

and Thailand have been aligned with the final AD determinations of glycine from India and Thailand. Consequently, the final CVD determination of glycine from China will be issued on the same date as the final AD determinations of glycine from India, Japan, and Thailand and the final CVD determinations of glycine from India and Thailand, which are currently scheduled to be issued no later than January 7, 2019,⁹ unless postponed.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018–23101 Filed 10–22–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–918]

Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Shanghai Wells Hanger Co., Ltd., Hong Kong Wells Ltd., and Hong Kong Wells Ltd. (USA) (collectively, Shanghai Wells) sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR), October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017.

DATES: Applicable October 23, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ian Hamilton, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4798.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review on steel wire garment hangers from the People’s Republic of China (China) on July 13, 2018.¹ For a discussion of the events subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is steel wire garment hangers.³ The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7326.20.0020, 7323.99.9060, and 7323.99.9080. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description of the scope of the order remains dispositive. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is incorporated herein by reference. A list of the issues which each party raised, follows in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and

Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum is available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made revisions to our preliminary calculations of the weighted-average dumping margin for Shanghai Wells.⁵

Separate Rates

In the *Preliminary Results*, we found that information placed on the record by Shanghai Wells demonstrates that this entity is entitled to separate rate status, which we preliminarily granted.⁶ We received no information since the issuance of the *Preliminary Results* that provides a basis for reconsidering the determination with respect to the separate rate status of this entity. Therefore, for the final results, we continue to find that Shanghai Wells is eligible for a separate rate.

Final Results of the Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the POR from October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Shanghai Wells Hanger Co., Ltd./Hong Kong Wells Ltd. ⁷	2.68

⁹ See *Glycine from India, Japan, and Thailand: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations; Glycine from India CVD Preliminary Determination; and Glycine from Thailand CVD Preliminary Determination*.

¹ See *Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 32634 (July 13, 2018) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China; 2016–2017,” dated concurrently with, and hereby

adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China*, 73 FR 58111 (October 6, 2008) (*Order*).

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 2.

⁵ *Id.* at 2–4.

⁶ See *Preliminary Results*, 83 FR at 32634; see also Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4–5.

⁷ In the first administrative review of the *Order*, Commerce found that Shanghai Wells Hanger Co., Ltd. and Hong Kong Wells Ltd. (collectively Shanghai Wells) are a single entity and, because there were no changes to the facts that supported that decision since that determination was made, we continue to find that these companies are part

of a single entity for this administrative review. See *Preliminary Results*, 83 FR at 32635; see also *Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 68758, 68761 (November 9, 2010), unchanged in *First Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 27994, 27996 (May 13, 2011); see also *Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2015–2016*, 82 FR 54324 (November 17, 2017).

Commerce's policy regarding conditional review of the China-wide entity applies to this administrative review.⁸ Under this policy, the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the China-wide entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity in this review, and we did not self-initiate a review, the entity is not under review and the entity's rate is not subject to change, (*i.e.*, 187.25 percent).⁹

Assessment Rates

Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**.

For any individually examined respondent whose (estimated) *ad valorem* weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), Commerce will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁰ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate calculated is not zero or *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's *ad valorem* weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹¹ we will instruct CBP to

liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Consistent with Commerce's assessment practice in a review involving a non-market economy, for sales that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by companies individually examined during this review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries associated with those sales at the rate for the China-wide entity. Furthermore, where we found that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's cash deposit rate) will be liquidated at the rate for the China-wide entity.¹²

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For each specific company listed in the final results of this review, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if the *ad valorem* rate is *de minimis*, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that have received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific cash deposit rate published for the completed segment of the most recent period; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 187.25 percent); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date

of public announcement of these final results of review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Changes Since the Preliminary Results
Discussion of the Issue
Comment: Whether to Treat Other Income as an Offset to Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses
Recommendation
[FR Doc. 2018-23052 Filed 10-22-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

⁸ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

⁹ See *Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2013-2014*, 80 FR 41480 (July 15, 2015), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2013-2014*, 80 FR 69942 (November 12, 2015).

¹⁰ In these final results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹² See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment Practice Refinement*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).