

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-867]

Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the May 10, 2016, through October 31, 2017, period of review (POR). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 12, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel LaCivita or Stephanie Berger, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4243 and (202) 482-2483, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on welded stainless pressure pipe (WSPP) from India.¹ We selected two companies, Bhandari Foils & Tubes, Ltd. (Bhandari) and Hindustan Inox, Ltd. (Hindustan Inox), for individual examination.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice.

Scope of the Order⁴

The merchandise covered by this order is circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe not greater than

14 inches in outside diameter. For purposes of this scope, references to size are in nominal inches and include all products within tolerances allowed by pipe specifications. This merchandise includes, but is not limited to, the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications. ASTM A-358 products are only included when they are produced to meet ASTM A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications.

The subject imports are normally classified in subheadings 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). They may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.5090. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and (2) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price were calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value was calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter/producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Bhandari Foils & Tubes, Ltd	7.19
Hindustan Inox, Ltd	2.03
Apex Tubes Private Ltd	⁵ 3.89
Apurvi Industries	3.89
Arihant Tubes	3.89
Divine Tubes Pvt. Ltd	3.89
Heavy Metal & Tubes	3.89
J.S.S. Steelitalia Ltd	3.89
Linkwell Seamless Tubes Private Limited	3.89
Maxim Tubes Company Pvt. Ltd	3.89
MBM Tubes Pvt. Ltd	3.89
Mukat Tanks & Vessel Ltd	3.89
Neotiss Ltd	3.89
Prakash Steelage Ltd	3.89
Quality Stainless Pvt. Ltd	3.89
Raajratna Metal Industries Ltd	3.89
Ratnadeep Metal & Tubes Ltd	3.89
Ratnamani Metals & Tubes Ltd	3.89
Remi Edelstahl Tubulars	3.89
Shubhlaxmi Metals & Tubes Private Limited	3.89
SLS Tubes Pvt. Ltd	3.89
Steamline Industries Ltd	3.89

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

If the weighted-average dumping margin for the mandatory respondents (*i.e.*, Bhandari and Hindustan Inox) is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* AD assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importers examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁶ We will

⁵ This rate is based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis* or based entirely on facts available. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act. See also See Memorandum, "Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Calculation of the All-Others Rate in the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁶ In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: See *Calculation of the*

Continued

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 1329 (January 11, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*).

² See memorandum, "First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Respondent Selection," dated February 2, 2018.

³ See memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India, 2016-2017," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁴ For the full text of the scope of the order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.5 percent). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review where applicable.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the weighted-average of the cash deposit rates calculated for the companies selected for mandatory review (i.e., Bhandari and Hindustan), excluding any which are zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on adverse facts available. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁷

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries not reviewed at the all-others rate of 8.35 percent if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁸ We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of WSPP from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each company listed above will be equal to the dumping margins established in the final results of this review except if the ultimate rates are *de minimis* within the meaning

of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rates will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 8.35 percent, the all-others rate established in the antidumping investigation.⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.¹⁰ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹¹ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.¹² All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system within 30 days of publication of this notice.¹³ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the

scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.¹⁴ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their case briefs, within 120 days after issuance of these preliminary results.

Notification To Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: December 3, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Rates for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
 - A. Comparisons to Normal Value
 - B. Determination of the Comparison Method
 - C. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
- VI. Date of Sale
- VII. Product Comparisons
- VIII. Export Price
- IX. Normal Value
 - A. Home Market Viability
 - B. Affiliated-Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
 - C. Level of Trade
 - D. Cost of Production Analysis
 - E. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices

Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁷ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

⁸ See *Order*.

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310.

X. Currency Conversion

XI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018-26815 Filed 12-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that eight companies, including the mandatory respondent, Decca Furniture Ltd. (Decca), have not established their entitlement to a separate rate and are part of the China-wide entity, and that five companies had no reviewable transactions during the January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, period of review (POR). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 12, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick O'Connor, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0989.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

After initiating this review with respect to 73 companies or company groupings,¹ interested parties withdrew all review requests for 60 of the 73 companies. Thus, Commerce rescinded this review with respect to those companies.² On June 20, 2018, Commerce issued an antidumping duty questionnaire to Decca, the only company under review that filed a separate rate application. Decca did not respond to the questionnaire. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum which is hereby adopted by this notice.³

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 11685 (March 16, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*).

² See *Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 83 FR 45607 (September 10, 2018).

³ See "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is wooden bedroom furniture, subject to certain exceptions.⁴ Imports of subject merchandise are classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 9403.90.7005, 9403.90.7080, 9403.50.9041, 9403.60.8081, 9403.20.0018, 9403.90.8041, 7009.92.1000 or 7009.92.5000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description in the *Order* remains dispositive.⁵

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213. For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary results of review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided in the Appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Because U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) did not provide any

Review: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China," from James Maeder, Senior Director, performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice.

⁴ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005) (*Order*).

⁵ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, please see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

information contradicting the claims of five of the companies under review which claimed to have made no shipments, Commerce preliminarily determines that these five companies did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR.⁶ For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Consistent with Commerce's practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, Commerce is not rescinding this AR, in part, with respect to these five companies, but intends to complete the review with respect to the companies for which it has preliminarily found no shipments and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.⁷

Separate Rates

Decca was the only company under review that submitted a separate rate application, and Commerce issued the antidumping duty questionnaire to Decca as the sole mandatory respondent. However, as noted above, Decca did not respond to Commerce's antidumping duty questionnaire. Therefore, Commerce preliminarily determines that Decca did not establish its eligibility for separate rate status. In addition, seven other companies for which a review was requested failed to provide separate rate applications or certifications.⁸ Therefore, Commerce preliminarily determines that these eight companies are part of the China-wide entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, the entity is not under review, and the entity's dumping margin of 216.01 percent is not subject to change.⁹ For

⁶ The five companies/company groupings are: (1) Dongguan Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd., Taicang Sunrise Wood Industry Co., Ltd., Taicang Fairmount Designs Furniture Co., Ltd., Meizhou Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd.; (2) Dongguan Sunrise Furniture Co., Taicang Sunrise Wood Industry Co., Ltd., Shanghai Sunrise Furniture Co. Ltd., Fairmount Designs; (3) Eurosa (Kunshan) Co., Ltd., Eurosa Furniture Co., (PTE) Ltd.; (4) Shenyang Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd. (Shenyang Shining); and (5) Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.

⁷ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694, 65694-95 (October 24, 2011) and the "Assessment Rates" section, below.

⁸ The seven companies are: (1) Dongguan Kingstone Furniture Co., Ltd.; Kingstone Furniture Co., Ltd.; (2) Kunshan Summit Furniture Co., Ltd.; (3) Qingdao Liangmu Co., Ltd.; (4) Restonic (Dongguan) Furniture Ltd.; Restonic Far East (Samoa) Ltd.; (5) Rizhao Sanmu Woodworking Co., Ltd.; (6) Techniwood Industries Ltd.; Ningbo Furniture Industries Ltd.; Ningbo Hengrun Furniture Co., Ltd.; and (7) Zhangjiagang Zheng Yan Decoration Co., Ltd.

⁹ See *Second Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 62834 (November 7, 2007).