

which involves discussion of business proprietary information, in a separate memorandum.¹²

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to provide: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹³

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce's electronic records system ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 14, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018-27535 Filed 12-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

¹² See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from P. Lee Smith, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, "Memorandum with Respect to Sales Observations reported by Grupo Zucarmex" (Zucarmex Memorandum) dated December 14, 2018.

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-979, C-570-980]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Revocation of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, in Part

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is revoking, in part, the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People's Republic of China (China) (*Orders*) with respect to certain off-grid solar panels based on a lack of interest in the relief provided by the *Orders* with respect to those products.

DATES: Applicable December 20, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eli Lovely, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

On December 7, 2012, Commerce published AD and CVD orders on certain crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from China.¹ On April 17, 2018, Goal Zero, LLC (Goal Zero), an importer of the subject merchandise, requested changed circumstances reviews (CCRs) and revocation, in part, of the *Orders*, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216(b), with respect to certain off-grid solar panels.²

On July 20, 2018, Commerce published the *Initiation Notice* for the requested CCRs in the **Federal**

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 73018 (December 7, 2012) (*AD Order*) and *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 77 FR 73017 (December 7, 2012) (*CVD Order*) (collectively, *Orders*).

² See Goal Zero's Letter, "Goal Zero LLC's Request for a Changed Circumstances Review," (Goal Zero's Request) dated April 17, 2018.

Register.³ On August 20, 2018, Commerce published the preliminary results of these CCRs, in which it found that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the *Orders* pertain lack interest in the relief afforded by the *Orders* with respect to certain off-grid solar panels.⁴

On September 4, 2018, Goal Zero and the petitioner⁵ requested that partial revocation of the *Orders* be applied retroactively starting January 1, 2015 for purposes of the *CVD Order*, and December 1, 2015 for purposes of the *AD Order*.⁶

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Revocation of the Orders, In Part

Because no party submitted comments opposing the preliminary results of these CCRs, and the record contains no other information or evidence that calls into question the preliminary results, Commerce determines, pursuant to sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(g), that there are changed circumstances that warrant revocation of the *Orders*, in part. Specifically, because the producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the *Orders* pertain lack interest in the relief provided by the *Orders* with respect to certain off-grid solar panels as described below, we are revoking the *Orders*, in part, with respect to the following:

(1) Off grid CSPV panels in rigid form with a glass cover, with the following characteristics:

(A) A total power output of 100 watts or less per panel;

(B) a maximum surface area of 8,000 cm² per panel;

(C) do not include a built-in inverter;

³ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders in Part*, 83 FR 34542 (July 20, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁴ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, in Part*, 83 FR 42112, dated August 20, 2018.

⁵ The petitioner is SolarWorld Americas, Inc.

⁶ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Goal Zero LLC's Comments on the Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review*, dated September 4, 2018; see also SolarWorld's submission: "Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Comments on Preliminary Results of the Goal Zero LLC Changed Circumstances Reviews," dated September 4, 2018.

(D) must include a permanently connected wire that terminates in either an 8mm male barrel connector, or a two-port rectangular connector with two pins in square housings of different colors;

(E) must include visible parallel grid collector metallic wire lines every 1–4 millimeters across each solar cell; and

(F) must be in individual retail packaging (for purposes of this provision, retail packaging typically includes graphics, the product name, its description and/or features, and foam for transport); and

(2) Off grid CSPV panels without a glass cover, with the following characteristics:

(A) A total power output of 100 watts or less per panel;

(B) a maximum surface area of 8,000 cm² per panel;

(C) do not include a built-in inverter;

(D) must include visible parallel grid collector metallic wire lines every 1–4 millimeters across each solar cell; and

(E) each panel is

1. permanently integrated into a consumer good;

2. encased in a laminated material without stitching, or

3. has all of the following characteristics: (i) The panel is encased in sewn fabric with visible stitching, (ii) includes a mesh zippered storage pocket, and (iii) includes a permanently attached wire that terminates in a female USB–A connector.⁷

The scope description below includes this exclusion language.

Scope of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells From the People's Republic of China

The merchandise covered by the *Orders* is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates, and panels, consisting of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels and building integrated materials.

The *Orders* cover crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells of thickness equal to or greater than 20 micrometers, having a p/n junction formed by any means, whether or not the cell has undergone other processing, including, but not limited to, cleaning, etching, coating, and/or addition of materials (including, but not limited to, metallization and conductor patterns) to collect and forward the electricity that is generated by the cell.

Merchandise under consideration may be described at the time of importation as parts for final finished products that are assembled after

⁷ See Goal Zero's Letter, "Goal Zero LLC's Comments Regarding the Proposed Scope of the Changed Circumstances Reviews," dated July 9, 2018 at 10–11.

importation, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels, building-integrated modules, building-integrated panels, or other finished goods kits. Such parts that otherwise meet the definition of merchandise under consideration are included in the scope of the *Orders*.

Excluded from the scope of the *Orders* are thin film photovoltaic products produced from amorphous silicon (a-Si), cadmium telluride (CdTe), or copper indium gallium selenide (CIGS).

Also excluded from the scope of the *Orders* are crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, not exceeding 10,000mm² in surface area, that are permanently integrated into a consumer good whose function is other than power generation and that consumes the electricity generated by the integrated crystalline silicon photovoltaic cell. Where more than one cell is permanently integrated into a consumer good, the surface area for purposes of this exclusion shall be the total combined surface area of all cells that are integrated into the consumer good.

Additionally, excluded from the scope of the *Orders* are panels with surface area from 3,450 mm² to 33,782 mm² with one black wire and one red wire (each of type 22 AWG or 24 AWG not more than 206 mm in length when measured from panel extrusion), and not exceeding 2.9 volts, 1.1 amps, and 3.19 watts. For the purposes of this exclusion, no panel shall contain an internal battery or external computer peripheral ports.

Also excluded from the scope of the *Orders* are:

(1) Off grid CSPV panels in rigid form with a glass cover, with the following characteristics:

(A) A total power output of 100 watts or less per panel;

(B) a maximum surface area of 8,000 cm² per panel;

(C) do not include a built-in inverter;

(D) must include a permanently connected wire that terminates in either an 8mm male barrel connector, or a two-port rectangular connector with two pins in square housings of different colors;

(E) must include visible parallel grid collector metallic wire lines every 1–4 millimeters across each solar cell; and

(F) must be in individual retail packaging (for purposes of this provision, retail packaging typically includes graphics, the product name, its description and/or features, and foam for transport); and

(2) Off grid CSPV panels without a glass cover, with the following characteristics:

(A) A total power output of 100 watts or less per panel;

(B) a maximum surface area of 8,000 cm² per panel;

(C) do not include a built-in inverter;

(D) must include visible parallel grid collector metallic wire lines every 1–4 millimeters across each solar cell; and

(E) each panel is

1. permanently integrated into a consumer good;

2. encased in a laminated material without stitching, or

3. has all of the following characteristics:

(i) the panel is encased in sewn fabric with visible stitching, (ii) includes a mesh zippered storage pocket, and (iii) includes a permanently attached wire that terminates in a female USB–A connector.⁸

Modules, laminates, and panels produced in a third-country from cells produced in the PRC are covered by the *Orders*; however, modules, laminates, and panels produced in the PRC from cells produced in a third-country are not covered by the *Orders*.

Merchandise covered by the *Orders* is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 8501.61.0000, 8507.20.80, 8541.40.6020, 8541.40.6030, and 8501.31.8000. These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope of the *Orders* is dispositive.⁹

Application of the Final Results of These Reviews

Goal Zero and the petitioner have requested retroactive application of the final results of these reviews starting January 1, 2015 for purposes of the *CVD Order*, and December 1, 2015 for purposes of the *AD Order*.¹⁰ Section 751(d)(3) of the Act provides that {a} determination under this section to revoke an order . . . shall apply with respect to unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date determined by the administering

⁸ See Goal Zero's Letter, "Goal Zero LLC's Comments Regarding the Proposed Scope of the Changed Circumstances Reviews," dated July 9, 2018 at 10–11.

⁹ See *AD Order*, 77 FR at 73018–73019; *CVD Order*, 77 FR at 73017 (footnote omitted); *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, in Part*, 83 FR 2618 (excluding certain panels with surface area from 3,450 mm² to 33,782 mm²).

¹⁰ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Goal Zero LLC's Comments on the Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review*, dated September 4, 2018 (Goal Zero Comments); see also SolarWorld's submission: "Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Comments on Preliminary Results of the Goal Zero LLC Changed Circumstances Reviews," dated September 4, 2018.

authority.” Consistently, Commerce’s general practice is to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate without regard to antidumping and countervailing duties, and to refund any estimated antidumping and countervailing duties, on all unliquidated entries of the merchandise covered by a revocation that are not covered by the final results of an administrative review or automatic liquidation.¹¹

Commerce has exercised its discretion and deviated from this general practice if the particular facts of a case have implications for the effective date of the partial revocation selected by Commerce.¹² Specifically, when selecting the effective date for partial revocation, Commerce has considered factors such as the effective date proposed by the petitioner (and/or the effective date agreed to by all parties),¹³ the existence of unliquidated entries dating back to the requested effective date,¹⁴ whether an interested party

requested the effective date of the revocation,¹⁵ and whether the requested effective date creates potential administrability issues (e.g., the products covered by the partial revocation are in the sales database used in the dumping margin calculations for a completed administrative review with a period of review (POR) that overlaps with the date requested).¹⁶

On September 4, 2018, Goal Zero requested retroactive application of the final results of these reviews starting January 1, 2015 for purposes of the *CVD Order*, and December 1, 2015 for purposes of the *AD Order*, and the petitioner agreed to Goal Zero’s request.¹⁷ Goal Zero claims that there are unliquidated entries corresponding to the 2015–2016 reviews.¹⁸ Goal Zero notes that the final results for the administrative review of the *CVD Order* covering the review period January 1 through December 31, 2015, and the final results for the administrative review of the *AD Order* covering the period December 1, 2015 through November 30, 2016, are being challenged by the petitioner before the Court of International Trade (CIT).¹⁹ However, entries of subject merchandise overlapping with the AD administrative review covering the period December 1, 2015 through November 30, 2016, and which were not liquidated pursuant to automatic liquidation instructions,²⁰ are either encompassed by Commerce’s August 21, 2018 liquidation instructions to CBP or enjoined from liquidation by statutory injunctions entered by the CIT.²¹ Similarly, entries of subject

merchandise overlapping with the CVD administrative review covering the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, and which were not liquidated pursuant to automatic liquidation instructions,²² are enjoined from liquidation by statutory injunctions entered by the CIT.²³ Entries of merchandise enjoined from liquidation by the court may not be subject to Commerce’s partial revocation of the order and subsequent instructions to CBP, and because their liquidation is enjoined, they are set to be liquidated in accordance with the final court decision.²⁴

We find that legal and administrability issues are presented by using the effective dates suggested by the interested parties. Accordingly, we are exercising our discretion, based on the particular circumstances in these CCRs, to make the effective dates January 1, 2016, for purposes of the *CVD Order* and December 1, 2016, for purposes of the *AD Order*.

Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Because we determine that there are changed circumstances that warrant the revocation of the *Orders*, in part, we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping and countervailing duties, and to refund any estimated antidumping and countervailing duties on, all unliquidated entries of the merchandise covered by this partial revocation on or after January 1, 2016, for purposes of the *CVD Order*, and on or after December 1, 2016, for purposes of the *AD Order*.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

Number 8269301 instructing CBP with regard to the statutory injunction issued in connection with court number 18–00189 and Message Numbers 8240315 and 8240316 instructing CBP with regard to the statutory injunction issued in connection with court number 18–00176.

²² See Message Number 7058306.

²³ See Message Numbers 8269331, 8264303, and 8243306 instructing CBP with regard to the statutory injunctions issued in connection with court numbers 18–00184, 18–00185, 18–00186. On August 15, 2018, Commerce instructed CBP to liquidate modules produced in third countries from crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells produced in China. See Message Number 8227315.

²⁴ See sections 516A(c)(2) and (e) of the Act.

¹¹ See e.g., *Certain Pasta From Italy: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation*, In Part, 76 FR 27634 (May 12, 2011); *Stainless Steel Bar From the United Kingdom: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of Order*, in Part, 72 FR 65706 (November 23, 2007); *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of Order In Part: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany*, 71 FR 66163 (November 13, 2006); *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Reviews and Revocation of Orders in Part: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada and Germany*, 71 FR 14498 (March 22, 2006); *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, and Determination to Revoke Order in Part: Certain Cased Pencils from the People’s Republic of China*, 68 FR 62428 (November 4, 2003).

¹² See section 751(d)(3) of the Act; *Itochu Building Products v. United States*, Court No. 11–00208, Slip Op. 14–37 (CIT 2014) (*Itochu Bldg. Prod.*) (CIT April 8, 2014) at 12 (“The statutory provision, as discussed above, provides Commerce with discretion in the selection of the effective date for a partial revocation following a changed circumstances review, but that discretion may not be exercised arbitrarily so as to decide the question presented without considering the relevant and competing considerations.”).

¹³ See, e.g., *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 64079 (November 12, 2003); *Stainless Steel Hollow Products from Sweden; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation In Part of Antidumping Duty Order*, 60 FR 42529 (August 16, 1995).

¹⁴ See *Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Order (Steel Hangers)*, 74 FR 50956 (October 2, 2009); *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, and Determination To Revoke Order in Part: Certain Cased Pencils from the People’s*

Republic of China (Cased Pencils), 71 FR 13352 (March 15, 2006); *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review, and Determination To Revoke Order in Part (Stainless Sheet and Strip)*, 65 FR 77578 (December 12, 2000).

¹⁵ See *Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Order*, In Part, 64 FR 72315 (December 27, 1999).

¹⁶ See *Itochu Bldg. Prod.*, Slip Op. 14–37 at 3.

¹⁷ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China; Goal Zero LLC’s Comments on the Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review*, dated September 4, 2018; see also SolarWorld’s submission: “Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China: Comments on Preliminary Results of the Goal Zero LLC Changed Circumstances Reviews”, dated September 4, 2018.

¹⁸ See Goal Zero Comments at 11.

¹⁹ *Id.* at 12.

²⁰ See Message Numbers 7067302 and 7065306.

²¹ See Message Number 8233301 instructing CBP to assess an antidumping liability for various exporters of subject merchandise, including ERA Solar Co., Ltd., for the period December 1, 2015 through November 30, 2016. See also Message

with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and revocation, in part, and notice in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3), and 19 CFR 351.222.

Dated: December 13, 2018.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018–27533 Filed 12–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–201–846]

Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation on Sugar From Mexico (as Amended); Preliminary Results of 2017 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable December 20, 2018.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that the Government of Mexico (GOM) and selected respondents Ingenio El Higo S.A. de C.V., Central El Potrero S.A. de C.V., Ingenio Melchor Ocampo S.A. de C.V., and Zucarmex S.A. de C.V. (and their affiliates) are in compliance with the Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Sugar from Mexico (CVD Agreement), as amended on June 30, 2017 (collectively, amended CVD Agreement), for the period October 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017. Commerce also preliminarily determines that the amended CVD Agreement is meeting the statutory requirements under sections 704(c) and (d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sally C. Gannon or David Cordell, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0162 or (202) 482–0408, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 19, 2014, Commerce signed an agreement under section 704(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), with the GOM,

suspending the CVD investigation on sugar from Mexico.¹ On June 30, 2017, Commerce and the GOM signed an amendment to the CVD Agreement.²

On December 29, 2017, the American Sugar Coalition and its Members³ (petitioners) filed a request for an administrative review of the amended CVD Agreement.⁴ The review was initiated on February 13, 2018, covering the January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017,⁵ period of review (POR). Commerce amended the POR on April 19, 2018, to reflect the period from October 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017 (including sales prior to October 1, 2017 that resulted in entries during the fourth quarter of 2017).⁶ On May 23, 2018, Commerce selected the four largest producers/exporters by volume as mandatory respondents,⁷ and issued its questionnaire to the GOM, the signatory to the CVD Agreement, and asked the GOM to send full questionnaires at attachment 1 to the four selected companies (and their affiliates). These were: Central El Potrero S.A. de C.V., Ingenio El Higo S.A. de C.V., Ingenio Melchor Ocampo S.A. de C.V., and Zucarmex S.A. de C.V. Commerce also asked that the GOM respond to its own questionnaire.

Scope of Review

Merchandise covered by this amended CVD Agreement is typically imported under the following headings of the HTSUS: 1701.12.1000, 1701.12.5000, 1701.13.1000,

1701.13.5000, 1701.14.1000, 1701.14.5000, 1701.91.1000, 1701.91.3000, 1701.99.1010, 1701.99.1025, 1701.99.1050, 1701.99.5010, 1701.99.5025, 1701.99.5050, and 1702.90.4000. The tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of this amended CVD Agreement is dispositive.⁸

Methodology and Preliminary Results

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(C) of the Act. After reviewing the information received to date from the respondent companies and the GOM in their questionnaire and supplemental questionnaire responses, we preliminarily find that the information indicates that the GOM has adhered to the terms of the amended CVD Agreement and that the amended CVD Agreement is functioning as intended. Further, we preliminarily determine that the amended CVD Agreement is meeting the statutory requirements under sections 704(c) and (d) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to provide: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance filed electronically via ACCESS. An

¹ See *Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Sugar from Mexico*, 79 FR 78044 (December 29, 2014) (CVD Agreement).

² See *Sugar from Mexico: Amendment to the Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 82 FR 31942 (July 11, 2017) (CVD Amendment).

³ The members of the American Sugar Coalition are as follows: American Sugar Cane League, American Sugarbeet Growers Association, American Sugar Refining, Inc., Florida Sugar Cane League, Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers, Inc., Sugar Cane Growers Cooperative of Florida, and the United States Beet Sugar Association.

⁴ See Letter from petitioners, entitled “Sugar from Mexico: Request for Administrative Review” (December 29, 2017).

⁵ The original initiation notice had incorrectly stated that the POR ended on December 30, 2017, and this was corrected in the initiation notice published on March 16, 2018.

⁶ See Memorandum to P. Lee Smith, entitled “Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico, as Amended: Period of Review” (April 19, 2018).

⁷ See Memorandum to P. Lee Smith, entitled “2017 Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico As Amended: Respondent Selection” and “Questionnaire Regarding the Agreement Suspending the Countervailing Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico for the October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017 Period of Review”, both dated May 23, 2018.

⁸ For a complete description of the Scope of the Order, see Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from P. Lee Smith, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico,” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).