

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, United States Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 10, 2018, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished (TRBs) from the People's Republic of China (China) for 14 companies. Based on timely withdrawal of requests for review, we are now rescinding this administrative review with respect to 10 of these companies.

DATES: Applicable November 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Medley or Alex Wood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4987 or (202) 482-1959, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

In June 2018, Commerce received multiple timely requests to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on TRBs from China. Based upon these requests, on August 10, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review covering the period June 1, 2017, through May 31, 2018, with respect to 14 companies.¹ In August and September, 2018, the following companies withdrew their requests for an administrative review: Changshan Peer Bearing Co., Ltd. (CPZ/SKF); CNH Industrial Italia SpA (CNH); GGB Bearing Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. (GGB); GSP Automotive Group Wenzhou Co., Ltd. (GSP); Hangzhou Hanji Auto Parts Co., Ltd. (Hanji Auto);

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 39688 (August 10, 2018). See also *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 45596, 45603 (September 10, 2018), correcting the spelling of one company name.

Hangzhou Radical Energy-Saving Technology Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou Radical); Ningbo Xinglun Bearings Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Xinglun Bearings); Shanghai General Bearing Co., Ltd (SGBC); Zhejiang Machinery Import & Export Corp. (Zhejiang Machinery); and Zhejiang Zhaofeng Mechanical and Electronic Co., Ltd. (Zhaofeng).

Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. CNH, CPZ/SKF, GGB, GSP, Hanji Auto, Hangzhou Radical, SGBC, Xinglun Bearings, Zhaofeng, and Zhejiang Machinery timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review of themselves. No other party requested a review of these 10 companies. Accordingly, we are rescinding this review, in part, with respect to these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

The instant review will continue with respect to the following companies: Hangzhou Xiaoshan Dingli Machinery Co., Ltd.; Shandong Aokai Bearing Co., Ltd.; Taizhou Zson Bearing Technology Co., Ltd.; and Zhejiang Jingli Bearing Technology Co., Ltd.

Assessment

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751 and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 14, 2018.

James Maeder,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2018-25146 Filed 11-16-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 22, 2018, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain activated carbon from the People's Republic of China (China). Commerce is amending the final results of the administrative review to correct ministerial errors.

DATES: Applicable November 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Anwesen or Jinny Ahn, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0131 or (202) 482-0339, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On October 22, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the administrative review of certain activated carbon from China.¹ On October 23, 2018, Datong Juqiang submitted timely ministerial error allegations regarding the *Final Results*. In addition, Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd. (TCF), Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Co. Ltd (Jilin Bright Future), Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Datong Yunguang), Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd. (SITT), and Shanxi Dapu International Trade Co., Ltd. (Shanxi Dapu) (collectively, No Shipment Companies) submitted timely ministerial error allegations regarding the *Final Results*.

Legal Framework

A ministerial error, as defined in section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), includes “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.”² With respect to final results, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any ministerial error by amending . . . the final results of review. . . .”

Ministerial Errors

A. No Shipment Companies

The No Shipment Companies allege that, in Appendix II of the *Final Results*, Commerce erroneously listed TCF, Jilin Bright Future, Datong Yunguang, SITT, and Shanxi Dapu as companies not eligible for a separate rate and, therefore, part of the China-Wide entity. With regard to the No Shipment Companies’ allegation, we agree that the inclusion of their names in Appendix II of the *Final Results* constitutes a ministerial error. In the *Final Results*, we determined that these companies made no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review, based on their respective certifications of no shipments and our inquiry with CBP. Thus, the inclusion of these companies in Appendix II of the *Final Results*, which identified the companies that were not eligible for a separate rate and would be treated as part of the China-wide entity, was an

¹ See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 53214 (October 22, 2018) (*Final Results*) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

² See also 19 CFR 351.224(f).

unintentional error, and constitutes a ministerial error within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), which warrants a correction. Consequently, we revised Appendix II to remove TCF, Jilin Bright Future, Datong Yunguang, SITT, and Shanxi Dapu from the list of companies not eligible for a separate rate as part of the China-Wide entity.

B. Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd.

In reviewing the ministerial error allegations in the *Final Results*, we noted that we inadvertently we applied the margin corresponding to the incorrect comparison method, inconsistent with the results of our differential pricing test and analysis. This error resulted in assigning the incorrect weighted-average dumping margin to Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd. (Carbon Activated). In the *Final Results*, we inadvertently listed a dumping margin calculated based on the average-to-transaction (A–T) comparison method, which resulted in a \$0.45/kilogram weighted-average dumping margin.³ However, we should have listed the dumping margin calculated using the mixed alternative methodology (*i.e.*, average-to-average and A–T method),⁴ which results in a weighted-average dumping margin of \$0.23/kilogram. We find that our application of the margin corresponding to the A–T comparison method is an unintentional error constituting a ministerial error within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), and warranting correction.⁵ Consequently, as explained in the Ministerial Error Memorandum, we are amending the final weighted-average dumping margin for Carbon Activated pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e) to reflect the correct methodology and weighted-average dumping margin.

Furthermore, in the *Final Results*, we assigned to the non-individually examined companies that qualified for a separate rate (Separate Rate Companies), the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Carbon Activated.⁶ Consistent with our practice, because

³ See *Final Results*, 83 FR at 53214. See also Memorandum, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results Calculation Memorandum for Carbon Activated” at 3.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results Calculation Memorandum for Carbon Activated” dated October 16, 2018 (Carbon Activated’s Final Calculation Memorandum), at 3–4.

⁵ See Ministerial Error Memorandum; see also Carbon Activated’s Final Calculation Memorandum at 3–4.

⁶ See *Final Results*, 83 FR at 53214.

we are amending Carbon Activated’s final weighted-average dumping margin to reflect the correct differential pricing methodology, we are also amending the separate rate assigned to the Separate Rate Companies.

Revisions Not Covered by Section 751(h) of the Act

In its timely filed ministerial allegation letter, Datong Juqiang alleges that, in the Datong Juqiang-specific draft liquidation instructions, Commerce identified one importer/customer by its short name, not its full legal name. In the final Datong Juqiang-specific liquidation instructions, Datong Juqiang requests that Commerce identify the importer/customer by both its full legal name and short name. Datong Juqiang also requests that Commerce revise the instruction to include an additional customer reported by Datong Juqiang in its responses, to avoid any confusion with United States Customs and Border Protection (CBP) when liquidating entries.

We find that Datong Juqiang’s alleged errors do not constitute a ministerial error within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) because they are comments on the draft liquidation instructions, rather than allegations of error in the final results of this administrative review. Nevertheless, we have considered Datong Juqiang’s comment on the draft liquidation instructions and have revised Datong Juqiang’s liquidation instruction to include both the full legal name and short name of one importer/customer as identified by Datong Juqiang. However, consistent with our practice, we decline to include the name of the additional customer reported by Datong Juqiang in its responses because this customer was not reported as importer of record, which is the information upon which importer-specific assessment and liquidation instructions are based, unless the importer of record is unknown. In this case, this additional customer was not reported as an importer of record.

Amended Final Results

The amended weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

⁷ In the second administrative review of the *Order*, Commerce determined that it would calculate per-unit weighted-average dumping margins and assessment rates for all future reviews. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 70208, 70211 (November 17, 2010) and accompanying IDM at Comment 3.

⁸ There are no changes to the dumping margin for Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.

Exporter	Amended weighted-average dumping margins (USD/kg) ⁷
Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd	0.23
Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd	0.23
Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd ⁸	0.00
Jacobi Carbons AB ⁹	0.23
Ningxia Guanghai Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.23
Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.23
Ningxia Mineral & Chemical Limited	0.23
Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd	0.23

These amended final results are published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e) and (g).

Dated: November 13, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Companies Not Eligible for a Separate Rate and To Be Treated as Part of China-Wide Entity

Company

1. Beijing Embrace Technology Co., Ltd.
2. Meadwestvaco (China) Holding Co., Ltd.
3. Ningxia Guanghai A/C Co., Ltd.
4. Ningxia Guanghai Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
5. Ningxia Guanghai Chemical Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
6. Ningxia Jirui Activated Carbon
7. Shanxi DMD Corporation
8. Tancar Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
9. Tangshan Solid Carbon Co., Ltd.
10. Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co., Ltd.
11. Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2018–25144 Filed 11–16–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

⁹In the third administrative review of the *Order*, Commerce found that Jacobi Carbons AB, Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co. Ltd., and Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) are a single entity and, because there were no facts presented on the record of this review which would call into question our prior finding, we continue to treat these companies as part of a single entity for this administrative review, pursuant to sections 771(33)(E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.401(f). See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 67142, 67145 n.25 (October 31, 2011); see also *Preliminary Results*, and accompanying PDM at n.26.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–523–808]

Certain Steel Nails From the Sultanate of Oman: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that, during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, Oman Fasteners LLC (Oman Fasteners) is not selling nails at less than normal value but that the collapsed entity of Overseas International Steel Industry LLC (OISI) and Overseas Distribution Services Inc. (ODS) is.

DATES: Applicable November 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3936, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 14, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2016–2017 antidumping duty administrative review of certain steel nails from the Sultanate of Oman.¹ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. On June 13, 2018, Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (the petitioner) and Oman Fasteners submitted case briefs.² In its case brief, the petitioner timely requested a hearing but withdrew its request on September 7, 2018.³ On June 20, 2018, the petitioner and Oman Fasteners submitted their rebuttal briefs.⁴

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 22246 (May 14, 2018) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (*Preliminary Results*).

² See the petitioner's case brief, dated June 13, 2018, Oman Fasteners' case brief, dated June 13, 2018.

³ See Letter from the petitioner, "Certain Steel Nails from Oman: Withdrawal of Request for Hearing," dated September 7, 2018.

⁴ See the petitioner's rebuttal brief, dated June 20, 2018, and Oman Fasteners' rebuttal brief, dated June 20, 2018.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is nails having a nominal shaft length not exceeding 12 inches.⁵ Merchandise covered by the order is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7317.00.55.02, 7317.00.55.03, 7317.00.55.05, 7317.00.55.07, 7317.00.55.08, 7317.00.55.11, 7317.00.55.18, 7317.00.55.19, 7317.00.55.20, 7317.00.55.30, 7317.00.55.40, 7317.00.55.50, 7317.00.55.60, 7317.00.55.70, 7317.00.55.80, 7317.00.55.90, 7317.00.65.30, 7317.00.65.60 and 7317.00.75.00. Nails subject to this order also may be classified under HTSUS subheadings 7907.00.60.00, 8206.00.00.00 or other HTSUS subheadings. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the IDM.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the IDM. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The IDM is a public document and is on-file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the IDM can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed IDM and the electronic versions of the IDM are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have recalculated Oman Fasteners' weighted-average dumping

⁵ The shaft length of certain steel nails with flat heads or parallel shoulders under the head shall be measured from under the head or shoulder to the tip of the point. The shaft length of all other certain steel nails shall be measured overall.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for Final Results of the 2016–2017 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by this notice (IDM).