

regulations ((50 CFR 17.22(b)(5) and 17.32(b)(5)) regarding conservation activities for the Morro shoulderband snail.

Applicants' Proposed Activities

The applicants have applied for a permit for incidental take of the Morro shoulderband snail. Take is likely to occur in association with activities necessary to construct a single-family residence. The site contains 2.79 acres of suitable upland habitat for the Morro shoulderband snail, all of which is in critical habitat designated for the species. The HCP includes measures to minimize take of Morro shoulderband snail in the form of injury and mortality. Mitigation for unavoidable take of the species consists of the permanent protection of 1.37 acres of suitable and occupied onsite habitat as a conservation easement to be dedicated to the County of San Luis Obispo.

Our Preliminary Determination

The Service made a preliminary determination that issuance of the incidental take permit is neither a major Federal action that will significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), nor will it individually or cumulatively have more than a negligible effect on the species covered in the HCP. The Service considers the effects of the taking of the Morro shoulderband snail to be minor as the affected area is small (approximately 1.42 acres) and includes the permanent protection of 1.37 acres of suitable, occupied habitat in a conservation easement. Therefore, based on this preliminary determination, the permit qualifies for a categorical exclusion under NEPA.

Public Comments

If you wish to comment on the permit application, draft HCP, and associated documents, you may submit comments by one of the methods in **ADDRESSES**.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public view, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10 of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: November 9, 2018.

Stephen P. Henry,

Field Supervisor, Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, Ventura, California.

[FR Doc. 2018-25222 Filed 11-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

[178D0102DM, DS6OS00000, DLSN00000.000000, DX6CS25]

Women's Suffrage Centennial Commission; Notification of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Women's Suffrage Centennial Commission, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: Notice of this meeting is being provided according to the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This notice provides the schedule and agenda for the December 7, 2018, meeting of the Women's Suffrage Centennial Commission (Commission).

DATES: *Meeting date:* The meeting will be held on Friday, December 7, 2018, beginning at 9 a.m., and ending no later than 5 p.m. (Eastern Standard Time).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Belmont-Paul Women's Equality National Monument, 144 Constitution Avenue NE, Washington, DC 20002; in the Allender Gallery on the 2nd floor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Oliver, Designated Federal Officer, Women's Suffrage Centennial Commission, 1849 C Street, NW, Room 7313, Washington, DC 20240; phone: (202) 912-7510; fax: (202) 219-2100; email: kmoliver@blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Congress passed legislation to create the Women's Suffrage Centennial Commission Act, a bill, "to ensure a suitable observance of the centennial of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States providing for women's suffrage."

The duties of the Commission, as written in the law, include: (1) To encourage, plan, develop, and execute programs, projects, and activities to commemorate the centennial of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment; (2) To encourage private organizations and State and local Governments to organize and participate

in activities commemorating the centennial of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment; (3) To facilitate and coordinate activities throughout the United States relating to the centennial of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment; (4) To serve as a clearinghouse for the collection and dissemination of information about events and plans for the centennial of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment; and (5) To develop recommendations for Congress and the President for commemorating the centennial of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment.

Meeting Agenda

Welcome and Introductions
Ethics briefing
FACA Briefing
FACA Records Briefing
Summary of NPS 19th Amendment Commemoration Planning
Overview of Women's Suffrage Movement
Establish Vision/Mission
Discuss informative speakers/research/articles
Establish subcommittees
Public Comment Period
2019 Meeting Schedule
Adjourn

The meeting is open to the public, but preregistration is required. Any individual who wishes to attend the meeting should register via email at kmoliver@blm.gov or telephone (202) 912-7510. Interested persons may choose to make a public comment at the meeting during the designated time for this purpose. Members of the public may also choose to submit written comments by mailing them to Kim Oliver, Designated Federal Officer, 1849 C Street NW, Room 7313, Washington, DC 20240, or via email at kmoliver@blm.gov. Please contact Ms. Oliver at the email address above to obtain meeting materials. All written comments received will be provided to the Commission.

Individuals requiring special accommodations to access the public meeting should contact Ms. Oliver no later than December 3, 2018, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Public Disclosure of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we

cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2

Margaret Triebusch,

Program Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2018-25331 Filed 11-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334-63-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1203 (Review)]

Xanthan Gum From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on xanthan gum from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on June 1, 2018 (83 FR 25485) and determined on September 4, 2018 that it would conduct an expedited review (83 FR 48653, September 26, 2018).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on November 15, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4839 (November 2018), entitled *Xanthan Gum from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1203 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 15, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-25290 Filed 11-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1059]

Certain Digital Cameras, Software, and Components Thereof; Commission Determination To Review-In-Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions; Extension of Target Date for Completion of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) final initial determination (“Final ID”) issued on August 17, 2018, finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”) in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has also determined to extend the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to February 1, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 2, 2017, based on a complaint filed by Carl Zeiss AG of Oberkochen, Germany, and ASML Netherlands B.V. of Veldhoven, Netherlands. 82 FR 25627-28. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the

United States after importation of certain digital cameras, software, and components thereof that infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 6,301,440 (“the ‘440 patent”); 6,463,163 (“the ‘163 patent”); 6,714,241 (“the ‘241 patent”); 6,731,335 (“the ‘335 patent”); 6,834,128 (“the ‘128 patent”); 7,297,916 (“the ‘916 patent”); and 7,933,454 (“the ‘454 patent”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists in the United States. The Commission’s Notice of Investigation named as respondents Nikon Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sendai Nikon Corporation of Natori, Japan; Nikon Inc. of Melville, New York; Nikon (Thailand) Co., Ltd. of Ayutthaya, Thailand; Nikon Imaging (China) Co., Ltd. of Wuxi, China; and PT Nikon Indonesia of Jakarta, Indonesia. *Id.* at 25627. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.* The Commission later terminated respondent PT Nikon from the investigation. Order No. 36 (Dec. 27, 2017) (unreviewed Notice (Jan. 19, 2018)). The Commission also subsequently terminated from the investigation all claims of the ‘163 and ‘335 patents and certain claims of the ‘440, ‘241, ‘128, ‘916, and ‘454 patents. Order No. 23 (Oct. 3, 2017) (unreviewed Notice (Oct. 17, 2017)); Order No. 32 (Nov. 22, 2017) (unreviewed Notice (Dec. 19, 2017)); Order No. 45 (Feb. 5, 2018) (unreviewed Notice (Mar. 6, 2018)); Order No. 65 (Mar. 27, 2018) (unreviewed Notice (Apr. 25, 2018)); Order No. 67 (Apr. 13, 2018) (unreviewed Notice (May 4, 2018)).

On August 17, 2018, the ALJ issued her Final ID, finding a violation of section 337 with respect to asserted claims 1 and 8 of the ‘916, asserted claims 6, 35, and 39 of the ‘440 patent, and asserted claim 22 of the ‘454 patent. The final ID finds no violation as to asserted claims 1, 12, and 16 of the ‘128 patent, asserted claim 10 of the ‘241 patent, and asserted claims 37, 46, and 50 of the ‘440 patent.

In particular, the Final ID finds that asserted claims 1 and 8 of the ‘916 patent read on the accused products under the DOE. The Final ID also finds that asserted claims 1 and 8 are not invalid for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103. The Final ID further finds that Zeiss has satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry (“DI”) requirement with respect to the ‘916 patent.

The Final ID finds that asserted claims 6, 35, 37, 39, 46, and 50 of the ‘440 patent read on the accused products. The Final ID also finds that asserted claim 37 is invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102, but that asserted claims 6, 35, 39, 46, and

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).