

More information about the NANC is available at <https://www.fcc.gov/about-fcc/advisory-committees/general/north-american-numbering-council>. You may also contact Marilyn Jones, DFO of the NANC, at Marilyn.jones@fcc.gov, or (202) 418-2357; Michelle Sclater, Alternate DFO, at michelle.sclater@fcc.gov, or (202) 418-0388; or Carmell Weathers, Special Assistant to the DFO, at carmell.weathers@fcc.gov, or (202) 418-2325.

This is a summary of the Commission's document in CC Docket No. 92-237, DA 18-1118 released October 31, 2018. The complete text in this document is available for public inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW, Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone (800) 378-3160 or (202) 863-2893, facsimile (202) 863-2898, or via the internet at <http://www.bcpweb.com>. It is available on the Commission's website at <http://www.fcc.gov>.

* The Agenda may be modified at the discretion of the NANC Chairman with the approval of the Designated Federal Officer (DFO).

Federal Communications Commission.

Marilyn Jones,

Senior Counsel for Number Administration,
Wireline Competition Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2018-24680 Filed 11-9-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: Thursday, November 15, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: 1050 First Street NE, Washington, DC (12th Floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Correction and Approval of Minutes for October 25, 2018

Draft Advisory Opinion 2018-15: Wyden

Draft Advisory Opinion 2018-13: OsiaNetwork LLC

Audit Division Recommendation Memorandum on Friends of Erik Paulsen (FEP) (A17-06)

Management and Administrative Matters

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694-1220.

Individuals who plan to attend and require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact Dayna C. Brown, Secretary and Clerk, at (202) 694-1040, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting date.

Dayna C. Brown,

Secretary and Clerk of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-24864 Filed 11-8-18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission").

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FTC intends to ask the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") to extend for an additional three years the current Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA") clearance for the information collection requirements in the FTC Red Flags, Card Issuers, and Address Discrepancies Rules¹ ("Rules"). That clearance expires on November 30, 2018.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by December 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write "Red Flags Rule, PRA Comment, Project No. P095406" on your comment. File your comment online at <https://ftcpublishcommentworks.com/ftc/RedFlagsPRA2> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information

¹ 16 CFR 681.1 (Duties regarding the detection, prevention, and mitigation of identity theft); 16 CFR 681.2 (Duties of card issuers regarding changes of address); 16 CFR 641.1 (Duties of users of consumer reports regarding address discrepancies).

should be addressed to Mark Eichorn, Assistant Director, Division of Privacy and Identity Protection, Bureau of Consumer Protection, (202) 326-3053, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Red Flags Rule, 16 CFR 681.1; Card Issuers Rule, 16 CFR 681.2; Address Discrepancy Rule, 16 CFR part 641.

OMB Control Number: 3084-0137.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Abstract: The Red Flags Rule requires financial institutions and certain creditors to develop and implement written Identity Theft Prevention Programs. The Card Issuers Rule requires credit and debit card issuers to assess the validity of notifications of address changes under certain circumstances. The Address Discrepancy Rule provides guidance on what covered users of consumer reports must do when they receive a notice of address discrepancy from a nationwide consumer reporting agency. Collectively, these three anti-identity theft provisions are intended to prevent impostors from misusing another person's personal information for a fraudulent purpose.

The Rules implement sections 114 and 315 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. 1681 *et seq.*

The Commission received no relevant public comments on the Rules' information collection requirements and FTC staff's associated PRA burden analysis and estimates that appeared in an August 8, 2018 *Federal Register* Notice.² That Notice discusses in greater detail staff's methodology behind the estimates restated here in summary form, while also providing an overview of the Rules and the statutes that underlie them.³

Pursuant to the OMB regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, that implement the PRA,

² 83 FR 39096.

³ This *Federal Register* Notice, however, corrects summary figures that had appeared in the prior Notice at Part II., page 39,097 (inadvertently carried over from the FTC's 2015 published PRA estimates). The corrections are not numerically material, however, and the calculation methodologies that appeared in the prior Notice were as intended. Further, in Part III. C. of the prior Notice, at page 39,099, 1,667 hours then intended to be shown as an estimate for address verification were omitted from the hours subtotal for Section 315 and, by extension, the aggregate estimated burden hours for the Rules. However, given statutory changes that had not then been appropriately considered, those hours had been tied to an overstatement of the relevant population affected, as explained further in footnote 9 here. Accordingly, ultimately the estimated burden related to address verification is de minimis.