

location of the two depository organizations. \* \* \*

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### PART 348—MANAGEMENT OFFICIAL INTERLOCKS

■ 7. The authority citation for part 348 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 3207, 12 U.S.C. 1823(k).

■ 8. Section 348.3 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (c) to read as follows:

#### § 348.3 Prohibitions.

(c) *Major assets.* A management official of a depository organization with total assets exceeding \$10 billion (or any affiliate of such an organization) may not serve at the same time as a management official of an unaffiliated depository organization with total assets exceeding \$10 billion (or any affiliate of such an organization), regardless of the location of the two depository organizations. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: December 18, 2018.

**William A. Rowe,**  
Chief Risk Officer.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 14, 2018.

**Ann E. Misback,**  
Secretary of the Board.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 18th day of December 2018.

By order of the Board of Directors.  
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**Robert E. Feldman,**  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-28038 Filed 1-30-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-P; 6210-01-P; 6714-01-P

## NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

### 29 CFR Parts 1203 and 1206

[Docket No. C-7198]

RIN 3140-AA01

#### Decertification of Representatives

**AGENCY:** National Mediation Board.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule with requests for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Mediation Board (NMB or Board) is proposing to amend its regulations to provide a straightforward procedure for the decertification of representatives. The Board believes this change is necessary to fulfill the statutory mission of the Railway Labor Act, protecting employees' right to select their

representative. This change will ensure that each employee has a say in their representative and eliminate unnecessary hurdles for employees who no longer wish to be represented.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before April 1, 2019. A public hearing will be held at 10 a.m. in Washington, DC at a date and location to be announced later.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. C-7198, by any of the following methods:

—*Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

—*Agency Website:* <http://www.nmb.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

—*Email:* [legal@nmb.gov](mailto:legal@nmb.gov). Include Docket No. C-7198 in the subject line of the message.

—*Fax:* (202) 692-5085.

—*Mail and Hand Delivery:* National Mediation Board, 1301 K Street NW, Ste. 250E, Washington, DC 20005.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.nmb.gov>, including any personal information provided.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.nmb.gov>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Johnson, General Counsel, National Mediation Board, (202) 692-5040, [legal@nmb.gov](mailto:legal@nmb.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Railway Labor Act (RLA), 45 U.S.C. 151 *et seq.* establishes the NMB whose functions, among others, are to administer certain provisions of the RLA with respect to investigating disputes as to the representative of a craft or class. In accordance with its authority under 45 U.S.C. 152, Ninth, the Board has considered changes to its rules to better facilitate the statutory mission to investigate representation disputes “among a carrier’s employees as to who are the representatives of such employees.”

Currently, while employees have the ability to decertify a representative under the RLA, the process to decertify is unnecessarily complex and convoluted. By failing to have in place a straight-forward process for decertification of a representative, the Board is maintaining an unjustifiable hurdle for employees who no longer wish to be represented and failing to fulfill the statutory purpose of “freedom of association among employees.” 45 U.S.C. 151a(2).

Unlike the National Labor Relations Act, the RLA has no statutory provision for decertification of a bargaining representative. The Supreme Court, however, has held that, under Section 2, Fourth, 45 U.S.C. 152, Fourth, employees of the craft or class “have the right to determine who shall be the representative of the group or, indeed, whether they shall have any representation at all.” *Bhd. of Railway and Steamship Clerks v. Assoc. for the Benefit of Non-Contract Employees*, 380 US 650, 670 (1965)(ABNE). In *ABNE*, the Court further noted that the legislative history of the RLA supports the view that employees have the option of rejecting collective representation. *Id.* at 669. *citing* Hearings on H.R. 7650, House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 73d Cong., 2d Sess., 34-35. In *International Brotherhood of Teamsters v. Bhd. of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks*, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (D.C. Circuit), stated that “it is inconceivable that the right to reject collective representation vanishes entirely if the employees of a unit once choose collective representation. On its face that is a most unlikely rule, especially taking into account the inevitability of substantial turnover of personnel within the unit.” 402 F.2d 196, 202 (1968), *See also Russell v. National Mediation Board*, 714 F.2d 1332 (1983).

Under its current procedures, the NMB allows indirect rather than direct decertification. The Board does not allow an employee or a group of employees of a craft or class to apply for an election to vote for their current representative or for no union. Employees who wish to become unrepresented must follow a more convoluted path to an election because of the Board’s requirement of the “straw man.” This straw man requirement means that if a craft or class of employees want to decertify, they must find a person willing to put their name up, *i.e.* “John Smith,” and then explain to at least fifty percent of the workforce that John Smith does not want to represent them, but if they want to decertify they have to sign the card authorizing him to represent them. Thus, in order to become unrepresented, employees are required to first sign an authorization card to have a strawman step in to represent them. In the resulting election, the ballot options will include the names of the current representative; John Smith, the strawman applicant; “no union;” and an option to write in the name of another

representative. To decertify, employees have to vote for no representation.

It is NMB's statutory mandate to protect employees' freedom to choose a representative. There is, however, no statutory basis for the additional requirement of a straw man where employees seek to become unrepresented. Both courts and the Board have recognized that inherent in the right to representation is the right to be unrepresented. Accordingly, the Board proposes changing its rules to simplify the decertification process and put decertification on an equal footing with certification. Employees may submit authorization cards to decertify their current representative. The wording on the card must be unambiguous and clearly state the intent to no longer be represented by the current union. The showing of interest requirement will be the same showing of interest required for a certification election—at least 50 percent of the craft or class.

The necessity of a straw man will be eliminated, and the ballot will no longer include a strawman representation choice. Once it is determined that the showing of interest is valid and sufficient, the Board will authorize the election with the incumbent and the no representation option, along with a write-in option. The Board's existing run-off rules will apply.

Successful decertification, like certification, is a challenging and significant undertaking by employees with a substantial impact on the workplace for both employees and their employer. In the Board's view, the changes in the employee-employer relationship that occur when employees become represented, change representative or become unrepresented require similar treatment. For this reason, the Board proposes extending the two year time limit on applications in Sec. 1206.4(a) to decertifications as well as certifications. The other time limits set forth in 1206.4 will remain unchanged.

Member Puchala dissents from the Board majority's action in approving the proposed rule.

#### Executive Order 12866

This rule is not a significant rule for purposes of Executive Order 12866 and has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the NMB certifies that these regulatory changes will not have a significant impact on small business entities. This rule will not have any

significant impact on the quality of the human environment under the National Environmental Policy Act.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

The NMB has determined that the Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because this interim regulation does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget.

#### List of Subjects

##### 29 CFR Part 1203

Air carriers, Labor management relations, Labor unions, Railroads.

##### 29 CFR Part 1206

Air carriers, Labor management relations, Labor union, Railroads.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the National Mediation Board proposes to amend 29 CFR Chapter X as set forth below:

#### PART 1203—APPLICATIONS FOR SERVICE

- 1. The authority citation for part 1203 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 44 Stat. 577, as amended; 45 U.S.C. 151–163.

- 2. Revise § 1203.2 to read as follows:

##### § 1203.2 Investigation of representation disputes.

Applications for the services of the National Mediation Board under section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act to investigate representation disputes among carriers' employees may be made on printed forms NMB-3, copies of which may be secured from the Board's Representation and Legal Department or on the internet at [www.nmb.gov](http://www.nmb.gov). Such applications and all correspondence connected therewith should be filed in duplicate and the applications should be accompanied by signed authorization cards from the employees composing the craft or class involved in the dispute. The applications should show specifically the name or description of the craft or class of employees involved, the name of the invoking organization or individual seeking decertification, the name of the organization currently representing the employees, if any, and the estimated number of employees in each craft or class involved. The applications should be signed by the chief executive of the invoking organization, some other authorized officer of the organization, or an individual seeking decertification. These disputes are given docket numbers in the series "R".

#### PART 1206—HANDLING REPRESENTATION DISPUTES UNDER THE RAILWAY LABOR ACT

- 1. The authority citation for part 1206 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 44 Stat. 577, as amended; 45 U.S.C. 151–163.

- 2. Amend § 1206.1 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows

##### § 1206.1 Run-off elections.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) In the event a run-off election is authorized by the Board, the two options which received the highest number of votes cast in the first election shall be placed on the run-off ballot. No blank line on which voters may write in the name of any organization, individual, or no representation will be provided on the run-off ballot.

\* \* \* \* \*

- 3. Amend § 1206.2 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

##### § 1206.2 Percentage of valid authorizations required to determine existence of a representation dispute.

(a) Upon receipt of an application requesting that an organization or individual be certified as the representative of any craft or class of employees, or to decertify the current representative and have no representative, a showing of proved authorizations (checked and verified as to date, signature, and employment status) from at least fifty (50) percent of the craft or class must be made before the National Mediation Board will authorize an election or otherwise determine the representation desires of the employees under the provisions of section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act.

\* \* \* \* \*

- 4. Amend § 1206.4 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

##### § 1206.4 Time Limits on Applications

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) For a period of two (2) years from the date of a certification or decertification covering the same craft or class of employees on the same carrier, and

\* \* \* \* \*

- 5. Redesignate §§ 1206.5 through 1206.7 as §§ 1206.6 through 1206.8 and add new § 1206.5 to read as follows:

##### § 1206.5 Decertification of Representatives.

Employees who no longer wish to be represented may seek to decertify the current representative of a craft or class in a direct election. The employees must follow the procedure outlines in § 1203.2.

Dated: January 28, 2019.

**Mary L. Johnson,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2019-00406 Filed 1-30-19; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 7550-01-P

## FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE

### 29 CFR Part 1404

RIN 3076-AA14

#### Arbitration Services

**AGENCY:** Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service (FMCS) is proposing to revise the current arbitration regulation to clarify existing provisions; eliminate redundancies and provisions that are never used in practice; consolidate sections; update contact information; reduce award submission requirements and reference an apprenticeship alternative for joining the Roster after completion of specified training; implement a modest increase in user fees that have remained unchanged for more than 8 years, and remove section 1404.20.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted to the office listed in the address section below on or before January 19, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments identified by RIN 3076-AA14, by mail to Arthur Pearlstein, Director, Office of Arbitration Services, FMCS, 250 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20427. Comments may be submitted by fax to (202) 606-8103 or electronically to [apearlstein@fmcs.gov](mailto:apearlstein@fmcs.gov). Comments may also be sent by electronic mail message over the internet via the Federal eRulemaking Portal. See Federal eRulemaking Portal website (<http://www.regulations.gov>) for instructions on providing comments via the Federal Rulemaking Portal.

All comments will be available for inspection at 250 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20427, Room 7113 (Reading Room) from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Arthur Pearlstein, Director, Office of Arbitration Services, FMCS, 250 E Street SW, Washington, Dc 20427. Telephone: (202) 606-8103.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The enabling legislation for FMCS provides that “the settlement of issues between employers and employees through collective bargaining may be advanced by making available full and adequate

governmental facilities for conciliation, mediation, and voluntary arbitration . . .” 29 U.S.C. 171(b). Pursuant to the statute and 29 CFR part 1404, FMCS has long maintained a roster of qualified, private labor arbitrators to hear disputes arising under collective bargaining agreements and provide fact finding and interest arbitration. The existing regulation establishes the policy and administrative responsibility for the FMCS Roster, criteria and procedures for listing and removal, procedures for using arbitration services, an option for expedited arbitration and, in the appendix, a schedule of user fees.

FMCS is proposing to revise the current arbitration regulation to (1) clarify and shorten existing provisions and naming conventions and make other helpful style improvements; (2) eliminate redundancies and provisions that are never used in practice; (3) consolidate sections for ease of understanding and placement under appropriate headings; (4) update contact information and provisions regarding the use of technology; (5) reduce award submission requirements and reference an apprenticeship alternative for joining the Roster after completion of specified training; and (6) implement a modest increase in user fees that have remained unchanged for more than 8 years. The increased fees more accurately reflect FMCS’s costs of maintaining the Roster and the technology to support it, as well as responding to requests for arbitrator panels and biographical data. The arbitrator listing fee increase would only apply to arbitrators on the Roster for 5 or more years, reflecting the greater likelihood for more experienced arbitrators to be selected by parties.

#### Section-by-Section Analysis

1. In § 1404.1, revise to make minor style improvements

2. In § 1404.3, revise (b) to eliminate “Services” from the Office title and use the abbreviated term “Roster;” revise (c) to make minor style improvements and eliminate (c)(1)(v) as unnecessary so that that it reads as follows:

3. In § 1404.4, revise (b) for minor style improvements, consolidate relevant portions of (d) and (e) to 1404.9 to place under the relevant heading and reduce verbiage, and revise last paragraph to renumber as (d) and eliminate redundant language.

4. In § 1404.5, revise preamble to update contact information and reflect that Director may designate someone to review Board recommendations; revise (b) to clarify requirements; revise (d) to make minor style improvements; specify in (3) that violations by arbitrators are not limited to late awards; clarify in (5)

that information about arbitrator misconduct may come to the attention of FMCS in different ways, and remove existing (6) as extraneous and never used; renumber (7) as (6); and revise (f) for minor style improvements.

5. In § 1404.6, revise for minor style improvements, eliminate excess verbiage, and change language in (b) from “are encouraged to” to “must” to clarify requirement for arbitrators whose schedules do not permit timely hearing.

6. In § 1404.9, revise (a) to update contact information, and the rest of the section to incorporate consolidations from 1404.4 and 1404.11, rearrange for clarity, and eliminate redundant language.

7. In § 1404.11, moved existing (a) to 1404.9, and otherwise eliminated redundant or extraneous language throughout.

8. In § 1404.12, revised with minor style changes, eliminated existing (b) due to redundant language, clarified ambiguous and confusing language in existing (c) (new (b)), consolidated existing (d) into new (b), and eliminated (f) for unnecessary language.

9. In § 1404.13, revise with minor style improvement and specify that hearings must conform to Code of Professional Responsibility requirements.

10. In § 1404.14, revise to make minor style improvements, clarify language regarding delay and scheduling, clarify time for submitting arbitrator report and fee statement, and change language regarding consent for award publication to conform with requirements of the Code of Professional Responsibility and industry practice.

11. In § 1404.15, revise (a) to allow arbitrators to raise fees with notice if a case continues for over two years after appointment, (b) to allow arbitrators to specify multiple business addresses, and (d) to clarify information on fee disputes.

12. In § 1404.16, revise (a) to update for technology changes and to require arbitrators to provide contact information in the event they become incapacitated or deceased, and (b) to eliminate excess verbiage.

13. In § 1404.17, revise to eliminate excess verbiage.

14. In § 1404.18, revise to make minor style improvements and reduce words.

15. Remove § 1404.20 as the language is unnecessary and has never been applied.

16. Revise the Appendix to change fee schedules.

This rule is not a significant regulatory action for the purposes of Executive Order 12866 and has not been reviewed by the Office of Management