We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24, 2005, issue of the Federal Register (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this temporary rule change as being available in this docket and all public comments, will be in our online docket at http://www.regulations.gov and can be viewed by following that website’s instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

Douglas Allen Blakemore, Sr.
Bridge Administrator, Eighth Coast Guard District.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Aswathi Zachariah, Assistant General Counsel, National Endowment for the Arts, 400 7th St. SW, Washington, DC 20506, Telephone: 202–682–5418.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background


A CMP is defined in the Inflation Adjustment Act as any penalty, fine, or other sanction that is (1) for a specific monetary amount as provided by Federal law, or has a maximum amount provided for by Federal law; (2) assessed or enforced by an agency pursuant to Federal law; and (3) assessed or enforced pursuant to an administrative proceeding or a civil action in the Federal courts.

These annual inflation adjustments are based on the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI–U) for the month of October preceding the date of the adjustment, relative to the October CPI–U in the year of the previous adjustment. The formula for the amount of a CMP inflation adjustment is prescribed by law, as explained in OMB Memorandum M–16–06 (February 24, 2016), and therefore the amount of the adjustment is not subject to the exercise of discretion by the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Arts (Chairman).

The Office of Management and Budget has issued guidance on implementing and calculating the 2019 adjustment under the 2015 Act.1 Per this guidance, the CPI–U adjustment multiplier for this annual adjustment is 1.02522. In its prior rules, the NEA identified two CMPs, which require adjustment: The penalty for false claims statements will be $11,462. Without this rule, the NEA is adjusting the amount of those CMPs accordingly.


The 2015 Act requires adjustments to be made no later than January 15 of every year. Such adjustments are made by the agency after OMB issues its guidance delineating the applicable year’s CPI–U adjustment multiplier. Beginning at midnight on December 22, 2018, the agency experienced a lapse in appropriations until January 25, 2019. The agency was unable to complete this rule during the period of a lapse in appropriations. Between January 15, 2019 and the date of publication, no CMPs have been assessed that would have been affected by this rule.

3. Dates of Applicability

The inflation adjustments contained in this rule shall apply to any violations assessed after January 15, 2019.

4. Adjustments

Two CMPs in NEA regulations require adjustment in accordance with the 2015 Act: (1) The penalty associated with the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act (45 CFR 1149.9) and (2) the penalty associated with Restrictions on Lobbying (45 CFR 1158.400; 45 CFR part 1158, app. A).

A. Adjustments to Penalties Under the NEA’s Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act Regulations

The current maximum penalty under the PFCRA for false claims and statements is currently set at $11,180. The post-adjustment penalty or range is obtained by multiplying the pre-adjustment penalty or range by the percent change in the CPI–U over the relevant time period and rounding to the nearest dollar. Between October 2017 and October 2018, the CPI–U increased by 102.522 percent. Therefore, the new post-adjustment maximum penalty under the PFCRA for false claims and statements will be $11,462.

B. Adjustments to Penalties Under the NEA’s Restrictions on Lobbying Regulations

The penalty for violations of the Restrictions on Lobbying is currently set at a range of a minimum of $19,639 and a maximum of $196,387. The post-adjustment penalty or range is obtained by multiplying the pre-adjustment penalty or range by the percent change in the CPI–U over the relevant time period and rounding to the nearest dollar. Between October 2017 and October 2018, the CPI–U increased by 102.522 percent. Therefore, the new post-adjustment maximum penalty under the PFCRA for false claims and statements will be $11,462.

1 OMB Memorandum M–19–04 (December 14, 2018).
period and rounding to the nearest dollar. Between October 2017 and October 2018, the CPI–U increased by 102.522 percent. Therefore, the new post-adjustment minimum penalty under the Restrictions on Lobbying is $19,639 × 1.02522 = $20,134.30, which rounds to $20,134, and the maximum penalty under the Restrictions on Lobbying is $196,387 × 1.02522 = $201,339.88, which rounds to $201,340. Therefore, the range of penalties under the law on the Restrictions on Lobbying shall be between $20,134 and $201,340.

Administrative Procedure Act

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act requires agencies to provide an opportunity for notice and comment on rulemaking and also requires agencies to delay a rule’s effective date for 30 days following the date of publication in the Federal Register unless an agency finds good cause to forgo these requirements. However, section 4(b)(2) of the 2015 Act requires agencies to adjust civil monetary penalties notwithstanding section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) and publish annual inflation adjustments in the Federal Register. “This means that the public procedure the APA generally requires . . . is not required for agencies to issue regulations implementing the annual adjustment.” OMB Memorandum M–18–03.

Even if the 2015 Act did not except this final rule from section 553 of the APA, the NEA has good cause to dispense with notice and comment. Section 553(b)(B), authorizes agencies to dispense with notice and comment procedures for rulemaking if the agency finds good cause that notice and comment are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to public interest. The annual adjustments to civil penalties for inflation and the method of calculating those adjustments are established by section 5 of the 2015 Act, as amended, leaving no discretion for the NEA. Accordingly, public comment would be impracticable because the NEA would be unable to consider such comments in the rulemaking process.

Regulatory Planning and Review (Executive Order 12866)

Executive Order 12866 (E.O. 12866) established a process for review of rules by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, which is within the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Only “significant” proposed and final rules are subject to review under this Executive Order. “Significant,” as used in E.O. 12866, means “economically significant.” It refers to rules with (1) an impact on the economy of $100 million; or that (2) were inconsistent or interfered with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altered the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs; or (4) raised novel legal or policy issues.

This final rule would not be a significant policy change and OMB has not reviewed this final rule under E.O. 12866. The NEA has made the assessments required by E.O. 12866 and determined that this final rule: (1) Will not have an effect of $100 million or more on the economy; (2) will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or Tribal governments or communities; (3) will not create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (4) does not alter the budgetary effects of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights or obligations of their recipients; and (5) does not raise novel legal or policy issues.

Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs (Executive Order 13771)

Executive Order 13771 (E.O. 13771) section 5 requires that agencies, in most circumstances, remove or rescind two regulations for every regulatory action (such as the promulgation of regulations) unless they request and are specifically exempted from that order’s requirements by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (the Director).

This final rule is not subject to the requirements of E.O. 13771 because this final rule is not significant under E.O. 12866. Per OMB guidance, annual inflation adjustments “are not significant regulatory actions under E.O. 12866, they are not considered E.O. 13771 regulatory actions.”

 Furthermore, the NEA has requested and has received an exemption from the requirement that the agency rescind two regulations for every regulation it promulgates from the Director.

Federalism (Executive Order 13132)

This final rule does not have federalism implications, as set forth in E.O. 13132. As used in this order, federalism implications mean “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” The NEA has determined that this final rule will not have federalism implications within the meaning of E.O. 13132.

Civil Justice Reform (Executive Order 12988)

This final rule meets the applicable standards set forth in section 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988. Specifically, this final rule is written in clear language designed to help reduce litigation.

Indian Tribal Governments (Executive Order 13175)

Under the criteria in E.O. 13175, the NEA has evaluated this final rule and determined that it would have no potential effects on federally recognized Indian Tribes.

Takings (Executive Order 12630)

Under the criteria in E.O. 12630, this final rule does not have significant takings implications. Therefore, a takings implication assessment is not required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 605(b))

This final rule will not have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities, including small businesses, small governmental jurisdictions, or certain small not-for-profit organizations.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C., Chapter 35)

This final rule will not impose any “information collection” requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act. Under the act, information collection means the obtaining or disclosure of facts or opinions by or for an agency by 10 or more nonfederal persons.

Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995 (Section 202, Pub. L. 104–4)

This final rule does not contain a Federal mandate that will result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100 million or more in any one year.


The final rule will not have a significant effect on the human environment.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Sec. 804, Pub. L. 104–121)

This final rule would not be a major rule as defined in section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This final rule will

---

2 Id.
not result in an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more, a major increase in costs or prices, significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign based companies in domestic and export markets.

E-Government Act of 2002 (44 U.S.C. 3504)

Section 206 of the E-Government Act requires agencies, to the extent practicable, to ensure that all information about that agency required to be published in the Federal Register is also published on a publicly accessible website. All information about the NEA required to be published in the Federal Register may be accessed at https://www.arts.gov. This Act also requires agencies to accept public comments on their rules “by electronic means.” See heading “Public Participation” for directions on electronic submission of public comments on this final rule.

Finally, the E-Government Act requires, to the extent practicable, that agencies ensure that a publicly accessible Federal Government website contains electronic dockets for rulemakings under the Administrative Procedure Act of 1946 (5 U.S.C. 551 et seq.). Under this Act, an electronic docket consists of all submissions under section 553(c) of title 5, United States Code; and all other materials that by agency rule or practice are included in the rulemaking docket under section 553(c) of title 5, United States Code, whether or not submitted electronically. The website https://www.regulations.gov contains electronic dockets for the NEA’s rulemakings under the Administrative Procedure Act of 1946.

Plain Writing Act of 2010 (5 U.S.C. 301)

Under this Act, the term “plain writing” means writing that is clear, concise, well-organized, and follows other best practices appropriate to the subject or field and intended audience. To ensure that this final rule has been written in plain and clear language so that it can be used and understood by the public, the NEA has modeled the language of this final rule on the Federal Plain Language Guidelines.

Public Participation (Executive Order 13563)

The NEA encourages public participation by ensuring its documentation is understandable by the general public, and has written this final rule in compliance with Executive Order 13563 by ensuring its accessibility, consistency, simplicity of language, and overall comprehensibility.

List of Subjects in 45 CFR Parts 1149 and 1158

Administrative practice and procedure, Government contracts, Grant programs, Loan programs, Lobbying, Penalties.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the NEA amends 45 CFR chapter XI, subchapter B, as follows:

PART 1149—PROGRAM FRAUD CIVIL REMEDIES ACT REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 1149 continues to read as follows:


2. Revise § 1149.9(a)(1) to read as follows:

§ 1149.9 What civil penalties and assessments may I be subjected to?

(a) * * *

1 A civil penalty of not more than $11,462 for each false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or claim; and

* * * * *

PART 1158—NEW RESTRICTIONS ON LOBBYING

3. The authority citation for part 1158 continues to read as follows:


§ 1158.400 [Amended]

4. Amend § 1158.400(a), (b), and (e) by:

a. Removing “$19,639” and adding in its place “$20,134” each place it appears.

b. Removing “$196,387” and adding in its place “$201,340” each place it appears.

Appendix A to Part 1158 [Amended]

5. Amend appendix A to part 1158 by:

a. Removing “$19,639” and adding in its place “$20,134” each place it appears.

b. Removing “$196,387” and adding in its place “$201,340” each place it appears.


Gregory Gendron,
Director of Administrative Services.

Legal Services Corporation

SUMMARY: The Legal Services Corporation (LSC) is adopting a final rule amending its regulation related to recipient governing bodies. This final rule changes two requirements and gives increased flexibility to recipient governing bodies in how they recruit, appoint, and retain client-eligible members. First, LSC is revising the definition of the term eligible client to remove the requirement that a client-eligible board member be financially eligible at the time of reappointment to a governing body. Second, LSC is eliminating the requirement that client-eligible members be appointed by outside groups. The final rule gives each recipient governing body the discretion to continue applying these provisions if it wishes but eliminates the requirement to do so.

DATES: This final rule is effective on February 4, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stefanie K. Davis, Assistant General Counsel, Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20007; (202) 295–1563 (phone), (202) 333–6519 (fax), or sdavis@lsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In December 1977, Congress amended Section 1007(c) of the LSC Act. Public Law 95–222, 91 Stat. 1619. Through the amendment, Congress directed LSC to fund only those organizations whose governing bodies consisted of “one-third . . . persons who are, when selected, eligible clients who may also be representatives of associations or organizations of eligible clients.” 91 Stat. at 1622. LSC published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to implement the new requirement in May 1978. In that NPRM, LSC proposed to define “eligible client” as an “individual eligible to receive legal assistance under the LSC Act.” 43 FR 21902, May 22, 1978. The proposed definition narrowed the LSC Act’s definition of the term “[e]ligible client,” which the Act defines as “any person financially unable to afford legal assistance.” Sec. 1002(3), Public Law 88–452, title X, 42 U.S.C. 2996a(3). LSC also proposed to adopt a requirement that eligible client members “be selected from, or designated by, a variety of appropriate groups including, but not