

expedited review will not be the basis for the assessment of countervailing duties. Upon issuing the final results, Commerce intends to instruct Customs and Border Protection to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties for the companies subject to this expedited review, at the rates shown above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this expedited review. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(k)(3)(iv), however, if a company has a final net countervailable subsidy rate of zero or *de minimis*, it will be excluded from the *Order*.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce will disclose to the parties in this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.¹⁴ Interested parties may submit written arguments (case briefs) on the preliminary results no later than 30 days from the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice, and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.¹⁵ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) Statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request

⁹ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Mobilier Rustique (Beauce) Inc.: J.F.S.R. Inc., Gestion C.A. Rancourt Inc., Gestion J.F. Rancourt Inc., Gestion Suzie Rancourt Inc., Gestion P.H.Q. Inc., 9331-3419 Quebec Inc., 9331-3468 Quebec Inc., and SPQ Inc.

¹⁰ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with North American Forest Products Ltd.: Parent-Violette Gestion Ltée and Le Groupe Parent Ltée.

¹¹ Commerce preliminarily finds Bois Ouvre de Beauceville (1992), Inc. to be cross-owned with Produits Matra, Inc. and Sechoirs de Beauce Inc. Produits Matra Inc. and Sechoirs de Beauce Inc. submitted separate requests for the expedited review; however, based on record evidence, we found them to be cross-owned, and therefore are calculating a single countervailing duty rate for both.

¹² Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Roland Boulanger & Cie Ltée: Industries Daveluyville, Inc. and Les Manufacturiers Warwick Ltée.

¹³ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Scierie Alexandre Lemay & Fils Inc.: Bois Lemay Inc. and Industrie Lemay Inc.

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii); 351.309(d)(1); and 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁶ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If Commerce receives a request for a hearing, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the main Department of Commerce building at a time and location to be determined.¹⁷ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Briefs and hearing requests are to be filed electronically using ACCESS and must be received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 pm Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(h), Commerce intends to issue the final results of this expedited review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, within 90 days after the date on which these preliminary results are issued.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(k).

Dated: December 21, 2018.

P. Lee Smith,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Subsidies Valuation
- V. Analysis of Programs
- VI. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2019-00745 Filed 1-31-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-557-809]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Malaysia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Superinox Max Fittings Industries Sdn. Bhd. (Superinox) made sales of subject

merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), February 1, 2017, through January 31, 2018.

DATES: Applicable February 1, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline R. Heeren or Preston Cox, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9179 or (202) 482-5041, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 24, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (pipe fittings) from Malaysia.¹ The administrative review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Superinox. We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. As such, these final results are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the product covered is butt-weld fittings. Butt-weld fittings are under 14 inches in outside diameter (based on nominal pipe size), whether finished or unfinished. The product encompasses all grades of stainless steel and “commodity” and “specialty” fittings. Specifically excluded from the definition are threaded, grooved, and bolted fittings, and fittings made from any material other than stainless steel.

The butt-weld fittings subject to the order are generally designated under specification ASTM A403/A403M, the standard specification for Wrought Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping Fittings, or its foreign equivalents (*e.g.*, DIN or JIS specifications). This specification covers two general classes of fittings, WP and CR, of wrought austenitic stainless steel fittings of

¹ See *Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Malaysia: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 83 FR 53604 (October 24, 2018) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the 2017–2018 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Malaysia” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.310.

seamless and welded construction covered by the latest revision of ANSI B16.9, ANSI B16.11, and ANSI B16.28. Butt-weld fittings manufactured to specification ASTM A774, or its foreign equivalents, are also covered by the order.

The order does not apply to cast fittings. Cast austenitic stainless steel pipe fittings are covered by specifications A351/A351M, A743/743M, and A744/A744M.

The butt-weld fittings subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheading 7307.23.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

We determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 60.10 percent exists for Superinox for the period of February 1, 2017, through January 31, 2018.

Assessment Rates

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1) and the *Final Modification*,² Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate all appropriate entries for Superinox without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Superinox for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.³ We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirement

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of the final results of administrative review for all shipments of pipe fittings from Malaysia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by

section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Superinox will be 60.10 percent, the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 7.51 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair value investigation.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 21, 2018.

P. Lee Smith,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

[FR Doc. 2019-00748 Filed 1-31-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 13, 2018, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) sustained the second remand redetermination pertaining to the 2013–2014 antidumping duty (AD) administrative review of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells) from the People's Republic of China (China). The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with Commerce's final results in the AD administrative review of solar cells from China and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to AD margins assigned, as detailed below.

DATES: Applicable December 23, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance—International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 13, 2016, Commerce published its Final Results of the 2013–2014 AD administrative review of solar cells from China.¹ On October 18, 2017, the Court remanded the Final Results to Commerce to further explain or reconsider its determination to value

² See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification*).

³ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments*; 2013–2014, 81 FR 39905 (June 20, 2016) and accompanying Issues & Decision Memorandum (IDM) (collectively Final Results).