Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Insurance Company*, Docket No. 217–2003–EQ–00106, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–08308. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@ usdoj.gov.
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Settlement Agreement may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: *https:// www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees.* We will provide a paper copy of the Settlement Agreement upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$3.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the United State Treasury.

Robert Maher,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2018–26417 Filed 12–4–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Royalty Board

[Docket Nos. 2012–6 CRB CD 2004–2009 (Phase II) and 2012–7 CRB SD 1999–2009 (Phase II)]

Distribution of Cable and Satellite Royalty Funds

AGENCY: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress. **ACTION:** Final distribution determination.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Royalty Judges (Judges) announce the final distribution of satellite royalty funds for the year 2000. The distribution determination results from a contested motion by the Settling Devotional Claimants (SDC) requesting that the Judges order a final distribution to the SDC of 100% of the Devotional Claimants' share of the 2000 satellite royalties. **DATES:** Applicable date: December 5, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The final distribution order is also published in eCRB at *https:// app.crb.gov/*. Docket: For access to the docket to read submitted background documents, go to eCRB, the Copyright Royalty Board's electronic filing and case management system, at *https:// app.crb.gov/* and search for docket number 2012–6 CRB CD 2004–2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anita Blaine, CRB Program Specialist, by telephone at (202) 707–7658 or email at *crb*@*loc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On October 1, 2018, the Judges issued an initial determination relating to the requested distribution. The Register of Copyrights concluded her statutory review and issued no opinion. The Order is now before the Librarian of Congress for final review and publication. The essence of the initial determination follows.

On November 21, 2017, the Settling Devotional Claimants (SDC) filed a motion seeking final distribution of the 2000 satellite royalty fund in the Devotional category (Motion). In the Motion, the SDC contended that there is no controversy with respect to the subject satellite royalties. The SDC argued that the direct cases filed by the SDC and Independent Producers Group (IPG) in this consolidated proceeding confirm that both parties agree to the allocation of 100% of the 2000 satellite royalties to the SDC. As a result, the SDC asked the Copyright Royalty Judges (Judges) to order a final distribution to SDC in an amount equal to the Devotional Claimants' share of the 2000 satellite royalty fund. Motion at 1–2.

On December 1, 2017, IPG filed an opposition to the SDC's motion (IPG Opposition). IPG conceded that the written testimony of both IPG and the SDC conclude that "subject to the current rulings of the Judges," IPG has no valid claim to satellite royalties for the year 2000. *See* IPG Opposition at 1. Nevertheless, IPG noted that it disputes and will appeal the Judges' claims rulings. *Id.* at 2. IPG continued:

[I]f appellate review of the Judges' dismissal of 51 claims held by IPGrepresented claimants is reversed as an excessive discovery sanction, as IPG contends, then the relative value of the previously-dismissed claims will require reconsideration for any award to IPG of 2000 satellite royalties. Under such circumstance, IPG will likely be awarded a substantial portion of the 2000 satellite royalties, and final distribution of 2000 satellite royalties will necessarily require repayment from the SDC of royalties with an attributed interest rate. *Id.* at 3. In light of the value IPG projected for its dismissed claims should they be reinstated, IPG maintained that distribution to SDC would be "imprudent." *Id.* at 3–4.

In their response (Response), the SDC noted that the Judges have twice rejected IPG's requests for rehearing of the order in which the Judges dismissed IPG's claims to 2000 satellite royalties. Response at 2. In the SDC's estimation, IPG has had full and fair opportunities to state its case to the Judges, and an appeal to the Court of Appeals is unlikely to succeed. *Id*.

Moreover, the SDC noted that the Judges addressed the identical situation with respect to the 2008 satellite royalties, and the Judges ordered a final distribution of the Devotional Claimants' share to the SDC. Id., citing Order Granting Final Distribution of 2008 Satellite Royalties for the Devotional Category, Dkt. No. 2012-7 CRB SD 1999-2009 (Phase II) (Dec. 22, 2015). In response to IPG's concerns regarding the SDC's repayment of royalties should IPG prevail on appeal, the SDC noted that they have executed the royalty repayment agreement required by the Library of Congress prior to any partial distribution of royalty funds. Response at 3. The SDC added:

All devotional ministries that are members of the SDC in the relevant period are bound by that obligation. How the remission might be accomplished is the responsibility of the SDC, which are among the largest religious ministries in the United States. Collectively, they would be fully capable of meeting any obligation to the Library . . . To suggest otherwise is without foundation.

Response at 3.

Section 801(b)(3)(A) of the Copyright Act states that the Judges may authorize distribution of royalty fees deposited pursuant to Section 119 of the Copyright Act if they find that the distribution is not subject to controversy. 17 U.S.C. 801(b)(3)(A). In the current proceeding, the parties agree that the Judges have dismissed all claims that IPGrepresented claimants had to satellite rovalties for 2000 in the Devotional category. As a result, the SDC are the only claimants in the proceeding with valid claims to satellite royalties for 2000 in the Devotional category. Therefore, in the current circumstances, satellite royalties for 2000 in the Devotional category are no longer in controversy.

In November 2008, the parties to this proceeding filed a motion seeking partial distribution of 98% of the satellite royalty funds deposited for royalty years 1999 through 2003. In that motion, the parties designated specific amounts of the proposed two percent reserve to be allocated among Program Suppliers claimants, Sports claimants, and Devotional claimants. In their motion, the moving parties represented that they had reached a settlement with regard to royalty category allocation. The moving parties maintained that the allocation settlement was nonprecedential and confidential. Because the moving parties maintain the confidentiality of the allocation shares, however, the Judges have no way to allocate the reserved funds among the categories with continuing controversies.

By Order dated December 8, 2008, the Judges ordered distribution of 90% of the satellite royalty funds deposited for royalty years 1999 through 2003. While the Judges ordered ten percent of the royalty funds to be held in reserve, they did not allocate the reserve among the categories with remaining distribution controversies. See Order Granting in Part . . . Partial Distribution . . ., Dkt. Nos. 2008-5 CRB SD 1999-2000, 2005-2 CRB SD 2001-03 at 2-3 (Dec. 8, 2008) (Phase I Order). To direct execution of this final determination, therefore, the Judges must first know the exact dollar amount they should order disbursed to the SDC to complete the distribution.

The Judges therefore *Grant* the Motion as to the percentage of distribution.¹

The Judges Further Order that this final distribution determination is without prejudice to the parties' right to appeal the Judges' interlocutory ruling in this consolidated proceeding with regard to both cable and satellite claims issues.

The Judges *Further Order* the Phase I Claimants jointly to notify the Judges and the Licensing Division no later than seven days after the date of publication of this Determination of the percentage of 2000 satellite royalty funds that was allocable to the SDC as of December 8, 2008.

The Judges Further Order the Licensing Division of the Copyright Office, based upon the percentage allocable to the SDC provided by the Phase I Claimants, to calculate the dollar amount that is available to be distributed from 2000 satellite royalty funds to the SDC by taking the principal balance as of December 8, 2008, as reported jointly by the remaining categories of claimants to 2000 satellite

royalty funds, adjusting that balance for a proportional deduction of administrative fees, and adding the interest accrued on the Devotional category balance from and after December 8, 2008. The Licensing Division shall provide the result of its calculation to the Judges and to the Phase I Claimants. The SDC may then request that the Judges distribute those funds to complete the final distribution.

The Register of Copyrights ("Register") has concluded her statutory review. The Librarian of Congress shall review and cause this final determination, and any correction thereto by the Register, to be published in the Federal Register.

October 1, 2018.

So Ordered. Suzanne M. Barnett, Chief United States Copyright Royalty Judge. David R. Strickler, United States Copyright Royalty Judge. Jesse M. Feder, United States Copyright Royalty Judge.

Dated: November 7, 2018.

Suzanne M. Barnett,

Chief United States Copyright Royalty Judge. Approved by:

Carla B. Hayden,

Librarian of Congress. [FR Doc. 2018-26494 Filed 12-4-18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1410-72-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Permit Applications Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

AGENCY: National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Notice of permit applications received.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish a notice of permit applications received to conduct activities regulated under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. NSF has published regulations under the Antarctic Conservation Act in the Code of Federal Regulations. This is the required notice of permit applications received.

DATES: Interested parties are invited to submit written data, comments, or views with respect to this permit application by January 4, 2019. This application may be inspected by interested parties at the Permit Office, address below.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Permit Office, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nature McGinn, ACA Permit Officer, at the above address. 703-292-8030. or ACApermits@nsf.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Science Foundation, as directed by the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541, 45 CFR 671), as amended by the Antarctic Science, Tourism and Conservation Act of 1996, has developed regulations for the establishment of a permit system for various activities in Antarctica and designation of certain animals and certain geographic areas a requiring special protection. The regulations establish such a permit system to designate Antarctic Specially Protected Areas.

Application Details

1. Applicant Permit Application: 2019-016

Ashley Perrin, Antarctic Ice Pilot, for SY Destination, 14 Washington Ave., San Rafael, CA 94903.

Activity for Which Permit Is Requested

Waste Management. The applicant is requesting a permit for waste management activities associated with operating the yacht, SY Destination, in the Antarctic Treaty area for three weeks in January 2019. The cruise program would consist of a one-time voyage to Antarctica with operations in the Southern Ocean, Antarctic Peninsula region, and the South Shetland Islands. The applicant expects there to be a total of thirteen people total aboard the vessel during the voyage. Activities would include sightseeing, small boat cruising, brief shore excursions, polar plunging, snorkeling/diving, and operation of a remotely piloted aircraft system (RPAS) as a navigational and safety aid. The RPAS would consist of a small, cameraequipped quadcopter, operated by an experienced pilot, within visual line of sight, under fair weather conditions, and according to best operating practices. The yacht has an onboard sewage treatment plant that meets MARPOL standards. All food waste and garbage would be collected, maintained onboard the vessel, and properly disposed of outside the Treaty area. Best practices would be employed to mitigate the risk of accidental releases to the environment.

Location

Southern Ocean, Antarctic Peninsula region, South Shetland Islands.

¹This finding is based on IPG's lack of compensable claims and not on expert valuation of the relative value of SDC's and IPG's respective claims to 2000 satellite royalties. See Order Granting Final Distribution of 2008 Satellite Royalties for the Devotional Category, Docket No. 2012-7 CRB SD 1999-2009 (Phase II) (Dec. 22, 2015).