

Respondents (i.e., affected public):
Executive Sponsor, Center Coordinator,
Navigator and Participants.

Respondent	Occupation	SOC code	Median hourly wage rate
EnVision Center Executive Sponsor	Chief Executive	11-1011	\$88.11
EnVision Center Director	General and Operations Managers	11-1021	48.27
EnVision Center Navigator	Social and Human Service Assistant	21-1093	15.92
EnVision Center Participant	Federal Minimum Wage Rate	N/A	7.25

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics (May 2017), https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_stru.htm and Department of Labor, Minimum Wage (2009), <https://www.dol.gov/general/topic/wages/minimumwage>.

The EnVision Center Executive Sponsor and Envision Center Director at the 200 EnVision Centers will complete the Commitment Letter. The EnVision Center Executive Sponsor, EnVision Center Director and the EnVision Center Navigator will complete the Action Plan and the Quarterly Report while the EnVision Center Participant will

complete the Customer Satisfaction Survey.

For the Commitment Letter, it is assumed that the EnVision Center Executive Sponsor and the EnVision Center Director will need 0.25 hours to complete this a year. The total number of respondents would be 200 based on the 200 centers.

For the Action Plan, it is assumed that the EnVision Center Executive Sponsor and EnVision Center Director will need one hour to complete this and the EnVision Center Navigator will need seven hours to complete this for an average of 8 hours total.

For the Quarterly Reports, it is assumed that the EnVision Center Executive Sponsor and EnVision Center Director will need one hour to complete the review and and the EnVision Center Navigator will need five hours to complete this task for an average of 6 hours total.

For the Customer Satisfaction Survey, we anticipate an average 200 Envision Center Participant visits a year from each of the 200 centers. This is a total of 40,000 respondents per year with each survey having a completion time of three minutes.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Response frequency	Burden hours per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Total cost
Commitment Letter (Completed by the EnVision Center Navigator/EnVision Center Executive Sponsor/EnVision Center Director)	200	1	0.25	50.00	\$68.19	\$3,409.50
Action Plan (Completed by the EnVision Center Navigator/EnVision Center Executive Sponsor/EnVision Center Director)	200	1	8.00	1,600.00	22.45	35,920
Quarterly Report (Completed by the EnVision Center Navigator/EnVision Center Executive Sponsor/EnVision Center Director)	200	4	6.00	4,800.00	24.63	118,224
Customer Satisfaction Survey (Completed by the EnVision Center Participant)	40,000	1	0.05	2,000.00	7.25	14,500.00
Total	40,600	8450.00	172,053.50

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice solicits comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: November 30, 2018.

Todd M. Richardson,
General Deputy Assistant, Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

[FR Doc. 2018-26902 Filed 12-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-739 (Fourth Review)]

Clad Steel Plate From Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

antidumping duty order on clad steel plate from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on January 2, 2018 (83 FR 148) and determined on April 9, 2018 that it would conduct a full review (83 FR 17446, April 19, 2018). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2018 (83 FR 33250). The Commission cancelled the hearing scheduled on October 18, 2018 following a request by the sole party to the proceeding (83 FR 53295, October 22, 2018). In lieu of a hearing, the domestic producers responded to written questions submitted by the Commission, as part of their post-hearing brief.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675 (c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on December 6, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4851 (December 2018), entitled *Clad Steel Plate from Japan: Investigation No. 731-TA-739 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 6, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-26845 Filed 12-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1110 (Second Review)]

Sodium Hexametaphosphate From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of

1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sodium hexametaphosphate from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on June 1, 2018 (83 FR 25488) and determined on September 4, 2018 that it would conduct an expedited review (83 FR 50958, October 10, 2018).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on December 7, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4840 (December 2018), entitled *Sodium Hexametaphosphate from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1110 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 7, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-26861 Filed 12-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Pocket Lighters, DN 3355*; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection

during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of BIC Corporation, on December 6, 2018. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain pocket lighters. The complaint names as respondents: Arrow Lighter, Inc. d/b/a MK Lighter, Inc. of City of Industry, CA; Benxi Fenghe Lighter Co., Ltd. of China; Excel Wholesale Distributors Inc. of College Point, NY; Milan Import Export Company, LLC of San Diego, CA; Wellpine Company Limited of Hong Kong; and Zhuoye Lighter Manufacturing Co, Ltd. of China. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a general exclusion order or alternatively a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders, and impose a bond during the 60-day review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Meredith M. Broadbent did not participate in the vote.