(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Section, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (m)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-AMN-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA; or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus SAS’s EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA). If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA authorized signature.

(3) Required for Compliance (RC): If any service information contains procedures or tests that are identified as RC, those procedures and tests must be done to comply with this AD; any procedures or tests that are not identified as RC are recommended. Those procedures and tests that are not identified as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in accordance with the operator’s maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC. Any substitutions or changes to procedures or tests identified as RC require approval of an AMOC.

(m) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) EASA AD 2017–0233, dated November 23, 2017, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0584.

(2) For more information about this AD, contact Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax 206–231–3229.

(3) Service information identified in this AD that is not incorporated by reference is available at the addresses specified in paragraphs (n)(3) and (n)(4) of this AD.

(n) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.


(iv) For Airbus SAS service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness Office—EAL, Rond-Poit, Emile Dewoite No 2, 31700 Blagnac Cedex, France, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com; internet http://www.airbus.com.

(v) You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

(vi) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on November 2, 2018.

Jeffrey E. Duven, Director, System Oversight Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–24685 Filed 12–11–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

29 CFR Part 4044

Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Valuation of Benefits and Assets; Expected Retirement Age

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation’s regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans by substituting a new table for determining expected retirement ages for participants in pension plans undergoing distress or involuntary termination with valuation dates falling in 2019. This table is needed to compute the value of early retirement benefits and, thus, the total value of benefits under a plan.

DATES: This rule is effective January 1, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary Duke (duke.hilary@pbgc.gov), Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20005; phone 202–326–4400, ext. 3839. (TTY users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–
877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4400, ext. 3839.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) administers the pension plan termination insurance program under title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). PBGC's regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044) sets forth (in subpart B) the methods for valuing plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered under title IV. Guaranteed benefits and benefit liabilities under a plan that is undergoing a distress termination must be valued in accordance with subpart B of part 4044. In addition, when PBGC terminates an underfunded plan involuntarily pursuant to ERISA section 4042(a), it uses the subpart B valuation rules to determine the amount of the plan's underfunding.

Under § 4044.51(b) of the asset allocation regulation, early retirement benefits are valued based on the annuity starting date, if a retirement date has been selected, or the expected retirement age, if the annuity starting date is not known on the valuation date. Sections 4044.55 through 4044.57 set forth rules for determining the expected retirement ages for plan participants entitled to early retirement benefits. Appendix D of part 4044 contains tables to be used in determining the expected early retirement ages.

Table I in appendix D (Selection of Retirement Rate Category) is used to determine whether a participant has a low, medium, or high probability of retiring early. The determination is based on the year a participant would reach “unreduced retirement age” (i.e., the earlier of the normal retirement age or the age at which an unreduced benefit is first payable) and the participant’s monthly benefit at unreduced retirement age. The table applies only to plans with valuation dates in the current year and is updated annually by PBGC to reflect changes in the cost of living, etc.

Tables II–A, II–B, and II–C (Expected Retirement Ages for Individuals in the Low, Medium, and High Categories respectively) are used to determine the expected retirement age after the probability of early retirement has been determined using Table I. These tables establish, by probability category, the expected retirement age based on both the earliest age a participant could retire under the plan and the unreduced retirement age. This expected retirement age is used to compute the value of the early retirement benefit and, thus, the total value of benefits under the plan.

This document amends appendix D to replace Table I–18 with Table I–19 to provide an updated correlation, appropriate for calendar year 2019, between the amount of a participant's benefit and the probability that the participant will elect early retirement. Table I–19 will be used to value benefits in plans with valuation dates during calendar year 2019.

PBGC has determined that notice of, and public comment on, this rule are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Plan administrators need to be able to estimate accurately the value of plan benefits as early as possible before initiating the termination process. For that purpose, if a plan has a valuation date in 2019, the plan administrator needs the updated table being promulgated in this rule. Accordingly, PBGC finds that the public interest is best served by issuing this table expeditiously, without an opportunity for notice and comment, and that good cause exists for making the table set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication to allow as much time as possible to estimate the value of plan benefits with the proper table for plans with valuation dates in early 2019.

PBGC has determined that this action is not a “significant regulatory action” under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 13771.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this regulation, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply (5 U.S.C. 601(2)).

**List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 4044**

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR part 4044 is amended as follows:

**PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS**

1. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

   **Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

2. Appendix D to part 4044 is amended by removing Table I–18 and adding in its place Table I–19 to read as follows:

**Appendix D to Part 4044—Tables Used To Determine Expected Retirement Age**

### Table I–19—Selection of Retirement Rate Category

[For valuation dates in 2019 1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If participant reaches URA in year—</th>
<th>Low 2 if monthly benefit at URA is less than—</th>
<th>Medium 3 if monthly benefit at URA is—</th>
<th>High 4 if monthly benefit at URA is greater than—</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>2,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>2,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>2,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>2,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>3,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>3,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>3,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>3,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029 or later</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>3,396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Applicable tables for valuation dates before 2019 are available on PBGC’s website (www.pbgc.gov).

2 Table II–A.

3 Table II–B.

4 Table II–C.
ISSUANCE

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2018–1081]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zone; Rocket Debris Control and Removal Operations, Atlantic Ocean, Cape Canaveral, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a 1000-yard temporary moving safety zone around the Falcon 9 rocket in the Atlantic Ocean, in the vicinity of Port Canaveral Harbor, Cape Canaveral, FL. This safety zone is necessary to protect personnel, vessels, and the public from potential hazards associated with the control and removal of the rocket and any debris from navigable waters of the Atlantic Ocean. All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Jacksonville or a designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective without actual notice from December 12, 2018 through December 28, 2018. For purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from December 5, 2018 through December 12, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type USCG–2018–1081 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email Petty Officer Rory Boyle, Sector Jacksonville, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (904) 714–7661, email Rory.C.Boyle@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COTP</td>
<td>Captain of the Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O.</td>
<td>Executive Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Federal Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>Notice of proposed rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub. L.</td>
<td>Public Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. On December 5, 2018, the Coast Guard determined that immediate action was necessary to protect life and property from the hazards associated with control and removal of a Falcon 9 rocket and any associated debris located in the Atlantic Ocean. Due to the emergent nature and increased safety risks associated with control and removal operations for the rocket, there is insufficient time to publish an NPRM and to receive public comments before the rulemaking is required. The regulation is necessary to provide for the safety of persons and vessels within a 1000-yard radius of the Falcon 9 rocket. For those reasons, it would be impracticable to publish an NPRM.

For the reasons discussed above, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The Captain of the Port Jacksonville (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with control and removal operations for the Falcon 9 rocket will be a safety concern for persons and vessels within a 1000-yard radius of the rocket. The purpose of this rule is to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone during control and removal operations associated with the Falcon 9 rocket.

IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone that will encompass all navigable waters of the Atlantic Ocean within a 1000-yard radius of a Falcon 9 rocket located at position 28°24’.3 N 080°30’.8 W, in the vicinity of Port Canaveral Harbor, Cape Canaveral, Florida. The safety zone will be enforced during control, movement, and removal operations associated with the Falcon 9 rocket from 7 p.m. on December 5, 2018 until 11:59 p.m. on December 28, 2018, unless sooner terminated by the COTP Jacksonville upon completion of the removal operations. The duration of the safety zone is intended to ensure the safety of persons, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards associated with rocket and debris movement control and removal operations. These operations include the use of towing vessels, divers and support vessels. There will be occasions during the operations when there will be divers in the water and the waterway will be obstructed by the associated vessels and equipment. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone unless authorized by the COTP Jacksonville or designated representative. If authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone granted by the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must transit at a minimum safe speed and must comply with the orders of the COTP Jacksonville or designated representative. The Coast Guard will provide notice and status of the safety zone by Broadcast Notice to Mariners or on-scene designated representatives.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has