The company plans to manufacture the above-listed controlled substances in bulk for distribution to its customers.

Dated: October 24, 2018.

John J. Martin,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2018-24006 Filed 11-1-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amended Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On October 25, 2018, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Amended Consent Decree with the United States District Court of the Virgin Islands in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America* v. *Virgin Islands Water and Power Authority*, Civil Action No. 3:14–cv–00086

The original Consent Decree resolved the Clean Air Act violations as alleged in the Complaint filed by the United States on October 30, 2014. The violations alleged in the Complaint with respect to VIWAPA's St. Thomas facility include VIWAPA's failure to properly operate and/or maintain its water injection systems on its gas turbine units, failure to operate in compliance with NO_X, sulfuric acid mist, particulate matter and VOC emission limits, failure to operate in compliance with opacity limits, failure to perform required audits and maintain required quality data availability, failure to properly operate and calibrate the continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) for NO_X and CO, failure to conduct stack testing every 30 months, and failure to properly report non-compliance. The violations alleged in the Complaint with respect to VIWAPA's St. John facility concern VIWAPA's failure to comply with the RICE NESHAP regulations, failure to timely submit a Title V renewal application and operation without a Title V permit, and failure to conduct stack testing every 30 months.

The Consent Decree, entered by the Court on September 30, 2016, requires VIWAPA to generate a high percentage of its KWh from liquid propane gas or liquid natural gas and renewables, to implement a spare parts inventory program, to control NO_X emissions through improved operation of its water injection system, to maintain and operate continuous emissions monitoring systems on specified units, to operate a video camera system for visible emissions, to perform stack testing, and to conduct targeted selfaudits and third party audits given its long term compliance problems. The

Consent Decree also required a \$1,300,000 penalty, which VIWAPA has paid. The proposed Amended Consent Decree makes certain changes to the Consent Decree, including: Updating references to current operating units; adding new units called reciprocating internal combustion engines to the requirements of Paragraph 13 and any requirements associated with the requirements of Paragraph 13; updating aspects of the Consent Decree that have become outdated and are no longer relevant to its enforcement; addressing the current status of the St. John Unit; edits to Paragraph 21 regarding the Atomizer on Unit 14; and adding a date certain for the performance of a stack

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environmental and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States* v. *Virgin Islands Water and Power Authority*, DOJ Ref. # 90–5–2–1–10424. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By e-mail	pubcomment-ees.enrd@ usdoj.gov.
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$22.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Robert Maher,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2018-23985 Filed 11-1-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

U.S. Marshals Service

[OMB Number 1105-0096]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension With No Changes, of a Previously Approved Collection; Sequestered Juror Information Form

AGENCY: U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register on August 29, 2018, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until December 3, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments. particularly with respect to the estimated public burden or associated response time, have suggestions, need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or desire any other additional information, please contact Nicole Timmons either by mail at CG-3, 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20530-0001, by email at Nicole. Timmons@usdoj.gov, or by telephone at 202-236-2646. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_ submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;