

adjustments are published by January 1st and are not hindered by the rulemaking process. AMS will annually publish a Notice in the **Federal Register** on the fee adjustment and publish all fees on the public website.

The Small Business Administration (SBA) defines small businesses by their North American Industry Classification System Codes (NAICS). This rulemaking affects customers of AMS's official inspection and weighing services in the domestic and export grain markets (NAICS code 115114).

Under the USGSA, all grain exported from the United States must be officially inspected and weighed. AMS provides mandatory inspection and weighing services at 43 export facilities in the United States and 7 facilities for U.S. grain transshipped through Canadian ports. Five delegated State agencies provide mandatory inspection and weighing services at 13 facilities. All of these facilities are owned by multinational corporations, large cooperatives, or public entities that do not meet the requirements for small entities established by the SBA. Further, the provisions of this rulemaking apply equally to all entities. The USGSA requires the registration of all persons engaged in the business of buying grain for sale in foreign commerce. In addition, those persons who handle, weigh, or transport grain for sale in foreign commerce must also register. The regulations found at 7 CFR 800.30 define a foreign commerce grain business as persons who regularly engage in buying for sale, handling, weighing, or transporting grain totaling 15,000 metric tons or more during the preceding or current calendar year. Currently, there are 97 businesses registered to export grain, most of which are not small businesses.

Most users of the official inspection and weighing services do not meet the SBA requirements for small entities. Further, AMS is required by statute to make services available to all applicants and to recover the costs of providing such services as nearly as practicable, while maintaining a 3 to 6 month operating reserve. There are no additional reporting, record keeping, or other compliance requirements imposed upon small entities as a result of this rulemaking. AMS has not identified any other federal rules which may duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rulemaking. Because this rulemaking does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis is not provided.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This final rule imposes no new reporting or recordkeeping requirements necessitating clearance by OMB.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 800

Administrative practice and procedure, Exports, Grains, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, FGIS amends 7 CFR part 800 as follows:

PART 800—GENERAL REGULATIONS

- 1. The authority citation for part 800 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 71–87k.

- 2. Section 800.71(a)(1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 800.71 Fees assessed by the Service.

(a) * * *

(1) *Schedule A—Fees for official inspection and weighing services performed in the United States and Canada.* For each calendar year, FGIS will calculate *Schedule A* fees as defined in paragraph (b) of this section. FGIS will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** and post *Schedule A* fees on the Agency's public website.

* * * * *

Dated: December 18, 2018.

Greg Ibach,

Under Secretary, Marketing and Regulatory Programs.

[FR Doc. 2018–27787 Filed 12–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 72

[NRC–2018–0265]

RIN 3150–AK20

List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System, Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, Amendment No. 4

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending its spent fuel storage regulations by revising the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® Horizontal Modular Storage System (NUHOMS® System) listing within the “List of approved spent fuel storage casks” to include Amendment No. 4 to

Certificate of Compliance No. 1029. Amendment No. 4 revises the certificate of compliance's technical specifications to: clarify the applicability of unloading procedures and training modules relative to spent fuel pool availability; credit the use of the installed temperature monitoring system specified in lieu of performing daily visual vent inspections; establish dose rates on the front inlet bird screen and the door of the concrete storage module for the Advanced Horizontal Storage Module; modify the criteria for performing Advanced Horizontal Storage Module air vent visual inspections; identify the blocked vent time limitations for each of the 24PT1 and 24PT4 dry shielded canisters; and provide a new temperature rise value for the Advanced Horizontal Storage Module with a loaded 24PT4 dry shielded canister.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective March 12, 2019, unless significant adverse comments are received by January 28, 2019. If this direct final rule is withdrawn as a result of such comments, timely notice of the withdrawal will be published in the **Federal Register**. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Comments received on this direct final rule will also be considered to be comments on a companion proposed rule published in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2018–0265. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Email comments to:* Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov. If you do not receive an automatic email reply confirming receipt, then contact us at 301–415–1677.

- *Fax comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 301–415–1101.

- *Mail comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

- *Hand deliver comments to:* 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m.

(Eastern Time) Federal workdays; telephone: 301–415–1677.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Allen, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; telephone: 301–415–6877; email: William.Allen@nrc.gov or Edward M. Lohr, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; telephone: 301–415–0253; email: Edward.Lohr@nrc.gov. Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments
- II. Rulemaking Procedure
- III. Background
- IV. Discussion of Changes
- V. Voluntary Consensus Standards
- VI. Agreement State Compatibility
- VII. Plain Writing
- VIII. Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Environmental Impact
- IX. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement
- X. Regulatory Flexibility Certification
- XI. Regulatory Analysis
- XII. Backfitting and Issue Finality
- XIII. Congressional Review Act
- XIV. Availability of Documents

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2018–0265 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking website:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2018–0265.
- **NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.
- **NRC’s PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at

the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2018–0265 in your comment submission. The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Rulemaking Procedure

This rule is limited to the changes contained in Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 and does not include other aspects of the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System design. The NRC is using the “direct final rule procedure” to issue this amendment because it represents a limited and routine change to an existing certificate of compliance that is expected to be noncontroversial. Adequate protection of public health and safety continues to be ensured. The amendment to the rule will become effective on March 12, 2019. However, if the NRC receives significant adverse comments on this direct final rule by January 28, 2019, then the NRC will publish a document that withdraws this action and will subsequently address the comments received in a final rule as a response to the companion proposed rule published in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register**. Absent significant modifications to the proposed revisions requiring republication, the NRC will not initiate a second comment period on this action.

A significant adverse comment is a comment where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule’s underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or

unacceptable without a change. A comment is adverse and significant if:

(1) The comment opposes the rule and provides a reason sufficient to require a substantive response in a notice-and-comment process. For example, a substantive response is required when:

(a) The comment causes the NRC to reevaluate (or reconsider) its position or conduct additional analysis;

(b) The comment raises an issue serious enough to warrant a substantive response to clarify or complete the record; or

(c) The comment raises a relevant issue that was not previously addressed or considered by the NRC.

(2) The comment proposes a change or an addition to the rule, and it is apparent that the rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without incorporation of the change or addition.

(3) The comment causes the NRC to make a change (other than editorial) to the rule, certificate of compliance, or technical specifications.

For detailed instructions on filing comments, please see the companion proposed rule published in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register**.

III. Background

Section 218(a) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended, requires that “the Secretary [of the Department of Energy] shall establish a demonstration program, in cooperation with the private sector, for the dry storage of spent nuclear fuel at civilian nuclear power reactor sites, with the objective of establishing one or more technologies that the [Nuclear Regulatory] Commission may, by rule, approve for use at the sites of civilian nuclear power reactors without, to the maximum extent practicable, the need for additional site-specific approvals by the Commission.” Section 133 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act states, in part, that “[the Commission] shall, by rule, establish procedures for the licensing of any technology approved by the Commission under Section 219(a) [sic: 218(a)] for use at the site of any civilian nuclear power reactor.”

To implement this mandate, the Commission approved dry storage of spent nuclear fuel in NRC-approved casks under a general license by publishing a final rule which added a new subpart K in part 72 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) entitled “General License for Storage of Spent Fuel at Power Reactor Sites” (55 FR 29181; July 18, 1990). This rule also established a new subpart L in 10 CFR part 72 entitled “Approval of Spent Fuel Storage Casks,” which

contains procedures and criteria for obtaining NRC approval of spent fuel storage cask designs. The NRC subsequently issued a final rule on January 6, 2003 (68 FR 463), that approved the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System design and added it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs provided in § 72.214 as Certificate of Compliance No. 1029.

IV. Discussion of Changes

On November 15, 2017, TN Americas LLC submitted a request to the NRC to amend Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 and supplemented its request on February 22, 2018, May 16, 2018, June 26, 2018, and July 18, 2018. Amendment No. 4 revises the technical specifications and final safety analysis report as follows:

- For the 24PT1, 24PT4 and 32PTH dry shielded canisters: (1) Clarify that unloading procedures are only applicable during the time period when the spent fuel pool is available (*i.e.*, prior to decommissioning of the spent fuel pool); and (2) clarify that the option of removing fuel from the dry shielded canisters into the spent fuel pool is performed only if the pool is available.
- Clarify in Technical Specification 5.2.2, “Training Program,” that training modules associated with unloading operations only need to address reflooding if applicable.
- For the 24PT4 dry shielded canister stored in the Advanced Horizontal Storage Module, increase the temperature limit associated with a blocked vent accident condition based on dual thermocouple locations.
- For the 24PT1 dry shielded canister, credit the use of the installed temperature monitoring system specified in Technical Specification 5.2.5(b) in lieu of performing daily visual vent inspections.
- For the 24PT1 and 24PT4 dry shielded canisters, establish dose rate limits at the front inlet bird screen and at the door of the concrete storage module in Technical Specification 5.4.
- For the 24PT1 dry shielded canister, modify the criteria for performing Advanced Horizontal Storage Module air vent visual inspections.
- For 24PT1 and 24PT4 dry shielded canisters, identify blocked vent time limitations for each canister, instead of using one blocked vent time limitation for both dry shielded canisters.
- For Technical Specification 5.4, provide more specificity regarding the locations at which dose rate measurements are performed, and make Technical Specification 5.4 applicable

to all dry shielded canisters and storage modules authorized for use under Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 by adding “Advanced Horizontal Storage Module [AHSM] or,” removing “Advanced Horizontal Storage Module High Burnup and High Seismic [AHSM-HS],” or removing “32PTH2,” as appropriate.

As documented in the preliminary safety evaluation report, the NRC performed a detailed safety evaluation of the proposed certificate of compliance amendment request. There are no significant changes to cask design requirements in the proposed certificate of compliance amendment. Considering the specific design requirements for each accident condition, the design of the cask would prevent loss of containment, shielding, and criticality control in the event of an accident. This amendment does not reflect a significant change in design or fabrication of the cask. In addition, any resulting occupational exposure or offsite dose rates from the implementation of Amendment No. 4 would remain well within the 10 CFR part 20 limits. There will be no significant change in the types or amounts of any effluent released, no significant increase in the individual or cumulative radiation exposure, and no significant increase in the potential for, or consequences from, radiological accidents.

This direct final rule revises the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System listing in § 72.214 by adding Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029. The amendment consists of the changes previously described, as set forth in the revised certificate of compliance and technical specifications. The revised technical specifications are identified and evaluated in the preliminary safety evaluation report.

The amended TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® cask design, when used under the conditions specified in the certificate of compliance, technical specifications, and the NRC’s regulations, will meet the requirements of 10 CFR part 72; therefore, adequate protection of public health and safety will continue to be ensured. When this direct final rule becomes effective, persons who hold a general license under § 72.210 may, consistent with the license conditions under § 72.212, load spent nuclear fuel into those TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System casks that meet the criteria of Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029.

V. Voluntary Consensus Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–113) requires that Federal agencies use technical standards that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies unless the use of such a standard is inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. In this direct final rule, the NRC will revise the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System design listed in § 72.214. This action does not constitute the establishment of a standard that contains generally applicable requirements.

VI. Agreement State Compatibility

Under the “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” approved by the Commission on June 30, 1997, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 1997 (62 FR 46517), this rule is classified as Compatibility Category “NRC.” Compatibility is not required for Category “NRC” regulations. The NRC program elements in this category are those that relate directly to areas of regulation reserved to the NRC by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the provisions of 10 CFR chapter I. Although an Agreement State may not adopt program elements reserved to the NRC, and the Category “NRC” does not confer regulatory authority on the State, the State may wish to inform its licensees of certain requirements by means consistent with the particular State’s administrative procedure laws.

VII. Plain Writing

The Plain Writing Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–274) requires Federal agencies to write documents in a clear, concise, and well-organized manner. The NRC has written this document to be consistent with the Plain Writing Act as well as the Presidential Memorandum, “Plain Language in Government Writing,” published June 10, 1998 (63 FR 31883).

VIII. Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Environmental Impact

A. The Action

The action is to amend § 72.214 to revise the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System listing within the “List of approved spent fuel storage casks” to include Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029. Under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the NRC’s regulations in subpart A of 10 CFR part

51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions," the NRC has determined that this direct final rule, if adopted, would not be a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and, therefore, an environmental impact statement is not required. The NRC has made a finding of no significant impact on the basis of this environmental assessment.

B. The Need for the Action

This direct final rule amends the certificate of compliance for the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System design within the list of approved spent fuel storage casks that power reactor licensees can use to store spent fuel at reactor sites under a general license. Specifically, Amendment No. 4 updates the certificate of compliance as described in Section IV, "Discussion of Changes," of this document, for the use of the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System.

C. Environmental Impacts of the Action

On July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 to provide for the storage of spent fuel under a general license in cask designs approved by the NRC. The potential environmental impact of using NRC-approved storage casks was initially analyzed in the environmental assessment for the 1990 final rule. The environmental assessment for this Amendment No. 4 tiers off of the environmental assessment for the July 18, 1990, final rule. Tiering on past environmental assessments is a standard process under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

The TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System is designed to mitigate the effects of design basis accidents that could occur during storage. Design basis accidents account for human-induced events and the most severe natural phenomena reported for the site and surrounding area. Postulated accidents analyzed for an independent spent fuel storage installation, the type of facility at which a holder of a power reactor operating license would store spent fuel in casks in accordance with 10 CFR part 72, include tornado winds and tornado-generated missiles, a design basis earthquake, a design basis flood, an accidental cask drop, lightning effects, fire, explosions, and other events.

Considering the specific design requirements for each accident condition, the design of the cask would

prevent loss of confinement, shielding, and criticality control in the event of an accident. If there is no loss of confinement, shielding, or criticality control, the environmental impacts resulting from an accident would be insignificant. This amendment does not reflect a significant change in design or fabrication of the cask. Because there are no significant design or process changes, any resulting occupational exposure or offsite dose rates from the implementation of Amendment No. 4 would remain well within the 10 CFR part 20 limits. Therefore, the proposed certificate of compliance changes will not result in any radiological or non-radiological environmental impacts that significantly differ from the environmental impacts evaluated in the environmental assessment supporting the July 18, 1990, final rule. There will be no significant change in the types or amounts of any effluent released, no significant increase in individual or cumulative radiation exposures, and no significant increase in the potential for or consequences of radiological accidents. The NRC documented its safety findings in a preliminary safety evaluation report.

D. Alternative to the Action

The alternative to this action is to deny approval of Amendment No. 4 and not issue the direct final rule. Consequently, any 10 CFR part 72 general licensee that seeks to load spent nuclear fuel into the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System in accordance with the changes described in proposed Amendment No. 4 would have to request an exemption from the requirements of §§ 72.212 and 72.214. Under this alternative, interested licensees would have to prepare, and the NRC would have to review, a separate exemption request, thereby increasing the administrative burden upon the NRC and the costs to each licensee. Therefore, the environmental impacts of the alternative action would be the same as, or more likely greater than, the preferred action.

E. Alternative Use of Resources

Approval of Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 would result in no irreversible commitment of resources.

F. Agencies and Persons Contacted

No agencies or persons outside the NRC were contacted in connection with the preparation of this environmental assessment.

G. Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the action have been reviewed under the requirements in the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the NRC's regulations in subpart A of 10 CFR part 51. Based on the foregoing environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that this direct final rule entitled "List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System, Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, Amendment No. 4" will not have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, the NRC has determined that an environmental impact statement is not necessary for this direct final rule.

IX. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This direct final rule does not contain any new or amended collections of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Existing collections of information were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0132.

Public Protection Notification

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

X. Regulatory Flexibility Certification

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), the NRC certifies that this direct final rule will not, if issued, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This direct final rule affects only nuclear power plant licensees and TN Americas LLC. These entities do not fall within the scope of the definition of small entities set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act or the size standards established by the NRC (§ 2.810).

XI. Regulatory Analysis

On July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 to provide for the storage of spent nuclear fuel under a general license in cask designs approved by the NRC. Any nuclear power reactor licensee can use NRC-approved cask designs to store spent nuclear fuel if it notifies the NRC in advance, the spent fuel is stored under the conditions specified in the cask's certificate of compliance, and the conditions of the

general license are met. A list of NRC-approved cask designs is contained in § 72.214. On January 6, 2003 (68 FR 463), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 that approved the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System design by adding it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs in § 72.214 as Certificate of Compliance No. 1029.

On November 15, 2017, and as supplemented on February 22, 2018, May 16, 2018, June 26, 2018, and July 18, 2018, TN Americas LLC submitted an application to amend the Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System as described in Section IV, “Discussion of Changes,” of this document.

The alternative to this action is to withhold approval of Amendment No. 4 and to require any 10 CFR part 72 general licensee seeking to load spent nuclear fuel into the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System under the changes described in Amendment No. 4 to request an exemption from the requirements of §§ 72.212 and 72.214. Under this alternative, each interested 10 CFR part 72 licensee would have to prepare, and the NRC would have to review, a separate exemption request, thereby increasing the administrative burden upon the NRC and the costs to each licensee.

Approval of this direct final rule is consistent with previous NRC actions. Further, as documented in the

preliminary safety evaluation report and environmental assessment, this direct final rule will have no adverse effect on public health and safety or the environment. This direct final rule has no significant identifiable impact or benefit on other Government agencies. Based on this regulatory analysis, the NRC concludes that the requirements of this direct final rule are commensurate with the NRC’s responsibilities for public health and safety and the common defense and security. No other available alternative is believed to be as satisfactory, and therefore, this action is recommended.

XII. Backfitting and Issue Finality

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule (§ 72.62) does not apply to this direct final rule. Therefore, a backfit analysis is not required. This direct final rule revises Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 for the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System, as currently listed in § 72.214. The revision consists of adding Amendment No. 4, which revises the certificate of compliance’s technical specifications as described in Section IV, “Discussion of Changes,” of this document.

Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 for the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System was initiated by TN Americas LLC and was not submitted in response to new NRC requirements, or an NRC request for amendment.

Amendment No. 4 applies only to new casks fabricated and used under Amendment No. 4. These changes do not affect existing users of the TN Americas LLC Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® System, and the current renewed Amendment Nos. 1 through 3 continue to be effective for existing users. While current certificate of compliance users may comply with the new requirements in Amendment No. 4, this would be a voluntary decision on the part of current users. Additionally, the clarifications to the text of the rule are editorial in nature, and as such, do not fall within the definition of backfitting.

For these reasons, Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029 does not constitute backfitting under § 72.62 or § 50.109(a)(1), or otherwise represent an inconsistency with the issue finality provisions applicable to combined licenses in 10 CFR part 52. Accordingly, the NRC has not prepared a backfit analysis for this rulemaking.

XIII. Congressional Review Act

This direct final rule is not a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

XIV. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document	ADAMS Accession No./Web link/ Federal Register Citation
TN Americas LLC Request to Add Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, letter dated November 15, 2017.	ML17326A125 (Package).
Revision to TN Americas LLC Request to Add Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, letter dated February 22, 2018.	ML18065A362.
Revision to TN Americas LLC Request to Add Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, letter dated May 16, 2018.	ML18138A289.
Revision to TN Americas LLC Request to Add Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, letter dated June 26, 2018.	ML18179A174.
Revision to TN Americas LLC Request to Add Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029, letter dated July 18, 2018.	ML18201A202.
TN Americas LLC Amendment No. 4 Certificate of Compliance No. 1029	ML18263A046.
Technical Specifications for TN Americas LLC Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029.	ML18263A045.
Preliminary Safety Evaluation Report for TN Americas LLC Amendment No. 4 to Certificate of Compliance No. 1029.	ML18263A047.

The NRC may post materials related to this document, including public comments, on the Federal Rulemaking website at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket ID NRC–2018–0265. The Federal Rulemaking website allows you to receive alerts when changes or additions occur in a docket folder. To subscribe: (1) Navigate to the docket

folder (NRC–2018–0265); (2) click the “Sign up for Email Alerts” link; and (3) enter your email address and select how frequently you would like to receive emails (daily, weekly, or monthly).

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 72

Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous waste, Indians,

Intergovernmental relations, Nuclear energy, Penalties, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Spent fuel, Whistleblowing.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974,

as amended; the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553; the NRC is adopting the following amendments to 10 CFR part 72:

PART 72—LICENSING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INDEPENDENT STORAGE OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL, HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE, AND REACTOR-RELATED GREATER THAN CLASS C WASTE

■ 1. The authority citation for part 72 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Atomic Energy Act of 1954, secs. 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 65, 69, 81, 161, 182, 183, 184, 186, 187, 189, 223, 234, 274 (42 U.S.C. 2071, 2073, 2077, 2092, 2093, 2095, 2099, 2111, 2201, 2210e, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2273, 2282, 2021); Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, secs. 201, 202, 206, 211 (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846, 5851); National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332); Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, secs. 117(a), 132, 133, 134, 135, 137, 141, 145(g), 148, 218(a) (42 U.S.C. 10137(a), 10152, 10153, 10154, 10155, 10157, 10161, 10165(g), 10168, 10198(a)); 44 U.S.C. 3504 note.

■ 2. In § 72.214, Certificate of Compliance 1029 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.214 List of approved spent fuel storage casks.

* * * * *

Certificate Number: 1029.

Initial Certificate Effective Date: February 5, 2003.

Amendment Number 1 Effective Date: May 16, 2005.

Amendment Number 2 Effective Date: Amendment not issued by the NRC.

Amendment Number 3 Effective Date: February 23, 2015.

Amendment Number 4 Effective Date: March 12, 2019.

SAR Submitted by: Transnuclear, Inc.

SAR Title: Final Safety Analysis Report for the Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® Horizontal Modular Storage System for Irradiated Nuclear Fuel.

Docket Number: 72–1029.

Certificate Expiration Date: February 5, 2023.

Model Number: Standardized Advanced NUHOMS® – 24PT1, – 24PT4, and – 32PTH2.

* * * * *

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of December, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Margaret M. Doane,
Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 2018–27949 Filed 12–26–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

11 CFR Parts 104 and 109

[Notice 2018–17]

Reporting Multistate Independent Expenditures and Electioneering Communications

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is adopting final rules to address reporting of independent expenditures and electioneering communications that relate to presidential primary elections and that are publicly distributed in multiple states but that do not refer to any particular state's primary election. **DATES:** This rule is subject to subject to Congressional review. 52 U.S.C. 30111(d). The effective date is March 31, 2019. However, at the conclusion of the Congressional review, if the effective date has been changed, the Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** to establish the actual effective date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert M. Knop, Assistant General Counsel, or Ms. Joanna S.

Waldstreicher, Attorney, 1050 First St. NE, Washington, DC 20463, (202) 694–1650 or (800) 424–9530. Documents relating to the rulemaking record are available on the Commission's website at <http://sers.fec.gov/fosers>, reference REG 2014–02.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is revising its regulations concerning independent expenditures and electioneering communications as they apply to communications that relate to presidential primary elections and that are publicly distributed in multiple states but that do not refer to any particular state's primary election (a “multistate independent expenditure” or “multistate electioneering communication”). The Act and Commission regulations require persons who make independent expenditures and electioneering communications to report certain information to the Commission within specified periods of time. See 52 U.S.C. 30104(b)–(c), (f), (g); 11 CFR 104.3, 104.4, 104.20, 109.10. The Commission is revising its regulations to clarify when and how multistate independent expenditures and multistate electioneering communications must be reported.

Although the Commission also proposed revising its regulations concerning independent expenditures by authorized committees of candidates, the Commission could not reach

agreement to revise those regulations at this time. See Independent Expenditures by Authorized Committees; Reporting Multistate Independent Expenditures and Electioneering Communications, 83 FR 3996, 3999–4000 (Jan. 29, 2018). The Commission may reconsider revisions to those regulations in a separate rulemaking at a later date.

Transmission of Final Rules to Congress

Before final promulgation of any rules or regulations to carry out the provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act, the Commission transmits the rules or regulations to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President of the Senate for a thirty-legislative-day review period. 52 U.S.C. 30111(d). The effective date of this final rule is March 31, 2019. However, at the conclusion of the Congressional review, if the effective date has been changed, the Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** to establish the actual effective date.

Explanation and Justification

I. Background

The Act and Commission regulations require that political committees report all disbursements. 52 U.S.C. 30104(b)(4); 11 CFR 104.3(b). Political committees must also itemize their disbursements according to specific categories. 52 U.S.C. 30104(b)(4); 11 CFR 104.3(b)(1)–(2). An “independent expenditure” is an expenditure that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified federal candidate and is not coordinated with such candidate (or his or her opponent) or political party. 52 U.S.C. 30101(17); see also 11 CFR 100.16(a). Under existing regulations, a political committee (other than an authorized committee) that makes independent expenditures must itemize those expenditures on its regular periodic reports, stating, among other things, the name of the candidate whom the expenditure supports or opposes and the office sought by that candidate. 52 U.S.C. 30104(b)(4)(H)(iii), (6)(B)(iii); 11 CFR 104.4(a). Any person other than a political committee that makes independent expenditures aggregating in excess of \$250 during a calendar year must disclose the same information in a statement filed with the Commission.¹ 52 U.S.C. 30104(c); 11 CFR 109.10(b).

¹ Further, Commission regulations provide that persons other than political committees “shall file a report or statement . . . in any quarterly reporting