(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 27, Flight controls.

(e) Reason

This AD was prompted by a report that a supplier fabricated Teflon parts with a charge of 15 percent fiberglass content instead of the specified 5 percent fiberglass content. We are issuing this AD to address parts manufactured with this higher percentage of fiberglass, which may cause deterioration of control cables and adjacent parts due to greater friction should they come into contact, which could lead to reduced controllability of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Inspection

Within 50 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Accomplish a detailed visual inspection of the aileron control systems cables and flap interconnect system cables for disconnected or damaged cables in accordance with paragraph 2.A. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 215–3185, Revision 1, dated January 28, 2014; or Bombardier Service Bulletin 215–4476, Revision 1, dated January 28, 2014; or Bombardier Service Bulletin 215–4477, Revision 1, dated December 5, 2014.

(h) Corrective Action

If any disconnected or damaged (including broken wires, unusual wear, or fraying) cables are found during any inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD: Before further flight, obtain corrective actions approved by the Manager, New York ACO Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA); or Viking Air Limited’s TCCA Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature. Accomplish the corrective actions within the compliance time specified therein. If no compliance time is specified in the corrective actions instructions, accomplish the corrective action before further flight.

(i) Replacement

Within 29 months after the effective date of this AD: Replace the Teflon parts in the aileron control system, the aileron/rudder interconnect, and the aileron power unit beam in accordance with Parts A, B, and C of the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 215–3186, Revision 3, dated September 29, 2015; or Bombardier Service Bulletin 215–4477, Revision 2, dated September 29, 2015.

(j) Terminating Action for Inspections

Accomplishing the replacement required by paragraph (i) of this AD on an airplane constitutes terminating action for the inspections required by paragraph (g) of this AD for that airplane.

(k) Credit for Previous Actions

This paragraph provides credit for actions required by paragraph (i) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using the service information specified in paragraphs (k)(1) through (k)(5) of this AD.


(l) No Reporting Requirement


(m) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, New York ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to ATTN: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO Branch, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516–228–7300; fax 516–794–5531. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD, the owner must obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, New York ACO Branch, FAA; or TCAC; or Viking Air Limited’s TCCA DAO. If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

(n) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) Canadian AD CF–2018–27, dated October 12, 2018, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–1070.

(2) For more information about this AD, contact Darren Gassetto, Aerospace Engineer, Mechanical Systems and Admin Services Section, FAA, New York ACO Branch, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516–228–7323; fax 516–794–5531; email D-Gassetto@faa.gov.

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Viking Air Limited, 1959 de Havilland Way, Sidney, British Columbia V8L 5V5, Canada; telephone +1–250–656–7227; fax +1–250–656–0673; email acs-technical.publications@vikingair.com; internet http://www.vikingair.com. You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 2200 South, 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on January 10, 2019.

Jeffrey E. Duven,
Director, System Oversight Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2019–01524 Filed 2–7–19; 8:45 am]
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Airworthiness Directives; Learjet, Inc. Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2017–11–09, which applies to certain Learjet, Inc. (Learjet), Model 60 airplanes. AD 2017–11–09 requires a one-time inspection of the fuselage skin for corrosion and, as necessary, additional related inspections and corrective actions. Since we issued AD 2017–11–09, we identified an error in the fluorescent dye penetrant inspection of the fuselage skin and an ambiguity in the compliance time for the fluorescent dye penetrant inspection. We are proposing this AD to clarify the compliance time and correct an error for the fluorescent dye penetrant inspection of the fuselage skin.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by March 25, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: 202–493–2251.

• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact Learjet, Inc., One Learjet Way, Wichita, KS 67209–2942; telephone: 316–946–2000; fax: 316–946–2220; email: ac.ict@aero.bombardier.com; internet: http://www.bombardier.com. You may view this service information at the FAA, Policy and Innovation Division, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329–4148.

Examining the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2019–0046; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations (phone: 800–647–5527) is listed above. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tara Shawn, Aerospace Engineer, Wichita ACO Branch, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: (316) 946–4141; fax: (316) 946–4107; email: tara.shawn@faa.gov or Wichita-COS@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2019–0046; Product Identifier 2018–CE–040–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this NPRM. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this NPRM because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion
We issued AD 2017–08–07, Amendment 39–18856 (82 FR 18084, April 17, 2017) (“2017–08–07”) for certain serial-numbered Learjet Model 60 airplanes. AD 2017–08–07 required a one-time inspection of the fuselage skin for corrosion and, as necessary, additional related inspections and corrective actions. AD 2017–08–07 resulted from an evaluation by the design approval holder that indicated the upper fuselage skin under the aft oxygen line fairing is subject to multisite damage. We issued AD 2017–08–07 to detect and correct corrosion of the fuselage skin, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

After we issued AD 2017–08–07, we determined that only certain airplanes listed in the applicability of AD 2017–08–07 are affected by the unsafe condition. Therefore, we issued AD 2017–11–09, Amendment 39–18908 (82 FR 24462, May 30, 2017) (“2017–11–09”) to supersede AD 2017–08–07. AD 2017–11–09 retained all of the actions of AD 2017–08–07 but revised the applicability to identify only airplanes with a dorsal-mounted oxygen bottle and airplanes that have had the dorsal-mounted oxygen bottle removed but have retained the oxygen line fairing installed on top of the fuselage.

Actions Since AD 2017–11–09 Was Issued
Since we issued AD 2017–11–09, we identified an error in the area of the fluorescent dye penetrant inspection requirement. AD 2017–11–09 requires the fluorescent penetrant inspection of the fuselage skin between stringers (S)–2L and S–2R. Bombardier Learjet 60 Service Bulletin (SB) 60–53–19, Recommended, Revision 3, dated August 29, 2016, specifies inspecting a smaller area, only out to the fairing end caps.

We also identified an ambiguity in the compliance time for the inspection of the fuselage skin. Paragraphs (g)(1) through (3) of AD 2017–11–09 do not specify that the date of issuance of the original airworthiness certificate or the date of issuance of the original export certificate of airworthiness should be whichever date is earlier.

We are proposing this AD to correct the error of the inspection area and clarify the compliance times for the fluorescent dye penetrant inspection of the fuselage skin. This proposed AD will detect and correct corrosion of the fuselage skin, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51
We reviewed Bombardier Learjet 60 Service Bulletin (SB) 60–53–19, Revision 3, dated August 29, 2016. This service information was previously approved for incorporation by reference in AD 2017–08–07 and AD 2017–11–09 retained the incorporation by reference of this SB. The service information describes procedures for inspections of the fuselage crown skin for corrosion and, as necessary, related investigative inspections and corrective actions. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA’s Determination

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

Proposed AD Requirements

This proposed AD would retain all requirements of AD 2017–11–09. However, we removed the language in paragraph (g) that identified the area of the fuselage skin to be inspected as “between stringers (S)–2L and S–2R.” Both this proposed AD and AD 2017–11–09 require following the instructions in Bombardier Learjet 60, Service Bulletin SB 60–53–19, Revision 3, dated August 29, 2016, which requires inspection of a smaller area (only out to the fairing end caps).

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 284 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection (retained action from AD 2017–11–09).</td>
<td>46 work-hours × $85 per hour = $3,910 ........</td>
<td>$265</td>
<td>$4,175</td>
<td>$1,185,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This AD adds no additional economic burden. We have received no definitive data that would enable us to provide cost estimates for the on-condition actions specified in this AD. According to the manufacturer, some of the costs of this AD may be covered under warranty, thereby reducing the cost impact on affected individuals. We do not control warranty coverage for affected individuals. As a result, we have included all known costs in our cost estimate.

Paperwork Reduction Act
A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120–0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collection Clearance Officer, Federal Aviation Administration, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Fort Worth, TX 76177–1524.

Authority for This Rulemaking
Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General Requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

This AD is issued in accordance with authority delegated by the Executive Director, Aircraft Certification Service, as authorized by FAA Order 8000.51C. In accordance with that order, issuance of ADs is normally a function of the Compliance and Airworthiness Division, but during this transition period, the Executive Director has delegated the authority to issue ADs applicable to small airplanes, gliders, balloons, airships, domestic business jet transport airplanes, and associated appliances to the Director of the Policy and Innovation Division.

Regulatory Findings
We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:
(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
(2) Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment
Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2017–11–09, Amendment 39–18908 (82 FR 24462, May 30, 2017) and adding the following new AD:


(a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by March 25, 2019.

(b) Affected ADs


(c) Applicability

This AD applies to Learjet, Inc., Model 60 airplanes, certificated in any category, having serial numbers 60–002 through 60–430 inclusive, and having a configuration identified in paragraph (c)(1) or (2) of this AD.

(1) Airplanes with a dorsal-mounted oxygen bottle.

(2) Airplanes that have had the dorsal mounted oxygen bottle removed but have retained the oxygen line fairing installed on top of the fuselage.

(d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC)/Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 53, Fuselage.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by an evaluation by the design approval holder indicating that the upper fuselage skin under the aft oxygen line fairing is subject to multi-site damage. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct corrosion of the fuselage skin, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

---

**ESTIMATED COSTS—Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting (retained action from AD 2017–11–09).</td>
<td>1 work-hour × $85 per hour = $85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24,140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(g) Inspection of the Fuselage Skin, Related Investigative Inspections, and Corrective Actions

At the applicable compliance times specified in paragraphs (g)(1) through (3) of this AD, do the fluorescent dye penetrant inspection of the fuselage skin for corrosion. Before further flight, do all related investigative and corrective actions. Follow the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Learjet 60 Service Bulletin 60–53–19 Revision 3, dated August 29, 2016, (SB 60–53–19, Revision 3) except as required by paragraph (h) of this AD.

(1) If completed before the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, Amendment 39–18856 (82 FR 18084, April 17, 2017) (“2017–08–07”), which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09, any airplanes with more than 12 years since the date of issuance of the original airworthiness certificate or the date of issuance of the original export certificate of airworthiness, whichever date is earlier: Inspect within 12 months after May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by 2017–11–09).

(2) If completed before the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09, any airplanes with more than 6 years but equal to or less than 12 years since the date of issuance of the original airworthiness certificate or the date of issuance of the original export certificate of airworthiness, whichever date is earlier: Inspect within 24 months after May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09).

(3) As of May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09), any airplanes with 6 years or less since the date of issuance of the original airworthiness certificate or the date of issuance of the original export certificate of airworthiness, whichever date is earlier: Inspect within 36 months after May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09).

(h) Retained Service Information Exception, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (h) of AD 2017–11–09, with no changes. Where SB 60–53–19, Revision 3, specifies contacting Learjet, Inc., for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (i) of this AD.

(i) Retained Reporting, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (i) of AD 2017–11–09, with no changes. At the applicable time specified in paragraph (i)(1) or (i)(2) of this AD: Submit a report of the findings (both positive and negative) of the inspection required by the introductory text of paragraph (g) of this AD to: Wichita-COS@faa.gov; or Ann Johnson, Wichita AC Branch, 1801 Airport Road, Wichita, KS 67209. The report must include the name of the owner, the address of the owner, the name of the organization incorporating Learjet 60 Service Bulletin 60–53–19, the date that inspection was completed, the name of the person submitting the report, the address, telephone number, and email of the person submitting the report, the airplane serial number, the total time (flight hours) on the airplane, the total number of landings on the airplane, whether corrosion was detected, whether corrosion was repaired, the structural repair manual (SRM) chapter and revision used (if repaired), and whether corrosion exceeded the minimum thickness specified in Bombardier Learjet 60 SB 60–53–19 (and specify the SRM chapter and revision, if used as an aid to determine minimum thickness).

(1) If the inspection was done on or after May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09): Submit the report within 30 days after the inspection.

(2) If the inspection was done before May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09): Submit the report within 30 days after May 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–08–07, which was superseded by AD 2017–11–09).

(j) Credit for Previous Actions

This AD allows credit for the actions required in the introductory text of paragraph (g) if completed before the effective date of this AD using the Accomplishment Instructions in Learjet 60 SB 60–53–19, dated November 23, 2015; Learjet 60 SB 60–53–19 Revision 1, dated April 4, 2016; or Learjet 60 SB 60–53–19 Revision 2, dated April 18, 2016.

(k) Paperwork Reduction Act Burden Statement

A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120–0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20591, Attn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES–200.

(l) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Wichita ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO Branch, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (m)(1) of this AD.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by a Learjet, Inc., Designated Engineering Representative (DER), or a Unit Member (UM) of the Learjet Organization Designation Authorization (ODA), that has been authorized by the Manager, Wichita ACO Branch, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair, modification, deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) AMOCs approved previously for AD 2017–08–07 or AD 2017–11–09 should continue to be considered approved for the corresponding requirements in paragraph (g) of this AD.

(m) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Tara Shaw, AAOI, Aircraft Certification Service, Deputy Director, Policy and Innovation Division, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329–4148.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 31, 2019.

Melvin J. Johnson,
Aircraft Certification Service, Deputy Director, Policy and Innovation Division, AIR–601.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Services B.V. Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Fokker Services B.V. Model F28 Mark 0070 and 0100 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by a determination

Federal Register / Vol. 84, No. 27 / Friday, February 8, 2019 / Proposed Rules

2796