

forward for disposition of the LANL Waste. While the WIPP Facility has resumed operations, some of the LANL Waste at the WCS Site cannot be shipped off the WCS Site at this time because it does not meet DOT shipping requirements. WCS has indicated that it will not be able to ship the LANL Waste to another appropriate location by the timeframe specified in the 2014 Order Condition 8.B.4, as modified by NRC letter dated September 23, 2016.

The purpose of this EA is to assess the potential environmental impacts of the WCS request to modify the 2014 Order Condition 8.B.4. for the second time to allow WCS to store the LANL Waste at specific locations at the WCS Site for additional two years, until December 23, 2020. This EA does not approve or deny the requested action.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC does not expect changes in radiation hazards to workers or to the environment. WCS will continue to ensure that the LANL Waste in both the FWF disposal cell and the TSDF remain stored safely and securely, and will notify the NRC of any events as appropriate, as set out in the 2014 Order. No changes to its handling or associated hazards would occur as a result of granting the requested change. Other environmental impacts would be the same as evaluated in the EA that supported the 2014 Order, as well as the 2001, 2004, and 2009 Orders, as applicable to the activities associated with the continued safe storage of the LANL Waste.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff could deny the WCS request and therefore, not issue a second modification to the Order Condition 8.B.4. that would authorize continued storage of the LANL Waste at the WCS Site (*i.e.*, the “no action” alternative). Upon expiration of the timeframe in the 2014 Order Condition 8.B.4., as modified by the September 23, 2016 NRC letter to WCS, WCS would still be required to maintain the material safely. In addition, the NRC authorization of any change to the current storage of the LANL Waste at the WCS Site would still be required and WCS has submitted no such proposal to the NRC. As a result, under this alternative, there would be no environmental impacts different from the proposed action, although WCS would be required to secure a license or other regulatory authorization for the storage of the material or potentially be

in violation of 10 CFR part 70 upon the expiration of the term in the 2014 Order Condition 8.B.4.

Thus, the “no action” alternative would not result in changes to the environmental impacts evaluated in the NRC’s prior EAs that supported the 2014 Order or the previous NRC orders. Those prior EAs concluded that there would be no significant radiological or non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the storage of SNM at the WCS Site, consistent with the conditions in those NRC Orders.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On December 3, 2018, the staff consulted with TCEQ by providing a draft of the EA for review and comment. By letter dated December 7, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18344A091), TCEQ provided comments on and recommended corrections to the draft EA. The NRC staff modified the EA to appropriately address the TCEQ comments and recommended corrections.

The proposed action does not involve the development or disturbance of additional land. Hence, the NRC has determined that the proposed action will not affect listed endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Likewise, the NRC staff has determined that the proposed action does not have the potential to adversely affect cultural resources because no ground disturbing activities are associated with the proposed action. Therefore, no consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC has reviewed the WCS August 30, 2018, request to supplement the 2014 Order again to extend the possession time of the LANL Waste at specific locations at the WCS Site. The NRC has found that effluent releases and potential radiological doses to the public are not anticipated to change as a result of this action and that occupational exposures are expected to remain within regulatory limits and as low as reasonably achievable. On the basis of this environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of December 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John R. Tappert,

*Director, Division of Decommissioning,
Uranium Recovery, and Waste Programs,
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and
Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2018–27323 Filed 12–17–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**[Release No. 34–84805; File No. SR–
NYSE-NAT–2018–25]**

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE National, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Schedule of Fees and Rebates

December 12, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on November 30, 2018, NYSE National, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “NYSE National”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees and Rebates to adopt a new Step Up Adding Tier that would set forth fees for displayed and non-displayed orders that add liquidity to the Exchange. The Exchange proposes to implement the rule change on December 3, 2018. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at

¹ 15 U.S.C.78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees and Rebates to adopt a new Step Up Adding Tier that would set forth fees for displayed and non-displayed orders that add liquidity to the Exchange.

The Exchange proposes to implement the rule change on December 3, 2018.

Proposed Step Up Adding Tier

The Exchange proposes a new Step Adding Tier for displayed and non-displayed orders in securities priced at or above \$1.00.

Under the proposed Step Up Adding Tier, the Exchange would offer the following fees for transactions in stocks with a per share price of \$1.00 or more when adding liquidity to the Exchange if the ETP Holder has 0.04% or more of Adding ADV as a percent of US CADV over the ETP Holder's Adding ADV as a % of US CADV in November 2018:

- \$0.0015 per share for adding displayed orders;
- \$0.0015 per share for orders that set a new Exchange BBO;⁴
- \$0.0017 per share for adding non-displayed orders; and
- \$0.0005 per share for MPL orders.

For example, in a given month of 20 trading days, assume that an ETP Holder adds liquidity of an ADV of 3.5 million shares in a month where CADV is 7 billion shares, or 0.05% of US CADV in November 2018 (the "Baseline").⁵ Further assume that the ETP Holder adds liquidity of an ADV of 7 million shares in the relevant billing month, or 0.10% of US CADV. That ETP Holder would qualify for the proposed Step Up Adding Tier based on their 0.05% step up as a percent of US CADV over the ETP Holder's Baseline.

The proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of

⁴ The term "BBO" is defined in Rule 1.1 to mean the best bid or offer that is a Protected Quotation on the Exchange. The term "BB" means the best bid that is a Protected Quotation on the Exchange and the term "BO" means the best offer that is a Protected Quotation on the Exchange.

⁵ The Exchange also proposes non-substantive changes to Adding Tier 1 to change the "m" in "More" to lower case and to Adding Tiers 2, 3 and 4 to remove superfluous commas following the word "share" in the adding MPL fee.

any problems that ETP Holders would have in complying with the proposed change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁶ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed Step Up Adding Tier fees for ETP Holders with 0.04% or more Adding average daily volume as a percentage of US CADV in addition to the ETP Holder's Adding ADV as a percentage of US CADV in November 2018 is reasonable because the proposed tier would further contribute to incentivizing ETP Holders to bring additional order flow to a public market. In particular, the Exchange believes that the tiered rates will provide an incentive for more active ETP Holders that do not meet the qualification for Adding Tiers 2, 3 and 4, which offer lower fees for adding liquidity, to add displayed liquidity to the Exchange, to the benefit of the investing public and all market participants. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed Step Up Adding Tier fees are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all similarly situated market participants who would submit additional liquidity to the Exchange in order to qualify for the fees would be subject to the same fees on an equal and non-discriminatory basis. The Exchange also believes that the proposed non-substantive changes would not be inconsistent with the public interest and the protection of investors because investors will not be harmed and in fact would benefit from increased clarity and transparency, thereby reducing potential confusion.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,⁸ the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would encourage the submission of additional liquidity to a public exchange, thereby promoting price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for ETP Holders. The Exchange believes that this could promote competition between the Exchange and other execution venues, including those that currently offer similar order types and comparable transaction pricing, by encouraging additional orders to be sent to the Exchange for execution. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule is designed to provide the public and investors with a Schedule of Fees and Rebates that is clear and consistent, thereby reducing burdens on the marketplace and facilitating investor protection.

Finally, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and credits in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. As a result of all of these considerations, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of ETP Holders or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) & (5).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)⁹ of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4¹⁰ thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹¹ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-25 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-25. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's

internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-25 and should be submitted on or before January 8, 2019.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-27279 Filed 12-17-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

Extension:

Order Granting a Conditional Exemption under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from the Confirmation Requirements of Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(a) for Certain Transactions in Money Market Funds, SEC File No. 270-792; OMB Control No. 3235-0739

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA") (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission

("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for approval of extension of the existing collection of information provided for in the following: Order Granting a Conditional Exemption under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from the Confirmation Requirements of Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(a) for Certain Transactions in Money Market Funds (17 CFR 240.10b-10(a)).

Rule 10b-10 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) generally requires broker-dealers to provide customers with specified information relating to their securities transactions at or before the completion of the transactions. Rule 10b-10(b), however, provides an exception from this requirement for certain transactions in money market funds that attempt to maintain a stable net asset value when no sales load or redemption fee is charged. The exception permits broker-dealers to provide transaction information to money market fund shareholders on a monthly, rather than immediate, basis, subject to the conditions. Amendments to Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Investment Company Act") (15 U.S.C. 80a-1 *et seq.*) among other things, means, absent an exemption, broker-dealers would not be able to continue to rely on the exception under Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(b) for transactions in money market funds operating in accordance with Rule 2a-7(c)(1)(ii).¹

In 2015, the Commission issued an Order Granting a Conditional Exemption under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 From The Confirmation Requirements of Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(a) For Certain Transactions In Money Market Funds ("Order")² which allows broker-dealers, subject to certain conditions, to provide transaction information to investors in any money market fund operating pursuant to Rule 2a-7(c)(1)(ii) on a monthly basis in lieu of providing immediate confirmations as required under Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(a) ("the Exemption"). Accordingly, to be

¹ See generally Money Market Fund Reform; Amendments to Form PF, Securities Act Release No. 9408, Investment Advisers Act Release No. 3616, Investment Company Act Release No. 30551 (June 5, 2013), 78 FR 36834, 36934 (June 19, 2013); see also Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(b)(1), 17 CFR 240.10b-10(b)(1) (limiting alternative monthly reporting to money market funds that attempt to maintain a stable NAV).

² See Order Granting a Conditional Exemption Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 From the Confirmation Requirements of Exchange Act Rule 10b-10(a) for Certain Transactions in Money Market Funds, Exchange Act Release No. 34-76480 (Nov. 19, 2015), 80 FR 73849 (Nov. 25, 2015).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).