

Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), we intend to issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review. We will instruct CBP to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the company listed above, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, from January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, at the *ad valorem* rate listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

We intend also to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount shown above for BTIC, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. Accordingly, the cash deposit requirements that will be applied to companies covered by this order, but not examined in this administrative review, are those established in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for each company. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibilities concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

⁵ As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, we have found the following companies to be cross-owned with BTIC: Tianjin Tianhai High Pressure Container Co., Ltd.; Langfang Tianhai High Pressure Container Co., Ltd.; Beijing Jingcheng Machinery Electric Holding Co., Ltd.; and Beijing Jingcheng Machinery Electric Co., Ltd.

Dated: November 30, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VI. Benchmarks and Discount Rates
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Analysis of Comments
 - Comment 1: Whether to Include or Reject the Russian Benchmark Prices for the Provision of Seamless Tube Steel for LTAR
 - Comment 2: If Including the Russian Benchmark Prices, Whether to Use a Weighted Average World Price to Calculate the Benchmark
 - Comment 3: Whether to Base Benchmark Prices for Billets and Seamless Tube Steel on a Basket HTS Provision
 - Comment 4: Whether to Average Three Datasets Rather than Two Datasets for the Benchmark for the Provision of Seamless Tube Steel for LTAR
 - Comment 5: Whether to Use the Petitioner's Ocean Freight Data
 - Comment 6: Whether to Change the Electricity Benchmark
 - Comment 7: Whether to Calculate Separate Subsidy Rates for High-Quality Chromium Molybdenum Alloy Steel Billets and Blooms and for Standard Commodity Steel Billets
 - Comment 8: Whether to Apply AFA to the Export Buyer's Credit Program
 - Comment 9: Whether Commerce Properly Applied the AFA Hierarchy to the Export Buyer's Credit Program
 - Comment 10: Whether to Use BTIC's Updated Spreadsheet to Calculate the Other Subsidies
- IX. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2018-26651 Filed 12-7-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-819]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part; 2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminary determines

that producers/exporters of steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1 through December 31, 2016. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 10, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caitlin Monks, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2670.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 11, 2018, Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the CVD order on rebar from Turkey.¹ On July 10, 2018, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results to December 3, 2018.² Commerce preliminarily determines that the mandatory respondents: Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. (COTAS) and Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. (Colakoglu Metalurji) (collectively, Colakoglu), Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (Icdas), and Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. (Kaptan Demir) and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret Ve Nakliyat A.S. (Kaptan Metal) (collectively, Kaptan) each received countervailable subsidies during the POR. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included at the Appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 1329, 1334 (January 11, 2018); See also *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 8058, 8067 n.6 (February 23, 2018).

² See Memorandum, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results in 2016 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated July 10, 2018.

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of and the Preliminary Intent to Rescind, in Part: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey; 2016," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) imported in either straight length or coil form regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade. For a complete description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each subsidy program found countervailable, we preliminarily find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁴ For a full description of the

methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part

DufEnergy Trading SA (DufEnergy), Duferco Celik Ticaret Limited (Duferco), and Ekinciler Demir ve Celik Sanayi A.S. (Ekinciler) timely filed no-shipments certifications.⁵ Because there is no evidence on the record to indicate that DufEnergy, Duferco, or Ekinciler had entries, exports, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we intend to rescind the review with respect to these companies.

Entries of merchandise produced and exported by Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas) are not subject to countervailing duties under this Order because the Commerce’s final determination with respect to this producer/exporter combination was negative.⁶ However, any entries of merchandise produced by any other entity and exported by Habas or produced by Habas and exported by another entity are subject to this Order.

Because there is no evidence on the record of entries of merchandise produced by another entity and

exported by Habas, or entries of merchandise produced by Habas and exported by another entity, we preliminarily determine that Habas is not subject to this administrative review. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we intend to rescind the review with respect to Habas. A final decision on whether to rescind the review of DufEnergy, Duferco, Ekinciler, and Habas will be made in the final results of this administrative review.

Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

For these preliminary results, Icdas is the sole mandatory respondent with a calculated rate above *de minimis*. Therefore, we are assigning Icdas’ net countervailable subsidy rate of 1.37 percent *ad valorem* to the 11 remaining non-selected companies, for which an individual rate was not calculated. This is consistent with our practice,⁷ and in accordance with section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily find that the net countervailable subsidy rates for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, are as follows:

Company	Subsidy Rate Ad Valorem (percent)
Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. and its cross-owned affiliates ⁸	1.37
Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S. and their cross-owned affiliates ⁹	0.22 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. and Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. and their cross-owned affiliates ¹⁰	0.04 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Acemar International Limited	1.37
Agir Haddecilik A.S.	1.37
As Gaz Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar A.S.	1.37
Asil Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	1.37
Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	1.37
Izmir Demir Celik Sanayi A.S.	1.37
Kocaer Haddecilik Sanayi Ve Ticar L	1.37
Mettech Metalurji Madencilik Muhendislik Uretim Danismanlik ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi	1.37
MMZ Onur Boru Profil A.S.	1.37
Ozkan Demir Celik Sanayi A.S.	1.37
Wilmar Europe Trading BV	1.37

Assessment Rates

Consistent with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, upon issuance of the final

results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by

this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

⁴ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁵ See DufEnergy’s letter, “Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey; No Shipments Letter for DufEnergyTrading SA (formerly known as Duferco Investment Services SA),” dated January 29, 2018; Duferco’s letter, “Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey; No Shipments Letter for Duferco Celik Ticaret Limited,” dated January 29, 2018; and Ekinciler’s letter, “Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Turkey (C-489-819): Countervailing

Duty Administrative Review (01/01/16–12/31/16),” dated January 24, 2018.

⁶ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination*, 79 FR 54963, 54964 (September 15, 2014).

⁷ See, e.g., *Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of the 2008 Countervailable Review*, 75 FR 37386, 37387 (June 29, 2010).

⁸ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Icdas: Mardas

Marmara Deniz Isletmeciligi A.S., Oraysan Insaat Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Artmak Denizcilik Ticaret ve Sanayi A.S., and Icdas Elektrik Enerjisi Uretim ve Yatirim A.S.

⁹ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Kaptan: Martas Marmara Ereglisi Liman Tesisleri A.S., Aset Madencilik A.S., and Kaptan Is Makinalari Hurda Alim Satim Ltd. Sti.

¹⁰ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Colakoglu: Demirsan Haddecilik San. Ve Tic. A.S.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount indicated above for the reviewed companies, with regard to shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose to the parties in this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.¹¹ Interested parties may submit written arguments (case briefs) on the preliminary results within 30 days of publication of the preliminary results, and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.¹² Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) Statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹³

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁴ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If Commerce receives a request for a hearing, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the main Department of Commerce building at a time and location to be determined.¹⁵ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Parties are reminded that briefs and hearing requests are to be filed electronically using ACCESS and received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after publication of these preliminary results.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: December 3, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Intent to Rescind the 2016 Administrative Review, in Part
 - A. DufEnergy Trading SA (DufEnergy); Duferco Celik Ticaret Limited (Duferco); and Ekinciler Demir ve Celik Sanayi A.S. (Ekinciler)
 - B. Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas)
- IV. Non-Selected Rate
- V. Scope of the Order
- VI. Subsidies Valuation Information
 - A. Allocation Period
 - B. Cross-Ownership
 1. Colakoglu
 2. Icdas
 3. Kaptan
 4. Denominators
 5. Loan Benchmarks and Discount Rates
 6. Uncreditworthiness of Icdas Elektrik
- VII. Analysis of Programs
 - A. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Countervailable
 1. Deduction From Taxable Income for Export Revenue
 2. Rediscout Program
 3. Purchase of Electricity Generated from Renewable Resources for More Than Adequate Remuneration (MTAR)—Renewable Energy Sources Support Mechanism (YEKDEM)
 4. Investment Incentive Certificates
 5. Provision of Natural Gas for LTAR
 - B. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Not Be Countervailable
 1. Payments from the Turkish Employers' Association of Metal Industries (MESS)—Social Security Premium Support
 2. Payments from MESS—Occupational Health and Safety Support
 3. Preferential Financing from the Industrial Development Bank of Turkey (TSKB)
 4. Minimum Wage Support
- C. Programs Preliminarily Determined Not To Confer Countervailable Benefits

1. Inward Processing Regime (IPR)
2. Regional Investment Incentives
- D. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Provide No Measurable Benefit During the POR
 1. Assistance to Offset Costs Related to Antidumping/CVD Investigations
 2. Reduction and Exemption of Licensing Fees for Renewable Resource Power Plants
 3. Assistance for Participation in Trade Fairs Abroad
- E. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Not Be Used
 1. Provision of Lignite for LTAR
 2. Purchase of Electricity for MTAR—Sales via Build-Operate-Own, Build-Operate-Transfer, and Transfer of Operating Rights Contracts
 3. Research and Development Grant Program
 4. Export Credits, Loans, and Insurance from Turk Eximbank
 5. Large-Scale Investment Incentives
 6. Strategic Investment Incentives
 7. Incentives for Research & Development Activities
 8. Regional Development Subsidies
 9. Comprehensive Investment Incentives
 10. Preferential Financing from the Turkish Development Bank
 11. Liquefied Natural Gas for LTAR
- VIII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2018–26654 Filed 12–7–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–909]

Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 5, 2018, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) entered final judgment in *The Stanley Works (Langfang) Fastening Systems Co., Ltd. v. United States*, sustaining the final results of remand redetermination pertaining to the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China (China), covering the period of review (POR) of January 23, 2008 through July 31, 2009. The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the first administrative review or the

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii); 351.309(d)(1); and 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and 351.309(d)(2).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.310.