publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 14, 2019. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, and Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: October 31, 2018.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND **PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart D—Arizona

■ 2. Section 52.120 in paragraph (e), Table 1 is amended by adding, under the table heading "Part D Elements and Plans (Other than for the Metropolitan Phoenix and Tucson Areas)," an entry for "SIP Revision: Hayden Lead Nonattainment Area, excluding Appendix C." after the entry for "Maintenance Plan Renewal, 1971 Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standards, Douglas Maintenance Area." The addition reads as follows:

§ 52.120 Identification of plan.

*

(e) * * *

TABLE 1-EPA-APPROVED NON-REGULATORY AND QUASI-REGULATORY MEASURES [Excluding certain resolutions and statutes, which are listed in tables 2 and 3, respectively]¹

Name of SIP provision	Applicable geogra attainment area or	phic or non- r title/subject	State submittal date	EPA appr	oval date	Explanation	
The State of Arizona Air Pollution Control Implementation Plan							
* SIP Revision: Hayden Lead Non-	* Havden A7 Lead I	* Nonattainment	* March 3, 2017	* [INSERT Federa]	* Register CITA-	* Adopted by the Arizona Depa	
attainment Area, excluding Appendix C.		nonattainment	March 3, 2017	[INSERT Federal Register CITA- TION], November 14, 2018.		ment of Environmental Quality on March 3, 2017.	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

¹Table 1 is divided into three parts: Clean Air Act Section 110(a)(2) State Implementation Plan Elements (excluding Part D Elements and Plans), Part D Elements and Plans (other than for the Metropolitan Phoenix or Tucson Areas), and Part D Elements and Plans for the Metropolitan Phoenix and Tucson Areas.

* * * [FR Doc. 2018-24740 Filed 11-13-18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

*

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0661; FRL-9986-32-Region 9]

Air Plan Approval; Arizona; Hayden and Miami Areas; Lead and Sulfur Dioxide Control Measures—Copper Smelters

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). These

revisions concern emissions of lead and sulfur dioxide (SO_2) from the copper smelter at Hayden, AZ and SO₂ from the copper smelter at Miami, AZ. We are approving local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

DATES: This rule will be effective on December 14, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0661. All documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form.

Publicly available docket materials are available through https:// www.regulations.gov, or please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kevin Gong, EPA Region IX, (415) 972-3073, gong.kevin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

I. Proposed Action II. Public Comments and EPA Responses III. EPA Action IV. Incorporation by Reference V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Proposed Action

On March 30, 2018 (83 FR 13716), the EPA proposed to approve the following rules into the Arizona SIP.¹

¹ In addition to the rules addressed in this action, ADEQ's April 6, 2017 submittal also included R18-2–B1301.01—Limits on Lead-Bearing Fugitive Dust from the Hayden Smelter; R18–2–B1302—Limits on SO2 Emissions from the Hayden Smelter; R18-2-

^{715—}Standards of Performance for Existing Primary Copper Smelters: Site-Specific Requirements; and R18–2–715.01—Standards of Performance for Existing Primary Copper Smelters; Compliance and Monitoring. The EPA has already approved R18–2–

B1301.01 into the SIP, 83 FR 7614 (February 22, 2018) and intends to take action on the remaining rules in a separate rulemaking.

Rule citation	Rule title	Effective	Submitted
R18–2–B1301	Limits on Lead Emissions from the Hayden Smelter.	7/1/2018 or 180 calendar days after completion of all Converter Retrofit Project improvements authorized by Significant Permit Revision No. 60647.	4/6/2017
R18–2–C1302	Limits on SO ₂ Emissions from the Miami Smelter	On the later of the effective date of the EPA Ad- ministrator's action approving it as part of the state implementation plan or January 1, 2018.	4/6/2017
Appendix 14	Procedures for Sulfur Dioxide and Lead Fugitive Emissions Studies for the Hayden Smelter.	5/7/2017	4/6/2017
R18–2–715.02	Standards of Performance for Existing Primary Copper Smelters; Fugitive Emissions.	5/7/2017	4/6/2017

We proposed to approve these rules because we determined that they comply with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rules and our evaluation.

II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

The EPA's notice of proposed rulemaking provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received 15 comments. Nine of these comments address issues not related to the subject of this rulemaking, including: Environmental quality issues in Asia, climate change policy, and other federal requirements not related to SO₂ or lead pollution in Arizona. Six comments are germane to this rulemaking, and are supportive of the EPA's proposal to approve these regulations. One of these commenters raised a concern about the State and the EPA's statement that controlling emissions from the 1,000-foot stack would result in improved air quality at the ground level monitors at Hillcrest and Globe Highway in the Hayden Area. This commenter also suggested that the EPA should pay additional attention to fugitive lead emissions that may result from other smelter processes, including furnace dust and from residue from converter bed cleaning. We thank the commenter for the questions and suggestion and address the issues raised below.

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) and the EPA believe that the prime contributors to lead nonattainment are fugitive emissions from smelter operations and leaded dust surrounding the smelter. Rule R18–2–B1301.01, approved into the Arizona SIP in 83 FR 7614, addresses leaded dust control measures for non-smelting process sources, which includes sources such as the bedding plant and reverts piles. Dust and material generated from smelter process sources, such as furnace and converter dust, are collected and deposited in these non-smelting process sources for

disposal or reintroduction into the smelter process. Rule R18-2-B1301 addresses fugitive emissions from smelter operations by establishing operational standards for process equipment and control devices, requirements for the process gas capture system and control devices operations and maintenance plan (O&M plan), performance testing and compliance demonstration requirements, and recordkeeping and reporting requirements. However, Rule R18-2-B1301 does not include a numeric fugitive lead emissions limit. The EPA recognized this issue during the rule development process and requested that ADEQ provide supplementary analysis to address this concern. ADEQ responded on October 11, 2018, stating that continuous monitoring of fugitive lead emissions is technically infeasible, and that parametric monitoring of capture and control device efficiency (which would minimize uncontrolled fugitive emissions, and increase the volume of process gas directed to control devices and ultimately the 1,000-foot stack) was a suitable proxy for a numeric fugitive lead limit. ADEQ also reiterated that the fugitive emissions analyses required by Appendix 14 would be used to validate this approach.² The EPA generally agrees with this reasoning

The EPA also requested that ADEQ address an issue regarding the allowance for alternative sampling points for SO_2 at the Miami Smelter. Specifically, we requested that ADEQ eliminate a provision that allowed for the owner or operator of the Miami Smelter to petition for an alternative sampling point if the current locations proved infeasible. Such flexibility might have been necessary at the time of rule development, as capture and control upgrades were still being installed; however, now that the upgrades are complete, we do not believe this flexibility is still necessary. ADEQ agreed to withdraw subsection (E)(6) of Rule R18–2–C1302 allowing for alternative sampling point since none are needed at the Miami Smelter.³

The comments and additional analysis from ADEQ have been added to the docket for this action and are accessible at https://www. regulations.gov/docket?D=EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0661.

III. EPA Action

No comments were submitted that change our assessment of the rules as described in our proposed action. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA is approving these rules into the Arizona SIP, with the exception of subsection (E)(6) in Rule R18–2–C1302, which was withdrawn by ADEQ. The EPA is also approving Appendix 14 and revised R18–2–715.02.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the ADEQ rules described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by

² Letter from Timothy S. Franquist, Director, Air Quality Division, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, to Michael Stoker, Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, "Re: Justification and Clarification on Arizona Administrative Code R18– 2–B1301, *Limits on Lead Emissions from the* Hayden Smelter," dated October 11, 2018.

³Letter from Timothy S. Franquist, Director, Air Quality Division, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, to Michael Stoker, Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, "Re: Request to Withdraw from EPA Consideration, Arizona Administrative Code R18–2–C1302, Subsection (E)(6)," dated August 27, 2018.

reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.⁴

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

• Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or

safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

• Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 804, however, exempts from section 801 the following types of rules: Rules of particular applicability; rules relating to agency management or personnel; and rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice that do not substantially affect the rights or obligations of nonagency parties. 5 U.S.C. 804(3). Because this is a rule of particular applicability, the EPA is not required to submit a rule report regarding this action under section 801.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 14, 2019. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: October 30, 2018.

Michael Stoker,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart D—Arizona

■ 2. In § 52.120, table 2 in paragraph (c) is amended by:

■ a. Revising the entry "R18–2–715.02";

■ b. Adding the entry "R18–2–B1301" after the subheading "Article 13 (State Implementation Plan Rules for Specific Locations)"; and

■ c. Adding the entries "R18–2–C1302, excluding subsection (E)(6)" and "Appendix 14" after the entry "R18–2–B1301.01".

The revision and additions read as follows:

§ 52.120 Identification of plan.

* * *

(c) * * *

TABLE 2—EPA-APPROVED ARIZONA REGULATIONS

State citation	Title/subject		State effective date	EPA approval date	A approval date Additional explanatio		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Article 7 (Existing Stationary Source Performance Standards)							

State citation	Title/subject		State effective date	EPA approval date	Additional explanation	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
R18–2–715.02		rformance for Existing er Smelters; Fugitive	5/7/2017	11/14/2018, [insert Federal Register citation].	Submitted by the on April 6, 2017	Governor's designee
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Art	icle 13 (State Implemer	ntation Plan Rule	es for Specific Locati	ons)	
R18–2–B1301	Limits on Lead Ei den Smelter.	missions from the Hay-	7/1/2018	11/14/2018, [insert Federal Register citation].	Submitted by the on April 6, 2017	Governor's designee
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
R18–2–C1302, ex- cluding subsection (E)(6).	Limits on SO ₂ Miami Smelter.	Emissions from the	12/14/2018	11/14/2018, [insert Federal Register citation].	on April 6, 201 was withdrawn	Governor's designee 17. Subsection (E)(6) by the Arizona De- ironmental Quality.
Appendix 14		ulfur Dioxide and Lead sions Studies for the er.	5/7/2017	11/14/2018, [insert Federal Register citation].	Submitted by the Governor's desig on April 6, 2017.	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

TABLE 2—EPA-APPROVED ARIZONA REGULATIONS—Continued

[FR Doc. 2018–24743 Filed 11–13–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 509

[GSAR Change 96; GSAR Case 2017–G503; Docket No. 2018–0012; Sequence No. 1]

RIN 3090-AJ87

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Removing Duplicative Responsibility Determination Guidance

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration (GSA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: GSA is amending the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to remove duplicative text already contained in the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

DATES: *Effective date:* This rule is effective January 14, 2019 unless GSA receives adverse comments during the comment period. If GSA receives adverse comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

Comment date: Comments are due December 14, 2018 by any of the methods listed in the Addresses section of this rule. **ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in response to GSAR Case 2017–G503 by any of the following methods:

• *Regulations.gov: http:// www.regulations.gov.* Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for "GSAR Case 2017–G503". Select the link "Comment Now" that corresponds with "GSAR Case 2017– G503." Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "GSAR Case 2017–G503" on your attached document.

• *Mail:* General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), ATTN: Lois Mandell, 1800 F Street NW, 2nd floor, Washington, DC 20405.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite "GSAR Case 2017–G503" in all correspondence related to this case. All comments received will be posted without change to http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check https://www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Johnnie McDowell, Procurement Analyst, at 202–718–6112 or *johnnie.mcdowell@gsa.gov*, for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4755. Please cite GSAR Case 2017–G503. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

FAR 1.304(b) states that agency regulations shall not "unnecessarily repeat, paraphrase, or otherwise restate material contained in the FAR." Here, both GSAR 509.105–1(b) and FAR 9.105(b) provide guidance to obtaining information from Government sources for a responsibility determination of potential Government contractors.

II. Discussion and Analysis

Both GSAR 509.105-1(b) and FAR 9.105-1(b) pertain to how contracting officers obtain information regarding a contractor's responsibility. GSAR 509.105–1(b) states "[t]he contracting officer may solicit and consider information from any appropriate activities[.]" FAR 9.105-1(b) states "[g]enerally, the contracting officer shall obtain information regarding the responsibility of prospective contractors, including requesting preaward surveys when necessary (see 9.106) promptly after bid opening or receipt of offers . . ." GSAR 509.105-1(b) simply paraphrases FAR 9.105–1(b) as it restates that a contracting officer should obtain information regarding a contractor's responsibility through "any appropriate activities'' which is implied through FAR 9.105–1(b)'s language. Further, FAR 9.105 includes that standards and procedures for requesting and obtaining information sufficient to determine the responsibility of a