated Report of Condition and Income.

Subpart D—International Lending Supervision

27. In §211.43, revise paragraph (c)(4) to read as follows:

§211.43 Allocated transfer risk reserve.

(c) * * * * *

(4) Alternative accounting treatment. A banking institution is not required to establish an ATRR if it writes down in the period in which the ATRR is required, or has written down in prior periods, the value of the specified international assets in the requisite amount for each such asset. For purposes of this paragraph, international assets may be written down by a charge to the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or the allowance for credit losses, as applicable, to the extent permitted under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or a reduction in the principal amount of the asset by application of interest payments or other collections on the asset. However, the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or allowance for credit losses, as applicable, must be replenished in such amount necessary to restore it to a level which adequately provides for the estimated losses inherent in the banking institution’s loan portfolio.

PART 215—LOANS TO EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS OF MEMBER BANKS (REGULATION O)

28. The authority citation for part 215 continues to read as follows:


29. In §215.2, revise paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§215.2 Definitions.

(i) Lending limit. The lending limit for a member bank is an amount equal to the limit of loans to a single borrower established by section 5200 of the Revised Statutes,52 12 U.S.C. 84. This amount is 15 percent of the bank’s unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus in the case of loans that are not fully secured, and an additional 10 percent of the bank’s unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus in the case of loans that are fully secured by readily marketable collateral having a market value, as determined by reliable and continuously available price quotations, at least equal to the amount of the loan. The lending limit also includes any higher amounts that are permitted by section 5200 of the Revised Statutes for the types of obligations listed therein as exceptions to the limit. A member bank’s unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus equals:

(1) The bank’s tier 1 and tier 2 capital included in the bank’s risk-based capital under the capital rule of the appropriate Federal banking agency, based on the bank’s most recent consolidated report of condition filed under 12 U.S.C. 1817(a)(3); and

(2) The balance of the bank’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in the bank’s tier 2 capital for purposes of the calculation of risk-based capital under the capital rule of the appropriate Federal banking agency, based on the bank’s most recent consolidated reports of condition filed under 12 U.S.C. 1817(a)(3).

PART 217—CAPITAL ADEQUACY OF BANK HOLDING COMPANIES, SAVINGS AND LOAN HOLDING COMPANIES, AND STATE MEMBER BANKS (REGULATION Q)

30. The authority citation for part 217 continues to read as follows:


31. In §217.2, a. Add in alphabetical order a definition for “adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL)”;

b. Revise the definition of “carrying value”;

c. Add in alphabetical order a definition for “current expected credit losses (CECL)”;

and

d. Revise the definitions of “eligible credit reserves” and paragraph (2) (or the definition of “standardized total risk-weighted assets”).

The additions and revisions read as follows:

§217.2 Definitions.

Adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL) means, with respect to a Board-regulated institution that has adopted CECL, valuation allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or retained earnings for expected credit losses on financial assets measured at amortized cost and a lessor’s net investment in leases that have been established to reduce the amortized cost basis of the assets to amounts expected to be collected as determined in accordance with GAAP. For purposes of this part, adjusted allowances for credit losses include allowances for expected credit losses on off-balance sheet credit exposures not accounted for as insurance as determined in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted allowances for credit losses exclude “allocated transfer risk reserves” and allowances created that reflect credit losses on purchased credit deteriorated assets and available-for-sale debt securities.

Carrying value means, with respect to an asset, the value of the asset on the
balance sheet of a Board-regulated institution as determined in accordance with GAAP. For all assets other than available-for-sale debt securities or purchased credit deteriorated assets, the carrying value is not reduced by any associated credit loss allowance that is determined in accordance with GAAP.

Current Expected Credit Losses (CECL) means the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP.

Eligible credit reserves means:
(1) For a Board-regulated institution that has not adopted CECL, all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings to cover estimated credit losses associated with on- or off-balance sheet wholesale and retail exposures, including the ALLL associated with such exposures, but excluding allocated transfer risk reserves established pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3904 and other specific reserves created against recognized losses; and
(2) For a Board-regulated institution that has adopted CECL, all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or retained earnings to cover expected credit losses associated with on- or off-balance sheet wholesale and retail exposures, including AACL associated with such exposures. Eligible credit reserves exclude allocated transfer risk reserves established pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3904, allowances that reflect credit losses on purchased credit deteriorated assets and available-for-sale debt securities, and other specific reserves created against recognized losses.

§217.10 [Amended]
32. In §217.10, in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(A), remove the words “allowance for loan and lease losses” and add, in their place, the words “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable,”.

§217.20 [Amended]
33a. In §217.20, in paragraph (d)(3), remove the first occurrence of the word “ALLL” and add in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable,” and in the second occurrence “ALLL or AACL, as applicable” is added in its place.

TABLE 2 TO §217.173—CAPITAL STRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (e) (1) Whether the Board-regulated institution has elected to phase in recognition of the transitional amounts as defined in §217.300(f). | * | * | * | *
| (2) The Board-regulated institution's common equity tier 1 capital, tier 1 capital, and total capital without including the transitional amounts as defined in §217.300(f). | * | * | * | *

TABLE 3 TO §217.173—CAPITAL ADEQUACY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (e) (1) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios reflecting the transition provisions described in §217.300(f): | * | * | * | *
| (A) For the top consolidated group; and | * | * | * | *
| (2) For each depository institution subsidiary. | * | * | * | *
| (f) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios reflecting the full adoption of CECL: | * | * | * | *
| (1) For the top consolidated group; and | * | * | * | *
| (2) For each depository institution subsidiary. | * | * | * | *

TABLE 51 TO §217.173—CREDIT RISK: GENERAL DISCLOSURES

Qualitative disclosures ... (a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk disclosed in accordance with Table 7 to §217.173), including:
(1) Policy for determining past due or delinquency status;
§ 217.301 Current expected credit losses (CECL) transition.

(a) CECL transition provision. (1) A Board-regulated institution may elect to use a CECL transition provision pursuant to this section only if the Board-regulated institution records a reduction in retained earnings due to the adoption of CECL as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the Board-regulated institution adopts CECL.

(2) A Board-regulated institution that elects to use the CECL transition provision must use the CECL transition provision in the first Call Report or FR Y–9C that includes CECL filed by the Board-regulated institution after it adopts CECL.

(3) A Board-regulated institution that does not elect to use the CECL transition provision as of the first Call Report or FR Y–9C that includes CECL filed as described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section may not elect to use the CECL transition provision in subsequent reporting periods.

(b) Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) Transition period means the three-year period beginning the first day of the fiscal year in which a Board-regulated institution adopts CECL.

(2) CECL transitional amount means the decrease net of any DTAs in the amount of a Board-regulated institution’s retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the Board-regulated institution adopts CECL from the amount of the Board-regulated institution’s retained earnings as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the Board-regulated institution’s adoption of CECL.

(3) DTA transitional amount means the increase in the amount of a Board-regulated institution’s DTAs arising from temporary differences as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the Board-regulated institution adopts CECL from the amount of the Board-regulated institution’s DTAs arising from temporary differences as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the Board-regulated institution’s adoption of CECL.

(4) AACL transitional amount means the difference in the amount of a Board-regulated institution’s AACL as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the Board-regulated institution adopts CECL and the amount of the Board-regulated institution’s ALLL as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the Board-regulated institution’s adoption of CECL.

(5) Eligible credit reserves transitional amount means the increase in the amount of a Board-regulated institution’s eligible credit reserves as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the Board-regulated institution adopts CECL from the amount of the Board-regulated institution’s eligible credit reserves as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the Board-regulated institution’s adoption of CECL.

(c) Calculation of CECL transition provision. (1) For purposes of the election described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, a Board-regulated institution must make the following adjustments in its calculation of regulatory capital ratios:

(i) Increase retained earnings by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year.
of the transition period, increase retained earnings by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase retained earnings by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(ii) Decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by seventy-five percent of its DTA transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by fifty percent of its DTA transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by twenty-five percent of its DTA transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(iii) Decrease amounts of AACL by seventy-five percent of its AACL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of AACL by fifty percent of its AACL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of AACL by twenty-five percent of its AACL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(iv) Increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report or FR Y–9C for purposes of the leverage ratio by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report or FR Y–9C for purposes of the leverage ratio by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report or FR Y–9C for purposes of the leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(2) For purposes of the election described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, an advanced approaches Board-regulated institution must make the following additional adjustments to its calculation of regulatory capital ratios:

(i) Increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period; and

(ii) An advanced approaches Board-regulated institution that has completed the parallel run process and has received notification from the Board pursuant to §217.121(d) must decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by seventy-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by fifty percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by twenty-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the third year of the transition period.

(3) An advanced approaches Board-regulated institution that has completed the parallel run process and has received notification from the Board pursuant to §217.121(d), whose amount of expected credit loss exceeded its eligible credit reserves immediately prior to the adoption of CECL, and that has an increase in common equity tier 1 capital as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it adopts CECL after including the first year portion of the CECL transitional amount must decrease its CECL transitional amount used in paragraph (c) of this section by the full amount of its DTA transitional amount.

(4) Notwithstanding any other requirement in this section, for purposes of this paragraph, in the event of a business combination involving a Board-regulated institution where one or both Board-regulated institutions have elected the treatment described in this section:

(i) If the acquirer Board-regulated institution (as determined under GAAP) elected the treatment described in this section, the acquirer Board-regulated institution must continue to use the transitional amounts (unaffected by the business combination) that it calculated as of the date that it adopted CECL through the end of its transition period.

(ii) If the acquired company (as determined under GAAP) elected the treatment described in this section, any transitional amount of the acquired company does not transfer to the resulting Board-regulated institution.

PART 223—TRANSACTIIONS BETWEEN MEMBER BANKS AND THEIR AFFILIATES (REGULATION W)

37. The authority citation for part 223 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 371c(b)(1)(E), (b)(2)(A), and (f), 371c–1(e), 1828(j), 1466(a), and section 312(b)(2)(A) of the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (12 U.S.C. 5412).

Subpart A—Introduction and Definitions

38. In §223.3, revise paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§223.3 What are the meanings of the other terms used in sections 23A and 23B and this part?

(d) Capital stock and surplus means

The sum of:

(1) A member bank’s tier 1 and tier 2 capital under the capital rule of the appropriate Federal banking agency, based on the member bank’s most recent consolidated Report of Condition and Income filed under 12 U.S.C. 1817(a)(3); and

(2) The balance of a member bank’s allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, not included in its tier 2 capital under the capital rule of the appropriate Federal banking agency, based on the member bank’s most recent consolidated Report of Condition and Income filed under 12 U.S.C. 1817(a)(3); and

(3) The amount of any investment by a member bank in a financial subsidiary that counts as a covered transaction and is required to be deducted from the member bank’s capital for regulatory capital purposes.

PART 225—BANK HOLDING COMPANIES AND CHANGE IN BANK CONTROL (REGULATION Y)

39. The authority citation for part 225 continues to read as follows:


40. In §225.127,

a. Remove “§225.25(b)[6]” wherever it appears and add in its place “§225.28(b)(12)” and remove “§225.23” in paragraphs (a) and (d) and add in its place “§225.23 or §225.24”;

b. Revise paragraph (h).

The revision reads as follows:

§225.127 Investments in corporations or projects designed primarily to promote community welfare.

(h) For purposes of paragraph (f) of this section, five percent of the total consolidated capital stock and surplus of a bank holding company includes its total investment in projects described in
paragrapb (f) of this section, when aggregated with similar types of investments made by depository institutions controlled by the bank holding company. The term total consolidated capital stock and surplus of the bank holding company means total equity capital and the allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable, based on the bank holding company’s most recent FR Y–9C (Consolidated Financial Statements for Holding Companies) or FR Y–9SP (Parent Company Only Financial Statements for Small Holding Companies).

PART 252—ENHANCED PRUDENTIAL STANDARDS (REGULATION YY)

§ 252.12 Definitions.

41. The authority citation for part 252 continues to read as follows:


Subpart B—Company-Run Stress Test Requirements for Certain U.S. Banking Organizations With Total Consolidated Assets Over $10 Billion and Less Than $50 Billion

42. In § 252.12, revise paragraph (m) to read as follows:

§ 252.12 Definitions.

(m) Provision for credit losses means:
(1) Until December 31, 2019:
(i) With respect to a bank holding company, savings and loan holding company, or state member bank that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), the provision for loan and lease losses as reported on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle; and
(ii) With respect to a covered company that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for credit losses, as would be reported by the bank holding company, savings and loan holding company, or state member bank on the FR Y–9C or Call Report, as appropriate, in the current stress test cycle; and
(2) Beginning January 1, 2020:
(i) With respect to a covered company that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses as reported on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle; and
(ii) With respect to a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses, as would be calculated and reported on the FR Y–9C by a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP; and

43. In § 252.15, revise paragraph (a)(1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 252.15 Methodologies and practices.

(a) * * *
(1) Losses, pre-provision net revenue, provision for credit losses, and net income; and
(2) The potential impact on the regulatory capital levels and ratios applicable to the covered bank, and any other capital ratios specified by the Board, incorporating the effects of any capital action over the planning horizon and maintenance of an allowance for loan losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as appropriate, for credit exposures throughout the planning horizon.

44. In § 252.16, revise paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 252.16 Reports of stress test results.

(b) * * *
(3) For each quarter of the planning horizon, estimates of aggregate losses, pre-provision net revenue, provision for credit losses, net income, and regulatory capital ratios;

45. In § 252.17, revise paragraphs (b)(1)(iii)(C), (b)(3)(ii)(C), and (c)(1) to read as follows:

§ 252.17 Disclosure of stress test results.

(b) * * *
(1) * * *
(iii) * * *
(C) Provision for credit losses;

46. In § 252.42, revise paragraph (l) to read as follows:

§ 252.42 Definitions.

(l) Provision for credit losses means:
(1) Until December 31, 2019:
(i) With respect to a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), the provision for loan and lease losses as reported on the FR Y–9C (and as would be reported on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle; and
(ii) With respect to a covered company that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses, as would be calculated and reported on the FR Y–9C by a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP; and
(2) Beginning January 1, 2020:
(i) With respect to a covered company that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for credit losses, as would be reported by the covered company on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle; and
(ii) With respect to a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses as would be reported by the covered company on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle.

47. In § 252.45, revise paragraph (b)(2) to read as follows:

§ 252.45 Data and information required to be submitted in support of the Board’s analyses.

(b) * * *
(2) Project a company’s pre-provision net revenue, losses, provision for credit losses, and net income; and pro forma capital levels, regulatory capital ratios, and any other capital ratio specified by
the Board under the scenarios described in § 252.44(b).

Subpart F—Company-Run Stress Test Requirements for U.S. Bank Holding Companies with $50 Billion or More in Total Consolidated Assets and Nonbank Financial Companies Supervised by the Board

§ 252.52 Definitions.

(m) Provision for credit losses means:

(1) Until December 31, 2019:

(i) With respect to a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses as reported on the FR Y–9C (and as would be reported on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle); and

(ii) With respect to a covered company that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses, as would be calculated and reported on the FR Y–9C by a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP; and

(2) Beginning January 1, 2020:

(i) With respect to a covered company that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses, as would be reported by the covered company on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle; and

(ii) With respect to a covered company that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses as would be reported by the covered company on the FR Y–9C in the current stress test cycle.

§ 252.56 Methodologies and practices.

(a) * * * 

(1) Losses, pre-provision net revenue, provision for credit losses, and net income; and

(2) The potential impact on the regulatory capital levels and ratios applicable to the covered bank, and any other capital ratios specified by the Board, incorporating the effects of any capital action over the planning horizon and maintenance of an allowance for loan losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as appropriate, for credit exposures throughout the planning horizon.

* * * * * 

50. In § 252.58, revise paragraphs (b)(2), (b)(3)(ii), and (c)(1)(ii) to read as follows:

§ 252.58 Disclosure of stress test results.

(b) * * * 

(2) A general description of the methodologies used in the stress test, including those employed to estimate losses, revenues, provision for credit losses, and changes in capital positions over the planning horizon.

(3) * * * 

(ii) Provision for credit losses, realized losses or gains on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, trading and counterparty losses or gains; 

(c) * * * 

(1) * * * 

(i) * * * 

(ii) Provision for credit losses, realized losses/gains on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, trading and counterparty losses, and other losses or gain; 

* * * * *

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

12 CFR Chapter III

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation proposes to amend chapter III of Title 12, Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 324—CAPITAL ADEQUACY OF FDIC-SUPERVISED INSTITUTIONS

§ 324.2 Definitions.

(a) * * * 

(1) For an FDIC-supervised institution that has adopted CECL, valuation allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or retained earnings for expected credit losses on financial assets measured at amortized cost and a lessor’s net investment in leases that have been established to reduce the amortized cost basis of the assets to amounts expected to be collected as determined in accordance with GAAP. For purposes of this part, adjusted allowances for credit losses include allowances for expected credit losses on off-balance sheet credit exposures not accounted for as insurance as determined in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted allowances for credit losses exclude “allocated transfer risk reserves” and allowances created that reflect credit losses on purchased credit deteriorated assets and available-for-sale debt securities.

* * * * *

Carrying value means, with respect to an asset, the value of the asset on the balance sheet of the FDIC-supervised institution as determined in accordance with GAAP. For all assets other than available-for-sale debt securities or purchased credit deteriorated assets, the carrying value is not reduced by any associated credit loss allowance that is determined in accordance with GAAP.

* * * * *

Current Expected Credit Losses (CECL) means the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP.

* * * * *

Eligible credit reserves means:

(1) For an FDIC-supervised institution that has not adopted CECL, all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings to cover estimated credit losses associated with on- or off-balance sheet wholesale and retail exposures, including the ALLL associated with such exposures, but excluding allocated transfer risk reserves established pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3904 and other specific reserves created against recognized losses; and

(2) For an FDIC-supervised institution that has adopted CECL, all general allowances that have been established through a charge against earnings or...
Identified losses means:

(1) When measured as of the date of examination of an FDIC-supervised institution, those items that have been determined by an evaluation made by a state or Federal examiner as of that date to be chargeable against income, capital and/or general valuation allowances such as the allowances for loan and lease losses (examples of identified losses would be assets classified loss, off-balance sheet items classified loss, any provision expenses that are necessary for the FDIC-supervised institution to record in order to replenish its general valuation allowances to an adequate level, liabilities not shown on the FDIC-supervised institution’s books, estimated losses in contingent liabilities, and differences in accounts which represent shortages) or the adjusted allowances for credit losses; and

(2) When measured as of any other date, those items:

(i) That have been determined—

(A) By an evaluation made by a state or Federal examiner at the most recent examination to be chargeable against income, capital and/or general valuation allowances; or

(B) By evaluations made by the FDIC-supervised institution since its most recent examination to be chargeable against income, capital and/or general valuation allowances; and

(ii) For which the appropriate accounting entries to recognize the loss have not yet been made on the FDIC-supervised institution’s books nor has the item been collected or otherwise settled.

§ 324.10 [Amended]
53. Section 324.10(c)(3)(ii)(A) is amended by removing the words “allowance for loan and lease losses” and adding in their place the words “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable,”.

§ 324.20 [Amended]
54a. In § 324.20, in paragraph (d)(3), remove the first occurrence of the word “ALLL” and add in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable,” and in the second occurrence “ALLL or AACL, as applicable” is added in its place.

§ 324.22 [Amended]
54b. In § 324.22, in footnote 23 at the paragraph (c) subject heading, remove the word “ALLL” and add in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable.”.

§ 324.63 [Amended]
55a. In Table 5 to § 324.63, in paragraph (a)(5), remove the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses,” and in paragraph (e)(5) remove the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses” and add in their place “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable,” and in paragraph (g) by removing “ALLL” and adding in its place “ALLL or AACL, as applicable”.

§ 324.124 [Amended]
55b. In § 324.124, in paragraph (a), remove the word “ALLL” and add in its place the words “ALLL or AACL, as applicable,” and in paragraph (b) remove the word “ALLL” and add in its place “ALLL or AACL, as applicable”.

56. Section 324.173 is amended:

(a) In Table 2, by adding paragraph (e); and

(b) In Table 3, by revising paragraph (e), redesignating paragraph (f) as paragraph (g), and adding a new paragraph (f); and

(c) In Table 5 to § 324.173, in paragraph (a)(5), remove the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses,” and in paragraph (e)(5) remove the phrase “allowance for loan and lease losses” and add in their place “allowance for loan and lease losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as applicable,” and in paragraph (g) by removing “ALLL” and adding in its place “ALLL or AACL, as applicable”.

The additions and revisions read as set forth below.

§ 324.173 Disclosures by certain advanced approaches FDIC-supervised institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2 TO § 324.173—CAPITAL STRUCTURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (e) (1) Whether the FDIC-supervised institution has elected to phase in recognition of the transitional amounts as defined in § 324.300(f).  
(2) The FDIC-supervised institution’s common equity tier 1 capital, tier 1 capital, and total capital without including the transitional amounts as defined in § 324.300(f). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3 TO § 324.173—CAPITAL ADEQUACY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (e) (1) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios reflecting the transition provisions described in § 324.300(f):  
(A) For the top consolidated group; and  
(2) For each depository institution subsidiary.  
(f) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios reflecting the full adoption of CECL:  
(1) For the top consolidated group; and  
(2) For each depository institution subsidiary. |

§ 324.301 Current expected credit losses (CECL) transition.

(a) CECL transition provision criteria.

(1) An FDIC-supervised institution may elect to use a CECL transition provision pursuant to this section only if the FDIC-supervised institution records a reduction in retained earnings due to the adoption of CECL as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the FDIC-supervised institution adopts CECL.

(2) An FDIC-supervised institution that elects to use the CECL transition provision must use the CECL transition provision in the first Call Report filed by the FDIC-supervised institution after it adopts CECL.

(3) An FDIC-supervised institution that does not elect to use the CECL transition provision as of the first Call Report filed as described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section may not elect to use the CECL transition provision in subsequent reporting periods.

(b) Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) Transition period means the three-year period beginning the first day of the fiscal year in which an FDIC-supervised institution adopts CECL.

(2) CECL transitional amount means the decrease net of any DTAs in the amount of an FDIC-supervised institution’s retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the FDIC-supervised institution adopts CECL from the amount of the FDIC-supervised institution’s retained earnings as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the FDIC-supervised institution’s adoption of CECL.

(3) DTA transitional amount means the increase in the amount of an FDIC-supervised institution’s DTAs arising from temporary differences as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the FDIC-supervised institution adopts CECL from the amount of the FDIC-supervised institution’s DTAs arising from temporary differences as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the FDIC-supervised institution’s adoption of CECL.

(4) AACL transitional amount means the difference in the amount of an FDIC-supervised institution’s ALLL as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the FDIC-supervised institution’s adoption of CECL.

(5) Eligible credit reserves transitional amount means the increase in the amount of a FDIC-supervised institution’s eligible credit reserves as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the FDIC-supervised institution adopts CECL from the amount of the FDIC-supervised institution’s eligible credit reserves as of the closing of the fiscal year-end immediately prior to the FDIC-supervised institution’s adoption of CECL.

(c) Calculation of CECL transition provision.

(1) For purposes of the election described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, an FDIC-supervised institution must make the following adjustments in its calculation of regulatory capital ratios:

(i) Increase retained earnings by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease retained earnings by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase retained earnings by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(ii) Decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by seventy-five percent of its DTA transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by fifty percent of its DTA transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of DTAs arising from temporary differences by twenty-five percent of its DTA transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(iii) Decrease amounts of AACL by seventy-five percent of its AACL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of AACL by fifty percent of its AACL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of AACL by twenty-five percent of its AACL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period;

(iv) Increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase average total consolidated assets as reported on the Call Report for purposes of the leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period; and

(2) For purposes of the election described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, an advanced approaches FDIC-supervised institution must make the following additional adjustments to its calculation of regulatory capital ratios:

(i) Increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by seventy-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by fifty percent of its CECL transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and increase total leverage exposure for purposes of the supplementary leverage ratio by twenty-five percent of its CECL transitional amount during the third year of the transition period; and

(ii) An advanced approaches FDIC-supervised institution that has completed the parallel run process and has received notification from the FDIC pursuant to § 324.121(d) must decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by seventy-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the first year of the transition period, decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by fifty percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the second year of the transition period, and decrease amounts of eligible credit reserves by twenty-five percent of its eligible credit reserves transitional amount during the third year of the transition period.

(3) An advanced approaches FDIC-supervised institution that has completed the parallel run process and has received notification from the FDIC pursuant to § 324.121(d), whose amount of expected credit loss exceeded its eligible credit reserves immediately prior to the adoption of CECL, and that has an increase in common equity tier 1 capital as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it adopts CECL after including the first year portion of the CECL transitional amount must decrease its CECL transitional amount used in paragraph (c) of this section by the full amount of its DTA transitional amount.

(4) Notwithstanding any other requirement in this section, for purposes of this paragraph, in the event of a business combination involving an FDIC-supervised institution where one or both FDIC-supervised institutions
have elected the treatment described in this section:
(i) If the acquirer FDIC-supervised institution (as determined under GAAP) elected the treatment described in this section, the acquirer FDIC-supervised institution must continue to use the transitional amounts (unaffected by the business combination) that it calculated as of the date that it adopted CECL through the end of its transition period.
(ii) If the acquired insured depository institution (as determined under GAAP) elected the treatment described in this section, any transitional amount of the acquired insured depository institution does not transfer to the resulting FDIC-supervised institution.

PART 325—CAPITAL MAINTENANCE
§ 59. The authority citation for part 325 continues to read as follows:

Subpart C—Annual Stress Test
§ 60. In § 325.2, paragraph (g) is revised to read as follows:
§ 325.2 Definitions.
(g) Provision for credit losses means:
(1) Until December 31, 2019:
(i) With respect to a state nonmember bank or state savings association that has not adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), the provision for loan and lease losses as reported on the Call Report in the current stress test cycle; and,
(ii) With respect to a state nonmember bank or state savings association that has adopted the current expected credit losses methodology under GAAP, the provision for loan and lease losses as would be reported in the Call Report in the current stress test cycle.
* * * * *
■ 61. In § 325.5, paragraph (a)(1) and (2) are revised to read as follows:
§ 325.5 Methodologies and practices.
(a) * * *
(1) Pre-provision net revenues, losses, provision for credit losses, and net income; and
(2) The potential impact on the regulatory capital levels and ratios applicable to the covered bank, and any other capital ratios specified by the Corporation, incorporating the effects of any capital action over the planning horizon and maintenance of an allowance for loan losses or adjusted allowance for credit losses, as appropriate, for credit exposures throughout the planning horizon.
* * * * *
■ 62. In § 325.6, paragraph (b)(1) is revised to read as follows:
§ 325.6 Required reports of stress test results to the FDIC and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
(b) * * *
(1) The reports required under paragraph (a) of this section must include under the baseline scenario, adverse scenario, severely adverse scenario and any other scenario required by the FDIC under this subpart, a description of the types of risks being included in the stress test, a summary description of the methodologies used in the stress test, and, for each quarter of the planning horizon, estimates of aggregate losses, pre-provision net revenue, provision for credit losses, net income, and pro forma capital ratios (including regulatory and any other capital ratios specified by the FDIC). In addition, the report must include an explanation of the most significant causes for the changes in regulatory capital ratios and any other information required by the FDIC.
* * * * *
■ 63. In § 325.7, revise paragraphs (c)(3) and (d)(1) to read as follows:
§ 325.7 Publication of stress test results.
(c) * * *
(3) Estimates of aggregate losses, pre-provision net revenue, provision for credit losses, net income, and pro forma capital ratios (including regulatory and any other capital ratios specified by the FDIC); and
* * * * *
(d) * * *
(1) The disclosure of aggregate losses, pre-provision net revenue, provisions for credit losses, and net income under this section must be on a cumulative basis over the planning horizon.
* * * * *

PART 327—ASSESSMENTS
■ 64. The authority citation for part 327 continues to read as follows:

Subpart A—In General
§ 327.16 [Amended]
■ 65. Section 327.16 is amended in footnote 2 to the table in paragraph (a)(1)(i) by removing the words “allowance for loan and lease financing receivable losses (ALLL)” and adding in their place the words “allowance for loan and lease financing receivable losses (ALLL) or allowance for credit losses, as applicable”.

PART 347—INTERNATIONAL BANKING
■ 66. The authority citation for Part 347 continues to read as follows:

Subpart C—International Lending
■ 67. In § 347.303, revise paragraphs (c)(2) and (4) to read as follows:
§ 347.303 Allocated transfer risk reserve.
(c) * * *
(2) Separate accounting. A banking institution shall account for an ATRR separately from the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or allowance for credit losses, as applicable, and shall deduct the ATRR from “gross loans and leases” to arrive at “net loans and lease." The ATRR must be established for each asset subject to the ATRR in the percentage amount specified.
* * * * *
(4) Alternative accounting treatment. A banking institution need not establish an ATRR if it writes down in the period in which the ATRR is required, or has written down in prior periods, the value of the specified international assets in the requisite amount for each such asset. For purposes of this paragraph (c)(4), international assets may be written down by a charge to the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or allowance for credit losses, as applicable, or a reduction in the principal amount of the asset by application of interest payments or other collections on the
asset; provided, that only those international assets that may be charged to the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or allowance for credit losses, as applicable, pursuant to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles may be written down by a charge to the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or allowance for credit losses, as applicable. However, the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or allowance for credit losses, as applicable, must be replenished in such amount necessary to restore it to a level which adequately provides for the estimated losses inherent in the banking institution’s loan and lease portfolio.

PART 390—REGULATIONS TRANSFERRED FROM THE OFFICE OF THRIFT SUPERVISION

68. The authority citation for part 390 continues to read as follows:


Subpart T—Accounting Requirements

69. In §390.384, in the appendix in section II, revise paragraph 11, and in paragraph 12, remove the phrase “provision for loan losses” and add in its place “provision for loan losses or provision for credit losses, as applicable”.

The revision reads as follows:

§390.384 Financial statements for conversions, SEC filings, and offering circulars.

Appendix to §390.384

II. Income Statement

11. Provision for loan losses or provision for credit losses, as applicable.

Dated: December 18, 2018.

William A. Rowe,
Chief Risk Officer.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Ann E. Misback,
Secretary of the Board.

Dated at Washington, DC, on December 18, 2018.

By order of the Board of Directors.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Valerie J. Best,
Assistant Executive Secretary.
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