



FEDERAL REGISTER

Vol. 83

Monday,

No. 204

October 22, 2018

Pages 53159–53362

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER



The **FEDERAL REGISTER** (ISSN 0097-6326) is published daily, Monday through Friday, except official holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, under the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office, is the exclusive distributor of the official edition. Periodicals postage is paid at Washington, DC.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders, Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress, and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless the issuing agency requests earlier filing. For a list of documents currently on file for public inspection, see www.federalregister.gov.

The seal of the National Archives and Records Administration authenticates the **Federal Register** as the official serial publication established under the Federal Register Act. Under 44 U.S.C. 1507, the contents of the **Federal Register** shall be judicially noticed.

The **Federal Register** is published in paper and on 24x microfiche. It is also available online at no charge at www.govinfo.gov, a service of the U.S. Government Publishing Office.

The online edition of the **Federal Register** is issued under the authority of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register as the official legal equivalent of the paper and microfiche editions (44 U.S.C. 4101 and 1 CFR 5.10). It is updated by 6:00 a.m. each day the **Federal Register** is published and includes both text and graphics from Volume 1, 1 (March 14, 1936) forward. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800 or 866-512-1800 (toll free). E-mail, gpocusthelp.com.

The annual subscription price for the **Federal Register** paper edition is \$860 plus postage, or \$929, for a combined **Federal Register**, **Federal Register** Index and List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) subscription; the microfiche edition of the **Federal Register** including the **Federal Register** Index and LSA is \$330, plus postage. Six month subscriptions are available for one-half the annual rate. The prevailing postal rates will be applied to orders according to the delivery method requested. The price of a single copy of the daily **Federal Register**, including postage, is based on the number of pages: \$11 for an issue containing less than 200 pages; \$22 for an issue containing 200 to 400 pages; and \$33 for an issue containing more than 400 pages. Single issues of the microfiche edition may be purchased for \$3 per copy, including postage. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account, VISA, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover. Mail to: U.S. Government Publishing Office—New Orders, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000; or call toll free 1-866-512-1800, DC area 202-512-1800; or go to the U.S. Government Online Bookstore site, see bookstore.gpo.gov.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register**.

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 83 FR 12345.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Federal Register, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

PUBLIC

Subscriptions:

Paper or fiche	202-512-1800
Assistance with public subscriptions	202-512-1806

General online information 202-512-1530; 1-888-293-6498

Single copies/back copies:

Paper or fiche	202-512-1800
Assistance with public single copies	1-866-512-1800 (Toll-Free)

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Subscriptions:

Assistance with Federal agency subscriptions:

Email	FRSubscriptions@nara.gov
Phone	202-741-6000



Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 204

Monday, October 22, 2018

Agriculture Department

See National Institute of Food and Agriculture

See The U.S. Codex Office

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 53206

Antitrust Division

NOTICES

Changes under the National Cooperative Research and Production Act:
National Fire Protection Association, 53297

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 53252–53253, 53256–53257

Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates Reporting and Data Validation Procedures, 53253–53256

Meetings:

Board of Scientific Counselors, National Center for Health Statistics, 53251–53252

Mine Safety and Health Research Advisory Committee, 53256

Civil Rights Commission

NOTICES

Meetings:

District of Columbia Advisory Committee, 53211

Michigan Advisory Committee, 53210–53211

Rhode Island Advisory Committee, 53211–53212

Coast Guard

PROPOSED RULES

Safety Zones:

Delaware River, Camden, NJ, Fireworks Display, 53199–53201

Commerce Department

See Foreign-Trade Zones Board

See International Trade Administration

See National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

See National Telecommunications and Information Administration

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Contract Financing, 53233–53234

Defense Department

See Defense Acquisition Regulations System

Education Department

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Federal Family Educational Loan Program—Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, 53235

Servicemembers Civil Relief Act; Interest Rate Limitation Request, 53234–53235

Employment and Training Administration

NOTICES

Trade Adjustment Assistance; Determinations, 53297–53312

Worker Adjustment Assistance; Investigations, 53312–53313

Energy Department

See Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

NOTICES

Meetings:

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Nevada, 53236

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Northern New Mexico, 53236–53237

State Energy Advisory Board, 53235–53236

Environmental Protection Agency

RULES

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants:

Delegation of Authority to Oklahoma, 53183–53189

PROPOSED RULES

Air Quality State Implementation Plans; Approvals and Promulgations:

Idaho, West Silver Valley PM_{2.5} Clean Data

Determination, 53201–53204

Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule for Model

Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks;

Correction, 53204–53205

NOTICES

Certain New Chemical Substances:

Receipt and Status Information for July 2018, 53241–53248

Meetings:

Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee, 53241

Federal Aviation Administration

RULES

Airworthiness Directives:

Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited Helicopters, 53171–53174

Special Conditions:

Bombardier, Inc., BD–700–2A12 and BD–700–2A13

Airplanes; Multiple-Place Side-Facing Seats with Active Leg-Flail Restraint Device and Shoulder-Belt Airbags, 53168–53171

Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ–200

Airplane; Passenger Seats with Non-Traditional, Large, Non-Metallic Panels, 53166–53168

The Boeing Company (Boeing), Model 777 Series

Airplanes; Dynamic Test Requirements for Single Occupant Oblique Seats, With or Without Airbag Devices or 3-point Restraints, 53163–53166

Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff

Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures, 53174–53178

PROPOSED RULES

Airline Transport Pilot and Type Rating for Airplane

Airman Certification Standards, 53195–53196

Special Conditions:

Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation Model GVII–G500
Airplanes; Airbag Systems on Multiple-Place and
Single-Place Side-Facing Seats, 53193–53195

NOTICES

Consensus Standards, Light-Sport Aircraft; Correction,
53358

Disposal of Aeronautical Property at Murfreesboro
Municipal Airport Murfreesboro, TN, 53357

Petitions for Exemptions; Summaries:

Bombardier, Inc.; Correction, 53356
Corvus Airlines dba Ravn Alaska, 53358
Latitude Engineering, LLC, 53356–53357
Sprayt Robotics, 53356

Federal Communications Commission**RULES**

Expanding Economic and Innovation Opportunities of
Spectrum through Incentive Auctions, 53190

Federal Election Commission**NOTICES**

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 53248

Federal Emergency Management Agency**NOTICES**

Adjustment of Countywide Per Capita Impact Indicator,
53279–53280

Adjustment of Disaster Grant Amounts, 53281–53282

Adjustment of Minimum Project Worksheet Amount, 53280

Adjustment of Statewide Per Capita Impact Indicator, 53279
Emergency and Related Determinations:

Florida, 53282

Maximum Amount of Assistance under the Individuals and
Households Program, 53281

Meetings:

National Advisory Council, 53280–53281

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**NOTICES**

Applications:

Pacific Gas and Electric Co., 53237–53238

Combined Filings, 53238–53240

Complaints:

City of Alexandria, LA v. Cleco Power, LLC, 53240–
53241

Initial Market-Based Rate Filings Including Requests for
Blanket Section 204 Authorizations:

Birdsboro Power, LLC, 53241

Meetings:

Winter 2018–2019 Operations and Market Performance in
Regional Transmission Organizations and
Independent System Operators; Technical
Conference, 53237

Records Governing Off-the-Record Communications, 53239–
53240

Federal Reserve System**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,
Submissions, and Approvals, 53248–53250

Fish and Wildlife Service**NOTICES**

Endangered and Threatened Species:

Receipt of Recovery Permit Applications, 53289–53290

Food and Drug Administration**PROPOSED RULES**

Guidance:

Guide to Minimize Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-cut
Produce, 53197–53199

Standards for Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding
of Produce for Human Consumption, 53196–53197

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,
Submissions, and Approvals:

Collection of Conflict of Interest Information for
Participation in Food and Drug Administration Non-
Employee Fellowship and Traineeship Programs,
53257–53259

Draft Guidance for Industry: Labeling of Red Blood Cell
Units with Historical Antigen Typing Results, 53275–
53276

Foreign Supplier Verification Programs for Food
Importers, 53271–53273

Guidance for Industry on Formal Meetings with Sponsors
and Applicants for Prescription Drug User Fee Act
Products, 53262–53264

Determinations of Regulatory Review Periods for Purposes
of Patent Extensions:

BESPONSA, 53273–53275

TECENTRIQ, 53259–53260

TYMLOS, 53260–53262

List of Recognized Standards, Recognition:

List Number: 050; Modifications, 53264–53271

Meetings:

Allergenic Products Advisory Committee; Cancellation,
53257

Foreign Assets Control Office**NOTICES**

Blocking or Unblocking of Persons and Properties, 53359–
53360

Foreign-Trade Zones Board**NOTICES**

Subzone Applications:

Foreign-Trade Zone 168, Dallas/Fort Worth, TX, 53212

General Services Administration**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,
Submissions, and Approvals:

Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and
Drug-Free Workplace, 53250–53251

Health and Human Services Department

See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

See Food and Drug Administration

See National Institutes of Health

NOTICES

Hearings:

National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics, 53276

Homeland Security Department

See Coast Guard

See Federal Emergency Management Agency

Housing and Urban Development Department**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,
Submissions, and Approvals:

Revitalization Area Designation and Management, 53288–
53289

Statutorily Mandated Designation of Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts for 2019, 53282–53288

Indian Affairs Bureau

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:
Homeliving Programs and School Closure and Consolidation, 53290–53291

Interior Department

See Fish and Wildlife Service

See Indian Affairs Bureau

See Land Management Bureau

See National Park Service

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:
Donor Certification Form, 53291–53292

International Trade Administration

NOTICES

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Investigations, Orders, or Reviews:
Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China, 53214–53216
Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments; Applications:
University of California, Berkeley et al., 53212
Export Trade Certificate of Review, 53212–53214

International Trade Commission

NOTICES

Investigations; Determinations, Modifications, and Rulings, etc.:
Certain Road Milling Machines and Components Thereof, 53296–53297
Clad Steel Plate from Japan, 53294–53295
Forged Steel Fittings from China and Italy, 53295–53296
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 53295

Justice Department

See Antitrust Division

NOTICES

Proposed Consent Decrees:
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 53297

Labor Department

See Employment and Training Administration

See Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Land Management Bureau

NOTICES

Environmental Impact Statements; Availability, etc.:
Deep South Expansion Project, Lander and Eureka Counties, NV, 53292–53293

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 53315

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

PROPOSED RULES

Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks; Correction, 53204–53205

National Institute of Food and Agriculture

NOTICES

Requests for Nominations:

Veterinary Shortage Situations; Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program, 53207–53210

National Institutes of Health

NOTICES

Meetings:

Center for Scientific Review, 53277–53279

National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health, 53277

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 53276–53277

National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, 53279

National Library of Medicine, 53279

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NOTICES

Meetings:

Fisheries of South Atlantic; Southeast Data, Assessment, and Review, 53232–53233

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 53216–53217

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 53231–53232

Takes of Marine Mammals Incidental to Specified Activities:

Mission Bay Ferry and Water Taxi Landing Project in San Francisco Bay, CA, 53217–53231

National Park Service

NOTICES

Requests for Nominations:

Gateway National Recreation Area Fort Hancock 21st Century Advisory Committee, 53294

Made in America Outdoor Recreation Advisory Committee, 53293–53294

National Telecommunications and Information Administration

NOTICES

Meetings:

Multistakeholder Process on Promoting Software Component Transparency, 53233

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

RULES

List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks:

NAC International NAC-UMS Universal Storage System, Certificate of Compliance No. 1015, Amendment No. 6, 53159–53163

PROPOSED RULES

List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks:

NAC International NAC-UMS Universal Storage System, Certificate of Compliance No. 1015, Amendment No. 6, 53191–53193

NOTICES

Exemptions and Combined Licenses; Amendments:

Southern Nuclear Operating Co., Inc.; Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4; Containment Sump Level Instrumentation, 53315–53317

Exemptions:

Exelon Generation Co., LLC; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, 53317–53321

Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria:

Southern Nuclear Operating Co., Inc. Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4, 53321–53322

Occupational Safety and Health Administration**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 53313–53315

Personnel Management Office**NOTICES**

Excepted Service, 53322–53324

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:
Revision of the Hazardous Liquid Annual Report, 53358–53359

Securities and Exchange Commission**NOTICES****Applications:**

Blackstone Real Estate Income Fund, et al., 53329–53334
Procure Active ETF Trust, et al., 53341–53343
Procure ETF Trust I, et al., 53334–53335

Meetings:

Investor Advisory Committee, 53337

Securities Exchange Act and Investment Company Act:
Hurricane Michael, 53326–53329

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Changes:

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc., 53343–53347
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc., 53349–53350
Cboe Exchange, Inc., 53336–53339
Fixed Income Clearing Corp., 53339–53341
Nasdaq Stock Market, LLC, 53339
New York Stock Exchange, LLC, 53324–53326
NYSE National, Inc., 53347–53349

Small Business Administration**NOTICES**

Exemption under the Small Business Investment Act,
Conflicts of Interest:

Plexus Fund IV–A, LP, 53352
Plexus Fund IV–B, LP, 53352
Plexus Fund IV–C, LP, 53351

Major Disaster Declarations:

Florida, 53351
North Carolina, 53351–53352
Virginia; Public Assistance Only, 53351

Social Security Administration**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 53352–53355

State Department**NOTICES****Charter Renewals:**

Overseas Security Advisory Council, 53355

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition:

The Jeweled Isle: Art from Sri Lanka, 53355

Meetings:

Overseas Security Advisory Council, 53355

Surface Transportation Board**NOTICES**

Release of Waybill Data, 53355–53356

The U.S. Codex Office**NOTICES****Meetings:**

Codex Alimentarius Commission; Committee on Spices and Culinary Herbs, 53206–53207

Transportation Department

See Federal Aviation Administration

See National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

See Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Treasury Department

See Foreign Assets Control Office

See United States Mint

United States Mint**NOTICES****Meetings:**

Stakeholder Roundtable on Coin Circulation, 53361

Veterans Affairs Department**RULES**

Presumption of Herbicide Exposure and Presumption of Disability During Service for Reservists Presumed Exposed to Herbicides, 53179–53182

NOTICES**Enhanced-Use Leases of Real Property:**

Lexington VA Health Care System, Franklin R. Sousley Campus, Lexington, KY, 53361

Reader Aids

Consult the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue for phone numbers, online resources, finding aids, and notice of recently enacted public laws.

To subscribe to the Federal Register Table of Contents electronic mailing list, go to <https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USGPOOFR/subscriber/new>, enter your e-mail address, then follow the instructions to join, leave, or manage your subscription.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

10 CFR

7253159

Proposed Rules:

7253191

14 CFR

25 (3 documents)53163,
53166, 53168

3953171

97 (2 documents)53174,
53177

Proposed Rules:

2553193

6153195

21 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

11253196

11753197

33 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

16553199

38 CFR

353179

40 CFR

6153183

6353183

Proposed Rules:

5253201

8553204

8653204

47 CFR

2753190

49 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

52353204

53153204

53353204

53653204

53753204

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 204

Monday, October 22, 2018

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 72

[NRC-2018-0075]

RIN 3150-AK12

List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: NAC International NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System, Certificate of Compliance; No. 1015, Amendment No. 6

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending its spent fuel storage regulations by revising the NAC International NAC-UMS® listing within the “List of approved spent fuel storage casks” to include Amendment No. 6 to Certificate of Compliance (CoC) No. 1015. Amendment No. 6 revises the CoC’s technical specifications (TSs) to: Remove a redundant requirement for inspection of the concrete cask and canister; revise a limiting condition of operation (LCO) for heat removal to clarify that “LCO not met” means that the concrete heat removal system is inoperable; remove an inspection requirement that is already covered by LCO surveillance requirements for off-normal, accident, or natural phenomenon events; and clarify that “immediate” restoration of a concrete cask’s heat removal capabilities means “within the design-basis time limit” in Section 11.2.13 of the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), “or within the time limit for a less than design-basis heat load case, as evaluated.” Amendment No. 6 also clarifies that an LCO for loaded cask surface dose rates applies prior to storage conditions, when dose rates will be highest.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective January 7, 2019, unless significant adverse comments are received by

November 21, 2018. If this direct final rule is withdrawn as a result of such comments, timely notice of the withdrawal will be published in the **Federal Register**. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Comments received on this direct final rule will also be considered to be comments on a companion proposed rule published in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0075. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Email comments to:* Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov. If you do not receive an automatic email reply confirming receipt, then contact us at 301-415-1677.

- *Fax comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 301-415-1101.

- *Mail comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

- *Hand deliver comments to:* 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (Eastern Time) Federal workdays; telephone: 301-415-1677.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernard H. White, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; telephone: 301-415-6577; email: Bernard.White@nrc.gov or Robert D. MacDougall, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; telephone: 301-415-5175; email: Robert.MacDougall@nrc.gov. Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments
II. Rulemaking Procedure
III. Background
IV. Discussion of Changes
V. Voluntary Consensus Standards
VI. Agreement State Compatibility
VII. Plain Writing
VIII. Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Environmental Impact
IX. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement
X. Regulatory Flexibility Certification
XI. Regulatory Analysis
XII. Backfitting and Issue Finality
XIII. Congressional Review Act
XIV. Availability of Documents

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0075 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0075.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC-2018-0075 in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

www.regulations.gov as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Rulemaking Procedure

This direct final rule is limited to the changes contained in Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015 and does not include other aspects of the NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System design. The NRC is using the “direct final rule procedure” to issue this amendment because it represents a limited and routine change to an existing CoC that is expected to be noncontroversial. Adequate protection of public health and safety continues to be ensured. The amendment to the rule will become effective on January 7, 2019. However, if the NRC receives significant adverse comments on this direct final rule by November 21, 2018, then the NRC will publish a document that withdraws this action and will subsequently address the comments received in a final rule as a response to the companion proposed rule published in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register**. Absent significant modifications to the proposed revisions requiring republication, the NRC will not initiate a second comment period on this action.

A significant adverse comment is a comment where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule’s underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change. A comment is adverse and significant if:

(1) The comment opposes the rule and provides a reason sufficient to require a substantive response in a notice-and-comment process. For example, a substantive response is required when:

(a) The comment causes the NRC to reevaluate (or reconsider) its position or conduct additional analysis;

(b) The comment raises an issue serious enough to warrant a substantive response to clarify or complete the record; or

(c) The comment raises a relevant issue that was not previously addressed or considered by the NRC.

(2) The comment proposes a change or an addition to the rule, and it is apparent that the rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without incorporation of the change or addition.

(3) The comment causes the NRC to make a change (other than editorial) to the rule, CoC, or TSs.

For detailed instructions on filing comments, please see the companion proposed rule published in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register**.

III. Background

Section 218(a) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act (NWPA) of 1982, as amended, requires that “the Secretary [of the Department of Energy] shall establish a demonstration program, in cooperation with the private sector, for the dry storage of spent nuclear fuel at civilian nuclear power reactor sites, with the objective of establishing one or more technologies that the [U.S. Nuclear Regulatory] Commission may, by rule, approve for use at the sites of civilian nuclear power reactors without, to the maximum extent practicable, the need for additional site-specific approvals by the Commission.” Section 133 of the NWPA states, in part, that “[the Commission] shall, by rule, establish procedures for the licensing of any technology approved by the Commission under Section 219(a) [sic: 218(a)] for use at the site of any civilian nuclear power reactor.”

To implement this mandate, the Commission approved dry storage of spent nuclear fuel in NRC-approved casks under a general license by publishing a final rule that added a new subpart K in part 72 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) entitled “General License for Storage of Spent Fuel at Power Reactor Sites” (55 FR 29181; July 18, 1990). This rule also established a new subpart L in 10 CFR part 72 entitled “Approval of Spent Fuel Storage Casks,” which contains procedures and criteria for obtaining NRC approval of spent fuel storage cask designs. The NRC subsequently issued a final rule on October 19, 2000 (65 FR 62581), that approved the NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System design and added it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs provided in § 72.214 as CoC No. 1015.

IV. Discussion of Changes

On May 23, 2017, NAC International submitted a request to the NRC to amend CoC No. 1015. NAC International supplemented its request on January 16,

2018. Amendment No. 6 revises the CoC’s TSs to: (1) Remove a redundant requirement for inspection of the concrete cask and canister; (2) revise an LCO for heat removal to clarify that “LCO not met” means that the concrete heat removal system is inoperable; (3) remove an inspection requirement that is already covered by LCO surveillance requirements for off-normal, accident, or natural phenomenon events; (4) clarify that “immediate” restoration of a concrete cask’s heat removal capabilities means “within the design-basis time limit” in Section 11.2.13 of the FSAR, “or within the time limit for a less than design-basis heat load case, as evaluated”; and (5) clarify that an LCO for loaded cask surface dose rates applies prior to storage conditions, when dose rates will be highest.

As documented in the preliminary safety evaluation report (PSER), the NRC performed a safety review of the proposed CoC amendment request. There are no significant changes to cask design requirements in the proposed CoC amendment. Considering the specific design requirements for each accident condition, the design of the cask would prevent loss of containment, shielding, and criticality control in the event of an accident. This amendment does not reflect a significant change in design or fabrication of the cask. In addition, any resulting occupational exposure or offsite dose rates from the implementation of Amendment No. 6 would remain well within the 10 CFR part 20 limits. There will be no significant change in the types or amounts of any effluent released, no significant increase in the individual or cumulative radiation exposure, and no significant increase in the potential for, or consequences from, radiological accidents.

This direct final rule revises the NAC-UMS® System listing in § 72.214 by adding Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015. The amendment consists of the changes previously described, as set forth in the revised CoC and TSs. The revised TSs are identified and evaluated in the PSER.

The amended NAC-UMS® cask design, when used under the conditions specified in the CoC, the TSs, and the NRC’s regulations, will meet the requirements of 10 CFR part 72; therefore, adequate protection of public health and safety will continue to be ensured. When this direct final rule becomes effective, persons who hold a general license under § 72.210 may, consistent with the license conditions under § 72.212, load spent nuclear fuel into those NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System casks that meet the

criteria of Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015.

V. Voluntary Consensus Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–113) requires that Federal agencies use technical standards that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies unless the use of such a standard is inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. In this direct final rule, the NRC will revise the NAC–UMS® Universal Storage System design listed in § 72.214. This action does not constitute the establishment of a standard that contains generally applicable requirements.

VI. Agreement State Compatibility

Under the “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” approved by the Commission on June 30, 1997, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 1997 (62 FR 46517), this rule is classified as Compatibility Category “NRC.” Compatibility is not required for Category “NRC” regulations. The NRC program elements in this category are those that relate directly to areas of regulation reserved to the NRC by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the provisions of 10 CFR. Although an Agreement State may not adopt program elements reserved to the NRC, and the Category “NRC” does not confer regulatory authority on the State, the State may wish to inform its licensees of certain requirements by means consistent with the particular State’s administrative procedure laws.

VII. Plain Writing

The Plain Writing Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–274) requires Federal agencies to write documents in a clear, concise, and well-organized manner. The NRC has written this document to be consistent with the Plain Writing Act as well as the Presidential Memorandum, “Plain Language in Government Writing,” published June 10, 1998 (63 FR 31883).

VIII. Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Environmental Impact

A. The Action

The action is to amend § 72.214 to revise the NAC International NAC–UMS® Universal Storage System listing of casks that power reactor licensees can use for dry storage of spent fuel at reactor sites under a general license. This direct final rule amends the listing to add Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015. Specifically, Amendment No. 6

revises the CoC’s TSs to: (1) Remove a redundant requirement for inspection of the concrete cask and canister; (2) revise an LCO for heat removal to clarify that “LCO not met” means that the concrete heat removal system is inoperable; (3) remove an inspection requirement that is already covered by LCO surveillance requirements for off-normal, accident, or natural phenomenon events; (4) clarify that “immediate” restoration of a concrete cask’s heat removal capabilities means “within the design-basis time limit” in Section 11.2.13 of the FSAR, “or within the time limit for a less than design-basis heat load case, as evaluated”; and (5) clarify that an LCO for loaded cask surface dose rates applies prior to storage conditions, when dose rates will be highest.

B. The Need for the Action

This direct final rule amends the CoC for the NAC–UMS® Universal Storage System design within the list of approved spent fuel storage casks that power reactor licensees can use to store spent fuel at reactor sites under a general license. Specifically, Amendment No. 6 clarifies and removes redundancies in requirements for the use of the NAC–UMS® Universal Storage System. The amendment facilitates the dry cask storage of spent fuel that might otherwise have to be stored in the affected power reactors’ spent fuel storage pools.

C. Environmental Impacts of the Action

On July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 to provide for the storage of spent fuel under a general license in cask designs approved by the NRC. The potential environmental impact of using NRC-approved storage casks was initially analyzed in the environmental assessment (EA) for the 1990 final rule. The EA for this Amendment No. 6 tiers off of the EA for the July 18, 1990, final rule. Tiering off past EAs is a standard process under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA).

NAC–UMS® Universal Storage Systems are designed to mitigate the effects of design basis accidents that could occur during storage. Design basis accidents account for human-induced events and the most severe natural phenomena reported for the site and surrounding area. Postulated accidents analyzed for an independent spent fuel storage installation, the type of facility at which a holder of a power reactor operating license would store spent fuel in casks in accordance with 10 CFR part 72, include tornado winds and tornado-generated missiles, a design basis

earthquake, a design basis flood, an accidental cask drop, lightning effects, fire, explosions, and other events.

Considering the specific design requirements for each accident condition, the design of the cask would prevent loss of confinement, shielding, and criticality control in the event of an accident. If there is no loss of confinement, shielding, or criticality control, the environmental impacts resulting from an accident would be insignificant. This amendment does not reflect a significant change in design or fabrication of the cask. Because there are no significant design or process changes, any resulting occupational exposure or offsite dose rates from the implementation of Amendment No. 6 would remain well within 10 CFR part 20 limits. Therefore, the proposed CoC changes will not result in any radiological or non-radiological environmental impacts that significantly differ from the environmental impacts evaluated in the EA supporting the July 18, 1990, final rule. There will be no significant change in the types or amounts of any effluent released, no significant increase in individual or cumulative radiation exposures, and no significant increase in the potential for or consequences of radiological accidents. The NRC documented its safety findings in a PSER.

D. Alternative to the Action

The alternative to this action is to deny approval of Amendment No. 6 and end the direct final rule. Consequently, any 10 CFR part 72 general licensee that seeks to load spent nuclear fuel into NAC International NAC–UMS® Universal Storage Systems in accordance with the changes described in proposed Amendment No. 6 would have to request an exemption from the requirements of §§ 72.212 and 72.214. Under this alternative, interested licensees would have to prepare, and the NRC would have to review, a separate exemption request, thereby increasing the administrative burden upon the NRC and the costs to each licensee. Therefore, the environmental impacts of the alternative action would be the same as, or more likely greater than, the preferred action.

E. Alternative Use of Resources

Approval of Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015 would result in no irreversible commitment of resources.

F. Agencies and Persons Contacted

No agencies or persons outside the NRC were contacted in connection with the preparation of this EA.

G. Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the action have been reviewed under the requirements in NEPA, and the NRC's regulations in subpart A of 10 CFR part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." Based on the foregoing EA, the NRC concludes that this direct final rule entitled, "List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: NAC International NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System, Certificate of Compliance No. 1015, Amendment No. 6" will not have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, the NRC has determined that an environmental impact statement is not necessary for this direct final rule.

IX. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This direct final rule does not contain any new or amended collections of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Existing collections of information were approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), approval number 3150-0132.

Public Protection Notification

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

X. Regulatory Flexibility Certification

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), the NRC certifies that this direct final rule will not, if issued, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This direct final rule affects only nuclear power plant licensees and NAC International. These entities do not fall within the scope of the definition of small entities set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act or the size standards established by the NRC (§ 2.810).

XI. Regulatory Analysis

On July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 to provide for the storage of spent nuclear fuel under a general license in cask designs approved by the NRC. Any nuclear power reactor licensee can use NRC-approved cask designs to store spent nuclear fuel if it notifies the NRC in advance, the spent

fuel is stored under the conditions specified in the cask's CoC, and the conditions of the general license are met. A list of NRC-approved cask designs is contained in § 72.214. On October 19, 2000 (65 FR 62581), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 that approved the NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System design by adding it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs in § 72.214.

On May 23, 2017, and as supplemented on January 16, 2018, NAC International submitted an application to amend the NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System as described in Section IV, "Discussion of Changes," of this document.

The alternative to this action is to withhold approval of Amendment No. 6 and to require any 10 CFR part 72 general licensee seeking to load spent nuclear fuel into NAC International NAC-UMS® Universal Storage Systems under the changes described in Amendment No. 6 to request an exemption from the requirements of §§ 72.212 and 72.214. Under this alternative, each interested 10 CFR part 72 licensee would have to prepare, and the NRC would have to review, a separate exemption request, thereby increasing the administrative burden upon the NRC and the costs to each licensee.

Approval of this direct final rule is consistent with previous NRC actions. Further, as documented in the PSER and EA, this direct final rule will have no adverse effect on public health and safety or the environment. This direct final rule has no significant identifiable impact or benefit on other Government agencies. Based on this regulatory analysis, the NRC concludes that the requirements of this direct final rule are commensurate with the NRC's responsibilities for public health and safety and the common defense and security. No other available alternative is believed to be as satisfactory, and therefore, this action is recommended.

XII. Backfitting and Issue Finality

The NRC has determined that the actions in this direct final rule do not require a backfit analysis because they either do not fall within the definition of backfitting under § 72.62 or § 50.109(a)(1), or they do not impact any general licensees currently using these systems. Additionally, the actions in this direct final rule do not impact issue finality provisions applicable to

combined licenses under 10 CFR part 52.

This direct final rule revises CoC No. 1015 for the NAC International NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System, as currently listed in § 72.214. The revision consists of Amendment No. 6, which revises the CoC's TSs to: (1) Remove a redundant requirement for inspection of the concrete cask and canister; (2) revise an LCO for heat removal to clarify that "LCO not met" means that the concrete heat removal system is inoperable; (3) remove an inspection requirement that is already covered by LCO surveillance requirements for off-normal, accident, or natural phenomenon events; (4) clarify that "immediate" restoration of a concrete cask's heat removal capabilities means "within the design-basis time limit" in Section 11.2.13 of the FSAR, "or within the time limit for a less than design-basis heat load case, as evaluated"; and (5) clarify that an LCO for loaded cask surface dose rates applies prior to storage conditions, when dose rates will be highest.

Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015 for the NAC International NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System was initiated by NAC International and was not submitted in response to new NRC requirements, or an NRC request for amendment. Amendment No. 6 applies only to new casks fabricated and used under Amendment No. 6. These changes do not affect existing users of the NAC International NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System, and the current Amendment No. 5 continues to be effective for existing users. While current CoC users may comply with the new requirements in Amendment No. 6, this would be a voluntary decision on the part of current users.

For these reasons, Amendment No. 6 to CoC No. 1015 does not constitute backfitting under § 72.62 or § 50.109(a)(1), or otherwise represent an inconsistency with the issue finality provisions applicable to combined licenses in 10 CFR part 52. Accordingly, the NRC has not prepared a backfit analysis for this rulemaking.

XIII. Congressional Review Act

This direct final rule is not a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

XIV. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document	ADAMS accession No./web link/ Federal Register citation
Request to Amend Certificate of Compliance No. 1015 for the NAC-UMS® Cask System, dated May 23, 2017	ML17145A380
Revision of Request to Amend Certificate of Compliance No. 1015 for the NAC-UMS® Cask System, dated January 16, 2018.	ML18018A893
Revision 11 to NAC-UMS® Final Safety Analysis Report for the UMS Universal Storage System	ML16341B102
Proposed CoC No. 1015, Amendment No. 6	ML18088A174
Proposed Technical Specifications, Appendix A	ML18088A176
Proposed Technical Specifications, Appendix B	ML18088A178
Preliminary Safety Evaluation Report	ML18088A181

The NRC may post materials related to this document, including public comments, on the Federal Rulemaking website at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket ID NRC-2018-0075. The Federal Rulemaking website allows you to receive alerts when changes or additions occur in a docket folder. To subscribe: (1) Navigate to the docket folder (NRC-2018-0075); (2) click the "Sign up for Email Alerts" link; and (3) enter your email address and select how frequently you would like to receive emails (daily, weekly, or monthly).

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 72

Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous waste, Indians, Intergovernmental relations, Nuclear energy, Penalties, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Whistleblowing.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553; the NRC is adopting the following amendments to 10 CFR part 72:

PART 72—LICENSING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INDEPENDENT STORAGE OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL, HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE, AND REACTOR-RELATED GREATER THAN CLASS C WASTE

■ 1. The authority citation for part 72 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Atomic Energy Act of 1954, secs. 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 65, 69, 81, 161, 182, 183, 184, 186, 187, 189, 223, 234, 274 (42 U.S.C. 2071, 2073, 2077, 2092, 2093, 2095, 2099, 2111, 2201, 2210e, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2273, 2282, 2021); Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, secs. 201, 202, 206, 211 (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846, 5851); National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332); Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, secs. 117(a), 132, 133, 134, 135, 137, 141, 145(g), 148, 218(a) (42 U.S.C. 10137(a), 10152, 10153, 10154, 10155, 10157, 10161,

10165(g), 10168, 10198(a)); 44 U.S.C. 3504 note.

■ 2. In § 72.214, Certificate of Compliance 1015 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.214 List of approved spent fuel storage casks.

* * * * *

Certificate Number: 1015.

Initial Certificate Effective Date: November 20, 2000.

Amendment Number 1 Effective Date: February 20, 2001.

Amendment Number 2 Effective Date: December 31, 2001.

Amendment Number 3 Effective Date: March 31, 2004.

Amendment Number 4 Effective Date: October 11, 2005.

Amendment Number 5 Effective Date: January 12, 2009.

Amendment Number 6 Effective Date: January 7, 2019.

SAR Submitted by: NAC International, Inc.

SAR Title: Final Safety Analysis Report for the NAC-UMS Universal Storage System.

Docket Number: 72-1015.

Certificate Expiration Date: November 20, 2020.

Model Number: NAC-UMS.

* * * * *

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of October 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Margaret M. Doane,
Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 2018-22912 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA-2016-4136; Special Conditions No. 25-621B-SC]

Special Conditions: The Boeing Company (Boeing), Model 777 Series Airplanes; Dynamic Test Requirements for Single Occupant Oblique Seats, With or Without Airbag Devices or 3-Point Restraints

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Amended final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These amended special conditions are issued for the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes. These special conditions are for oblique (side-facing) seats, installed in Boeing Model 777 series airplanes, at an angle of 18 to 45 degrees to the airplane centerline and which may include a 3-point or airbag restraint system, or both, for occupant restraint and injury protection. This amendment adds a note and one special condition to the Special Conditions section. This airplane will have novel or unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. These design features are oblique (side-facing) single-occupant passenger seats equipped with or without airbag devices or 3-point restraints. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: This action is effective on The Boeing Company on October 22, 2018. Send comments on or before December 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by Docket No. FAA–2016–4136 using any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRegulations Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov/> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- **Mail:** Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Fax:** Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket website, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–19478).

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov/> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Shelden, Airframe and Cabin Safety Section, AIR–675, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, Washington 98198; telephone and fax 206–231–3214; email John.Shelden@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The substance of these special conditions has been published in the **Federal Register** for public comment in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds it unnecessary to delay the effective date and finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all comments we receive by the closing date for comments. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

Background

On November 22, 2017, Boeing applied for an amendment to Type Certificate No. T00001SE for the installation of oblique (side-facing) passenger seats with or without airbag devices or 3-point restraints in the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes. The Boeing Model 777 series airplanes are twin-engine, transport category airplanes with a maximum certified passenger capacity of up to 550 and a maximum takeoff weight of approximately 775,000 lbs.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 21.101, Boeing must show that the Model 777 series airplanes meet the applicable provisions of the regulations listed in Type Certificate No. T00001SE, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change, except for earlier amendments as agreed upon by the FAA.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, 14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes because of novel or unusual design features, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, or should any other model already included on the same type certificate be modified to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements

of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.101.

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The business-class seating configuration Boeing proposes is novel or unusual due to the seat installation at 30 degrees to the airplane centerline, the airbag-system installation, and the seat/occupant interface with the surrounding furniture that introduces occupant alignment and loading concerns. The proposed business-class seating configuration is also beyond the limits of current acceptable equivalent-level-of-safety findings. These oblique (side-facing) seats may be installed at an angle of 18 to 45 degrees to the airplane centerline and may include a 3-point or airbag restraint system, or both, for occupant restraint and injury protection.

The existing regulations do not provide adequate or appropriate safety standards for occupants of oblique-angled seats with airbag systems. To provide a level of safety that is equivalent to that afforded occupants of forward- and aft-facing seats, additional airworthiness standards, in the form of special conditions, are necessary. These special conditions supplement part 25 and, more specifically, supplement §§ 25.562 and 25.785.

The requirements contained in these special conditions consist of both test conditions and injury pass/fail criteria.

Discussion

The FAA has been conducting and sponsoring research on appropriate injury criteria for oblique (side-facing) seat installations. However, the FAA research program is not complete and we may update these criteria as we obtain further research results. To reflect current research findings, the FAA issued policy statement PS–ANM–25–03–R1 to update injury criteria for fully side-facing seats, and policy statement PS–AIR–25–27, to define injury criteria for oblique (side-facing) seats.

The proposed Boeing Model 777 series airplanes business-class seat installation is novel such that the current Boeing Model 777 series airplanes certification basis does not adequately address protection of the occupant's neck and spine for seat configurations that are positioned at an angle greater than 18 degrees from the airplane centerline. The FAA issued special conditions No. 25–569–SC for

Model 777–300ER airplanes on September 25, 2014, and special conditions No. 25–621–SC for certain Model 777–300ER airplanes on August 3rd, 2016. These special conditions contained injury criteria for oblique seats based on the best knowledge the FAA had at the time. These special conditions for oblique seat installations do not adequately address oblique seats, reflecting the current research results, with or without 3-point or airbag restraint systems. Therefore, Boeing's proposed configuration will require amended special conditions.

The installation of passenger seats at angles of 18 to 45 degrees to the airplane centerline are unique due to the seat/occupant interface with the surrounding furniture that introduces occupant alignment/loading concerns with or without the installation of a 3-point or airbag restraint system, or both. On-going research has invalidated previously released special conditions for oblique (side-facing) seat installations. These updated special conditions further address potential injuries to the occupant's neck and spine. As a result, these special conditions replace special conditions 25–569–SC and 25–621–SC. This amendment adds a note to special condition number 7 and adds special condition number 8 to the Special Condition section. The note and special condition 8 were unintentionally omitted from the previous issuance of these special conditions. This additional text is standard, in all material respects, in previously issued special conditions of the same topic.

FAA-sponsored research has found that an un-restrained flailing of the upper torso, even when the pelvis and torso are nearly aligned, can produce serious spinal and torso injuries. At lower impact severities, even with significant misalignment between the torso and pelvis, these injuries did not occur. Tests with an FAA H–III anthropomorphic test device (ATD) have identified a level of lumbar spinal tension corresponding to the no-injury impact severity. This level of tension is included as a limit in the special conditions. The spine tension limit selected is conservative with respect to other aviation injury criteria since it corresponds to a no-injury loading condition.

As noted in the special conditions for each airbag restraint system, because an airbag restraint system is essentially a single use device, there is the potential that it could deploy under crash conditions that are not sufficiently severe as to require head injury protection from the airbag restraint

system. Since an actual crash is frequently composed of a series of impacts before the airplane comes to rest, this could render the airbag restraint system useless if a larger impact follows the initial impact. This situation does not exist with energy absorbing pads or upper torso restraints, which tend to provide protection according to the severity of the impact. Therefore, the installation of the airbag restraint system should be such that the airbag restraint system will provide protection when it is required, and will not expend its protection when it is not needed.

Because these airbag restraint systems may or may not activate during various crash conditions, the injury criteria listed in these special conditions and in § 25.562 must be met in an event that is slightly below the activation level of the airbag restraint system. If an airbag restraint system is included with the oblique seats, the system must meet the requirements in one of the airbag (inflatable restraint) special conditions applicable to the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes.

These amended special conditions will provide head injury criteria, neck injury criteria, spine injury criteria, and body-to-wall contact criteria. They contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Boeing Model 777 series airplane. Should Boeing apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well.

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model series of airplanes. It is not a rule of general applicability.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the

Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes.

Side-Facing Seats Special Conditions

In addition to the requirements of § 25.562:

1. Head Injury Criteria (HIC)

Compliance with § 25.562(c)(5) is required, except that, if the ATD has no apparent contact with the seat/structure but has contact with an airbag, a HIC unlimited score in excess of 1000 is acceptable, provided the HIC15 score for that contact (calculated in accordance with 49 CFR 571.208) is less than 700.

2. Body-to-Wall/Furnishing Contact

If a seat is installed aft of structure (e.g., interior wall or furnishings) that does not provide a homogenous contact surface for the expected range of occupants and yaw angles, then additional analysis and tests may be required to demonstrate that the injury criteria are met for the area which an occupant could contact. For example, different yaw angles could result in different airbag device performance, then additional analysis or separate tests may be necessary to evaluate performance.

3. Neck Injury Criteria

The seating system must protect the occupant from experiencing serious neck injury. The assessment of neck injury must be conducted with the airbag device activated, unless there is a reason to also consider that the neck-injury potential would be higher for impacts below the airbag-device deployment threshold.

a. The N_{ij} , calculated in accordance with 49 CFR 571.208, must be below 1.0, where $N_{ij} = F_z/F_{zc} + M_y/M_{yc}$, and N_{ij} critical values are:

- i. $F_{zc} = 1,530$ lbs for tension
- ii. $F_{zc} = 1,385$ lbs for compression
- iii. $M_{yc} = 229$ lb-ft in flexion
- iv. $M_{yc} = 100$ lb-ft in extension

b. In addition, peak upper-neck F_z must be below 937 lbs. in tension and 899 lbs. in compression.

c. Rotation of the head about its vertical axis, relative to the torso is limited to 105 degrees in either direction from forward-facing.

d. The neck must not impact any surface that would produce concentrated loading on the neck.

4. Spine and Torso Injury Criteria

a. The lumbar spine tension (F_z) cannot exceed 1,200 lbs.

b. Significant concentrated loading on the occupant's spine, in the area

between the pelvis and shoulders during impact, including rebound, is not acceptable. During this type of contact, the interval for any rearward (X direction) acceleration exceeding 20g must be less than 3 milliseconds as measured by the thoracic instrumentation specified in 49 CFR part 572, subpart E, filtered in accordance with SAE recommended practice J211/1, "Instrumentation for Impact Test—Part 1—Electronic Instrumentation."

c. The occupant must not interact with the armrest or other seat components in any manner significantly different than would be expected for a forward-facing seat installation.

5. Pelvis Criteria

Any part of the load-bearing portion of the bottom of the ATD pelvis must not translate beyond the edges of the seat bottom seat-cushion supporting structure.

6. Femur Criteria

Axial rotation of the upper leg (about the z-axis of the femur per SAE Recommended Practice J211/1) must be limited to 35 degrees from the nominal seated position. Evaluation during rebound does not need to be considered.

7. ATD and Test Conditions

Longitudinal tests conducted to measure the injury criteria above must be performed with the FAA Hybrid III ATD, as described in SAE 1999-01-1609, "A Lumbar Spine Modification to the Hybrid III ATD for Aircraft Seat Tests." The tests must be conducted with an undeformed floor, at the most-critical yaw cases for injury, and with all lateral structural supports (e.g., armrests or walls) installed.

Note: Boeing must demonstrate that the installation of seats via plinths or pallets meets all applicable requirements. Compliance with the guidance contained in policy memorandum PS-ANM-100-2000-00123, "Guidance for Demonstrating Compliance with Seat Dynamic Testing for Plinths and Pallets," dated February 2, 2000, is acceptable to the FAA.

8. Inflatable Airbag Restraint Systems Special Conditions

If inflatable airbag restraint systems are installed, the airbag systems must meet the requirements in one of the airbag (inflatable restraint) special conditions applicable to the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 4, 2018.

Victor Wicklund,

Manager, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22933 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA-2018-0932; Special Conditions No. 25-733-SC]

Special Conditions: Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 Airplane; Passenger Seats With Non-Traditional, Large, Non-Metallic Panels

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for the Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 airplane. This airplane will have novel or unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. These design features include passenger seats that incorporate non-traditional, large, non-metallic panels in lieu of the traditional metal frame covered by fabric. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: This action is effective on Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation on October 22, 2018. Send comments on or before December 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by Docket No. FAA-2018-0932 using any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRegulations Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov/> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- **Mail:** Send comments to Docket Operations, M-30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12-140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building

Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Fax:** Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202-493-2251.

Privacy: The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket website, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-19478).

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov/> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas Wilson, International Section, AIR-676, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, Washington 98198; telephone and fax 206-231-3230; email Nicholas.Wilson@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The substance of these special conditions has been published in the **Federal Register** for public comment in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA, therefore, finds it unnecessary to delay the effective date and finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all comments we receive by the closing date for comments. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

Background

On August 19, 2009, Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation applied for a type certificate for their new Model MRJ-200 airplane. The Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 airplane is a low-wing, conventional-tail design with two wing-mounted turbofan engines. The primary structure is metal with an aluminum wing and composite empennage. The airplane is equipped with an electronic flight control system. The airplane has seating for 96 passengers and a maximum takeoff weight of 98,800 lbs.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 21.17, Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation must show that the Model MRJ-200 airplane meets the applicable provisions of part 25, as amended by amendments 25-1 through 25-141; part 36, as amended by amendments 36-1 through 36-30; and part 34, as amended by amendments 34-1 through the amendment effective at the time of design approval.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, 14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 airplane because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 airplane must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.17(a)(2).

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 airplane will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features:

Passenger seats that incorporate non-traditional, large, non-metallic panels in lieu of the traditional metal frame covered by fabric.

Definition of “Non-Traditional, Large, Non-Metallic Panel”

A non-traditional, large, non-metallic panel, in this case, is defined as a panel with exposed surface areas greater than 1.5 square feet installed per seat place. The panel may consist of either a single component or multiple components in a concentrated area. Examples of parts of the seat where these non-traditional panels are installed include, but are not limited to: seat backs, bottoms and leg/foot rests, kick panels, back shells, credenzas and associated furniture. Examples of traditional exempted parts of the seat include: arm caps, armrest close-outs such as end bays and armrest-styled center consoles, food trays, video monitors and shrouds.

Clarification of “Exposed”

“Exposed” includes those panels directly exposed to the passenger cabin in the traditional sense, plus those panels enveloped such as by a dress cover. Traditional fabrics or leathers currently used on seats are excluded from these special conditions. These materials must still comply with 14 CFR 25.853(a) and (c) if used as a covering for a seat cushion, or 14 CFR 25.853(a) if installed elsewhere on the seat. Non-traditional, large, non-metallic panels covered with traditional fabrics or leathers will be tested without their coverings or covering attachments.

Discussion

In the early 1980s, the FAA conducted extensive research on the effects of post-crash flammability in the passenger cabin. As a result of this research and service experience, the FAA adopted new standards for interior surfaces associated with large surface area parts. Specifically, the rules require measurement of heat release and smoke emission (part 25, appendix F, parts IV and V) for the affected parts. Heat release has been shown to have a direct correlation with post-crash fire survival time. Materials that comply with the standards (*i.e.*, § 25.853, Compartment interiors, as amended by amendment 25-61 and amendment 25-66) extend survival time by approximately two minutes over materials that do not comply.

At the time these standards were written, the potential application of the requirements of heat release and smoke emission to seats was explored. The seat frame itself was not a concern because it was primarily made of aluminum and there were only small amounts of non-metallic materials. It was determined that the overall effect on survivability was negligible, whether or not the food

trays met the heat release and smoke requirements. The requirements, therefore, did not address seats. The preambles to both the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Notice No. 85-10, 50 FR 15038, April 16, 1985, and the Final Rule, amendment 25-61, 51 FR 26206, July 21, 1986, specifically note that seats were excluded because the recently-adopted standards for flammability of seat cushions will greatly inhibit involvement of the seats.

Subsequently, the Final Rule, amendment 25-83, 60 FR 6615, March 6, 1995, clarified the definition of minimum panel size by stating that it is not possible to cite a specific size that will apply in all installations; however, as a general rule, components with exposed surface areas of one square foot or less may be considered small enough that they do not have to meet the new standards. Components with exposed surface areas greater than two square feet may be considered large enough that they do have to meet the new standards. Those with exposed surface areas greater than one square foot, but less than two square feet, must be considered in conjunction with the areas of the cabin in which they are installed before a determination could be made.

The FAA issued Policy Statement PS-ANM100-97-112-39, Guidance for Flammability Testing of Seat/Console Installations, on October 17, 1997 (<http://rgl.faa.gov>). That document was issued when it became clear that seat designs were evolving to include large, non-metallic panels with surface areas that would impact survivability during a cabin fire event, comparable to partitions or galleys. The document noted that large surface area panels must comply with heat release and smoke emission requirements, even if they were attached to a seat. If the FAA had not issued such policy, seat designs could have been viewed as a loophole to the airworthiness standards that would result in an unacceptable decrease in survivability during a cabin fire event.

In October of 2004, an issue was raised regarding the appropriate flammability standards for passenger seats that incorporated non-traditional, large, non-metallic panels in lieu of the traditional metal covered by fabric. The FAA determined that special conditions would be promulgated to apply the standards defined in 14 CFR 25.853(d) to seats with large, non-metallic panels in their design.

These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to

that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation Model MRJ-200 airplane. Should Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well.

Conclusion

This action affects only a certain novel or unusual design feature on one model of airplane. It is not a rule of general applicability.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Mitsubishi Aircraft Company Model MRJ-200 airplanes.

1. Except as provided in paragraph 3 of these special conditions, compliance with 14 CFR part 25, appendix F, part IV, Heat Release and part V, Smoke Emission, is required for seats that incorporate non-traditional, large, non-metallic panels that may either be a single component or multiple components in a concentrated area in their design.

2. The applicant may designate up to and including 0.139 square meter (1.5 square feet of non-traditional, non-metallic panel material per seat place that does not have to comply with paragraph 1 of these special conditions. A double seat assembly may have a total of 0.278 square meter (3.0 square feet) excluded on any portion of the assembly (e.g., outboard seat place 0.093 square meter [1 square foot] and inboard 0.185 square meter [2.0 square feet]).

3. Seats do not have to meet the test requirements of 14 CFR part 25, appendix F, parts IV and V, when installed in compartments defined in 14 CFR 25.853(e).

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 16, 2018.

Victor Wicklund,

Manager, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22922 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA-2018-0714; Special Conditions No. 25-734-SC]

Special Conditions: Bombardier, Inc., BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 Airplanes; Multiple-Place Side-Facing Seats With Active Leg-Flail Restraint Device and Shoulder-Belt Airbags

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for the Bombardier, Inc., (Bombardier) BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes, marketed respectively as Global 7000 and Global 8000. These airplanes, as modified by Bombardier, will have novel or unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport-category airplanes. These design features are multiple-place side-facing seats with active leg-flail restraint devices and shoulder-belt airbags. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: This action is effective on Bombardier on October 22, 2018. Send comments on or before December 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by Docket No. FAA-2018-0714 using any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRegulations Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov/> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- **Mail:** Send comments to Docket Operations, M-30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12-140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Fax:** Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202-493-2251.

Privacy: The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket website, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-19478).

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov/> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Sinclair, Airframe and Cabin Safety Section, AIR-675, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, Washington 98198; telephone and fax 206-231-3215; email alan.sinclair@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The substance of these special conditions has been published in the **Federal Register** for public comment in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds it unnecessary to delay the effective date and finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all comments we receive by the closing date for comments. We may change these special

conditions based on the comments we receive.

Background

On June 10, 2015, Bombardier applied for a supplemental type certificate for multiple-place side-facing seats equipped with active leg-flail restraint devices and shoulder-belt airbags in the Model BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes.

These airplanes are derivatives of the Model BD-700 series of airplanes and are marketed as the Bombardier Global 7000 (Model BD-700-2A12) and Global 8000 (Model BD-700-2A13). These airplanes are twin-engine, transport-category, executive-interior business jets. The maximum passenger capacity is 19 and the maximum takeoff weights are 106,250 lb. (Model BD-700-2A12) and 104,800 lb. (Model BD-700-2A13).

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 21.101, Bombardier must show that the Model BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes, as changed, continue to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations listed in type certificate no. T00003NY or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change, except for earlier amendments as agreed upon by the FAA.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, 14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Bombardier BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the applicant apply for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Bombardier Model BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes must comply with the fuel-vent and exhaust-emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34, and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.101.

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Bombardier Model BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features:

Multiple-place side-facing seats with active leg-flail restraint devices and shoulder-belt airbags.

Discussion

Many proposed airplane interior configurations include multiple-place side-facing seats and single-place side-facing seats (hereafter referred to as side-facing seats). Some of these side-facing seat designs include an airbag system in the shoulder belt, and some may also include leg-flail protection. The FAA has determined that the existing regulations do not provide adequate or appropriate safety standards for occupants of side facing seats. In addition, the FAA has determined that the existing regulations do not provide adequate or appropriate safety standards for the addition of airbag systems in the shoulder belt on side-facing seats.

Side-facing seats are considered a novel design for transport-category airplanes that include § 25.562 in their certification basis. The performance measures of § 25.562(c) only address forward- and aft-facing seats, and do not provide adequate or appropriate safety standards for occupants of side-facing seats because they do not consider the differences in the dynamic forces that apply to a side-facing occupant.

The FAA issued Policy Statement PS-ANM-25-03-R1 to provide technical criteria for approving side-facing seats, to be issued as special conditions for the design approval of such seats. The technical criteria set forth in that policy are the result of FAA research findings and provide the level of safety envisioned in § 25.562. These technical criteria are applicable to all fully side-facing seats, both multiple-place and single-place.

These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Bombardier BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes. Should Bombardier apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well.

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on the Bombardier BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes. It is not a rule of general applicability.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Bombardier BD-700-2A12 and BD-700-2A13 airplanes as modified by Bombardier.

1. Additional requirements applicable to tests or rational analysis conducted to show compliance with §§ 25.562 and 25.785 for side-facing seats:

a. The longitudinal test(s) conducted in accordance with § 25.562(b)(2) to show compliance with the seat-strength requirements of § 25.562(c)(7) and (8), and these special conditions must have an ES-2re anthropomorphic test dummy (ATD) (49 CFR part 572, subpart U) or equivalent, or a Hybrid-II ATD (49 CFR part 572, subpart B, as specified in § 25.562) or equivalent, occupying each seat position and including all items contactable by the occupant (*e.g.*, armrest, interior wall, or furnishing) if those items are necessary to restrain the occupant. If included, the floor representation and contactable items must be located such that their relative position, with respect to the center of the nearest seat place, is the same at the start of the test as before floor misalignment is applied. For example, if floor misalignment rotates the centerline of the seat place nearest the contactable item 8 degrees clockwise about the aircraft x-axis, then the item and floor representations must be rotated by 8 degrees clockwise also to maintain the same relative position to the seat place. Each ATD's relative position to the seat after application of floor misalignment must be the same as before misalignment is applied. To ensure proper loading of the seat by the occupants, the ATD pelvis must remain supported by the seat pan, and the restraint system must remain on the pelvis and shoulder of the ATD until rebound begins. No injury-criteria evaluation is necessary for tests

conducted only to assess seat-strength requirements.

b. The longitudinal test(s) conducted in accordance with § 25.562(b)(2), to show compliance with the injury assessments required by § 25.562(c) and these special conditions, may be conducted separately from the test(s) to show structural integrity. In this case, structural-assessment tests must be conducted as specified in paragraph 1a, above, and the injury-assessment test must be conducted without yaw or floor misalignment. Injury assessments may be accomplished by testing with ES-2re ATD (49 CFR part 572, subpart U) or equivalent at all places. Alternatively, these assessments may be accomplished by multiple tests that use an ES-2re at the seat place being evaluated, and a Hybrid-II ATD (49 CFR part 572, subpart B, as specified in § 25.562) or equivalent used in all seat places forward of the one being assessed, to evaluate occupant interaction. In this case, seat places aft of the one being assessed may be unoccupied. If a seat installation includes adjacent items that are contactable by the occupant, the injury potential of that contact must be assessed. To make this assessment, tests may be conducted that include the actual item, located and attached in a representative fashion. Alternatively, the injury potential may be assessed by a combination of tests with items having the same geometry as the actual item, but having stiffness characteristics that would create the worst case for injury (injuries due to both contact with the item and lack of support from the item).

c. If a seat is installed aft of structure (e.g., an interior wall or furnishing) that does not have a homogeneous surface contactable by the occupant, additional analysis and/or test(s) may be required to demonstrate that the injury criteria are met for the area which an occupant could contact. For example, different yaw angles could result in different injury considerations and may require additional analysis or separate test(s) to evaluate.

d. To accommodate a range of occupant heights (5th percentile female to 95th percentile male), the surface of items contactable by the occupant must be homogenous 7.3 inches (185 mm) above and 7.9 inches (200 mm) below the point (center of area) that is contacted by the 50th percentile male size ATD's head during the longitudinal test(s) conducted in accordance with paragraphs a, b, and c, above. Otherwise, additional head-injury criteria (HIC) assessment tests may be necessary. Any surface (inflatable or otherwise) that provides support for the occupant of any seat place must provide

that support in a consistent manner regardless of occupant stature. For example, if an inflatable shoulder belt is used to mitigate injury risk, then it must be demonstrated by inspection to bear against the range of occupants in a similar manner before and after inflation. Likewise, the means of limiting lower-leg flail must be demonstrated by inspection to provide protection for the range of occupants in a similar manner.

e. For longitudinal test(s) conducted in accordance with § 25.562(b)(2) and these special conditions, the ATDs must be positioned, clothed, and have lateral instrumentation configured as follows:

f. ATD positioning:

i. Lower the ATD vertically into the seat while simultaneously:

ii. Aligning the midsagittal plane (a vertical plane through the midline of the body; dividing the body into right and left halves) with approximately the middle of the seat place.

iii. Applying a horizontal x-axis direction (in the ATD coordinate system) force of about 20 lb (89 N) to the torso at approximately the intersection of the midsagittal plane and the bottom rib of the ES-2re or lower sternum of the Hybrid-II at the midsagittal plane, to compress the seat back cushion.

iv. Keeping the upper legs nearly horizontal by supporting them just behind the knees. Once all lifting devices have been removed from the ATD:

1. Rock it slightly to settle it in the seat.

2. Separate the knees by about 4 inches (100 mm).

3. Set the ES-2re's head at approximately the midpoint of the available range of z-axis rotation (to align the head and torso midsagittal planes).

4. Position the ES-2re's arms at the joint's mechanical detent that puts them at approximately a 40 degree angle with respect to the torso. Position the Hybrid-II ATD hands on top of its upper legs.

5. Position the feet such that the centerlines of the lower legs are approximately parallel to a lateral vertical plane (in the aircraft coordinate system).

g. *ATD clothing*: Clothe each ATD in form-fitting, mid-calf-length (minimum) pants and shoes (size 11E) weighing about 2.5 lb (1.1 Kg) total. The color of the clothing should be in contrast to the color of the restraint system. The ES-2re jacket is sufficient for torso clothing, although a form-fitting shirt may be used in addition if desired.

h. *ES-2re ATD lateral instrumentation*: The rib-module linear slides are directional, i.e., deflection

occurs in either a positive or negative ATD y-axis direction. The modules must be installed such that the moving end of the rib module is toward the front of the aircraft. The three abdominal-force sensors must be installed such that they are on the side of the ATD toward the front of the aircraft.

2. The combined horizontal/vertical test, required by § 25.562(b)(1) and these special conditions, must be conducted with a Hybrid II ATD (49 CFR part 572, subpart B, as specified in § 25.562), or equivalent, occupying each seat position.

3. Restraint systems:

a. If inflatable restraint systems are used, they must be active during all dynamic tests conducted to show compliance with § 25.562.

b. The design and installation of seat-belt buckles must prevent unbuckling due to applied inertial forces or impact of the hands/arms of the occupant during an emergency landing.

4. Additional performance measures applicable to tests and rational analysis conducted to show compliance with §§ 25.562 and 25.785 for side-facing seats:

a. *Body-to-body contact*: Contact between the head, pelvis, torso, or shoulder area of one ATD with the adjacent-seated ATD's head, pelvis, torso, or shoulder area is not allowed. Contact during rebound is allowed.

b. *Thoracic*: The deflection of any of the ES-2re ATD upper, middle, and lower ribs must not exceed 1.73 inches (44 mm). Data must be processed as defined in Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) 571.214.

c. *Abdominal*: The sum of the measured ES-2re ATD front, middle, and rear abdominal forces must not exceed 562 lbs (2,500 N). Data must be processed as defined in FMVSS 571.214.

d. *Pelvic*: The pubic symphysis force measured by the ES-2re ATD must not exceed 1,350 lbs (6,000 N). Data must be processed as defined in FMVSS 571.214.

e. *Leg*: Axial rotation of the upper-leg (femur) must be limited to 35 degrees in either direction from the nominal seated position.

f. *Neck*: As measured by the ES-2re ATD and filtered at CFC 600 as defined in SAE J211:

i. The upper-neck tension force at the occipital condyle (O.C.) location must be less than 405 lb (1,800 N).

ii. The upper-neck compression force at the O.C. location must be less than 405 lb (1,800 N).

iii. The upper-neck bending torque about the ATD x-axis at the O.C.

location must be less than 1,018 in-lb (115 Nm).

iv. The upper-neck resultant shear force at the O.C. location must be less than 186 lb (825 N).

g. *Occupant (ES-2re ATD) retention:* The pelvic restraint must remain on the ES-2re ATD's pelvis during the impact and rebound phases of the test. The upper-torso restraint straps (if present) must remain on the ATD's shoulder during the impact.

h. *Occupant (ES-2re ATD) support:*

i. *Pelvis excursion:* The load-bearing portion of the bottom of the ATD pelvis must not translate beyond the edges of its seat's bottom seat-cushion supporting structure.

ii. *Upper-torso support:* The lateral flexion of the ATD torso must not exceed 40 degrees from the normal upright position during the impact.

5. For seats with an airbag system in the shoulder belts, show that the airbag system in the shoulder belt will deploy and provide protection under crash conditions where it is necessary to prevent serious injury. The means of protection must take into consideration a range of stature from a 2-year-old child to a 95th percentile male. The airbag system in the shoulder belt must provide a consistent approach to energy absorption throughout that range of occupants. When the seat system includes an airbag system, that system must be included in each of the certification tests as it would be installed in the airplane. In addition, the following situations must be considered:

a. The seat occupant is holding an infant.

b. The seat occupant is a pregnant woman.

6. The airbag system in the shoulder belt must provide adequate protection for each occupant regardless of the number of occupants of the seat assembly, considering that unoccupied seats may have an active airbag system in the shoulder belt.

7. The design must prevent the airbag system in the shoulder belt from being either incorrectly buckled or incorrectly installed, such that the airbag system in the shoulder belt would not properly deploy. Alternatively, it must be shown that such deployment is not hazardous to the occupant, and will provide the required injury protection.

8. It must be shown that the airbag system in the shoulder belt is not susceptible to inadvertent deployment as a result of wear and tear, or inertial loads resulting from in-flight or ground maneuvers (including gusts and hard landings), and other operating and environmental conditions (vibrations, moisture, etc.) likely to occur in service.

9. Deployment of the airbag system in the shoulder belt must not introduce injury mechanisms to the seated occupant, or result in injuries that could impede rapid egress. This assessment should include an occupant whose belt is loosely fastened.

10. It must be shown that inadvertent deployment of the airbag system in the shoulder belt, during the most critical part of the flight, will either meet the requirement of § 25.1309(b) or not cause a hazard to the airplane or its occupants.

11. It must be shown that the airbag system in the shoulder belt will not impede rapid egress of occupants 10 seconds after airbag deployment.

12. The airbag system must be protected from lightning and high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). The threats to the airplane specified in existing regulations regarding lightning, § 25.1316, and HIRF, § 25.1317, are incorporated by reference for the purpose of measuring lightning and HIRF protection.

13. The airbag system in the shoulder belt must function properly after loss of normal aircraft electrical power, and after a transverse separation of the fuselage at the most critical location. A separation at the location of the airbag system in the shoulder belt does not have to be considered.

14. It must be shown that the airbag system in the shoulder belt will not release hazardous quantities of gas or particulate matter into the cabin.

15. The airbag system in the shoulder-belt installation must be protected from the effects of fire such that no hazard to occupants will result.

16. A means must be available for a crewmember to verify the integrity of the airbag system in the shoulder-belt activation system prior to each flight, or it must be demonstrated to reliably operate between inspection intervals. The FAA considers that the loss of the airbag-system deployment function alone (*i.e.*, independent of the conditional event that requires airbag deployment) is a major-failure condition.

17. The inflatable material may not have an average burn rate of greater than 2.5 inches/minute when tested using the horizontal flammability test defined in part 25, appendix F, part I, paragraph (b)(5).

18. The airbag system in the shoulder belt, once deployed, must not adversely affect the emergency-lighting system (*i.e.*, block floor proximity lights to the extent that the lights no longer meet their intended function).

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 10, 2018.

Victor Wicklund,

Manager, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22929 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2018-0834; Product Identifier 2018-SW-058-AD; Amendment 39-19421; AD 2018-16-51]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are publishing a new airworthiness directive (AD) for Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited (Bell) Model 429 helicopters. This AD was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of these helicopters as Emergency AD 2018-16-51, dated July 26, 2018, which superseded Emergency AD 2018-15-51, dated July 20, 2018. This AD requires inspecting the tail rotor (T/R) gearbox installation, inspecting the T/R gearbox retaining hardware and support attachment point areas, and replacing each nut. This AD is prompted by two reports of T/R gearbox assemblies loosely attached to the gearbox support. The actions of this AD are intended to address an unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD becomes effective November 6, 2018 to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2018-16-51, issued on July 26, 2018, which contains the requirements of this AD.

We must receive comments on this AD by December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Docket:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* Send comments to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket

Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** Deliver to the "Mail" address between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2018-0834; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the Transport Canada AD, the economic evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations (telephone 800-647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

For service information identified in this final rule, contact Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited, 12,800 Rue de l'Avenir, Mirabel, Quebec J7J1R4; telephone (450) 437-2862 or (800) 363-8023; fax (450) 433-0272; or at <http://www.bellcustomer.com/files/>. You may review the referenced service information at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Room 6N-321, Fort Worth, TX 76177.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Fuller, Senior Aviation Safety Engineer, Safety Management Section, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, FAA, 10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222-5110; email matthew.fuller@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety, and we did not provide you with notice and an opportunity to provide your comments prior to it becoming effective. However, we invite you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. We also invite comments relating to the economic, environmental, energy, or federalism impacts that resulted from adopting this AD. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the AD, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, commenters should send only one copy of written comments, or if comments are filed electronically, commenters should submit them only one time. We will file

in the docket all comments that we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking during the comment period. We will consider all the comments we receive and may conduct additional rulemaking based on those comments.

Discussion

Transport Canada, which is the aviation authority for Canada, issued Emergency AD No. CF-2018-18, dated July 11, 2018, to correct an unsafe condition for Bell Model 429 helicopters. Transport Canada advises of two reports of T/R gearbox assemblies loosely attached to the gearbox support. According to Transport Canada, this condition could lead to structural damage and possible loss of control of the helicopter.

As a result, on July 20, 2018, we issued Emergency AD 2018-15-51 (Emergency AD 2018-15-51), which required inspecting the T/R gearbox installation for looseness, visually inspecting the T/R gearbox retaining hardware and support attachment point areas, and torque inspecting the gearbox retaining nuts. Depending on the inspection results, Emergency AD 2018-15-51 required replacing or repairing the affected parts in accordance with FAA-approved procedures. Emergency AD 2018-15-51 was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of these helicopters. The actions in Emergency AD 2018-15-51 were intended to prevent detachment of the T/R gearbox, loss of T/R control, and loss of control of the helicopter.

After we issued Emergency AD 2018-15-51, we discovered an error in the replacement nut P/N. The required replacement nut P/N is NAS9926-6L; not NAS9926-5L as incorrectly stated in Emergency AD 2018-15-51. Therefore, on July 26, 2018, we issued Emergency AD 2018-16-51 to supersede Emergency AD 2018-15-51 to correct the nut P/N. Emergency AD 2018-16-51 otherwise retains all of the requirements of Emergency AD 2018-15-51. Emergency AD 2018-16-51 was also sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of these helicopters.

FAA's Determination

These helicopters have been approved by the aviation authority of Canada and are approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with Canada, Transport Canada, its technical representative, has notified us of the unsafe condition described in the Transport Canada AD. We are issuing this AD because we

evaluated all information provided by Transport Canada and determined the unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type design.

Related Service Information

We reviewed Bell Alert Service Bulletin 429-18-40, dated July 6, 2018, which specifies a one-time inspection of the T/R gearbox installation and a one-time visual and torque inspection of the six installation attachment points. This service information also specifies contacting Bell Product Support Engineering with the results of the T/R gearbox installation inspection, any findings of the visual inspection, and the results of the torque inspection.

AD Requirements

This AD requires inspecting the T/R gearbox installation for looseness, visually inspecting the T/R gearbox retaining hardware and each support attachment point area, and torque inspecting each gearbox retaining nut. Depending on the inspection results, this AD requires replacing or repairing the affected parts in accordance with FAA-approved procedures.

Differences Between This AD and the Transport Canada AD

The Transport Canada AD applies to helicopters with specific serial numbers, whereas this AD applies to all Model 429 helicopters. The Transport Canada AD includes a calendar based compliance time, whereas this AD does not. The Transport Canada AD requires reporting certain information to Bell Product Support Engineering and this AD does not. If there is looseness, this AD requires performing the visual inspection and torque inspection before further flight, while the Transport Canada AD requires contacting Bell. Lastly, if the torque of a T/R gearbox retaining nut is below 160 in-lbs (19 Nm), this AD requires removing the T/R gearbox and inspecting the mounting surfaces and retaining hardware, while the Transport Canada AD requires contacting Bell.

Interim Action

We consider this AD to be an interim action. If final action is later identified, we might consider further rulemaking then.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD affects 90 helicopters of U.S. Registry. We estimate that operators may incur the following costs in order to comply with this AD. Labor costs are estimated at \$85.00 per work-hour.

Inspecting the T/R gearbox installation takes about 0.25 work-hour for an estimated cost of \$21 per helicopter and \$1,890 for the U.S. fleet. Inspecting the T/R gearbox retaining hardware and the support attachment points takes about 0.5 work-hour for an estimated cost of \$43 per helicopter and \$3,870 for the U.S. fleet. Replacing the nuts takes about 1 work-hour and parts cost about \$20 for an estimated cost of \$105 per helicopter and \$9,450 for the U.S. fleet.

FAA's Justification and Determination of the Effective Date

An unsafe condition exists that required the immediate adoption of Emergency AD 2018–16–51, issued on July 26, 2018, to all known U.S. owners and operators of these helicopters. The FAA found that the risk to the flying public justified waiving notice and comment prior to adoption of this rule because there are required actions that must be completed before further flight and within 5 hours time-in-service. These conditions still exist and the AD is hereby published in the **Federal Register** as an amendment to section 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) to make it effective to all persons. Therefore, we find good cause that notice and opportunity for prior public comment are impracticable. In addition, for the reasons stated above, we find that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will

not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed, I certify that this AD:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska to the extent that it justifies making a regulatory distinction; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared an economic evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

- 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

2018–16–51 Bell Helicopter Textron

Canada Limited: Amendment 39–19421; Docket No. FAA–2018–0834; Product Identifier 2018–SW–058–AD.

(a) Applicability

This AD applies to Model 429 helicopters, certificated in any category.

(b) Unsafe Condition

This AD defines the unsafe condition as a loose tail rotor (T/R) gearbox support attachment point. This condition could result in detachment of the T/R gearbox, loss of T/R control, and loss of control of the helicopter.

(c) Related ADs

This AD requires the same actions as Emergency AD 2018–16–51, dated July 26, 2018, which superseded Emergency AD 2018–15–51, dated July 20, 2018.

(d) Effective Date

This AD becomes effective November 6, 2018 to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2018–16–51, issued on July 26, 2018, which contains the requirements of this AD.

(e) Compliance

You are responsible for performing each action required by this AD within the specified compliance time unless it has already been accomplished prior to that time.

(f) Required Actions

(1) Before further flight, inspect for looseness of the T/R gearbox installation to the T/R gearbox structural support by moving the T/R gearbox output shaft in an upward and downward direction. If the T/R gearbox installation is loose, before further flight, complete the actions required by paragraphs (f)(2)(i) and (ii) of this AD.

(2) Within 5 hours time-in-service, unless already completed as required by paragraph (f)(1) of this AD:

(i) Visually inspect the T/R gearbox retaining hardware and each support attachment point area for evidence of fretting, a crack, and incorrect installation. If there is any evidence of fretting, a crack, or incorrect installation, before further flight, repair in accordance with FAA-approved procedures.

(ii) Inspect each T/R gearbox retaining nut by applying 160 in-lbs (19 Nm) of torque. If the torque of a T/R gearbox retaining nut is below 160 in-lbs (19 Nm), before further flight:

(A) Remove the T/R gearbox and inspect each stud for proper staking, each stud thread for uniformity, each mounting surface for evidence of fretting and cracking, and each mounting hole for elongation. If a stud is not properly staked, a stud thread is not uniform, a mounting surface has evidence of fretting or cracking, or a mount hole is elongated, before further flight, replace the affected parts or repair in accordance with FAA-approved procedures.

(B) Replace each nut with nut part number NAS9926–6L and apply a torque of 160 in-lbs.

(g) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Safety Management Section, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, FAA, may approve AMOCs for this AD. Send your proposal to: Matt Fuller, Senior Aviation Safety Engineer, Safety Management Section, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, FAA, 10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222–5110; email 9-ASW-FTW-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) For operations conducted under a 14 CFR part 119 operating certificate or under 14 CFR part 91, subpart K, we suggest that you notify your principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office or certificate holding district office, before operating any aircraft complying with this AD through an AMOC.

(h) Additional Information

(1) Bell Alert Service Bulletin 429–18–40, dated July 6, 2018, which is not incorporated

by reference, contains additional information about the subject of this AD. For service information identified in this AD, contact Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited, 12,800 Rue de l'Avenir, Mirabel, Quebec J7J1R4; telephone (450) 437-2862 or (800) 363-8023; fax (450) 433-0272; or at <http://www.bellcustomer.com/files/>. You may review a copy of the service information at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Room 6N-321, Fort Worth, TX 76177.

(2) The subject of this AD is addressed in Transport Canada AD No. CF-2018-18, dated July 11, 2018. You may view the Transport Canada AD on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating it in Docket No. FAA-2018-0834.

(i) Subject

Joint Aircraft Service Component (JASC)
Code: 6520, Tail Rotor Gearbox.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 5, 2018.

Lance T. Gant,

*Director, Compliance & Airworthiness
Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2018-22414 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 97

[Docket No. 31216; Amdt. No. 3820]

Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends, suspends, or removes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) and associated Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures for operations at certain airports. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigational facilities, adding new obstacles, or changing air traffic requirements. These changes are designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

DATES: This rule is effective October 22, 2018. The compliance date for each SIAP, associated Takeoff Minimums, and ODP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 22, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Availability of matter incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

For Examination

1. U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Ops-M30, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Bldg., Ground Floor, Washington, DC, 20590-0001;

2. The FAA Air Traffic Organization Service Area in which the affected airport is located;

3. The office of Aeronautical Navigation Products, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 or,

4. The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Availability

All SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs are available online free of charge. Visit the National Flight Data Center online at nfdc.faa.gov to register. Additionally, individual SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP copies may be obtained from the FAA Air Traffic Organization Service Area in which the affected airport is located.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas J. Nichols, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AFS-420) Flight Technologies and Procedures Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK, 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK, 73125) telephone: (405) 954-4164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule amends Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97 (14 CFR part 97) by amending the referenced SIAPs. The complete regulatory description of each SIAP is listed on the appropriate FAA Form 8260, as modified by the National Flight Data Center (NFDC)/Permanent Notice to Airmen (P-NOTAM), and is incorporated by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and 14 CFR 97.20. The large number of SIAPs, their complex nature, and the need for a special format make their verbatim publication in the **Federal Register** expensive and impractical. Further, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, but refer to their graphic

depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. Thus, the advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP contained on FAA form documents is unnecessary.

This amendment provides the affected CFR sections, and specifies the SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs with their applicable effective dates. This amendment also identifies the airport and its location, the procedure and the amendment number.

Availability and Summary of Material Incorporated by Reference

The material incorporated by reference is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section.

The material incorporated by reference describes SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs as identified in the amendatory language for part 97 of this final rule.

The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP as amended in the transmittal. For safety and timeliness of change considerations, this amendment incorporates only specific changes contained for each SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP as modified by FDC permanent NOTAMs.

The SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, as modified by FDC permanent NOTAM, and contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS). In developing these changes to SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, the TERPS criteria were applied only to specific conditions existing at the affected airports. All SIAP amendments in this rule have been previously issued by the FAA in a FDC NOTAM as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts.

The circumstances that created the need for these SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP amendments require making them effective in less than 30 days.

Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d), good cause exists for making these SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR part 97

Air traffic control, Airports, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, DC on September 21, 2018.

Rick Domingo,

Executive Director, Flight Standards Service.

Adoption Of The Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, part 97, (14 CFR part 97), is amended by amending Standard Instrument Approach Procedures and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 97 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44701, 44719, 44721–44722.

■ 2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, Identified as follows:

* * * Effective Upon Publication

AIRAC date	State	City	Airport	FDC No.	FDC date	Subject
8–Nov–18	MS	Columbus	Columbus-Lowndes County ..	8/0472	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 36, Amdt 1.
8–Nov–18	IL	Flora	Flora Muni	8/0929	9/11/18	LOC/DME Rwy 21, Orig-C.
8–Nov–18	IL	Flora	Flora Muni	8/0933	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 21, Amdt 2B.
8–Nov–18	IL	Flora	Flora Muni	8/0934	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 3, Amdt 2A.
8–Nov–18	TX	Pearsall	McKinley Field	8/1500	9/11/18	VOR/DME OR GPS–A, Amdt 2A.
8–Nov–18	TX	Dallas	Dallas Executive	8/1501	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 17, Orig.
8–Nov–18	NY	Glens Falls	Floyd Bennett Memorial	8/1703	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 19, Amdt 1B.
8–Nov–18	VA	Charlottesville	Charlottesville-Albemarle	8/1832	9/11/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 3, Amdt 1.
8–Nov–18	WY	Afton	Afton Muni	8/2294	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 16, Amdt 2A.
8–Nov–18	OH	Middletown	Middletown Regional/Hook Field.	8/2374	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 5, Orig-B.
8–Nov–18	OH	Middletown	Middletown Regional/Hook Field.	8/2380	9/10/18	LOC Rwy 23, Amdt 7H.
8–Nov–18	NY	Massena	Massena Intl-Richards Field	8/2410	9/12/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 5, Amdt 3A.
8–Nov–18	SD	Sioux Falls	Joe Foss Field	8/2495	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 27, Orig-D.
8–Nov–18	SD	Sioux Falls	Joe Foss Field	8/2497	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 9, Orig-D.
8–Nov–18	SD	Sioux Falls	Joe Foss Field	8/2498	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 3, Amdt 1B.
8–Nov–18	SD	Sioux Falls	Joe Foss Field	8/2499	9/11/18	VOR OR TACAN Rwy 15, Amdt 21D.
8–Nov–18	SD	Sioux Falls	Joe Foss Field	8/2500	9/11/18	VOR/DME OR TACAN Rwy 33, Amdt 12D.
8–Nov–18	FL	Fort Myers	Page Field	8/2504	9/12/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 5, Amdt 7B.
8–Nov–18	FL	Fort Myers	Page Field	8/2506	9/12/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 5, Orig-B.
8–Nov–18	FL	Fort Myers	Page Field	8/2507	9/12/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 13, Amdt 1D.
8–Nov–18	FL	Fort Myers	Page Field	8/2508	9/12/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 23, Orig-B.
8–Nov–18	FL	Fort Myers	Page Field	8/2511	9/12/18	VOR Rwy 13, Orig-E.
8–Nov–18	GA	Greensboro	Greene County Rgnl	8/2542	9/10/18	LOC Rwy 25, Amdt 3D.
8–Nov–18	GA	Atlanta	Fulton County Airport-Brown Field.	8/2669	9/10/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 8, Amdt 17A.
8–Nov–18	GA	Atlanta	Fulton County Airport-Brown Field.	8/2679	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 26, Amdt 1B.
8–Nov–18	GA	Atlanta	Fulton County Airport-Brown Field.	8/2681	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Y Rwy 8, Amdt 1A.
8–Nov–18	GA	Atlanta	Fulton County Airport-Brown Field.	8/2682	9/10/18	VOR–A, Amdt 1B.
8–Nov–18	TN	Knoxville	McGhee Tyson	8/2879	9/13/18	RADAR 1, Amdt 22.
8–Nov–18	VA	Emporia	Emporia-Greenville Rgnl	8/3212	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 16, Amdt 2A.
8–Nov–18	ME	Belfast	Belfast Muni	8/3307	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 33, Amdt 1.
8–Nov–18	FL	Fort Myers	Page Field	8/3326	9/12/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 31, Orig-C.
8–Nov–18	IN	New Castle	New Castle-Henry Co Muni ..	8/3484	9/12/18	NDB Rwy 10, Orig.
8–Nov–18	TX	Crosbyton	Crosbyton Muni	8/3665	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 17, Orig-A.
8–Nov–18	CT	Bridgeport	Igor I Sikorsky Memorial	8/3818	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 29, Amdt 1A.
8–Nov–18	CT	Bridgeport	Igor I Sikorsky Memorial	8/3821	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 6, Amdt 1.
8–Nov–18	CT	Bridgeport	Igor I Sikorsky Memorial	8/3825	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 24, Amdt 1.
8–Nov–18	CT	Bridgeport	Igor I Sikorsky Memorial	8/3826	9/11/18	VOR Rwy 24, Amdt 17.
8–Nov–18	CT	Bridgeport	Igor I Sikorsky Memorial	8/3828	9/11/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 6, Amdt 10.
8–Nov–18	WI	New Richmond	New Richmond Rgnl	8/4055	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 32, Amdt 2B.
8–Nov–18	AR	Little Rock	Bill and Hillary Clinton National/Adams Field.	8/4149	9/13/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 22R, ILS Rwy 22R (SA CAT I), ILS Rwy 22R (CAT II–III), Amdt 3.

AIRAC date	State	City	Airport	FDC No.	FDC date	Subject
8-Nov-18	AK	Noorvik	Robert/Bob/Curtis Memorial ..	8/4205	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 6, Orig.
8-Nov-18	AK	Noorvik	Robert/Bob/Curtis Memorial ..	8/4206	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 24, Orig.
8-Nov-18	MN	Little Falls	Little Falls/Morrison County-Lindbergh Fld.	8/4718	9/13/18	NDB Rwy 31, Amdt 6C.
8-Nov-18	MN	Little Falls	Little Falls/Morrison County-Lindbergh Fld.	8/4720	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 31, Orig-A.
8-Nov-18	CO	Del Norte	Astronaut Kent Rominger	8/4915	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 24, Orig.
8-Nov-18	TX	New Braunfels	New Braunfels Rgnl	8/5257	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 35, Amdt 2.
8-Nov-18	TX	New Braunfels	New Braunfels Rgnl	8/5261	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 17, Orig.
8-Nov-18	TX	New Braunfels	New Braunfels Rgnl	8/5263	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 13, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	VA	Charlottesville	Charlottesville-Albemarle	8/5662	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Y Rwy 21, Amdt 2A.
8-Nov-18	TX	Kountze/Silsbee	Hawthorne Field	8/5684	9/11/18	NDB Rwy 13, Amdt 3.
8-Nov-18	DC	Washington	Ronald Reagan Washington National.	8/5696	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 15, Orig-A.
8-Nov-18	AK	South Naknek	South Naknek NR 2	8/5893	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 31, Orig.
8-Nov-18	AK	South Naknek	South Naknek NR 2	8/5902	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 13, Orig.
8-Nov-18	NC	Hickory	Hickory Rgnl	8/6760	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 24, Amdt 1A.
8-Nov-18	NC	Hickory	Hickory Rgnl	8/6761	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 19, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	NC	Hickory	Hickory Rgnl	8/6762	9/13/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 24, Amdt 8A.
8-Nov-18	OK	Chandler	Chandler Rgnl	8/6841	9/11/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 35, Orig.
8-Nov-18	MD	Frederick	Frederick Muni	8/6887	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 5, Orig-C.
8-Nov-18	MD	Frederick	Frederick Muni	8/6888	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Z Rwy 23, Orig-E.
8-Nov-18	MD	Frederick	Frederick Muni	8/6893	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Y Rwy 23, Amdt 1C.
8-Nov-18	OK	Chandler	Chandler Rgnl	8/7279	9/11/18	Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	SC	Summerville	Summerville	8/7291	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 24, Orig-B.
8-Nov-18	SC	Summerville	Summerville	8/7292	9/13/18	NDB Rwy 6, Amdt 1A.
8-Nov-18	TX	New Braunfels	New Braunfels Rgnl	8/7527	9/11/18	VOR/DME-A, Orig.
8-Nov-18	NE	Broken Bow	Broken Bow Muni/Keith Glaze Fld.	8/8087	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 14, Orig-A.
8-Nov-18	NE	Broken Bow	Broken Bow Muni/Keith Glaze Fld.	8/8089	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 32, Amdt 1A.
8-Nov-18	NE	Broken Bow	Broken Bow Muni/Keith Glaze Fld.	8/8090	9/10/18	VOR Rwy 14, Amdt 4C.
8-Nov-18	NE	Broken Bow	Broken Bow Muni/Keith Glaze Fld.	8/8093	9/10/18	VOR/DME Rwy 32, Orig-C.
8-Nov-18	VT	Burlington	Burlington Intl	8/8214	9/12/18	ILS OR LOC/DME Rwy 15, Amdt 24A.
8-Nov-18	MN	Hibbing	Range Rgnl	8/8419	9/13/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 4, Orig.
8-Nov-18	OR	Astoria	Astoria Rgnl	8/8578	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 8, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	OR	Astoria	Astoria Rgnl	8/8590	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 26, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	NY	New York	John F Kennedy Intl	8/8694	9/13/18	ILS OR LOC Rwy 4L, Amdt 11B.
8-Nov-18	IA	Jefferson	Jefferson Muni	8/8986	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 14, Orig.
8-Nov-18	IA	Jefferson	Jefferson Muni	8/8988	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 32, Orig.
8-Nov-18	IA	Jefferson	Jefferson Muni	8/8990	9/10/18	NDB Rwy 32, Amdt 6.
8-Nov-18	OR	Medford	Rogue Valley Intl—Medford ..	8/9687	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS)-D, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9712	9/10/18	LOC Rwy 4R, Amdt 1B.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9718	9/10/18	LOC Rwy 8L, Amdt 1.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9719	9/10/18	LDA Rwy 26L, Amdt 5B.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9720	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 4L, Orig-A.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9721	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Rwy 8R, Orig-A.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9726	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Y Rwy 4R, Amdt 2B.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9728	9/10/18	RNAV (GPS) Y Rwy 8L, Amdt 2.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9729	9/10/18	VOR OR TACAN Rwy 4R, Orig-C.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9730	9/10/18	VOR OR TACAN-A, Amdt 1B.
8-Nov-18	HI	Honolulu	Daniel K Inouye Intl	8/9736	9/10/18	VOR OR TACAN-B, Amdt 2B.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 97****[Docket No. 31215; Amdt. No. 3819]****Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments****AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes, amends, suspends, or removes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) and associated Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures (ODPs) for operations at certain airports. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigational facilities, adding new obstacles, or changing air traffic requirements. These changes are designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

DATES: This rule is effective October 22, 2018. The compliance date for each SIAP, associated Takeoff Minimums, and ODP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the **Federal Register** as of October 22, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

For Examination

1. U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Ops-M30, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Bldg., Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

2. The FAA Air Traffic Organization Service Area in which the affected airport is located;

3. The office of Aeronautical Navigation Products, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 or,

4. The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Availability

All SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs are available online free of charge. Visit the National Flight Data Center at nfdc.faa.gov to register. Additionally, individual SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP copies may be obtained from the FAA Air Traffic Organization Service Area in which the affected airport is located.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas J. Nichols, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AFS-420), Flight Technologies and Programs Divisions, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK. 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125) Telephone: (405) 954-4164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule amends Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97 (14 CFR part 97), by establishing, amending, suspending, or removes SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and/or ODPS. The complete regulatory description of each SIAP and its associated Takeoff Minimums or ODP for an identified airport is listed on FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and 14 CFR part 97.20. The applicable FAA forms are FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, 8260-5, 8260-15A, and 8260-15B when required by an entry on 8260-15A.

The large number of SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, their complex nature, and the need for a special format make publication in the **Federal Register** expensive and impractical. Further, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums or ODPs, but instead refer to their graphic depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. Thus, the advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP, Takeoff Minimums and ODP listed on FAA form documents is unnecessary. This amendment provides the affected CFR sections and specifies the types of SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs with their applicable effective dates. This amendment also identifies the airport and its location, the procedure, and the amendment number.

Availability and Summary of Material Incorporated by Reference

The material incorporated by reference is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section.

The material incorporated by reference describes SIAPs, Takeoff

Minimums and/or ODPS as identified in the amendatory language for part 97 of this final rule.

The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP, Takeoff Minimums and ODP as Amended in the transmittal. Some SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and textual ODP amendments may have been issued previously by the FAA in a Flight Data Center (FDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts.

The circumstances that created the need for some SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, under 5 U.S.C 553(d), good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR part 97

Air traffic control, Airports, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, DC on September 21, 2018.

Rick Domingo,

Executive Director, Flight Standards Service.

Adoption Of The Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97 (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or removing Standard Instrument Approach Procedures and/or Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 97 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44701, 44719, 44721–44722.

■ 2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

Effective 8 November 2018

Fairbanks, AK, Fairbanks Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 2R, Amdt 1A
 Fairbanks, AK, Fairbanks Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 20L, Amdt 1A
 Fairbanks, AK, Fairbanks Intl, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 2L, Amdt 1B
 Fairbanks, AK, Fairbanks Intl, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 20R, Amdt 1C
 Tanana, AK, Ralph M Calhoun Memorial, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1A
 Wales, AK, Wales, RNAV (GPS) RWY 18, Amdt 1
 Wales, AK, Wales, RNAV (GPS) RWY 36, Amdt 1
 Wales, AK, Wales, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 Bentonville, AR, Bentonville Muni/Louise M Thaden Field, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2
 Pueblo, CO, Pueblo Memorial, ILS OR LOC RWY 8R, Amdt 1A
 Melbourne, FL, Melbourne Intl, VOR RWY 27L, Orig
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 17, Amdt 14C
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, LOC RWY 26, Amdt 1B
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, NDB RWY 35, Orig
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 8, Amdt 2D
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 17, Amdt 2E
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 26, Amdt 2D
 Pensacola, FL, Pensacola Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 35, Amdt 2D
 St Petersburg, FL, Albert Whitted, RNAV (GPS) RWY 36, Amdt 1A
 St Petersburg, FL, Albert Whitted, VOR RWY 18, Amdt 9C
 Atlanta, GA, Atlanta Rgnl Falcon Field, RNAV (GPS) RWY 13, Amdt 3

Atlanta, GA, Atlanta Rgnl Falcon Field, RNAV (GPS) RWY 31, Amdt 3
 Savannah, GA, Savannah/Hilton Head Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 1, Amdt 8B
 Savannah, GA, Savannah/Hilton Head Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 10, ILS RWY 10 SA CAT I, ILS RWY 10 SA CAT II, Amdt 29
 Fairfield, IL, Fairfield Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 9, Amdt 1
 Monticello, IL, Piatt County, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Orig, CANCELED
 Monticello, IL, Piatt County, VOR OR GPS–A, Amdt 1A, CANCELED
 South Bend, IN, South Bend Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 9R, Amdt 1
 Terre Haute, IN, Sky King, RNAV (GPS)-A, Amdt 1
 Terre Haute, IN, Sky King, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 5
 Terre Haute, IN, Sky King, VOR–A, Amdt 7
 Lawrence, MA, Lawrence Muni, VOR RWY 23, Amdt 12A
 Waterville, ME, Waterville Robert Lafleur, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 Berrien Springs, MI, Andrews University Airpark, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 Madison, MN, Lac Qui Parle County, NDB RWY 32, Amdt 4B, CANCELED
 Wheaton, MN, Wheaton Muni, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2
 Sikeston, MO, Sikeston Memorial Muni, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1A
 Corinth, MS, Roscoe Turner, ILS OR LOC RWY 18, Amdt 3A
 Vicksburg, MS, Vicksburg Muni, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 3
 Kenansville, NC, Duplin Co, RNAV (GPS) RWY 5, Amdt 1
 Kenansville, NC, Duplin Co, RNAV (GPS) RWY 23, Amdt 1
 Kenansville, NC, Duplin Co, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 Bismarck, ND, Bismarck Muni, ILS OR LOC RWY 13, Amdt 4
 Bismarck, ND, Bismarck Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 21, Amdt 2
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, ILS OR LOC RWY 30, Orig-A
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 8, Orig-A
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 12, Orig-A
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 26, Orig-A
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 30, Amdt 1A
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, VOR RWY 12, Amdt 3B
 Alliance, NE, Alliance Muni, VOR RWY 30, Amdt 3A
 Neligh, NE, Antelope County, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 O'Neill, NE, The O'Neill Muni-John L Baker Field, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 Caldwell, NJ, Essex County, RNAV (GPS) RWY 4, Orig-C
 Caldwell, NJ, Essex County, RNAV (GPS) RWY 10, Amdt 1
 Caldwell, NJ, Essex County, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2A
 Mount Holly, NJ, South Jersey Rgnl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 26, Amdt 1C

Newark, NJ, Newark Liberty Intl, GLS RWY 4L, Amdt 1A
 Newark, NJ, Newark Liberty Intl, GLS RWY 4R, Amdt 1A
 Newark, NJ, Newark Liberty Intl, GLS RWY 22R, Amdt 1A
 Newark, NJ, Newark Liberty Intl, VOR RWY 11, Amdt 2F
 New York, NY, John F Kennedy Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 13L, ILS RWY 13L CAT II, Amdt 18B
 Skaneateles, NY, Skaneateles Aero Drome, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1
 Freeport, PA, McVile, RNAV (GPS) RWY 14, Orig
 Freeport, PA, McVile, RNAV (GPS) RWY 32, Orig
 Freeport, PA, McVile, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Orig
 Pageland, SC, Pageland, NDB RWY 24, Amdt 1, CANCELED
 Belle Fourche, SD, Belle Fourche Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 32, Amdt 2
 Mitchell, SD, Mitchell Muni, ILS OR LOC RWY 31, Orig-C
 Spearfish, SD, Black Hills-Clyde Ice Field, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2
 Jacksboro, TN, Colonel Tommy C Stiner Airfield, RNAV (GPS) RWY 23, Amdt 1C
 Jacksboro, TN, Colonel Tommy C Stiner Airfield, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2A
 Fort Worth, TX, Fort Worth Meacham Intl, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 8
 Killeen, TX, Skylark Field, VOR–A, Amdt 4A
 Washington Island, WI, Washington Island, COPTER RNAV (GPS) 029, Orig
 Washington Island, WI, Washington Island, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Orig

RESCINDED: On September 11, 2018 (83 FR 45822), the FAA published an Amendment in Docket No. 31211, Amdt No. 3815, to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations under section 97.25, 97.33, and 97.37. The following entries for Bay Minette, AL, Oneonta, AL, and for Minocqua-Woodruff, WI, effective November 8, 2018, are hereby rescinded in their entirety:

Bay Minette, AL, Bay Minette Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 8, Amdt 1B
 Oneonta, AL, Robbins Field, RNAV (GPS) RWY 6, Orig-C
 Oneonta, AL, Robbins Field, RNAV (GPS) RWY 24, Orig-A
 Oneonta, AL, Robbins Field, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Orig-B
 Minocqua-Woodruff, WI, Lakeland/Noble F. Lee Memorial Field, LOC RWY 36, Amdt 1A, CANCELED

[FR Doc. 2018–22274 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS
AFFAIRS****38 CFR Part 3**

RIN 2900-AP43

**Presumption of Herbicide Exposure
and Presumption of Disability During
Service for Reservists Presumed
Exposed to Herbicides****AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is adopting as final an interim final rule published on June 19, 2015, to amend its adjudication regulation governing individuals presumed to have been exposed to certain herbicides. Specifically, VA expanded the regulation to include an additional group consisting of individuals who performed service in the Air Force or Air Force Reserve under circumstances in which they had regular and repeated contact with C-123 aircraft known to have been used to spray an herbicide agent ("Agent Orange") during the Vietnam era. In addition, the regulation established a presumption that members of this group who later develop an Agent Orange presumptive condition were disabled during the relevant period of service, thus establishing that service as "active military, naval, or air service." The effect of this action is to presume herbicide exposure for these individuals and to create a presumption that the individuals who are presumed exposed to herbicides during reserve service also meet the statutory definition of "veteran" (hereinafter, "veteran status") for VA purposes and eligibility for some VA benefits.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This rule is effective October 22, 2018.

Applicability Date: This final rule is applicable to any claim for service connection for an Agent Orange presumptive condition filed by a covered individual that was pending on or after June 19, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Li, Chief, Regulations Staff (211D), Compensation Service, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461-9700. (This is not a toll-free telephone number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a document published in the **Federal Register** on June 19, 2015 (80 FR 35246), VA amended its regulation at 38 CFR 3.307 governing individuals presumed to have been exposed to certain herbicides. VA provided the public 60

days in which to comment on the amendment made by the interim final rule, with the comment period ending August 18, 2015. VA received 46 comments from various organizations and individuals. The issues raised by the commenters that concerned a similar topic have been grouped together and VA's discussion of the comments organized accordingly. For the reasons set forth in the interim final rule and for those reasons discussed below, we are adopting the interim final rule as final without changes.

The majority of public comments asserted a need for retroactive application of the effective date assigned for the interim final rule. Retroactivity is generally not favored in the law and an agency will not generally be considered to have authority to provide retroactive effect unless an exception to this general rule is provided via an express statutory delegation of authority. *See Bowen v. Georgetown Univ. Hosp.*, 488 U.S. 204, 208 (1988). Further, 38 U.S.C. 5110(g) stipulates that the effective date of an award or increase based on a liberalizing law or VA issue will either be the "effective date of the Act or administrative issue," or the date entitlement arose, whichever is later. This statute is implemented through regulation (38 CFR 3.114), which generally does not contemplate VA providing benefits effective prior to the effective date of the liberalizing regulation itself.

Even to the extent VA's rulemaking authority under 38 U.S.C. 501 includes authority to issue retroactive regulations, and assuming such an understanding can be reconciled with section 5110(g), VA declines to do so in this matter. Even if VA's rulemaking authority extends to assigning a retroactive effective date in the abstract, doing so is nevertheless inconsistent with the intent of section 5110(g) and would certainly be inconsistent with VA's usual and longstanding practice to make substantive rules effective prospectively. Maintaining a general policy of applying new regulations prospectively helps ensure that all new liberalizing regulations are applied in a fair and consistent manner. The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has reviewed this authority and held that VA did not act unreasonably in using a prospective effective date for a liberalizing regulation rather than a retroactive effective date in circumstance similar to this. *McKinney v. McDonald*, 796 F.3d 1377, 1384-85 (Fed. Cir. 2015). Additionally, we note that avoiding retroactivity serves the interests of orderly administration and

clarity in the law. If new regulations apply only prospectively, then determining what law applied to a past claim as of a given point in time is a matter of looking up the regulation for the applicable year. When new regulations are given retroactive effect, agency personnel must navigate considerably more complexity (e.g., having to consult the law in 2018 in order to figure out what the law was in 1990). Retroactive application of a new regulation also entails significant complexity insofar as adjudicators may have to assess intervening changes to other relevant statutes and regulations and seek to develop evidence, years after the fact, regarding the existence and extent of disability during past periods. This would increase the potential for confusion, inconsistency, and delay in VA claim adjudications, in addition to the disparate treatment that would result from making some presumptions retroactive, but not others. Therefore, although it may be possible for VA to provide retroactive effect in some exceptional circumstance, this would be inappropriate as a routine matter. VA will make the provisions addressed herein effective prospectively from the date of enactment consistent with the approach both VA and Congress generally have followed in establishing liberalizing regulations and statutes benefitting other groups of veterans, and makes no change based on the comments suggesting a retroactive effective date for the amendments to 38 CFR 3.307.

Multiple sub-categories were present within the broad category of requests for a retroactive effective date. Numerous commenters argued that this regulation is unnecessary as current VA policies and procedures already allow for establishing service-connected disability status based on exposure to residual dioxin aboard C-123 aircraft and the subsequent development of disabilities related thereto. Multiple commenters theorized that the regulation is unnecessary to establish presumption of exposure as an in-service injury during inactive duty training or active duty for training status. The comments referenced an opinion of VA's Office of General Counsel (OGC), VAOPGCPREC 4-2002, as a basis for establishing that the exposure to residual dioxin was an in-service "injury" sufficient to satisfy the criteria for service connection under 38 U.S.C. 101(24). Similarly, other comments received referenced another OGC opinion, VAOPGCPREC 08-2001, as a basis to establish occurrence of an "injury" for the purposes of establishing active service to satisfy section 101(24).

The two cited opinions and the argument that reservists can meet the statutory definition of “veteran” simply on the basis of injury are all inapposite to this rulemaking. Current law, specifically 38 U.S.C. 101(2), defines “veteran” as “a person who served in the active military, naval, or air service, and who was discharged or released therefrom under conditions other than dishonorable.” Section 101(24) then clarifies that “active military, naval, or air service” includes active duty for training during which an injury or disease is incurred or aggravated in the line of duty, or inactive duty for training during which an injury was incurred or aggravated in the line or duty or during which an acute myocardial infarction, a cardiac arrest, or a cerebrovascular accident occurs. Further, in both scenarios, section 101(24) requires that, “during” the referenced duty period, the putative veteran “was disabled or died” from a covered injury or disease. Thus, two discrete elements are required before VA can conclude that active duty for training (ADT) or inactive duty training (IDT) are considered active service: Injury (or in the case of ADT, disease as well, or in the case of IDT, the events specified in section 101(24)(C)(ii)) incurred or aggravated in the line of duty, and incurrence of disability during such duty period from that same covered injury or disease. Although the commenters are correct in that VA stated in the interim final rule that exposure to Agent Orange constitutes injury for veteran status purposes, insofar as the commenters argue that injury alone is sufficient to establish veteran status they are incorrect. In both ADT and IDT cases, disability must be incurred during the period of service. See section 101(24)(B) and (C). In the absence of incurrence of disability or death during service, veteran status is still not established. The operation of the presumption at issue in this regulation is therefore necessary for the putative veterans in question to achieve service connection on a presumptive basis.

Both of the OGC opinions cited by commenters addressed whether specific incidents during service were legally sufficient to satisfy the definition of injury in section 101(24). The opinions did not address whether the injuries at issue could or did cause a disability or death during the same period of service, much less create a presumption that the injuries at issue would do so. See VAOPGCPREC 08–2001, 04–2002. Nor did the opinions create a presumption that an entire class of servicemembers was, in fact, exposed to herbicide.

Claimants who present evidence of both injury during ADT or IDT service and disability first manifest or aggravated during that same service—the situation addressed in both VAPGCPREC 08–2001 and 04–2002—could be entitled to service connection on a direct basis if the elements for service connection are otherwise established. This rule does not affect that basis of service connection for any individual. Rather, this rule creates presumptions for individuals who performed service in the Air Force or Air Force Reserve under circumstances in which they had regular and repeated contact with C–123 aircraft known to have been used to spray an herbicide agent regarding exposure to herbicides, injury, and onset of diseases specified in 38 CFR 3.309(e). Thus, we disagree that this rule is unnecessary and/or conflicts with VAPGCPREC 08–2001 and 04–2002. No changes are made in response to these comments.

Multiple comments referenced a March 2013 correspondence from the Joint Services Records Research Center (JSRRC) to VA. JSRRC had cited the findings of a study by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) as relevant documentation establishing exposure to residual dioxin. The commenters requested that this memorandum be utilized as a basis for a retroactive effective date. Similarly, multiple comments referenced the 2015 findings of the Institute of Medicine (IOM) and requested that the date of these findings be utilized as a basis for the effective date of this regulation.

VA finds no basis to utilize the JSRRC correspondence or the IOM findings to establish an earlier effective date for the regulation. For all regulations in which VA has established a presumption of exposure, there is a body of scientific evidence that must be considered and ultimately informs the decision to establish the presumption of exposure. This body of scientific evidence, by logical necessity, predates the effective date of the regulation. Exposure aboard contaminated C–123 aircraft is no different. As discussed above, to the extent VA has legal authority to establish a retroactive effective date, it is unquestionably the well-established practice of VA and Congress to establish liberalizing regulations and statutes benefitting other groups of veterans with prospective effective dates. Therefore, no change is warranted based on any of these multiple theories asserted in support of assigning a retroactive effective date for this regulation.

Some comments referenced prior VA decisions to grant service-connected disability benefits based on exposure

during inactive or active duty for training status aboard contaminated C–123 aircraft and utilized this as a basis for the argument to assign an earlier effective date for this regulation. Prior decisions granting benefits as described were made on the basis of the facts found in the individual case and the law that existed at the time, and are not a means for assigning an effective date for a regulation. As previously noted, under 38 U.S.C. 5110(g), effective dates “shall be fixed in accordance with the facts found but shall not be earlier than the effective date of the Act or administrative issue.” The prior cases referenced in the comments were all granted on the basis of individual facts found, and as already discussed above, the current regulation establishes entitlement on a presumptive basis. Thus, no change is warranted based on these comments.

Some commenters objected to the regulation on the basis that the regulation imposes an additional challenge for cases already on appeal as veteran status must now be considered. Determining veteran status is always part of the claims process. Although veteran status may not be directly addressed and discussed in the adjudication of every claim or an appeal, it is one of many determinations that must be made along the path of considering entitlement to any VA benefit, and is frequently at issue in claims arising from periods of active duty for training or inactive duty training. See, e.g., *Collaro v. West*, 136 F.3d 1304, 1308 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (noting that “status as a veteran” is one of five elements to be resolved in an application for service-connected disability benefits). Thus, no change is made based upon these comments as veteran status is and has been a consideration always inherent in deciding claims for VA benefits.

An additional category of comments objected to the effective date on the basis that failure to allow for retroactive benefits results in denial of due process for those individuals who had previously submitted claims. For a denial of due process to occur, there must be a property interest, such as entitlement to a benefit, and deprivation of the property interest flowing from the defective process. At the time any claim was received prior to the effective date of this regulation, presumptive entitlement to a benefit did not exist as a matter of law (38 U.S.C. 5110(g) and 38 CFR 3.114). Due process serves to protect property interests that are recognized or created by the law—it does not itself create property interests. *Leis v. Flynt*, 439 U.S. 438, 441 (1979);

Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzalez, 545 U.S. 748, 771 (2005). The requirements of due process therefore cannot serve to create a presumption of entitlement to benefits prior to the time that presumption actually existed. Additionally, the creation of a presumption of exposure to dioxin effective June 19, 2015, does not prevent a claimant from introducing evidence in an earlier claim in order to establish service connection on a facts found basis. As noted earlier, VA granted entitlement to benefits on the basis of individual facts found before enactment of this rule. Consequently, there is no deprivation of due process, and no change is warranted based upon these comments.

Multiple comments referenced what was viewed as unfavorable treatment of reserve service as compared to individuals who established status as a veteran after other types of service. As described in the explanation of responses to effective date comments, the term “veteran” is defined in existing statutes. This rule serves as a vehicle to help members of the Air Force Reserve establish that their herbicide-related disease was incurred during active service. VA is without authority to ignore the statutory definition of the term “veteran” regardless of whether that term treats reserve service differently than other types of service. Therefore, no change is warranted based on these comments.

VA received comments requesting action in accordance with the effective date rules governed by the class action case of *Nehmer v. United States Department of Veterans Affairs*, No. CV–86–6160 TEH (N.D. Cal.). The *Nehmer* case established herbicide exposure claim procedures for veterans who served in Vietnam. Thus, reservists who served aboard C–123 aircraft outside Vietnam are not *Nehmer* class members, unless the individual in question separately deployed to Vietnam, in which case they have long been presumed exposed to herbicides without regard to the impact of this regulation. The stipulations that the parties entered into in *Nehmer* therefore do not apply to this rulemaking. Consequently, no changes are warranted based on these comments.

VA received four comments in which the commenter objected to concession of exposure based on a lack of and/or faulty scientific evidence confirming actual exposure to residual dioxin. One of these comments also cited a 20-year Air Force Health Study that showed no correlation between exposure in crews participating in Operation Ranch Hand and those disabilities that VA presumes

associated with herbicide exposure. VA has based its decision to add presumptions for C–123 veterans on the entire body of relevant evidence, including the findings of the February 24, 2015, IOM report “Post-Vietnam Dioxin Exposure in Agent Orange-Contaminated C–123 Aircraft.” The report found evidence of potentially harmful exposure to residual dioxin for those Air Force Reservists who worked aboard contaminated, former Operation Ranch Hand C–123 aircraft. VA considered the comments and evidence cited by the commenters, but determined that they are not sufficient to outweigh the IOM’s finding that “[Air Force] Reservists working in [Operation Ranch Hand] C–123s were exposed (in the technical sense of the word of having bodily contact with the chemicals) to the components of Agent Orange to some extent.” Therefore, no change is warranted based on these comments.

Further, with regard to the comment questioning the validity of the presumptive correlation between exposure to residual dioxin and the subsequent development of diseases, the IOM report clearly states and provides sufficient analysis to confirm that it is plausible that Air Force Reservists “would have experienced some exposure to chemicals from herbicide residue when working inside [Operation Ranch Hand] C–123s.” The IOM committee reported that “[n]o matter what” decontamination methods were used, “TCDD and phenoxy herbicide residues were still detected 30 years later in several of the C–123 aircraft at levels in excess of international guidelines.” TCDD refers to the dioxin, an unintended contaminant in Agent Orange, which was later determined to be a human carcinogen. The IOM was able to find sufficient sampling data to demonstrate that the C–123s experienced long-term contamination with Agent Orange and TCDD. The report further explains that the available data was sufficient to suggest that “the C–123s did contribute to some adverse health consequences among the [Air Force] Reservists who worked in [Operation Ranch Hand] C–123s.” It has been longstanding VA policy to presume service-connection for certain disabilities determined to have been related to exposure to Agent Orange or related herbicides during military service. See 38 CFR 3.309(e), *Disease associated with exposure to certain herbicide agents*. Consequently, no changes are made with regard to that comment.

Two comments were received requesting Agent Orange Registry

examinations. Entitlement to Agent Orange Registry examinations is not within the scope of this rule making. Agent Orange Registry examinations are made available to individuals who may have been exposed to herbicides during a military operation or as a result of testing, transporting, or spraying herbicides for military purposes. This rulemaking does not impact the availability of Agent Orange Registry examinations. Consequently, no change is made based upon these comments.

Several comments were received pertaining to exposure aboard C–123 aircraft at specific locations. This regulation does not establish criteria based on specific locations, but rather based on the type of service (Air Force or Air Force Reserve) and circumstances of that service (regular and repeated contact with C–123 aircraft known to have been used to spray Agent Orange during the Vietnam era). Specifically, the amended regulation establishes that VA will presume exposure to herbicides and in-service injury and incurrence of disability for individuals who suffer from specified herbicide-related diseases and “regularly and repeatedly operated, maintained, or served onboard C–123 aircraft known to have been used to spray an herbicide agent during the Vietnam era.” It further clarifies that the individual had to have been assigned to an Air Force or Air Force Reserve squadron that was permanently assigned one of the affected aircraft, and that he/she had an Air Force specialty code indicating duties as a flight, ground maintenance, or medical crew member. VA procedures have been established based upon the interim final rule to set forth this criteria in order to determine whether an individual was exposed based on the circumstances of service. Therefore, no change is warranted in response to these comments.

One commenter requested that breast cancer be designated as a disability presumptively related to exposure to residual dioxin on C–123 aircraft. This comment is outside the scope of this rulemaking. This rulemaking establishes means for presuming exposure to herbicides and establishing veteran status. The designation of a presumptive relationship between herbicide exposure and the subsequent development of any type of disease, such as breast cancer, is not within the scope of this rulemaking. Consequently, no change is warranted based upon this comment. However, VA will continue to monitor relevant scientific and medical reports for conditions associated with exposure to certain herbicide agents. If, at a later date, there is sufficient

evidence to suggest a relationship between exposure and additional disabilities, VA will initiate additional rulemaking as appropriate.

One comment was received requesting clarification of entitlement to survivor benefits within the rulemaking. Although clarification of entitlement to survivor benefits is not within the scope of this rulemaking in particular, we note that status to claim entitlement to survivor benefits is generally predicated on the basis of the survivor's relationship to a veteran, while the benefits that a survivor may claim can be dependent on the benefits to which that veteran was entitled. Whether a veteran's entitlement to benefits is established based in part on this liberalizing rule would not itself impact a survivor's ability to claim benefits or the benefits to which the survivor would be entitled. No change is warranted based upon this comment.

Executive Orders 12866, 13563, and 13771

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) defines a "significant regulatory action," which requires review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), as "any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order."

The economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy

implications of this regulatory action have been examined and it has been determined to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, because it raises novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order. VA's impact analysis can be found as a supporting document at <http://www.regulations.gov>, usually within 48 hours after the rulemaking document is published. Additionally, a copy of the rulemaking and its impact analysis are available on VA's website at <http://www.va.gov/orpm> by following the link for VA Regulations Published from FY 2004 through FYTD. This rule is not subject to the requirements of E.O. 13771 because this rule results in no more than *de minimis* costs.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612). This final rule will directly affect only individuals and will not directly affect small entities. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this rulemaking is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This final rule will have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This regulatory action contains provisions constituting a collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Specifically, this rule is associated with information collections related to the filing of disability benefits claims, VA Forms 21–526EZ and 21P–534EZ. The information collections are currently approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and have been assigned OMB control numbers 2900–0747 and 2900–0004. There are no changes to any of these collections and, thus, no incremental costs associated with this rulemaking.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers and titles for the programs affected by this document are 64.100, Automobiles and Adaptive Equipment for Certain Disabled Veterans and Members of the Armed Forces; 64.101, Burial Expenses Allowance for Veterans; 64.102, Compensation for Service-Connected Deaths for Veterans' Dependents; 64.104, Pension for Non-Service-Connected Disability for Veterans; 64.105, Pension to Veterans Surviving Spouses and Children; 64.106, Specially Adapted Housing for Disabled Veterans; 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability; and 64.110, Veterans Dependency and Indemnity Compensation for Service-Connected Death.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Radioactive materials, Veterans, Vietnam.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Jacquelyn Hayes-Byrd, Acting Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on June 12, 2018, for publication.

Dated: October 11, 2018.

Jeffrey M. Martin,

Assistant Director, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

PART 3—ADJUDICATION

■ Based on the rationale set forth in the interim final rule published in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 35246 on June 19, 2015, and in this document, VA is adopting the provisions of the interim final rule amending 38 CFR part 3 as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 2018–22892 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Parts 61 and 63**

[EPA–R06–OAR–2008–0063; FRL–9985–49–Region 6]

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Delegation of Authority to Oklahoma**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final rule; delegation of authority.

SUMMARY: The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) has submitted updated regulations for receiving delegation and approval of its program for the implementation and enforcement of certain National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for all sources (both part 70 and non-part 70 sources), as provided for under previously approved delegation mechanisms. The updated state regulations incorporate by reference certain NESHAP promulgated by the EPA at parts 61 and 63, as they existed through September 1, 2016. The EPA is providing notice that it is taking final action to approve the delegation of certain NESHAP to ODEQ.

DATES: This rule is effective on November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R06–OAR–2008–0063. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Rick Barrett (6MM–AP), (214) 665–7227; email: barrett.richard@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. What does this action do?
- III. What is the authority for delegation?
- IV. What criteria must Oklahoma’s program meet to be approved?

- V. How did ODEQ meet the NESHAP program approval criteria?
- VI. What is being delegated?
- VII. What is not being delegated?
- VIII. How will statutory and regulatory interpretations be made?
- IX. What authority does the EPA have?
- X. What information must ODEQ provide to the EPA?
- XI. What is the EPA’s oversight role?
- XII. Should sources submit notices to the EPA or ODEQ?
- XIII. How will unchanged authorities be delegated to ODEQ in the future?
- XIV. Final Action
- XV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

The background for this action is discussed in detail in our August 10, 2018, proposal (83 FR 39641). In that document we proposed to approve a request from the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) to update its existing NESHAP regulations for receiving delegation and approval of its program for the implementation and enforcement of certain National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for all sources (both part 70 and non-part 70 sources), as provided for under previously approved delegation mechanisms. We received five anonymous public comments on the proposed rulemaking action. The comments are posted to the docket (EPA–R06–OAR–2008–0063). None of the comments are relevant to our proposed rulemaking to approve ODEQ’s request updating the delegation of certain NESHAP. Since these comments are not relevant to the specific action EPA proposed, the EPA will not be responding to these comments or making any changes to our proposed rulemaking because of these comments.

II. What does this action do?

EPA is providing notice that it is taking final action to approve ODEQ’s request updating the delegation of certain NESHAP. With this delegation, ODEQ has the primary responsibility to implement and enforce the delegated standards. See sections VII and VIII, below, for a discussion of which standards are being delegated and which are not being delegated.

III. What is the authority for delegation?

Section 112(l) of the CAA and 40 CFR part 63, subpart E, authorize the EPA to delegate authority for the implementation and enforcement of emission standards for hazardous air pollutants to a State or local agency that satisfies the statutory and regulatory requirements in subpart E. The

hazardous air pollutant standards are codified at 40 CFR parts 61 and 63.

IV. What criteria must Oklahoma’s program meet to be approved?

Section 112(l)(5) of the CAA requires the EPA to disapprove any program submitted by a State for the delegation of NESHAP standards if the EPA determines that:

(A) The authorities contained in the program are not adequate to assure compliance by the sources within the State with respect to each applicable standard, regulation, or requirement established under section 112;

(B) adequate authority does not exist, or adequate resources are not available, to implement the program;

(C) the schedule for implementing the program and assuring compliance by affected sources is not sufficiently expeditious; or

(D) the program is otherwise not in compliance with the guidance issued by the EPA under section 112(l)(2) or is not likely to satisfy, in whole or in part, the objectives of the CAA.

In carrying out its responsibilities under section 112(l), the EPA promulgated regulations at 40 CFR part 63, subpart E setting forth criteria for the approval of submitted programs. For example, in order to obtain approval of a program to implement and enforce Federal section 112 rules as promulgated without changes (straight delegation) for part 70 sources, a State must demonstrate that it meets the criteria of 40 CFR 63.91(d). 40 CFR 63.91(d)(3) provides that interim or final Title V program approval will satisfy the criteria of 40 CFR 63.91(d).¹

The NESHAP delegation for Oklahoma, as it applies to both part 70 and non-part 70 sources, was most recently approved on December 13, 2005 (70 FR 73595).

V. How did ODEQ meet the NESHAP program approval criteria?

As to the NESHAP standards in 40 CFR parts 61 and 63, as part of its Title V submission ODEQ stated that it intended to use the mechanism of incorporation by reference to adopt unchanged Federal section 112 into its regulations. This commitment applied to both existing and future standards as

¹ Some NESHAP standards do not require a source to obtain a title V permit (e.g., certain area sources that are exempt from the requirement to obtain a title V permit). For these non-title V sources, the EPA believes that the State must assure the EPA that it can implement and enforce the NESHAP for such sources. See 65 FR 55810, 55813 (Sept. 14, 2000). EPA has previously approved Oklahoma’s program to implement and enforce the NESHAP as they apply to non-part 70 sources. See 66 FR 1584 (Jan. 9, 2001).

they applied to part 70 sources. EPA's final interim approval of Oklahoma's Title V operating permits program delegated the authority to implement certain NESHAP, effective March 6, 1996 (61 FR 4220, February 5, 1996). On December 5, 2001, EPA promulgated final full approval of the State's operating permits program, effective November 30, 2001 (66 FR 63170). These interim and final Title V program approvals satisfy the up-front approval criteria of 40 CFR 63.91(d). Under 40 CFR 63.91(d)(2), once a State has satisfied up-front approval criteria, it needs only to reference the previous demonstration and reaffirm that it still meets the criteria for any subsequent submittals for delegation of the section 112 standards. ODEQ has affirmed that it still meets the up-front approval criteria. With respect to non-part 70 sources, the EPA has previously approved delegation of NESHAP authorities to ODEQ after finding adequate authorities to implement and enforce the NESHAP for such sources. See 66 FR 1584 (January 9, 2001).

VI. What is being delegated?

By letter dated June 25, 2018, the EPA received a request from ODEQ to update its existing NESHAP delegation.² With certain exceptions noted in section VII below, Oklahoma's request included NESHAP in 40 CFR part 61 and 40 CFR part 63. ODEQ's request included newly incorporated NESHAP promulgated by the EPA and amendments to existing standards currently delegated, as they existed through September 1, 2016. This action is being taken in response to ODEQ's request noted above.

VII. What is not being delegated?

All authorities not affirmatively and expressly delegated by this action are not delegated. These include the following part 61 and 63 authorities listed below:

- 40 CFR part 61, subpart B (National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions from Underground Uranium Mines);
- 40 CFR part 61, subpart H (National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities);
- 40 CFR part 61, subpart I (National Emission Standards for Radionuclide Emissions from Federal Facilities Other

Than Nuclear Regulatory Commission Licensees and Not Covered by Subpart H);

- 40 CFR part 61, subpart K (National Emission Standards for Radionuclide Emissions from Elemental Phosphorus Plants);
- 40 CFR part 61, subpart Q (National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions from Department of Energy facilities);
- 40 CFR part 61, subpart R (National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions from Phosphogypsum Stacks);
- 40 CFR part 61, subpart T (National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions from the Disposal of Uranium Mill Tailings);
- 40 CFR part 61, subpart W (National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions from Operating Mill Tailings); and
- 40 CFR part 63, subpart J (National Emission Standards for Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production).

In addition, the EPA regulations provide that we cannot delegate to a State any of the Category II Subpart A authorities set forth in 40 CFR 63.91(g)(2). These include the following provisions: § 63.6(g), Approval of Alternative Non-Opacity Standards; § 63.6(h)(9), Approval of Alternative Opacity Standards; § 63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f), Approval of Major Alternatives to Test Methods; § 63.8(f), Approval of Major Alternatives to Monitoring; and § 63.10(f), Approval of Major Alternatives to Recordkeeping and Reporting. Also, some part 61 and part 63 standards have certain provisions that cannot be delegated to the States. Furthermore, no authorities are delegated that require rulemaking in the **Federal Register** to implement, or where Federal overview is the only way to ensure national consistency in the application of the standards or requirements of CAA section 112. Finally, this action does not delegate any authority under section 112(r), the accidental release program.

All inquiries and requests concerning implementation and enforcement of the excluded standards in the State of Oklahoma should be directed to the EPA Region 6 Office.

In addition, this delegation to ODEQ to implement and enforce certain NESHAP does not extend to sources or activities located in Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151. Oklahoma is not seeking delegation for such areas, and neither the EPA nor ODEQ is aware of any existing facilities in Indian country subject to the NESHAP being delegated. ODEQ may submit a request to expand this program to non-

reservation areas of Indian country in the future, at which time the EPA would evaluate the request through the appropriate process.

VIII. How will statutory and regulatory interpretations be made?

In approving the NESHAP delegation, ODEQ will obtain concurrence from the EPA on any matter involving the interpretation of section 112 of the CAA or 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 to the extent that implementation or enforcement of these provisions have not been covered by prior EPA determinations or guidance.

IX. What authority does the EPA have?

We retain the right, as provided by CAA section 112(l)(7) and 40 CFR 63.90(d)(2), to enforce any applicable emission standard or requirement established under section 112. In addition, the EPA may enforce any federally approved State rule, requirement, or program under 40 CFR 63.90(e) and 63.91(c)(1)(i). The EPA also has the authority to make certain decisions under the General Provisions (subpart A) of parts 61 and 63. We are delegating to the ODEQ some of these authorities, and retaining others, as explained in sections VI and VII above. In addition, the EPA may review and disapprove State determinations and subsequently require corrections. See 40 CFR 63.91(g)(1)(ii). EPA also has the authority to review ODEQ's implementation and enforcement of approved rules or programs and to withdraw approval if we find inadequate implementation or enforcement. See 40 CFR 63.96.

Furthermore, we retain any authority in an individual emission standard that may not be delegated according to provisions of the standard. Also, listed in footnote 2 of the part 63 delegation table at the end of this rule are the authorities that cannot be delegated to any State or local agency which we therefore retain.

Finally, we retain the authorities stated in the original delegation agreement. See "Provisions for the Implementation and Enforcement of NSPS and NESHAP in Oklahoma," effective March 25, 1982, a copy of which is included in the docket for this action.

X. What information must ODEQ provide to the EPA?

ODEQ must provide any additional compliance related information to EPA, Region 6, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance within 45 days of a request under 40 CFR 63.96(a). In receiving delegation for specific General

² ODEQ's June 25, 2018 letter rescinds its previous three letters, dated January 11, 2008; August 23, 2012; and October 16, 2017, requesting EPA approval to update Oklahoma's NESHAP delegation. As such, the EPA's proposed rulemaking (80 FR 9678, February 24, 2015) associated with ODEQ's January 11, 2008 letter is hereby withdrawn.

Provisions authorities, ODEQ must submit to EPA Region 6 on a semi-annual basis, copies of determinations issued under these authorities. *See* 40 CFR 63.91(g)(1)(ii). For part 63 standards, these determinations include: § 63.1, Applicability Determinations; § 63.6(e), Operation and Maintenance Requirements—Responsibility for Determining Compliance; § 63.6(f), Compliance with Non-Opacity Standards—Responsibility for Determining Compliance; § 63.6(h), Compliance with Opacity and Visible Emissions Standards—Responsibility for Determining Compliance; § 63.7(c)(2)(i) and (d), Approval of Site-Specific Test Plans; § 63.7(e)(2)(i), Approval of Minor Alternatives to Test Methods; § 63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f), Approval of Intermediate Alternatives to Test Methods; § 63.7(e)(iii), Approval of Shorter Sampling Times and Volumes When Necessitated by Process Variables or Other Factors; § 63.7(e)(2)(iv), (h)(2) and (3), Waiver of Performance Testing; § 63.8(c)(1) and (e)(1), Approval of Site-Specific Performance Evaluation (Monitoring) Test Plans; § 63.8(f), Approval of Minor Alternatives to Monitoring; § 63.8(f), Approval of Intermediate Alternatives to Monitoring; §§ 63.9 and 63.10, Approval of Adjustments to Time Periods for Submitting Reports; § 63.10(f), Approval of Minor Alternatives to Recordkeeping and Reporting; and § 63.7(a)(4), Extension of Performance Test Deadline.

XI. What is the EPA's oversight role?

The EPA oversees ODEQ's decisions to ensure the delegated authorities are being adequately implemented and enforced. We will integrate oversight of the delegated authorities into the existing mechanisms and resources for oversight currently in place. If, during oversight, we determine that ODEQ made decisions that decreased the stringency of the delegated standards, then ODEQ shall be required to take corrective actions and the source(s) affected by the decisions will be notified, as required by 40 CFR 63.91(g)(1)(ii) and (b). Our oversight authorities allow us to initiate withdrawal of the program or rule if the corrective actions taken are insufficient. *See* 51 FR 20648 (June 6, 1986).

XII. Should sources submit notices to the EPA or ODEQ?

For the delegated NESHAP standards and authorities covered by this action, sources would submit all of the information required pursuant to the general provisions and the relevant subpart(s) of the delegated NESHAP (40 CFR parts 61 and 63) directly to the

ODEQ at the following address: State of Oklahoma, Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, P.O. Box 1677, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73101–1677. The ODEQ is the primary point of contact with respect to delegated NESHAP. Sources do not need to send a copy to the EPA. The EPA Region 6 waives the requirement that notifications and reports for delegated standards be submitted to EPA in addition to ODEQ in accordance with 40 CFR 63.9(a)(4)(ii) and 63.10(a)(4)(ii).³ For those standards and authorities not delegated as discussed above, sources must continue to submit all appropriate information to the EPA.

XIII. How will unchanged authorities be delegated to ODEQ in the future?

As stated in previous NESHAP delegation actions, the EPA has approved Oklahoma's mechanism of incorporation by reference of NESHAP standards into ODEQ regulations, as they apply to both part 70 and non-part 70 sources. *See, e.g.*, 61 FR 4224 (February 5, 1996) and 66 FR 1584 (January 9, 2001). Consistent with the EPA regulations and guidance,⁴ ODEQ may request future updates to Oklahoma's NESHAP delegation by submitting a letter to the EPA that appropriately identifies the specific NESHAP which have been incorporated by reference into state regulations, reaffirms that it still meets up-front approval delegation criteria for part 70 sources, and demonstrates that ODEQ maintains adequate authorities and resources to implement and enforce the delegated NESHAP requirements for all sources. We will respond in writing to the request stating that the request for delegation is either granted or denied. A **Federal Register** action will be published to inform the public and affected sources of the updated delegation, indicate where source notifications and reports should be sent, and amend the relevant portions of the Code of Federal Regulations identifying which NESHAP standards have been delegated to the ODEQ. We have not been using this informational notice

³ This waiver only extends to the submission of copies of notifications and reports; the EPA does not waive the requirements in delegated standards that require notifications and reports be submitted to an electronic database (*e.g.*, 40 CFR part 63, subpart HHHHHHHH).

⁴ *See* Harardous Air Pollutants: Amendments to the Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities, Final Rule (65 FR 55810, September 14, 2000); and "Straight Delegation Issues Concerning Sections 111 and 112 Requirements and Title V," by John S. Seitz, Director of Air Quality Planning and Standards, EPA, dated December 10, 1993.

process but intend to from now on upon receipt of the next NESHAP delegation request from ODEQ.⁵

XIV. Final Action

EPA is taking final action to approve an update to the Oklahoma NESHAP delegation that would provide the ODEQ with the authority to implement and enforce certain newly incorporated NESHAP promulgated by the EPA, and amendments to existing standards currently delegated, as they existed though September 1, 2016. As requested in ODEQ's June 25, 2018 letter, this final delegation to ODEQ does not extend to sources or activities located in Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151.

XV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator has the authority to approve section 112(l) submissions that comply with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. In reviewing section 112(l) submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria and objectives of the CAA and of the EPA's implementing regulations. Accordingly, this final action merely approves the State's request as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this final action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or

⁵ A request from ODEQ that raises an issue not previously subject to comment, presents new data, requires EPA to examine its interpretation of the applicable law, or where EPA wishes to re-examine its present position on a matter will be processed through notice and comment rulemaking in the **Federal Register**.

safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 61

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Arsenic, Benzene, Beryllium, Hazardous substances, Mercury, Intergovernmental relations,

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Vinyl chloride.

40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq*

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Wren Stenger,

Director, Multimedia Division, Region 6.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 are amended as follows:

PART 61—NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 61 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq*.

Subpart A—General Provisions

■ 2. Section 61.04 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(38) and (c)(6)(iv) to read as follows:

§ 61.04 Address.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(38) State of Oklahoma, Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, P.O. Box 1677, Oklahoma City, OK 73101–1677. For a list of delegated standards for Oklahoma see paragraph (c)(6) of this section.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(6) * * *

(iv) *Oklahoma.* The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) has been delegated the following part 61 standards promulgated by EPA, as amended in the **Federal Register** through September 1, 2016. The (X) symbol is used to indicate each subpart that has been delegated.

DELEGATION STATUS FOR NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS (PART 61 STANDARDS) FOR OKLAHOMA [Excluding Indian Country]

Subpart	Source category	ODEQ ¹
A	General Provisions	X
B	Radon Emissions From Underground Uranium Mines
C	Beryllium	X
D	Beryllium Rocket Motor Firing	X
E	Mercury	X
F	Vinyl Chloride	X
G	(Reserved)
H	Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities
I	Radionuclide Emissions From Federal Facilities Other Than Nuclear Regulatory Commission Licensees and Not Covered by Subpart H.
J	Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources) of Benzene	X
K	Radionuclide Emissions From Elemental Phosphorus Plants
L	Benzene Emissions From Coke By-Product Recovery Plants	X
M	Asbestos	X
N	Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Glass Manufacturing Plants	X
O	Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Primary Copper Smelters	X
P	Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Arsenic Trioxide and Metallic Arsenic Production Facilities	X
Q	Radon Emissions From Department of Energy Facilities
R	Radon Emissions From Phosphogypsum Stacks
S	(Reserved)
T	Radon Emissions From the Disposal of Uranium Mill Tailings
U	(Reserved)
V	Equipment Leaks (Fugitives Emission Sources)	X
W	Radon Emissions From Operating Mill Tailings
X	(Reserved)
Y	Benzene Emissions From Benzene Storage Vessels	X
Z-AA	(Reserved)
BB	Benzene Emissions From Benzene Transfer Operations	X
CC-EE	(Reserved)
FF	Benzene Waste Operations	X

¹ Program delegated to Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ).

* * * * *

PART 63—NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS FOR SOURCE CATEGORIES

■ 3. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart E—Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities

■ 4. Section 63.99 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(37)(i) to read as follows:

§ 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.

(a) * * *

(37) * * *

(i) The following table lists the specific part 63 standards that have been delegated unchanged to the

Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality for all sources. The “X” symbol is used to indicate each subpart that has been delegated. The delegations are subject to all of the conditions and limitations set forth in Federal law, regulations, policy, guidance, and determinations. Some authorities cannot be delegated and are retained by EPA. These include certain General Provisions authorities and specific parts of some standards. Any amendments made to these rules after September 1, 2016 are not delegated.

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—STATE OF OKLAHOMA
[Excluding Indian Country]

Subpart	Source category	ODEQ ^{1 2}
A	General Provisions	X
F	Hazardous Organic NESHA (HON)—Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI)	X
G	HON—SOCMI Process Vents, Storage Vessels, Transfer Operations and Waste-water	X
H	HON—Equipment Leaks	X
I	HON—Certain Processes Negotiated Equipment Leak Regulation	X
J	Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production	3
K	(Reserved)	
L	Coke Oven Batteries	X
M	Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning	X
N	Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks	X
O	Ethylene Oxide Sterilizers	X
P	(Reserved)	
Q	Industrial Process Cooling Towers	X
R	Gasoline Distribution	X
S	Pulp and Paper Industry	X
T	Halogenated Solvent Cleaning	X
U	Group I Polymers and Resins	X
V	(Reserved)	
W	Epoxy Resins Production and Non-Nylon Polyamides Production	X
X	Secondary Lead Smelting	X
Y	Marine Tank Vessel Loading	X
Z	(Reserved)	
AA	Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing Plants	X
BB	Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants	X
CC	Petroleum Refineries	X
DD	Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations	X
EE	Magnetic Tape Manufacturing	X
FF	(Reserved)	
GG	Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities	X
HH	Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities	X
II	Shipbuilding and Ship Repair Facilities	X
JJ	Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations	X
KK	Printing and Publishing Industry	X
LL	Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants	X
MM	Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfide, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills	X
NN	Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing at Area Sources	X
OO	Tanks-Level 1	X
PP	Containers	X
QQ	Surface Impoundments	X
RR	Individual Drain Systems	X
SS	Closed Vent Systems, Control Devices, Recovery Devices and Routing to a Fuel Gas System or a Process	X
TT	Equipment Leaks—Control Level 1	X
UU	Equipment Leaks—Control Level 2 Standards	X
VV	Oil—Water Separators and Organic—Water Separators	X
WW	Storage Vessels (Tanks)—Control Level 2	X
XX	Ethylene Manufacturing Process Units Heat Exchange Systems and Waste Operations	X
YY	Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards	X
ZZ—BBB	(Reserved)	
CCC	Steel Pickling—HCl Process Facilities and Hydrochloric Acid Regeneration	X

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—STATE OF OKLAHOMA—Continued
[Excluding Indian Country]

Subpart	Source category	ODEQ ^{1 2}
DDD	Mineral Wool Production	X
EEE	Hazardous Waste Combustors	X
FFF	(Reserved)
GGG	Pharmaceuticals Production	X
HHH	Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities	X
III	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production	X
JJJ	Group IV Polymers and Resins	X
KKK	(Reserved)
LLL	Portland Cement Manufacturing	X
MMM	Pesticide Active Ingredient Production	X
NNN	Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing	X
OOO	Amino/Phenolic Resins	X
PPP	Polyether Polyols Production	X
QQQ	Primary Copper Smelting	X
RRR	Secondary Aluminum Production	X
SSS	(Reserved)
TTT	Primary Lead Smelting	X
UUU	Petroleum Refineries—Catalytic Cracking Units, Catalytic Reforming Units and Sul- fur Recovery Plants.	X
VVV	Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)	X
WWW	(Reserved)
XXX	Ferroalloys Production: Ferromanganese and Silicomanganese	X
AAAA	Municipal Solid Waste Landfills	X
CCCC	Nutritional Yeast Manufacturing	X
DDDD	Plywood and Composite Wood Products	⁴ X
EEEE	Organic Liquids Distribution	X
FFFF	Misc. Organic Chemical Production and Processes (MON)	X
GGGG	Solvent Extraction for Vegetable Oil Production	X
HHHH	Wet Formed Fiberglass Mat Production	X
IIII	Auto & Light Duty Truck (Surface Coating)	X
JJJJ	Paper and other Web (Surface Coating)	X
KKKK	Metal Can (Surface Coating)	X
MMMM	Misc. Metal Parts and Products (Surface Coating)	X
NNNN	Surface Coating of Large Appliances	X
OOOO	Fabric Printing Coating and Dyeing	X
PPPP	Plastic Parts (Surface Coating)	X
QQQQ	Surface Coating of Wood Building Products	X
RRRR	Surface Coating of Metal Furniture	X
SSSS	Surface Coating for Metal Coil	X
TTTT	Leather Finishing Operations	X
UUUU	Cellulose Production Manufacture	X
VVVV	Boat Manufacturing	X
WWWW	Reinforced Plastic Composites Production	X
XXXX	Tire Manufacturing	X
YYYY	Combustion Turbines	X
ZZZZ	Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE)	X
AAAAA	Lime Manufacturing Plants	X
BBBBB	Semiconductor Manufacturing	X
CCCCC	Coke Ovens: Pushing, Quenching and Battery Stacks	X
DDDDD	Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters Major Sources	⁵ X
EEEEE	Iron Foundries	X
FFFFF	Integrated Iron and Steel	X
GGGGG	Site Remediation	X
HHHHH	Miscellaneous Coating Manufacturing	X
IIIII	Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants	X
JJJJJ	Brick and Structural Clay Products Manufacturing	⁶ X
KKKKK	Clay Ceramics Manufacturing	⁶ X
LLLLL	Asphalt Roofing and Processing	X
MMMMM	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication Operation	X
NNNNN	Hydrochloric Acid Production, Fumed Silica Production	X
OOOOO	(Reserved)
PPPPP	Engine Test Facilities	X
QQQQQ	Friction Products Manufacturing	X
RRRRR	Taconite Iron Ore Processing	X
SSSSS	Refractory Products Manufacture	X
TTTTT	Primary Magnesium Refining	X
UUUUU	Coal and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units	⁷ X
VVVVV	(Reserved)
WWWWW	Hospital Ethylene Oxide Sterilizers	X
XXXXX	(Reserved)
YYYYY	Electric Arc Furnace Steelmaking Area Sources	X

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—STATE OF OKLAHOMA—Continued
[Excluding Indian Country]

Subpart	Source category	ODEQ ^{1 2}
ZZZZZ	Iron and Steel Foundries Area Sources	X
AAAAAA	(Reserved)	
BBBBBB	Gasoline Distribution Bulk Terminals, Bulk Plants, and Pipeline Facilities	X
CCCCCC	Gasoline Dispensing Facilities	X
DDDDDD	Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production Area Sources	X
EEEEEE	Primary Copper Smelting Area Sources	X
FFFFFF	Secondary Copper Smelting Area Sources	X
GGGGGG	Primary Nonferrous Metals Area Source: Zinc, Cadmium, and Beryllium	X
HHHHHH	Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources	X
IIIIII	(Reserved)	
JJJJJJ	Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources	X
KKKKKK	(Reserved)	
LLLLLL	Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers Production Area Sources	X
MMMMMM	Carbon Black Production Area Sources	X
NNNNNN	Chemical Manufacturing Area Sources: Chromium Compounds	X
OOOOOO	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production and Fabrication Area Sources	X
PPPPPP	Lead Acid Battery Manufacturing Area Sources	X
QQQQQQ	Wood Preserving Area Sources	X
RRRRRR	Clay Ceramics Manufacturing Area Sources	X
SSSSSS	Glass Manufacturing Area Sources	X
TTTTTT	Secondary Nonferrous Metals Processing Area Sources	X
UUUUUU	(Reserved)	
VVVVVV	Chemical Manufacturing Area Sources	X
WWWWWW	Plating and Polishing Operations Area Sources	X
XXXXXX	Metal Fabrication and Finishing Area Sources	X
YYYYYY	Ferroalloys Production Facilities Area Sources	X
ZZZZZZ	Aluminum, Copper, and Other Nonferrous Foundries Area Sources	X
AAAAAAA	Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacturing Area Sources	X
BBBBBBB	Chemical Preparation Industry Area Sources	X
CCCCCCC	Paints and Allied Products Manufacturing Area Sources	X
DDDDDDD	Prepared Feeds Areas Sources	X
EEEEEEE	Gold Mine Ore Processing and Production Area Sources	X
FFFFFFF-GGGGGGG	(Reserved)	
HHHHHHH	Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production Major Sources	X

¹ Program delegated to Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ).

² Authorities which may not be delegated include: § 63.6(g), Approval of Alternative Non-Opacity Emission Standards; § 63.6(h)(9), Approval of Alternative Opacity Standards; § 63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f), Approval of Major Alternatives to Test Methods; § 63.8(f), Approval of Major Alternatives to Monitoring; § 63.10(f), Approval of Major Alternatives to Recordkeeping and Reporting; and all authorities identified in the subparts (e.g., under "Delegation of Authority") that cannot be delegated.

³ The ODEQ has adopted this subpart unchanged and applied for delegation of the standard. The subpart was vacated and remanded to EPA by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. See, *Mossville Environmental Action Network v. EPA*, 370 F. 3d 1232 (DC Cir. 2004). Because of the DC Court's holding, this subpart is not delegated to ODEQ at this time.

⁴ This subpart was issued a partial vacatur by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. See 72 FR 61060 (October 29, 2007).

⁵ Final rule. See 76 FR 15608 (March 21, 2011), as amended at 78 FR 7138 (January 31, 2013); 80 FR 72807 (November 20, 2015).

⁶ Final promulgated rule adopted by the EPA. See 80 FR 65470 (October 26, 2015). Note that Part 63 Subpart KKKKK was amended to correct minor typographical errors at 80 FR 75817 (December 4, 2015).

⁷ Final Rule. See 77 FR 9304 (February 16, 2012), as amended 81 FR 20172 (April 6, 2016). Final Supplemental Finding that it is appropriate and necessary to regulate HAP emissions from Coal- and Oil-fired EUSGU Units. See 81 FR 24420 (April 25, 2016).

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2018-22999 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 27

[GN Docket No. 12–268, ET Docket No. 13–26 and ET Docket No. 14–14, FCC 15–141]

Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions; Office of Engineering and Technology Releases and Seeks Comment on Updated OET–69 Software; Office of Engineering and Technology Seeks To Supplement the Incentive Auction Proceeding Record Regarding Potential Interference Between Broadcast Television and Wireless Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; announcement of effective date.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved, for a period of three years, the information collection associated with the Commission's *Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions*, Third Report and Order and First Order on Reconsideration (*Order*)'s no harmful interference rule. This document is consistent with the *Order*, which stated that the Commission would publish a document in the **Federal Register** announcing the effective date of the rule.

DATES: The amendment to 47 CFR 27.1310 published at 80 FR 71731, November 17, 2015, are effective October 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aspasia Paroutsas, Office of Engineering and Technology, at (202) 418–7285, or email: Aspasia.Paroutsas@fcc.gov. For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements contact Nicole Ongele at (202) 418–2991 or via email at Nicole.Ongele@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document announces that, on April 3, 2017, OMB approved, for a period of three years, the information collection requirements relating to the harmful interference rule contained in the Commission's *Order*, FCC 15–141, published at 80 FR 71731, November 17, 2015. The OMB Control Number is 3060–1229. The Commission publishes

this document as an announcement of the effective date of the rule. If you have any comments on the burden estimates listed below, or how the Commission can improve the collections and reduce any burdens caused thereby, please contact Nicole Ongele, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A620, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Please include the OMB Control Number, 3060–1229, in your correspondence. The Commission will also accept your comments via email at PRA@fcc.gov.

To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Synopsis

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507), the FCC is notifying the public that it received final OMB approval on April 3, 2017, for the information collection requirements contained in the modifications to the Commission's rules in 47 CFR part 27.

Under 5 CFR part 1320, an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a current, valid OMB Control Number.

No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a current, valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number is 3060–1229.

The foregoing notice is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, October 1, 1995, and 44 U.S.C. 3507.

The total annual reporting burdens and costs for the respondents are as follows:

OMB Control Number: 3060–1229.

OMB Approval Date: April 3, 2017.

OMB Expiration Date: April 30, 2020.

Title: Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions.

Form Number: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 832 respondents; 832 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: Wireless licensees who are required to conduct

an interference study will be required to produce the study upon request and when an interference complaint occurs.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory.

The statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 154, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 316, 319, 332, 403, 1452 and 1454.

Total Annual Burden: 832 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$10.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality.

However, applicants may request that any information supplied be withheld from public inspection, pursuant to 47 CFR 0.459 of the FCC's rules. This request must be justified pursuant to 47 CFR 0.457.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: The collection was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and approved for the information collection requirements relating to the harmful interference rule contained in the Commission's *Order*, FCC 15–141.

On October 26, 2015, the Federal Communications Commission released a Third Report and Order and First Order on Reconsideration, FCC 15–141, published at 80 FR 71731, November 17, 2015, *Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions*, ET Docket Nos. 13–26, 14–14 and GN Docket No. 12–268, adopting a final rule—containing information requirements—which resolved the remaining technical issues affecting the operation of 600 MHz wireless licenses and broadcast television stations in areas where they operate on the same or adjacent channels in geographic proximity. Specifically, the Commission adopted a rule requiring wireless licensees to conduct an interference study prior to deploying or operating a wireless base station within a specified distance of a broadcast television station that is co-channel or adjacent channel to their spectrum. A wireless licensee is required to provide this interference study to the Commission upon request or to the broadcast television station when there is an interference complaint.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22973 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 204

Monday, October 22, 2018

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 72

[NRC-2018-0075]

RIN 3150-AK12

List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: NAC International NAC-UMS®; Universal Storage System, Certificate of Compliance No. 1015, Amendment No. 6

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to amend its spent fuel storage regulations by revising the NAC International NAC-UMS® listing within the “List of approved spent fuel storage casks” to include Amendment No. 6 to Certificate of Compliance (CoC) No. 1015. Amendment No. 6 revises the CoC’s technical specifications (TSs) to: Remove a redundant requirement for inspection of the concrete cask and canister; revise a limiting condition of operation (LCO) for heat removal to clarify that “LCO not met” means that the concrete heat removal system is inoperable; remove an inspection requirement that is already covered by LCO surveillance requirements for off-normal, accident, or natural phenomenon events; and clarify that “immediate” restoration of a concrete cask’s heat removal capabilities means “within the design-basis time limit” in Section 11.2.13 of the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), “or within the time limit for a less than design-basis heat load case, as evaluated.” Amendment No. 6 also clarifies that an LCO for loaded cask surface dose rates applies prior to storage conditions, when dose rates will be highest.

DATES: Submit comments by November 21, 2018. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure

consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0075. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Email comments to:* Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov. If you do not receive an automatic email reply confirming receipt, then contact us at 301-415-1677.

- *Fax comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 301-415-1101.

- *Mail comments to:* Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

- *Hand deliver comments to:* 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (Eastern Time) Federal workdays; telephone: 301-415-1677.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernard H. White, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; telephone: 301-415-6577; email: Bernard.White@nrc.gov or Robert D. MacDougall, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; telephone: 301-415-5175; email: Robert.MacDougall@nrc.gov. Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments
- Rulemaking Procedure
- Background
- Plain Writing
- Availability of Documents

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0075 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0075.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC-2018-0075 in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment

submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Rulemaking Procedure

Because the NRC considers this action to be non-controversial, the NRC is publishing this proposed rule concurrently with a direct final rule in the Rules and Regulations section of this issue of the **Federal Register**. The direct final rule will become effective on January 7, 2019. However, if the NRC receives significant adverse comments on this proposed rule by November 21, 2018, then the NRC will publish a document that withdraws the direct final rule. If the direct final rule is withdrawn, the NRC will address the comments received in response to these proposed revisions in a subsequent final rule. Absent significant modifications to the proposed revisions requiring republication, the NRC will not initiate a second comment period on this action in the event the direct final rule is withdrawn.

A significant adverse comment is a comment where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule's underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change. A comment is adverse and significant if:

(1) The comment opposes the rule and provides a reason sufficient to require a substantive response in a notice-and-comment process. For example, a substantive response is required when:

(a) The comment causes the NRC staff to reevaluate (or reconsider) its position or conduct additional analysis;

(b) The comment raises an issue serious enough to warrant a substantive

response to clarify or complete the record; or

(c) The comment raises a relevant issue that was not previously addressed or considered by the NRC staff.

(2) The comment proposes a change or an addition to the rule, and it is apparent that the rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without incorporation of the change or addition.

(3) The comment causes the NRC staff to make a change (other than editorial) to the rule.

For procedural information and the regulatory analysis, see the direct final rule published in the Rules and Regulations section of this issue of the **Federal Register**.

III. Background

Section 218(a) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act (NWPA) of 1982, as amended, requires that "the Secretary [of the Department of Energy] shall establish a demonstration program, in cooperation with the private sector, for the dry storage of spent nuclear fuel at civilian nuclear power reactor sites, with the objective of establishing one or more technologies that the [Nuclear Regulatory] Commission may, by rule, approve for use at the sites of civilian nuclear power reactors without, to the maximum extent practicable, the need for additional site-specific approvals by the Commission." Section 133 of the NWPA states, in part, that "[the Commission] shall, by rule, establish procedures for the licensing of any technology approved by the Commission under Section 219(a) [sic: 218(a)] for use at the site of any civilian nuclear power reactor."

To implement this mandate, the Commission approved dry storage of spent nuclear fuel in NRC-approved casks under a general license by publishing a final rule which added a new subpart K in part 72 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) entitled "General License for Storage of Spent Fuel at Power Reactor Sites" (55 FR 29181; July 18, 1990). This rule also established a new subpart L in 10 CFR part 72 entitled "Approval of Spent Fuel Storage Casks," which contains procedures and criteria for obtaining NRC approval of spent fuel storage cask designs. The NRC subsequently issued a final rule on October 19, 2000 (65 FR 62581), that approved the NAC-UMS® Universal Storage System design and added it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs provided in § 72.214 as CoC No. 1015.

IV. Plain Writing

The Plain Writing Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–274) requires Federal agencies to write documents in a clear, concise, well-organized manner. The NRC has written this document to be consistent with the Plain Writing Act as well as the Presidential Memorandum, "Plain Language in Government Writing," published June 10, 1998 (63 FR 31883). The NRC requests comment on the proposed rule with respect to clarity and effectiveness of the language used.

V. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document	ADAMS accession No./web link/ Federal Register citation
Request to Amend Certificate of Compliance No. 1015 for the NAC-UMS® Cask System, dated May 23, 2017	ML17145A380
Revision of Request to Amend Certificate of Compliance No. 1015 for the NAC-UMS® Cask System, dated January 16, 2018.	ML18018A893
Revision 11 to NAC-UMS® Final Safety Analysis Report for the UMS Universal Storage System	ML16341B102
Proposed CoC No. 1015, Amendment No. 6	ML18088A174
Proposed Technical Specifications	ML18088A176
Appendix A—Proposed Technical Specifications	ML18088A178
Appendix B—Preliminary Safety Evaluation Report	ML18088A181

The NRC may post materials related to this document, including public comments, on the Federal Rulemaking website at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket ID NRC–2018–0075. The Federal Rulemaking website allows you to receive alerts when changes or additions occur in a docket folder. To subscribe: 1) navigate to the docket folder (NRC–2018–0075); 2) click the

"Sign up for Email Alerts" link; and 3) enter your email address and select how frequently you would like to receive emails (daily, weekly, or monthly).

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 72

Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous waste, Indians, Intergovernmental relations, Nuclear energy, Penalties, Radiation protection,

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Whistleblowing.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553; the NRC is proposing to

adopt the following amendments to 10 CFR part 72:

**PART 72—LICENSING
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
INDEPENDENT STORAGE OF SPENT
NUCLEAR FUEL, HIGH-LEVEL
RADIOACTIVE WASTE, AND
REACTOR-RELATED GREATER THAN
CLASS C WASTE**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 72 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Atomic Energy Act of 1954, secs. 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 65, 69, 81, 161, 182, 183, 184, 186, 187, 189, 223, 234, 274 (42 U.S.C. 2071, 2073, 2077, 2092, 2093, 2095, 2099, 2111, 2201, 2210e, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2273, 2282, 2021); Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, secs. 201, 202, 206, 211 (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846, 5851); National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332); Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, secs. 117(a), 132, 133, 134, 135, 137, 141, 145(g), 148, 218(a) (42 U.S.C. 10137(a), 10152, 10153, 10154, 10155, 10157, 10161, 10165(g), 10168, 10198(a)); 44 U.S.C. 3504 note.

■ 2. In § 72.214, Certificate of Compliance 1015 is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.214 List of approved spent fuel storage casks.

* * * * *

Certificate Number: 1015.

Initial Certificate Effective Date:
November 20, 2000.

Amendment Number 1 Effective Date:
February 20, 2001.

Amendment Number 2 Effective Date:
December 31, 2001.

Amendment Number 3 Effective Date:
March 31, 2004.

Amendment Number 4 Effective Date:
October 11, 2005.

Amendment Number 5 Effective Date:
January 12, 2009.

Amendment Number 6 Effective Date:
January 7, 2019.

SAR Submitted by: NAC
International, Inc.

SAR Title: Final Safety Analysis
Report for the NAC-UMS Universal
Storage System.

Docket Number: 72–1015.

Certificate Expiration Date: November
20, 2020.

Model Number: NAC-UMS.

* * * * *

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day
of October, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Margaret M. Doane,
Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 2018–22913 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA–2017–0240; Notice No. 25–
18–04–SC]

**Special Conditions: Gulfstream
Aerospace Corporation Model GVII–
G500 Airplanes; Airbag Systems on
Multiple-Place and Single-Place Side-
Facing Seats**

AGENCY: Federal Aviation
Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed amended
special conditions.

SUMMARY: This action proposes amended special conditions for the Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation (Gulfstream) Model GVII–G500 airplane. This amendment changes an error in a reference to a special conditions number and adds one special condition. This airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport-category airplanes. This design feature is airbag systems on multiple-place and single-place side-facing seats. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: Send comments on or before
November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified
by Docket No. FAA–2017–0240 using
any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRegulations Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov/> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov/>,

including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket website, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–19478).

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov/> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Alan Sinclair, Airframe and Cabin
Safety Section, AIR–675, Transport
Standards Branch, Policy and
Innovation Division, Aircraft
Certification Service, Federal Aviation
Administration, 2200 South 216th
Street, Des Moines, Washington 98198;
telephone and fax 206–231–3215.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposed special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all comments we receive by the closing date for comments. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

Background

On March 29, 2012, Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation applied for a type certificate for their new Model GVII–G500 airplane. The Model GVII–G500 airplane will be a twin-engine, transport-category, business jet capable of accommodating up to 19 passengers. The Model GVII–G500 airplane will have a maximum takeoff weight of 76,850 lbs.

The FAA issued “final special conditions, request for comments” for airbag systems on multiple-place and single-place side-facing seats installed in Gulfstream Model GVII–G500 airplanes, on June 8, 2017. The special conditions were published in the **Federal Register** on June 19, 2017 (82 FR 27771). This notice of proposed

special conditions provides the public an opportunity to comment on the additional condition no. 14 amended into The Proposed Special Conditions section.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 21.17, Gulfstream must show that the Model GVII-G500 airplane meets the applicable provisions of 14 CFR part 25, as amended by amendments 25-1 through 25-129.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Model GVII-G500 airplane because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same or similar novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under § 21.101.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, Model GVII-G500 airplanes must comply with the fuel-vent and exhaust-emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34, and the noise-certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, in accordance with § 11.38, and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.17(a)(2).

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Model GVII-G500 airplane will incorporate the following novel or unusual design feature:

Airbag systems on multiple-place and single-place side-facing seats.

Discussion

Side facing seats are considered a novel design for transport-category airplanes that include 14 CFR part 25, amendment 25-64, in their certification bases because this feature was not anticipated when those airworthiness standards were issued. Therefore, the existing regulations do not provide adequate or appropriate safety standards for occupants of side-facing seats. For the Model GVII-G500 airplane, FAA Special Conditions No. 25-618-SC, "Technical Criteria for Approving Side-Facing Seats," provide special conditions to address the certification of single- and multiple-place side-facing seats. Those special conditions include

condition 2(e), which requires the axial rotation of the upper leg (femur) to be limited to 35 degrees in either direction from the nominal seat position. To accommodate that requirement, Gulfstream has developed a new airbag system that will be installed close to the floor, and which is designed to limit the axial rotation of the occupant's upper legs.

This amendment changes, in the second paragraph of the Special Conditions section, an erroneous reference to Special Conditions No. 25-495-SC, which is here corrected to 25-618-SC, and adds special condition number 14 to the Special Conditions section. Special Condition 14 was unintentionally omitted from the previous issuance of these special conditions.

These proposed special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Gulfstream Model GVII-G500 airplane. Should Gulfstream apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well.

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model of airplane. It is not a rule of general applicability.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Proposed Special Conditions

Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes the following special conditions as part of the type certification basis for Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation Model GVII-G500 airplanes.

In addition to the requirements of §§ 25.562 and 25.785, and Special Conditions No. 25-618-SC, the following special conditions are part of the type certification basis for the Gulfstream Model GVII-G500 airplane

with leg-flail airbags installed on side-facing seats.

1. For seats with a leg-flail airbag system, the system must deploy and provide protection under crash conditions where it is necessary to prevent serious injury. The means of protection must take into consideration a range of stature from a 2-year-old child to a 95th-percentile male. At some buttock popliteal length and effective seat-bottom depth, the lower legs will not be able to form a 90-degree angle relative to the upper leg; at this point, the lower leg flail would not occur. The leg-flail airbag system must provide a consistent approach to prevention of leg flail throughout that range of occupants whose lower legs can form a 90-degree angle relative to the upper legs when seated upright in the seat. Items that need to be considered include, but are not limited to, the range of occupants' popliteal height, the range of occupants' buttock popliteal length, the design of the seat effective height above the floor, and the effective depth of the seat-bottom cushion.

2. The leg-flail airbag system must provide adequate protection for each occupant regardless of the number of occupants of the seat assembly, considering that unoccupied seats may have an active leg-flail airbag system.

3. The leg-flail airbag system must not be susceptible to inadvertent deployment as a result of wear and tear, or inertial loads resulting from in-flight or ground maneuvers (including gusts and hard landings), and other operating and environmental conditions (vibrations, moisture, etc.) likely to occur in service.

4. Deployment of the leg-flail airbag system must not introduce injury mechanisms to the seated occupant, nor result in injuries that could impede rapid egress.

5. Inadvertent deployment of the leg-flail airbag system, during the most critical part of the flight, must either meet the requirement of § 25.1309(b), or not cause a hazard to the airplane or its occupants.

6. The leg-flail airbag system must not impede rapid egress of occupants from the airplane 10 seconds after airbag deployment.

7. The leg-flail airbag system must be protected from lightning and high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). The threats to the airplane specified in existing regulations regarding lightning (§ 25.1316) and HIRF (§ 25.1317) are incorporated by reference for the purpose of measuring lightning and HIRF protection.

8. The leg-flail airbag system must function properly after loss of normal

airplane electrical power, and after a transverse separation of the fuselage at the most critical location. A separation at the location of the leg-flail airbag system does not have to be considered.

9. The leg-flail airbag system must not release hazardous quantities of gas or particulate matter into the cabin.

10. The leg-flail airbag system installation must be protected from the effects of fire such that no hazard to occupants will result.

11. A means must be available to verify the integrity of the leg-flail airbag system's activation system prior to each flight, or the leg-flail airbag system's activation system must reliably operate between inspection intervals. The FAA considers that the loss of the leg-flail airbag system's deployment function alone (*i.e.*, independent of the conditional event that requires the leg-flail airbag system's deployment) is a major-failure condition.

12. The airbag inflatable material may not have an average burn rate of greater than 2.5 inches per minute when tested using the horizontal flammability test defined in part 25, appendix F, part I, paragraph (b)(5).

13. The leg-flail airbag system, once deployed, must not adversely affect the emergency-lighting system (*i.e.*, must not block floor-proximity lights to the extent that the lights no longer meet their intended function).

14. The leg flail system(s) must perform its intended function after impact from any other proximate assemblies (*e.g.*, life raft) that may become detached under the loads specified in §§ 25.561 and 25.562.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 15, 2018.

Victor Wicklund,

Manager, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22928 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 61

[Docket No.: FAA-2018-0811]

Airline Transport Pilot and Type Rating for Airplane Airman Certification Standards

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of document availability and request for comments.

SUMMARY: This document announces the availability of the Airline Transport Pilot (ATP) and Type Rating for Airplane Airman Certification Standards (FAA-S-ACS-11) for public comment.

DATES: Send comments on or before December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA-2018-0811 using any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- **Mail:** Send comments to Docket Operations, M-30; U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12-140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Fax:** Send comments to Docket Operations at 202-493-2251.

Privacy: We will post all comments without edit including any personal information the commenter provides to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS) which can be viewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry West, Regulatory Support Division, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125; telephone 405-954-4431; email: larry.d.west@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Action

Under 49 U.S.C. 44703(a), the Administrator is required to issue an airman certificate when the Administrator finds, after investigation, that an individual is qualified for, and physically able to perform the duties related to the position authorized by the certificate. Consistent with this authority, the Administrator establishes testing standards to ensure that

inspectors and designated examiners conducting practical tests under the Administrator's authority determine that an applicant is qualified for and physically able to perform the duties related to the position authorized by the certificate or rating sought.

Background

The FAA established the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) to provide information, advice, and recommendations on aviation related issues that could result in rulemaking to the Administrator, through the Associate Administrator of Aviation Safety. On December 19, 2013, ARAC accepted the FAA's assignment of a new task to establish an Airman Certification Standards Working Group (ACS WG) to assist in the development of standards, training guidance, test management, and reference materials for airman certification testing. The FAA announced the ARAC's acceptance of this task through a **Federal Register** Notice published on January 29, 2014 (79 FR 4800). The original task focused on the Private Pilot, Commercial Pilot, ATP, and Authorized Instructor certificates and the Instrument Rating in the airplane category. The task was expanded in February 2016 (81 FR 6099) to include the Aircraft Mechanic certificate with Airframe and/or Powerplant ratings. The task was further expanded in September 2017 to add the Sport Pilot and Recreational Pilot certificates in all airplane categories, and the Private Pilot, Commercial Pilot, ATP, and Instructor certificates and the Instrument rating in the remaining aircraft categories to include rotorcraft, powered-lift, and glider.¹

On June 21, 2018, the ARAC met and approved the Interim Final Report of the ACS WG. The Interim Final Report contained a recommendation for the Airline Transport Pilot and Type Rating for Airplane (ATP/Type Rating) ACS. The FAA received that recommendation from ARAC on June 22, 2018. The FAA has reviewed the draft ATP/Type Rating ACS, made some changes based on internal feedback, and is now seeking comment from the public. A copy of the document has been placed in the docket for this action. The FAA will review and consider all comments received and make any necessary changes prior to issuing the final version of the ATP/Type Rating ACS. The final version of the ATP/Type Rating ACS will be

¹ The ARAC Task Notice is available at: https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/rulemaking/committees/documents/index.cfm/document/information/documentID/3282.

published at https://www.faa.gov/training_testing/testing/acs/.

Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested persons to join in this notice and comment process by filing written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, commenters should send only one copy of written comments or, if comments are filed electronically, commenters should submit only one time. More information on submitting comments can be found in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

The FAA will review all comments it receives on or before the closing date for the comment period. The FAA will consider comments submitted after the comment period has closed if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. The FAA may make changes based on the comments it receives.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 16, 2018.

Lirio Liu,

*Executive Director, Office of Rulemaking,
Federal Aviation Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2018-23013 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 112

[Docket No. FDA-2018-D-3631]

Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption; Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notification of availability.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, the Agency, or we) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled “Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption.” The draft guidance, when finalized, will provide FDA’s current thinking and recommendations to help covered farms comply with the final regulation entitled “Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of

Produce for Human Consumption” (Produce Safety Rule), which established science-based minimum standards for the safe growing, harvesting, packing, and holding of produce grown for human consumption.

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by April 22, 2019 to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on the draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on any guidance at any time as follows:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else’s Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- **Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):** Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2018-D-3631 for “Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption; Draft Guidance for

Industry.” Received comments will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA’s posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

You may submit comments on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)).

Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance to the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, 5001 Campus Dr., College Park, MD 20740. Send two self-addressed adhesive labels to assist that office in processing your request. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Samir Assar, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, 5001 Campus Dr., College Park, MD 20740, 240-402-1636.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

We are announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled “Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption.” We are issuing the draft guidance consistent with our good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of FDA on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternate approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. This guidance is not subject to Executive Order 12866.

We intend to conduct four public meetings in diverse regions of the United States to discuss the draft guidance, and we will provide details about these public meetings in a notice published in the **Federal Register**.

The Produce Safety Rule (80 FR 74353) established science-based minimum standards for the safe growing, harvesting, packing, and holding of produce grown for human consumption. The rule sets forth procedures, processes, and practices that minimize the risk of serious adverse health consequences or death, including those reasonably necessary to prevent the introduction of known or reasonably foreseeable biological hazards into or onto produce and to provide reasonable assurances that the produce is not adulterated on account of such hazards. Requirements of the rule focus on major routes of contamination, including health and hygiene; biological soil amendments of animal origin; domesticated and wild animals; and equipment, tools, and buildings.

This draft guidance provides recommendations, examples, and information related to compliance and implementation of the following subparts of the Produce Safety Rule:

- Subpart A—General Provisions
- Subpart C—Personnel Qualifications and Training
- Subpart D—Health and Hygiene
- Subpart F—Biological Soil Amendments of Animal Origin and Human Waste
- Subpart I—Domesticated and Wild Animals
- Subpart K—Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding Activities

Subpart L—Equipment, Tools, Buildings, and Sanitation

Subpart O—Records

Subpart P—Variances

This draft guidance is based on FDA’s current thinking and we believe that additional information would assist us in developing the final guidance. While we invite comments on all aspects of the draft guidance, we seek specific comments, information, and data on the following:

For equipment and tools intended to or likely to contact covered produce:

- When acquiring equipment and tools, how do you engage with equipment and tool suppliers about the size, design, and construction of your buildings so that they can accommodate the equipment and tools?
- What information or data can you provide about cleaning, sanitizing, and maintenance practices and procedures for equipment and tools that have wood, foam, or other porous or absorbent materials?

For domesticated and wild animals:

- What data or information can you provide about factors or conditions that would affect the likelihood of contamination of covered produce by animals? Such factors include, for example, historical information and conditions on or near farms that influence animal habitats.

II. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This draft guidance refers to previously approved collections of information found in FDA regulations. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). The collections of information in 21 CFR part 112 have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0816.

III. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the internet may obtain the draft guidance at either <https://www.fda.gov/FoodGuidances> or <https://www.regulations.gov>. Use the FDA website listed in the previous sentence to find the most current version of the guidance.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-23006 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**Food and Drug Administration****21 CFR Part 117**

[Docket No. FDA-2018-D-3583]

Guide To Minimize Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-Cut Produce: Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notification of availability.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, the Agency, or we) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled “Guide to Minimize Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-cut Produce.” The draft guidance, when finalized, will supersede a previous guidance, entitled “Guide to Minimize Microbial Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-cut Fruits and Vegetables,” that we issued in 2008. The draft guidance is intended to explain our current thinking on how to comply with recently modernized requirements for current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) and with new requirements for hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls under our regulation entitled “Current Good Manufacturing Practice, Hazard Analysis, and Risk-Based Preventive Controls for Human Food” during the production of fresh-cut produce.

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by April 22, 2019 to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on the draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on any guidance at any time as follows:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else’s Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that

identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- *Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):* Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2018-D-3583 for “Guide to Minimize Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-cut Produce: Draft Guidance for Industry.” Received comments will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For

more information about FDA’s posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

You may submit comments on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)).

Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance to the Office of Food Safety, Division of Produce Safety, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, 5001 Campus Dr., College Park, MD 20740. Send two self-addressed adhesive labels to assist that office in processing your request. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Insook Son, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, 5001 Campus Dr., College Park, MD 20740, 240-402-1648.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

We are announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled “Guide to Minimize Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-cut Produce.” We are issuing the draft guidance consistent with our good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of FDA on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternate approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. This guidance is not subject to Executive Order 12866.

The FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) (Pub. L. 111-353) enables FDA to better protect public health by helping to ensure the safety and security of the food supply. FSMA enables FDA to focus more on preventing food safety problems rather than relying primarily on reacting to problems after they occur. FSMA recognizes the important role industry plays in ensuring the safety of the food supply, including the adoption of modern systems of preventive

controls in food production. Section 103 of FSMA amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), by adding section 418 (21 U.S.C. 350g) with requirements for hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls for establishments that are required to register as food facilities under our regulations in 21 CFR part 1, subpart H, in accordance with section 415 of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 350d).

In 2008, we issued a guidance for industry entitled “Guide to Minimize Microbial Food Safety Hazards of Fresh-cut Fruits and Vegetables.” Fresh-cut fruits and vegetables mean any fresh fruit or vegetable or combination thereof that has been physically altered from its whole state after being harvested from the field (e.g., by chopping, dicing, peeling, ricing, shredding, slicing, spiralizing, or tearing) without additional processing (such as blanching or cooking). That guidance was intended for all fresh-cut produce processing firms to enhance the safety of fresh-cut produce by minimizing the microbial food safety hazards. It explained our thinking on how to comply with CGMP requirements that then were established in a regulation entitled “Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Packing, or Holding Human Food” (21 CFR part 110).

In the **Federal Register** of September 17, 2015 (80 FR 55908), we published a final rule that, among other things, modernized the CGMP requirements and established them in new 21 CFR part 117 (part 117), entitled “Current Good Manufacturing Practice, Hazard Analysis, and Risk-Based Preventive Controls for Human Food.” Part 117 also includes new requirements for hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls for establishments that are required to register as food facilities. The draft guidance that we are making available for public comment is intended to explain our current thinking on how all food establishments that produce fresh-cut produce can comply with the modernized CGMP requirements in part 117. The draft guidance also is intended to explain our current thinking on how fresh-cut produce food facilities that are subject to the new requirements for hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls can comply with those requirements.

II. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This draft guidance refers to previously approved collections of information found in FDA regulations. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of

Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). The collections of information in part 117 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0751.

III. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the internet may obtain the draft guidance at either <https://www.fda.gov/FoodGuidances> or <https://www.regulations.gov>. Use the FDA website listed in the previous sentence to find the most current version of the guidance.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–23005 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2018–0948]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zone; Delaware River; Camden, NJ; Fireworks Display

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone on a portion of the Delaware River in Camden, NJ. This action is necessary to protect the surrounding public and vessels on these navigable waters adjacent to the Battleship New Jersey Museum and Memorial, Camden, NJ, during a fireworks display on November 14, 2018. This proposed rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from entering, transiting, or remaining within the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Delaware Bay or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before November 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2018–0948 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Petty Officer Thomas Welker, U.S. Coast Guard, Sector Delaware Bay, Waterways Management Division; telephone 215–271–4814, email Thomas.j.welker@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
COTP Captain of the Port
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On September 14, 2018, Rexel, Inc notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a fireworks display from 8:35 p.m. to 8:55 p.m. on November 14, 2018. The fireworks are to be launched from a barge in the Delaware River adjacent to the Battleship New Jersey Museum and Memorial, Camden, NJ. Hazards from fireworks displays include accidental discharge of fireworks, dangerous projectiles, and falling hot embers or other debris. The Captain of the Port Delaware Bay (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the fireworks to be used in this display would be a safety concern for anyone within a 600-foot radius of the barge.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of vessels and the navigable waters within a 600-foot radius of the fireworks barge before, during, and after the scheduled event. The Coast Guard proposes this rulemaking under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231.

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP is proposing to establish a safety zone from approximately 8:15 p.m. through 9:15 p.m. on November 14, 2018. The safety zone would cover all navigable waters within 600 feet of a fireworks barge in the Delaware River adjacent to the Battleship New Jersey Museum and Memorial, Camden, NJ. The barge will be anchored in approximate position 39°56'20" N Latitude, 075°08'08" W Longitude. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled 8:35 p.m. to 8:55 p.m. fireworks display. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter, transit, or remain within the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative.

The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of the safety zone. Vessel traffic would be able to safely transit around this safety zone which would impact a small designated area of the Delaware River for one hour during the evening when vessel traffic is normally low. Moreover, the Coast Guard would issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of

their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a safety zone lasting one hour that would prohibit entry within 600 feet of a fireworks barge. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit <http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice>.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add § 165.T05–0948 to read as follows:

§ 165.T05–0948 **Safety Zone; Delaware River; Camden, NJ; Fireworks Display.**

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: all waters of the Delaware River within a 600-foot radius of the fireworks barge, which will be anchored in approximate position 39°56'20" N Latitude 075°08'08" W Longitude. All coordinates are based on Datum NAD 1983.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard petty officer, warrant or commissioned officer on board a Coast Guard vessel or on board a federal, state, or local law enforcement vessel assisting the Captain of the Port, Delaware Bay in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part—

(i) You may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative; and

(ii) All persons and vessels in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(2) To request permission to enter the safety zone, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative on marine band radio VHF-FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz) or 215–271–4807.

(3) No vessel may take on bunkers or conduct lightering operations within the safety zone during the enforcement period.

(4) This section applies to all vessels except those engaged in law enforcement, aids to navigation servicing, and emergency response operations.

(d) *Enforcement.* The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the safety zone by federal, state, and local agencies.

(e) *Enforcement period.* This zone will be enforced from 8:15 p.m. through 9:15 p.m. on November 14, 2018.

Dated: October 15, 2018.

S.E. Anderson,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Delaware Bay.

[FR Doc. 2018–22911 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R10–OAR–2017–0170; FRL–9985–39–Region 10]

Air Plan Approval; ID, West Silver Valley PM_{2.5} Clean Data Determination

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to determine that the West Silver Valley, Idaho nonattainment area has clean data for the 2012 annual fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). This proposed clean data determination (CDD) is based upon quality-assured, quality-controlled, and certified ambient air monitoring data showing the area has attained the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS based

on the 2015–2017 data available in the EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) database. The EPA also proposes to take final agency action on the September 2017 wildfire exceptional event at the Pinehurst monitoring station, pursuant to EPA regulations, as having affected PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ values. Based on the proposed clean data determination, the EPA is also proposing to determine that the obligation for Idaho to make submissions to meet certain Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act) requirements related to attainment of the NAAQS for this area are not applicable for as long as the area continues to attain the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2017–0170, at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Justin Spenillo, at 206–553–6125, or spenillo.justin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, wherever “we”, “us” or “our” are used, it is intended to refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Clean Data Determination for the West Silver Valley, Idaho Nonattainment Area
- III. The EPA's Proposed Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

On December 14, 2012, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a revised primary annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS to provide increased protection of public health from fine particle pollution (“2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS”).¹ In that action, the EPA strengthened the primary annual PM_{2.5} standard, lowering the level from 15.0 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) to 12.0 µg/m³. The 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS is attained when the 3-year average of the annual arithmetic means does not exceed 12.0 µg/m³. See 40 CFR 50.18 and 40 CFR part 50, appendix N. Effective April 15, 2015, the EPA made designation determinations, as required by section 107(d)(1) of the CAA, for the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.² In that action, the EPA designated the West Silver Valley area in Shoshone County, Idaho (WSV NAA) as moderate nonattainment for the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. See 40 CFR 81.313.

On March 26, 2018, the EPA issued a finding of failure to submit under section 110(k) of the CAA finding that several states, including Idaho, failed to submit specific moderate area SIP elements for the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS required under subpart 4 of part D of Title I of the CAA.³ In particular, Idaho failed to submit the following specific moderate area SIP elements for the WSV NAA: An attainment demonstration; control strategies, including reasonably available control measures (“RACM”) and reasonably available control technologies (“RACT”); a reasonable further progress (RFP) plan; quantitative milestones; and contingency measures. This finding triggered the sanctions clock under Section 179 of the CAA, as well as an obligation under Section 110(c) of the CAA for EPA to promulgate a FIP no later than 2 years from the effective date of the finding, if Idaho does not submit, and the EPA has not approved, the required SIP submission.

On August 24, 2016, the EPA issued the Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standards: State Implementation Plan Requirements (“PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule”).⁴ The PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule is codified at 40 CFR part 51, subpart Z and provides rules for the implementation of current and future PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

Over the past 2 decades, the EPA has consistently applied its “Clean Data Policy” interpretation to attainment

¹ 78 FR 3086, January 15, 2013.

² 80 FR 2206.

³ 83 FR 14759.

⁴ 81 FR 58010, effective October 24, 2016.

related provisions of subparts 1, 2, and 4 of the CAA. The EPA codified the approach in the Clean Data Policy in the PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule (40 CFR 51.1015(a)) for the implementation of current and future PM_{2.5} NAAQS. See 81 FR 58010, 58161 (August 24, 2016). In accordance with 40 CFR 51.1015, the EPA may issue a clean data determination for a specific area if the EPA determines the area has attained the relevant NAAQS based on 3 years of quality-assured, certified air quality monitoring data. For a complete discussion of the Clean Data Policy's history and the EPA's longstanding interpretation under the CAA, please refer to the August 24, 2016 PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule (81 FR 58010).

As provided in 40 CFR 51.1015, so long as an area continues to meet the standard, finalization of a CDD suspends the requirements for a nonattainment area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated RACM and RACT, an RFP plan, quantitative milestones, contingency measures, and any other SIP requirements related to the attainment of the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. The requirement to submit a projected attainment inventory as part of an attainment demonstration or RFP plan is also suspended by this determination. As discussed in the 2016 PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule, the nonattainment base emissions inventory required by section 172(c)(3) of the CAA is not suspended by this determination because the base inventory is a requirement independent of planning for an area's attainment. See 81 FR 58009 at 58028 and 58127–8 and 80 FR 15340 at 15441–2. Additionally, as discussed in the PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule, and required by sections 110(a)(2)(C); 172(c)(5); 173; 189(a), and 189(e) of the CAA, nonattainment New

Source Review (NNSR) requirements are not suspended by a CDD because this requirement is independent of the area's attainment planning. See 81 FR 58010 at 58107 and 58127.

By extension, the requirement to submit a motor vehicle emissions budget (MVEB) for the attainment year for the purposes of transportation conformity is also suspended. A MVEB is that portion of the total allowable emissions defined in the submitted or approved control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan for a certain date for the purpose of meeting RFP milestones or demonstrating attainment or maintenance of the NAAQS, for any criteria pollutant or its precursors, allocated to highway and transit vehicle use and emissions. For the purposes of the transportation conformity regulations, the control strategy implementation plan revision is the implementation plan which contains specific strategies for controlling the emissions of and reducing ambient levels of pollutants in order to satisfy CAA requirements for demonstrations of RFP and attainment. Given that MVEBs are required to support the RFP and attainment demonstration requirements in the attainment plan, suspension of the RFP and attainment demonstration requirements through a CDD, also suspends the requirement to submit MVEBs for the attainment and RFP years. The suspension of planning requirements pursuant to 40 CFR 51.1015, does not preclude the state from submitting suspended elements of its moderate area attainment plan for EPA approval for the purposes of strengthening the state's SIP.

The suspension of the obligation to submit such requirements applies regardless of when the plan submissions are due. A clean data determination is

not equivalent to a redesignation, and the state must still meet the statutory requirements for redesignation in order to be redesignated to attainment.

In accordance with 40 CFR 51.1015(a)(1) and (2), the CDD suspends the aforementioned SIP obligations until such time as the area is redesignated to attainment, after which such requirements are permanently discharged; or the EPA determines that the area has re-violated the PM_{2.5} NAAQS, at which time the state shall submit such attainment plan elements for the moderate nonattainment area by a future date to be determined by the EPA and announced through publication in the **Federal Register** at the time the EPA determines the area is violating the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

II. Clean Data Determination for the West Silver Valley, Idaho Nonattainment Area

Air Quality Data

Under the EPA regulations at 40 CFR 50.18 and part 50, appendix N, the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS is met when the 3-year average of PM_{2.5} annual mean mass concentrations for each eligible monitoring site is less than or equal to 12.0 µg/m³. Three years of valid, annual means are required to produce a valid annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS design value. A year of data meets data completeness requirements when quarterly data capture rates for all four quarters are at least 75 percent from eligible monitoring sites. See 40 CFR part 50, appendix N. There is one PM_{2.5} eligible monitoring site in the WSV NAA, located in Pinehurst, Idaho. Table 1 shows the WSV NAA design value for the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS for the years 2015–2017 at that Pinehurst, Idaho monitoring site.

TABLE 1—2015–2017 ANNUAL PM_{2.5} VALUES FOR WEST SILVER VALLEY, SHOSHONE, IDAHO

Monitor ID	Weighted mean (µg/m ³)			Complete quarters			Certified annual design value 2015–2017 (µg/m ³)
	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	
160790017	13.6	9.3	12.3	4	4	4	11.7

Consistent with the requirements contained in 40 CFR part 58, the EPA has reviewed the PM_{2.5} ambient air quality monitoring data for the monitoring period from 2015 through 2017 for the WSV NAA, as recorded in the AQS database, and has determined the data meet the quality assurance requirements set forth in part 58. In this

respect, the data have been deemed usable by the EPA for regulatory compliance purposes. As shown in Table 1, each quarter from 2015 through 2017 is complete with all four quarters reporting data capture rates of at least 75 percent. The certified annual design value for 2015–2017 is 11.7 µg/m³, which is below the 2012 annual PM_{2.5}

standard of 12.0 µg/m³. Therefore, the WSV NAA has attained the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS in accordance with the requirements in 40 CFR part 50, section 50.18 and appendix N.

Exceptional Event

The CAA allows for the exclusion of air quality monitoring data from design

value calculations when there are exceedances caused by events, such as wildfires or high wind events, that meet the criteria for an exceptional event identified in the EPA's implementing regulations, the Exceptional Events Rule at 40 CFR 50.1, 50.14 and 51.930. In 2017, emissions from multiple wildfires in the Pacific Northwest impacted PM_{2.5}

and PM₁₀ concentrations recorded at the Pinehurst monitor within the WSV NAA. For purposes of this proposed action, on August 10, 2018, the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) submitted an exceptional event demonstration to request exclusion of the 2017 data impacted by wildfires. The EPA evaluated the IDEQ's

exceptional event demonstration for the flagged values of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ listed in Table 2, at the monitor in Pinehurst, Idaho, with respect to the requirements of the EPA's Exceptional Events Rule (40 CFR 50.1, 50.14, and 50.930). The EPA determined the event and the IDEQ's demonstration met the exceptional event rule requirements.

TABLE 2—24-HR PM_{2.5} AND PM₁₀ VALUES AT THE PINEHURST MONITORING STATION, CONCURRED ON BY THE EPA AS MEETING THE EXCEPTIONAL EVENT CRITERIA

Date	24-hr PM _{2.5} concentration (µg/m ³) 16-079-0017 POC1	24-hr PM ₁₀ concentration (µg/m ³) 16-079-0017 POC3
9/4/2017	144.9
9/5/2017	222.2
9/6/2017	147.1	169.6
9/7/2017	123.8	149.8
9/8/2017	116.7	143.7

On August 24, 2018, the EPA concurred with the IDEQ's request to exclude event-influenced data listed in Table 2. As such, the event-influenced data have been removed from the data set used for regulatory purposes. For this proposed action, the EPA relies on the calculated values that exclude the event-influenced data (see Table 1, above). The EPA now proposes to take final regulatory action on the IDEQ's request to exclude PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} data listed in Table 2, in regulatory decisions. For further information, refer to the IDEQ's Exceptional Event demonstration package and the EPA's concurrence and analysis located in the docket for this proposed action.

III. The EPA's Proposed Action

Pursuant to the Clean Data Policy codified at 40 CFR 51.1015(a), the EPA proposes to determine that based on 3-years of certified, valid monitoring data between 2015 and 2017, the WSV NAA has attained the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. The EPA also proposes to take final agency action on the wildfire exceptional event that affected the Pinehurst monitor as listed in Table 2 for both PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀. Pursuant to 40 CFR 51.1015(a), and based upon our proposed determination that the WSV NAA has attained the standard, the EPA proposes to determine that the obligation to submit any attainment-related SIP revisions arising from classification of the WSV NAA as a moderate nonattainment area under subpart 4 of part D, of title I of the Act for the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS is not applicable for so long as the area continues to attain the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. In particular, if the EPA

finalizes this determination, it will suspend the requirements for the area to submit an attainment demonstration, RACM and RACT, RFP plan, quantitative milestones, contingency measures, and any other SIP requirements related to the attainment of the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS, so long as the area continues to meet the standard. If today's action is finalized as proposed, the sanctions and FIP clocks triggered by the EPA's March 26, 2018, finding of failure to submit will be suspended. See 83 FR 14759.

This proposed determination of attainment does not constitute a redesignation to attainment. The WSV NAA will remain designated nonattainment for the 2012 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS until the EPA determines the WSV NAA meets the CAA requirements for redesignation to attainment, including an approved maintenance plan, pursuant to sections 107 and 175A of the CAA. The EPA is soliciting public comments on EPA's proposed action. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action proposes to exclude certain air quality monitoring data from design value calculations and suspend certain federal requirements, and thus would not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For these reasons, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735,

October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;

- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because it does not involve technical standards; and

- does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed action does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP obligations discussed herein do not apply to Indian tribes and, thus, this proposed action will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 3, 2018.

Chris Hladick,

Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 2018–22285 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 85 and 86

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 523, 531, 533, 536, and 537

[NHTSA–2018–0067; EPA–HQ–OAR–2018–0283; FRL–9984–62–OAR; NHTSA–2017–0069]

RIN 2127–AL76; 2060–AU09

The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency and National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects information in the proposed rule published in the August 24, 2018 issue of the **Federal Register** entitled The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks. Specifically, a table in the Paperwork Reduction Act section is corrected; two minor changes are made to one amendatory instruction in NHTSA's regulatory text, and the coefficients specifying the proposed carbon dioxide standards in EPA's regulatory text have been corrected to reflect EPA's proposal to exclude credits for direct A/C emissions for purposes of compliance

with carbon dioxide standards after model year 2020, as explained in Section III of the proposed rule. This document contains only clerical corrections and makes no updates to either the proposal or to the analysis underlying the proposal. For the reader's information, an updated Preliminary Regulatory Impact Assessment (PRIA) will also be made available concurrent with this notice; that document will include descriptions starting on the second page detailing the corrections to various tables and figures therein.

DATES: Comments for the proposed rule must be received on or before October 26, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

EPA: Christopher Lieske, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Assessment and Standards Division, Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105; telephone number: (734) 214–4584; fax number: (734) 214–4816; email address: lieske.christopher@epa.gov, or contact the Assessment and Standards Division, email address: otaqpublicweb@epa.gov. **NHTSA:** James Tamm, Office of Rulemaking, Fuel Economy Division, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590; telephone number: (202) 493–0515.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the proposed rule beginning at 83 FR 42986, in the issue of August 24, 2018, make the following corrections:

On page 43480, replace the existing Table XII–2 with the following table:

TABLE XII–2—ESTIMATED BURDEN FOR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

	Manufacturers		Government	
	Hours	Cost	Hours	Cost
Prior Collection	3,189.00	\$24,573.50	975.00	\$31,529.00
Current Collection	3,774.5	187,530.82	3,038.00	141,246.78
Difference	585.50	162,957.32	2,063.00	109,717.78

On page 43489, third column, remove the first instance of instruction 16 and in the second, in paragraph (a)(4)(ii) correct “8,877” to read “8,887.”

On page 43494, replace existing Table 1 to Paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) with the following table:

Model year	CO ₂ target value (grams/mile)
2012	244.0
2013	237.0

Model year	CO ₂ target value (grams/mile)
2014	228.0
2015	217.0
2016	206.0
2017	195.0
2018	185.0
2019	175.0
2020	166.0
2021 and later	182.0

On Page 43495 replace Table 1 to Paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) with the following table:

Model year	CO ₂ target value (grams/mile)
2012	315.0
2013	307.0
2014	299.0
2015	288.0
2016	277.0
2017	263.0
2018	250.0

Model year	CO ₂ target value (grams/mile)
2019	238.0
2020	226.0
2021 and later	244.0

On Page 43496 replace the table currently titled “Table 1 to Table 1 to Paragraph (c)(2)(i)(C)” with the following table header and table:

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (c)(2)(i)(C)

Model year	a	b
2012	4.72	50.5
2013	4.72	43.3
2014	4.72	34.8
2015	4.72	23.4
2016	4.72	12.7
2017	4.53	8.9
2018	4.35	6.5
2019	4.17	4.2
2020	4.01	1.9
2021 and later	4.09	14.6

On Page 43497 replace the table currently titled “Table 1 to Table 1 to Paragraph (c)(3)(i)(A)” with the following table header and table:

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (c)(3)(i)(A)

Model year	CO ₂ target value (grams/mile)
2012	294.0
2013	284.0
2014	275.0
2015	261.0
2016	247.0
2017	238.0
2018	227.0
2019	220.0
2020	212.0
2021 and later	227.0

On Page 43498 replace the table currently titled “Table 1 to Table 1 to Paragraph (c)(3)(i)(B)” with the following table header and table:

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (c)(3)(i)(B)

Model year	Maximum footprint	a	b
2012	66.0	4.04	128.6
2013	66.0	4.04	118.7
2014	66.0	4.04	109.4
2015	66.0	4.04	95.1
2016	66.0	4.04	81.1
2017	50.7	4.87	38.3
2018	60.2	4.76	31.6
2019	66.4	4.68	27.7
2020	68.3	4.57	24.6
2021 and later	68.3	4.57	39.9

On Page 43499 replace the table currently titled “Table 1 to Table 1 to Paragraph (c)(3)(i)(D)” with the following table header and table:

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (c)(3)(i)(D)

Model year	Minimum footprint	CO ₂ target value (grams/mile)
2012	66.0	395.0
2013	66.0	385.0
2014	66.0	376.0
2015	66.0	362.0
2016	66.0	348.0
2017	66.0	347.0
2018	66.0	342.0
2019	66.4	339.0
2020	68.3	337.0
2021 and later	68.3	352.0

Issued on October 16, 2018 in Washington, DC, under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.5.

Heidi R. King,

Deputy Administrator, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

William L. Wehrum,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018–22976 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 204

Monday, October 22, 2018

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

October 16, 2018.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments are requested regarding (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments regarding this information collection received by November 21, 2018 will be considered. Written comments should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20502. Commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to: OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395-5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250-7602. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720-8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control

number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Agricultural Research Service

Title: Patent License Application.

OMB Control Number: 0518-0003.

Summary of Collection: Public Law 96-517, HR 209 (Technology Transfer Commercialization Act of 2000), and 37 CFR part 404 requires Federal agencies to use the patent system to promote the utilization of inventions arising from federally supported research and provide the authority to grant patent licenses. 37 CFR 404.8 specifies the information which must be submitted by a patent license applicant to the Federal agency having custody of a patent. Form ADS-761 collects the information specified under 37 CFR 404.8.

Need and Use of the Information: The Agricultural Research Service (ARS) will collect identifying information on the applicant, identifying information for the business, and a detailed description for development and/or marketing of the invention using form AD-761. The information collected is used to determine whether the applicant has both a complete and sufficient plan for developing and marketing the invention and the necessary manufacturing, marketing, technical, and financial resources to carry out the submitted plan.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for profit; Not-for-profit institutions; Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 75.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 225.

Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018-22876 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-03-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

U.S. Codex Office

Codex Alimentarius Commission: Meeting of the Codex Committee on Spices and Culinary Herbs

AGENCY: U.S. Codex Office, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Codex Office is sponsoring a public meeting on December 5, 2018. The objective of the public meeting is to provide information and receive public comments on agenda items and draft United States (U.S.) positions to be discussed at the 4th Session of the Codex Committee on Spices and Culinary Herbs (CCSCH) of the Codex Alimentarius Commission, in Thiruvananthapuram, India, January 21-25, 2019. The U.S. Manager for Codex Alimentarius and the Under Secretary, Office of Trade and Foreign Agricultural Affairs, recognize the importance of providing interested parties the opportunity to obtain background information on the 4th Session of the CCSCH and to address items on the agenda.

DATES: The public meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 5, 2018 from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will take place at the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Jamie L. Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Room 107-A, Washington, DC 20250. Documents related to the 4th Session of the CCSCH will be accessible via the internet at the following address: <http://www.codexalimentarius.org/meetings-reports/en>.

Dorian LaFond, U.S. Delegate to the 4th Session of the CCSCH invites U.S. interested parties to submit their comments electronically to the following email address: Dorian.Lafond@usda.gov.

Call-In-Number: If you wish to participate in the public meeting for the 4th Session of the CCSCH by conference call, please use the call-in-number: 1-888-844-9904.

The participant code will be posted on the following web page: <http://www.usda.gov/codex>.

Registration: Attendees may register to attend the public meeting by emailing Marie.Maratos@osec.usda.gov by

November 20th, 2018. Early registration is encouraged because it will expedite entry into the building. The meeting will take place in a Federal building. Attendees should bring photo identification and plan for adequate time to pass through the security screening systems. Attendees who are not able to attend the meeting in person, but who wish to participate, may do so by phone, as discussed above.

For Further Information about the 4th Session of the CCSCH Contact: Dorian LaFond, International Standards Coordinator, Fruit and Vegetables Program, Specialty Crop Inspection Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Mail Stop 0247, Washington DC 20250-0247. Phone: +1 (202) 690-4944. Email: Dorian.Lafond@ams.usda.gov.

For Further Information about the Public Meeting Contact: Marie Maratos, U.S. Codex Office, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Room 4861, South Agriculture Building, Washington, DC 20250. Phone: (202) 690-4795, Fax: (202) 720-3157, Email: Marie.Maratos@osec.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Codex was established in 1963 by two United Nations organizations, the Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization. Through adoption of food standards, codes of practice, and other guidelines developed by its committees, and by promoting their adoption and implementation by governments, Codex seeks to protect the health of consumers and ensure fair practices in the food trade.

The CCSCH is responsible for elaborating worldwide standards for spices and culinary herbs in their dried and dehydrated state in whole, ground, and cracked or crushed form and consulting, as necessary, with other international organizations in the standards development process to avoid duplication.

The CCSCH is hosted by India. The U.S. attends CCSCH as a member country of Codex.

Issues To Be Discussed at the Public Meeting

The following items on the Agenda for the 4th Session of the CCSCH will be discussed during the public meeting:

- Matters referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission and its subsidiary bodies
- Oregano—Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Oregano

- Dried Roots, Rhizomes and Bulbs—Proposed draft Standard for Dried Dehydrated Ginger
- Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Garlic
- Dried Fruits and Berries—Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Chili Peppers and Paprika
- Dried Herbs—Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Basil
- Dried Seeds—Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Nutmeg
- Dried Floral Parts—Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Cloves
- Proposed Draft Standard for Dried Saffron
- Proposals for new work
- Other business
- Date and place of the next session

Public Meeting

At the December 6, 2018, public meeting, draft U.S. positions on the agenda items will be described and discussed, and attendees will have the opportunity to pose questions and offer comments. Written comments may be offered at the meeting or sent to Dorian LaFond, U.S. Delegate for the 4th Session of the CCSCH (see **ADDRESSES**). Written comments should state that they relate to activities of the 4th Session of the CCSCH.

Additional Public Notification

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, the U.S. Codex Office will announce this **Federal Register** publication on-line through the USDA web page located at: <http://www.usda.gov/codex/>, a link that also offers an email subscription service providing access to information related to Codex. Customers can add or delete their subscription themselves, and have the option to password protect their accounts.

USDA Non-Discrimination Statement

No agency, officer, or employee of the USDA shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, or political beliefs, exclude from participation in, deny the benefits of, or subject to discrimination any person in the United States under any program or activity conducted by the USDA.

How To File a Complaint of Discrimination

To file a complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which may be accessed online at <http://>

www.ocio.usda.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2012/Complain_combined_6_8_12.pdf, or write a letter signed by you or your authorized representative. Send your completed complaint form or letter to USDA by mail, fax, or email.

Mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410.

Fax: (202) 690-7442, Email: program.intake@usda.gov.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

Done at Washington DC on October 16, 2018.

Mary Lowe,

U.S. Manager for Codex Alimentarius.

[FR Doc. 2018-22873 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

National Institute of Food and Agriculture

Solicitation of Veterinary Shortage Situation Nominations for the Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program (VMLRP)

AGENCY: National Institute of Food and Agriculture, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and solicitation for nominations.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) is soliciting nominations of veterinary service shortage situations for the Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program (VMLRP) for fiscal year (FY) 2019, as authorized under the National Veterinary Medical Services Act (NVMSA). This notice initiates the nomination period and prescribes the procedures and criteria to be used by eligible nominating officials (State, Insular Area, DC and Federal Lands) to nominate veterinary shortage situations. Each year all eligible nominating officials may submit nominations, up to the maximum indicated for each entity in this notice. NIFA is conducting this solicitation of veterinary shortage situation nominations under an approved information collection (OMB Control Number 0524-0050).

DATES: Shortage situation nominations must be submitted not later than 30 days after the publication of this notice.

ADDRESSES: Submissions must be made by clicking the submit button on the Veterinarian Shortage Situation nomination form provided in the

VMLRP Shortage Situations section of the NIFA website at www.nifa.usda.gov/vmlrp.

This form is sent as a data file directly to the Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; U.S. Department of Agriculture.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Colby; National Program Leader; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; U.S. Department of Agriculture; STOP 2240 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–2220; Voice: 202–401–4202; Fax: 833–208–8205; Email: vmlrp@nifa.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Purpose

Food supply veterinary medicine embraces a broad array of veterinary professional activities, specialties and responsibilities, and is defined as all aspects of veterinary medicine's involvement in food supply systems, from traditional agricultural production to consumption. A series of studies and reports^{1 2 3 4 5 6} have drawn attention to maldistributions in the veterinary workforce leaving some communities, especially rural areas, with insufficient access to food supply veterinary services.

Two programs, born out of this concern, aim to mitigate the maldistribution of the veterinary workforce: The Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program (VMLRP) and Veterinary Services Grant Program (VSGP), both administered by USDA–NIFA. VMLRP addresses increasing veterinary school debt by offering veterinary school debt payments in exchange for service in shortage situations, while VSGP addresses other factors contributing to the

maldistribution of veterinarians serving the agricultural sector.

Specifically, the VSGP promotes availability and access to (1) specialized education and training which will enable veterinarians and veterinary technicians to provide services in designated veterinarian shortage situations, and (2) practice-enhancing equipment and personnel resources to enable veterinary practices to expand or improve access to veterinary services.

Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations (5 CFR part 1320) that implement the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the information collection and recordkeeping requirements imposed by the implementation of these guidelines have been approved by OMB Control Number 0524–0050.

Table of Contents in Guidelines for Veterinary Shortage Situation Nominations

- I. Preface and Authority
- II. Nomination of Veterinary Shortage Situations
 - A. General
 - 1. Eligible Shortage Situations
 - 2. Authorized Respondents and Use of Consultation
 - 3. State Allocation of Nominations
 - 4. FY 2019 Shortage Situation Nomination Process
 - 5. Submission and Due Date
 - 6. Period Covered
 - 7. Definitions
 - B. Nomination Form
 - C. NIFA Review of Shortage Situation Nominations
 - 1. Review Panel Composition and Process
 - 2. Review Criteria

Guidelines for Veterinary Shortage Situation Nominations

I. Preface and Authority

In January 2003, the National Veterinary Medical Service Act (NVMSA) was passed into law adding section 1415A to the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1997 (NARETPA). This law established a new Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program (7 U.S.C. 3151a) authorizing the Secretary of Agriculture to carry out a program of entering into agreements with veterinarians under which they agree to provide veterinary services in veterinarian shortage situations in return for repayment of qualified educational loans. In FY 2010, NIFA announced the first funding opportunity for the VMLRP.

Section 7104 of the 2014 Farm Bill (Pub. L. 113–79) added section 1415B to

NARETPA, as amended, (7 U.S.C. 3151b) to establish the Veterinary Services Grant Program (VSGP). This amendment authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to make competitive grants to qualified entities and individual veterinarians that carry out programs in veterinarian shortage situations and for the purpose of developing, implementing, and sustaining veterinary services. Funding for the VSGP was first appropriated in FY 2016 through the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114–113).

Pursuant to the requirements enacted in the NVMSA of 2004 (as revised), and the implementing regulation for this Act, Part 3431 Subpart A of the VMLRP Final Rule [75 FR 20239–20248], NIFA hereby implements guidelines for eligible nominating officials to nominate veterinary shortage situations for the FY 2018 program cycle.

II. Nomination of Veterinary Shortage Situations

A. General

1. Eligible Shortage Situations

Section 1415A of NARETPA, as amended and revised by Section 7105 of the Food, Conservation and Energy Act, directs determination of veterinarian shortage situations for the VMLRP to consider (1) geographical areas that the Secretary determines have a shortage of veterinarians; and (2) areas of veterinary practice that the Secretary determines have a shortage of veterinarians, such as food animal medicine, public health, epidemiology, and food safety. This section also added that priority should be given to agreements with veterinarians for the practice of food animal medicine in veterinarian shortage situations.

While the NVMSA (as amended) specifies priority be given to food animal medicine shortage situations, and that consideration also be given to specialty areas such as public health, epidemiology and food safety, the Act does not identify any areas of veterinary practice as ineligible. Accordingly, all nominated veterinary shortage situations will be considered eligible for submission.

A subset of the shortages designated for VMLRP applicants is also available to satisfy requirements, as applicable, for VSGP applicants. In addition, a shortage situation under the VSGP must also be designated rural as defined in section 343(a) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1991(a)).

Nominations describing either public or private practice veterinary shortage situations are eligible for submission.

¹ Government Accountability Office, Veterinary Workforce: Actions Are Needed to Ensure Sufficient Capacity for Protecting Public and Animal Health, GAO–09–178; Feb. 18, 2009;

² National Academies of Science, Workforce Needs in Veterinary Medicine, 2013.

³ Andrus DM, Gwinner KP, Prince, JB. Food Supply Veterinary Medicine Coalition Report: Estimating FSM Demand and Maintaining the Availability of Veterinarians in Food Supply Related Disciplines in the United States and Canada, 2016. <https://www.avma.org/KB/Resources/References/Pages/Food-Supply-Veterinary-Medicine-Coalition-Report.aspx>.

⁴ Andrus DM, Gwinner KP, Prince, JB. Future demand, probable shortages and strategies for creating a better future in food supply veterinary medicine, 2006, JAVMA 229(1) :57–69.

⁵ Andrus DM, Gwinner KP, Prince, JB. Attracting students to careers in food supply veterinary medicine. 2006, JAVMA 228(1) :16931704.

⁶ Andrus DM, Gwinner KP, Prince, JB. Job satisfaction, changes in occupational area and commitment to a career in food supply veterinary medicine. 2006, JAVMA 228(12) :1884–1893.

2. Authorized Respondents and Use of Consultation

The only authorized respondent on behalf of each State is the chief State Animal Health Official (SAHO), as duly authorized by the Governor or the Governor's designee in each State. The only authorized respondent on behalf of the Federal Government is the Chief Federal Animal Health Officer (Deputy Administrator of Veterinary Services, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service or designee), as duly authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture. The eligible nominating official must submit nominations using the instructions provided in section A.4, FY 2018 Shortage Situation Nomination Process. NIFA strongly encourages the nominating officials to involve leading health animal experts in the State in the identification and prioritization of shortage situation nominations.

3. State Allocation of Nominations

NIFA will accept the number of nominations equivalent to the maximum number of designated shortage areas for each State. For historical background and more information on the rationale for capping nominations and State allocation method, visit <https://nifa.usda.gov/vmlrp-nomination-and-designation-veterinary-shortage-situations>.

The maximum number of nominations (and potential designations) is based on data from the 2012 Agricultural Census conducted by the USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS). Awards from previous years have no bearing on a State's maximum number of allowable shortage nomination submissions or designations in any given year, or number of nominations or designations allowed for subsequent years. NIFA reserves the right in the future to proportionally adjust the maximum number of designated shortage situations per State to ensure a balance between available funds and the requirement to ensure that priority is given to mitigating veterinary shortages corresponding to situations of greatest need. Nomination Allocation tables for FY 2019 are available under the VMLRP Shortage Situations section of the VMLRP website at <https://nifa.usda.gov/resource/vmlrp-shortage-allocations>.

Table I lists the maximum nomination allocations by State. Table II lists "Special Consideration Areas" which include any State or Insular Area not reporting data to NASS, reporting less than \$1,000,000 in annual Livestock and Livestock Products Total Sales (\$), and/or possessing less than 500,000 acres.

One nomination is allocated to any State or Insular Area classified as a Special Consideration Area.

Table III shows the values and quartile ranks of States for two variables broadly correlated with demand for food supply veterinary services: "Livestock and Livestock Products Total Sales (\$)" (LPTS) and "Land Area (acres)" (LA). The maximum number of NIFA-designated shortage situations per State is based on the sum of quartile rankings for LPTS and LA for each State and can be found in Table IV.

While Federal Lands are widely dispersed within States and Insular Areas across the country, they constitute a composite total land area over twice the size of Alaska. If the 200-mile limit for U.S. coastal waters and associated fishery areas are included, Federal Land total acreage would exceed 1 billion. Both State and Federal Animal Health officials have responsibilities for matters relating to terrestrial and aquatic food animal health on Federal Lands. Interaction between wildlife and domestic livestock, such as sheep and cattle, is particularly common in the plains States where significant portions of Federal lands are leased for grazing. Therefore, both SAHOs and the Chief Federal Animal Health Officer (Deputy Administrator of Veterinary Services, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service or designee) may submit nominations to address shortage situations on or related to Federal Lands. Nominations related to Federal Lands submitted by SAHOs will count towards the maximum number of nominations for that individual state.

NIFA emphasizes that the shortage nomination allocation is set to broadly balance the number of designated shortage situations across States prior to the nomination and award phases of the VMLRP and VSGP. Awards will be made based strictly on the peer review panel's assessment according to each program's review criteria; thus no State will be given a preference for placement of awardees. Additionally, each designated shortage situation will be limited to one award per program.

4. FY 2019 Shortage Situation Nomination Process

For the FY 2019 program cycle, all eligible nominating officials submitting may: (1) Request to retain designated status for any shortage situation successfully designated in FY 2018 and/or (2) submit new nominations. Any shortage from FY 2018 not retained or submitted as a new nomination will not be considered a shortage situation in FY 2019. The total number of new nominations plus designated

nominations retained (carried over) may not exceed the maximum number of nominations each eligible nominating official is permitted.

The following process is the mechanism for retaining a designated nomination: Each nominating official should review the map of VMLRP designated shortage situations for FY 2018 (<https://go.usa.gov/xUhqW>) and download a PDF copy of the nomination form for each designated area that remains open (not awarded) in FY 2018. If the nominating official wishes to retain (carry over) one or more designated nomination(s), the nominating official shall copy and paste the prior year information into the current year's nomination form and select "SUBMIT".

Both new and retained nominations must be submitted on the Veterinary Shortage Situation Nomination form provided in the VMLRP Shortage Situations section at <https://nifa.usda.gov/vmlrp-shortage-situations>.

Nominations retained (carried over) will be designated without review unless major changes in content are identified during administrative processing or the shortage has been retained for three years. Major changes in content or shortages already retained for three consecutive years will be treated as new submissions and undergo merit review.

5. Submission and Due Date

Submissions must be made by clicking the submit button on the Veterinarian Shortage Situation nomination form provided in the VMLRP Shortage Situations section at <https://nifa.usda.gov/vmlrp-shortage-situations>. This form is sent as a data file directly to the Veterinary Medicine Loan Repayment Program; National Institute of Food and Agriculture; U.S. Department of Agriculture; Shortage situation nominations. Both new and retained (carry-over) nominations must be submitted not later than 30 days after the publication of this notice.

7. Period Covered

Each shortage situation is approved for one program year cycle only. However, any previously approved shortage situation not filled in a given program year may be resubmitted as a retained (carry-over) nomination. Retained (carry-over) shortage nominations (without any revisions) will be automatically approved for up to three years before requiring another merit review. By resubmitting a carry-over nomination, the nominating official is affirming that in his or her professional judgment the original case

made for shortage status, and the original description of needs, remain current and accurate.

8. Definitions

For the purpose of implementing the solicitation for veterinary shortage situations, the definitions provided in 7 CFR part 3431 are applicable.

B. Nomination Form

The VMLRP Shortage Nomination Form must be used to nominate veterinarian shortage situations. Once designated as a shortage situation, VMLRP applicants will use the information to select shortage situations they are willing and qualified to fill, and to guide the preparation of their applications. NIFA will use the information to assess contractual compliance of awardees. The form is available in the VMLRP Shortage Situations section at <https://nifa.usda.gov/vmlrp-shortage-situations>. See Part II A. 5. for submission information. Detailed directions for each field can be found at <https://nifa.usda.gov/resource/vmlrp-veterinarian-shortage-situation-nomination-form-form-nifa-2009-0001>.

C. NIFA Review of Shortage Situation Nominations

1. Review Panel Composition and Process

NIFA will convene a panel of food supply veterinary medicine experts from Federal and/or State agencies, and an institution receiving Animal Health and Disease Research Program funds under section 1433 of NARETPA, to review the nominations and make recommendations to the NIFA Program Manager. NIFA will review the panel's recommendations and designate the VMLRP shortage situations. The list of approved shortage situations will be made available on the VMLRP website at www.nifa.usda.gov/vmlrp.

2. Review Criteria

Criteria used by the shortage situation nomination review panel and NIFA for certifying a veterinary shortage situation will be consistent with the information requested in the shortage situations nomination form. NIFA understands the process for defining the risk landscape associated veterinary service shortages within a State may require consideration of many qualitative and quantitative factors. In addition, each shortage situation will be characterized by a different array of subjective and objective supportive information that must be developed into a cogent case identifying, characterizing, and justifying a given geographic or

disciplinary area as deficient in certain types of veterinary capacity or service. To accommodate the uniqueness of each shortage situation, the nomination form provides opportunities to present a case using both supportive metrics and narrative explanations to define and explain the proposed need.

While NIFA anticipates some arguments made in support of a given shortage situation will be qualitative, respondents are encouraged to present verifiable quantitative and qualitative evidentiary information wherever possible. Absence of quantitative data such as animal and veterinarian census data for the proposed shortage area(s) may lead the panel to recommend disapproval of the shortage nomination.

The maximum point value that panelists may award for each element is as follows:

20 points: Describe the objectives of a veterinarian to meet the needs of the shortage situation in the community, area, State/insular area, or position requested above.

20 points: Describe the activities required of a veterinarian to meet the needs of the shortage situation located in the community, area, State/insular area, or position requested above.

5 points: Describe any past efforts to recruit and retain a veterinarian to achieve the objectives and activities in the shortage situation identified above.

35 points: Describe the risk of this veterinarian position not being filled or retained. Include the risk(s) to the production of a safe and wholesome food supply and/or to animal, human, and environmental health not only in the community but in the region, State/insular area, nation, and/or international community. Type III shortages should comment on the need for and indicators of retention for individual shortage situations, as Type III shortages are unique and traditional retention indicators do not necessarily apply.

An additional 20 points will be used to evaluate overall merit/quality of the case made for each nomination.

Robert Holland,

Associate Director for Operations, National Institute of Food and Agriculture.

[FR Doc. 2018-22951 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-22-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meeting of the Michigan Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the Michigan Advisory Committee (Committee) will hold a meeting on Wednesday November 14, 2018, at 12 p.m. EST for the purpose discussing next steps in their study of voting rights in the state.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Wednesday November 14, 2018, at 12 p.m. EST

PUBLIC CALL INFORMATION: Dial: 877-260-1479, Conference ID: 2679533.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa Wojnaroski, DFO, at mwojnaroski@usccr.gov or 312-353-8311.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Members of the public can listen to the discussion. This meeting is available to the public through the above toll-free call-in number. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. The conference call operator will ask callers to identify themselves, the organization they are affiliated with (if any), and an email address prior to placing callers into the conference room. Callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plan. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number.

Members of the public are also entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be mailed to the Regional Programs Unit Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 230 S. Dearborn St., Suite 2120, Chicago, IL 60604. They may also be faxed to the Commission at (312) 353-8324, or emailed to Carolyn Allen at callen@usccr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Office at (312) 353-8311.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the

Regional Programs Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available via www.facadatabase.gov under the Commission on Civil Rights, Michigan Advisory Committee link. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are directed to the Commission's website, <http://www.usccr.gov>, or may contact the Regional Programs Office at the above email or street address.

Agenda

Welcome and Introductions

Discussion: Civil Rights in Michigan:

Voting Rights

Public Comment

Future Plans and Actions

Adjournment

Dated: October 16, 2018.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2018-22926 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of monthly planning meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a meeting of the District of Columbia Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene by conference call, at 12:00 p.m. (EST) Thursday, November 1, 2018. The purpose of the planning meeting is to continue project planning for a future briefing meeting on the Committee's civil rights project, which will examine the treatment of homeless persons that get swept up in the DC criminal justice system, including a review of the DC Mental Health Court.

DATES: Thursday, November 1, 2018 at 12:00 p.m. (EST).

Public Call-In Information:

Conference call number: 1-855-719-5012 and conference call ID: 3606878.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ivy L. Davis, at ero@usccr.gov or by phone at 202-376-7533.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested members of the public may listen to the discussion by calling the following toll-free conference call number: 1-855-719-5012 and conference call ID:

3606878. Please be advised that before placing them into the conference call, the conference call operator may ask callers to provide their names, their organizational affiliations (if any), and email addresses (so that callers may be notified of future meetings). Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number herein.

Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the discussion by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 and providing the operator with the toll-free conference call number: 1-855-719-5012 and conference call ID: 3606878.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by Monday, December 3, 2018. Comments may be mailed to the Eastern Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 1150, Washington, DC 20425 or emailed to Evelyn Bohor at ero@usccr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Eastern Regional Office at 202-376-7533.

Records and documents discussed during the meeting will be available for public viewing as they become available at https://gsageo.force.com/FACA/FACA_PublicViewCommitteeDetails?id=a10t0000001gzlKAAQ. Please click the "Meeting Details" and "Documents" links. Records generated from this meeting may also be inspected and reproduced at the Eastern Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's website, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Eastern Regional Office at the above phone numbers, email or street address.

Agenda

Thursday, November 1, 2018, at 12:00 p.m. (EST)

I. Rollcall

II. Welcome and Introductions

III. Discuss Project Planning

IV. Other Business

V. Adjourn

Dated: October 16, 2018.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2018-22893 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Rhode Island Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of meetings.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a planning meeting of the Rhode Island State Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene by conference call, on Tuesday, November 13, 2018 at 11 a.m. (EST). The purpose of the meeting is to vote on the voting rights report and continue planning.

DATES: Tuesday, November 13, 2018, at 11 a.m. (EST).

Public Call-In Information:

Conference call number: 1-877-260-1479 and conference call ID: 2287314.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Evelyn Bohor, at ero@usccr.gov or by phone at 202-376-7533.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested members of the public may listen to the discussion by calling the following toll-free conference call number: 1-877-260-1479 and conference call ID: 2287314. Please be advised that before placing them into the conference call, the conference call operator may ask callers to provide their names, their organizational affiliations (if any), and email addresses (so that callers may be notified of future meetings). Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number herein.

Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the discussion by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 and providing the operator with the toll-free conference call number: 1-877-260-1479 and conference call ID: 2287314.

Members of the public are invited to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office approximately 30 days after each scheduled meeting. Written comments may be mailed to the Eastern Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 1150, Washington, DC 20425, or emailed to Evelyn Bohor at ero@usccr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Eastern Regional Office at (202) 376-7533.

Records and documents discussed during the meeting will be available for public viewing as they become available at <https://gsageo.force.com/FACA/apex/FACAPublicCommittee?id=a10t0000001gzm4AAA>; click the “Meeting Details” and “Documents” links. Records generated from this meeting may also be inspected and reproduced at the Eastern Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meetings. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission’s website, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Eastern Regional Office at the above phone number, email or street address.

Agenda: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 at 11:00 a.m. (EST)

- I. Rollcall
- II. Discussion and Vote on Voting Rights Report
- III. Project Planning
- IV. Open Comment
- V. Adjournment

Dated: October 16, 2018.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2018–22935 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S–167–2018]

Foreign-Trade Zone 168—Dallas/Fort Worth, Texas, Area; Application for Subzone; Schumacher Electric Corporation; Fort Worth, Texas

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Metroplex International Trade Development Corporation, grantee of FTZ 168, requesting subzone status for the facility of Schumacher Electric Corporation located in Fort Worth, Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on October 17, 2018.

The proposed subzone (24.41 acres) is located at 14200 FAA Boulevard in Fort Worth. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time. The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 168.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make

recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 3, 2018. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to December 17, 2018.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482–2350.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22971 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of California, Berkeley et al.; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 17–019. Applicant: University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720. Instrument: High Field Cryogen-Free Measurement System (CFMS) for Precision Measurement of Physical Properties. Manufacturer: Cryogenic US, LLC., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 83 FR 45104, September 5, 2018. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. Reasons: The instrument will be used to study thin

films of metal-oxides for advanced oxide-based electronic devices, magnetic and electrical properties of oxide materials and devices at low temperatures and/or high magnetic fields. Angle dependent magnetoelectric properties of the devices will be explored on multiple axes. The investigations done with this instrument will lead to advancement of understanding of the properties of metal-oxide thin films and their interfaces for new generation of oxide-based microelectronic devices.

Dated: October 15, 2018.

Gregory Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018–22972 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 84–29A12]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of Issuance of an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Northwest Fruit Exporters (“NFE”), Application No. 84–29A12.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce, through the Office of Trade and Economic Analysis (“OTEA”), issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to NFE on October 9, 2018. A previous amended Export Trade Certificate of Review was issued to NFE on October 24, 2016, and a notice of its issuance was published in the **Federal Register** on November 7, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Flynn, Director, OTEA, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etca@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. Sections 4001–21) (“the Act”) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2018). OTEA is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b),

which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the certification in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Certified Content

NFE's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended as follows:

(a) Added the following companies as new Members of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l)):

(1) Pine Canyon Growers LLC, Orondo, WA

(2) WP Packing LLC, Wapato, WA

(b) Deleted the following companies as Members of the Certificate:

(1) Columbia Fruit Packers/Airport Division, Wenatchee, WA

(2) Phillippi Fruit Company, Inc., E. Wenatchee, WA

(3) Western Traders LLC, Wenatchee, WA

(c) Changed the name of the following Members of the Certificate:

(1) Columbia Marketing International Corp., Wenatchee, WA, is now named CMI Orchards LLC, Wenatchee, WA

(2) Pride Packing Company, Wapato, WA, is now named Pride Packing Company LLC, Wapato, WA

(d) Corrected the name of the following Members of the Certificate:

(1) Diamond Fruit Growers, Odell, OR, is corrected to Diamond Fruit Growers, Inc., Odell, OR

(2) HoneyBear Growers, Inc., Brewster, WA, is corrected to HoneyBear Growers LLC, Brewster, WA

(3) Honey Bear Tree Fruit Co., LLC, Wenatchee, WA, is corrected to Honey Bear Tree Fruit Co LLC, Wenatchee, WA

(4) L&M Companies, Union Gap, WA, is corrected to L & M Companies, Union Gap, WA

(5) Polehn Farm's Inc., The Dalles, OR, is corrected to Polehn Farms, Inc., The Dalles, OR

(6) Valicoff Fruit Co., Inc., Wapato, WA, is corrected to Valicoff Fruit Company Inc., Wapato, WA

NFE's Export Trade Certificate of Review Membership, as amended, is below:

1. Allan Bros., Naches, WA

2. AltaFresh L.L.C. dba Chelan Fresh Marketing, Chelan, WA

3. Apple House Warehouse & Storage, Inc., Brewster, WA

4. Apple King, L.L.C., Yakima, WA

5. Auvil Fruit Co., Inc., Orondo, WA

6. Baker Produce, Inc., Kennewick, WA

7. Blue Bird, Inc., Peshastin, WA

8. Blue Star Growers, Inc., Cashmere, WA

9. Borton & Sons, Inc., Yakima, WA

10. Brewster Heights Packing & Orchards, LP, Brewster, WA

11. Broetje Orchards LLC, Prescott, WA

12. C.M. Holtzinger Fruit Co., Inc., Yakima, WA

13. Chelan Fruit Cooperative, Chelan, WA

14. Chiawana, Inc. dba Columbia Reach Pack, Yakima, WA

15. CMI Orchards LLC, Wenatchee, WA

16. Columbia Fruit Packers, Inc., Wenatchee, WA

17. Columbia Valley Fruit, L.L.C., Yakima, WA

18. Congdon Packing Co. L.L.C., Yakima, WA

19. Conrad & Adams Fruit L.L.C., Grandview, WA

20. Cowiche Growers, Inc., Cowiche, WA

21. CPC International Apple Company, Tieton, WA

22. Crane & Crane, Inc., Brewster, WA

23. Custom Apple Packers, Inc., Quincy, and Wenatchee, WA

24. Diamond Fruit Growers, Inc., Odell, OR

25. Domex Superfresh Growers LLC, Yakima, WA

26. Douglas Fruit Company, Inc., Pasco, WA

27. Dovex Export Company, Wenatchee, WA

28. Duckwall Fruit, Odell, OR

29. E. Brown & Sons, Inc., Milton-Freewater, OR

30. Evans Fruit Co., Inc., Yakima, WA

31. E.W. Brandt & Sons, Inc., Parker, WA

32. Frosty Packing Co., LLC, Yakima, WA

33. G&G Orchards, Inc., Yakima, WA

34. Gilbert Orchards, Inc., Yakima, WA

35. Hansen Fruit & Cold Storage Co., Inc., Yakima, WA

36. Henggeler Packing Co., Inc., Fruitland, ID

37. Highland Fruit Growers, Inc., Yakima, WA

38. HoneyBear Growers LLC, Brewster, WA

39. Honey Bear Tree Fruit Co LLC, Wenatchee, WA

40. Hood River Cherry Company, Hood River, OR

41. Ice Lakes LLC, East Wenatchee, WA

42. JackAss Mt. Ranch, Pasco, WA

43. Jenks Bros Cold Storage & Packing, Royal City, WA

44. Kershaw Fruit & Cold Storage, Co., Yakima, WA

45. L & M Companies, Union Gap, WA

46. Larson Fruit Co., Selah, WA

47. Legacy Fruit Packers LLC, Wapato, WA

48. Manson Growers Cooperative, Manson, WA

49. Matson Fruit Company, Selah, WA

50. McDougall & Sons, Inc., Wenatchee, WA

51. Monson Fruit Co., Selah, WA

52. Morgan's of Washington dba Double Diamond Fruit, Quincy, WA

53. Naumes, Inc., Medford, OR

54. Northern Fruit Company, Inc., Wenatchee, WA

55. Olympic Fruit Co., Moxee, WA

56. Oneonta Trading Corp., Wenatchee, WA

57. Orchard View Farms, Inc., The Dalles, OR

58. Pacific Coast Cherry Packers, LLC, Yakima, WA

59. Peshastin Hi-Up Growers, Peshastin, WA

60. Piepel Premium Fruit Packing LLC, East Wenatchee, WA

61. Pine Canyon Growers LLC, Orondo, WA

62. Polehn Farms, Inc., The Dalles, OR

63. Price Cold Storage & Packing Co., Inc., Yakima, WA

64. Pride Packing Company LLC, Wapato, WA

65. Quincy Fresh Fruit Co., Quincy, WA

66. Rainier Fruit Company, Selah, WA

67. Roche Fruit, Ltd., Yakima, WA

68. Sage Fruit Company, L.L.C., Yakima, WA

69. Smith & Nelson, Inc., Tonasket, WA

70. Stadelman Fruit, L.L.C., Milton-Freewater, OR, and Zillah, WA

71. Stemilt Growers, LLC, Wenatchee, WA

72. Strand Apples, Inc., Cowiche, WA

73. Symms Fruit Ranch, Inc., Caldwell, ID

74. The Dalles Fruit Company, LLC, Dallesport, WA

75. Underwood Fruit & Warehouse Co., Bingen, WA

76. Valicoff Fruit Company Inc., Wapato, WA

77. Washington Cherry Growers, Peshastin, WA

78. Washington Fruit & Produce Co., Yakima, WA

79. Western Sweet Cherry Group, LLC, Yakima, WA

80. Whitby Farms, Inc. dba: Farm Boy Fruit Snacks LLC, Mesa, WA

81. WP Packing LLC, Wapato, WA

82. Yakima Fresh, Yakima, WA

83. Yakima Fruit & Cold Storage Co., Yakima, WA

84. Zirkle Fruit Company, Selah, WA

The effective date of the amendment is July 10, 2018, the date on which NFE's application to amend was deemed submitted.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Joseph Flynn,

Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc. 2018-22974 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co. Ltd. (Datong Juqiang) and Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd. (Carbon Activated) sold activated carbon from the People's Republic of China at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) April 1, 2016, through March 31, 2017.

DATES: Applicable October 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Anwesen or Jinny Ahn, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0131, or (202) 482-0339, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*¹ on May 18, 2018. For events subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, see Commerce's Issues and Decision Memorandum.² On August 2, 2018,³ in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce extended the deadline

¹ See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2016-2017*, 83 FR 23254 (May 18, 2018) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Tenth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum, "Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2016-2017 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 2, 2018.

for issuing the final results until October 17, 2018.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order*⁴ is certain activated carbon. The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 3802.1000. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

In the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we addressed all issues raised in parties' case and rebuttal briefs. In Appendix I to this notice, we provided a list of the issues raised by parties. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and it is available to all parties in the CRU. In addition, parties can directly access a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain revisions to the margin calculations for Carbon Activated and Datong Juqiang,⁶ and to the rate assigned to the non-examined,

⁴ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 20988 (April 27, 2007) (*Order*).

⁵ See Issues and Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the scope of the *Order*.

⁶ See Memoranda, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results Calculation Memorandum for Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd." (Datong Juqiang's Final Calculation Memorandum) dated concurrently with this memorandum; see also Memorandum, "Tenth Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Surrogate Values for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this memorandum.

separate rate respondents. Further, the Issues and Decision Memorandum contains descriptions of these revisions.⁷

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined that Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd., (Calgon Tianjin), Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Datong Yunguang), Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Jilin Bright Future), Shanxi Dapu International Trade Co., Ltd. (Shanxi Dapu), Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanxi Industry), Shanxi Tianxi Purification Filter Co., Ltd. (Shanxi Tianxi), and Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd. (Tianjin Channel) had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁸ We received no information to contradict this determination. Therefore, we continue to find that Calgon Tianjin, Datong Yunguang, Jilin Bright Future, Shanxi Dapu, Shanxi Industry, Shanxi Tianxi, and Tianjin Channel had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and will issue appropriate liquidation instructions that are consistent with our "automatic assessment" clarification for these final results.⁹

Separate Rate Respondents

In our *Preliminary Results*, we determined that Carbon Activated, Datong Juqiang, and six other companies demonstrated their eligibility for separate rates.¹⁰ We received no comments or argument since the issuance of the *Preliminary Results* that provide a basis for reconsideration of these determinations. Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find that the six companies listed in the table in the "Final Results" section of this notice are eligible for a separate rate.

Rate for Non-Examined Separate Rate Respondents

The statute and our regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be assigned to respondents not selected for individual examination when we limit our examination of companies subject to the administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2)(B) of the Act. Generally, we look to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions

⁷ See Issues and Decisions Memorandum at 3-4 for a summary of these revisions.

⁸ See *Preliminary Results*, 83 FR at 23255.

⁹ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 4, 2011) (*Assessment Practice Refinement*).

¹⁰ See *Preliminary Results*, and accompanying PDM at 5-9.

for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for respondents not individually examined in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act articulates a preference for not calculating an all-others rate using rates which are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.¹¹ Accordingly, we generally will determine the dumping margin for companies not individually examined by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins for the individually examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.¹²

For the final results, we calculated a rate only for Carbon Activated that was not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.¹³ Therefore, for these final results, following the practice described above, we have assigned to the companies that have not been individually examined, but have demonstrated their eligibility for a separate rate, the weighted-average rate calculated for Carbon Activated.

Final Results of the Review

For companies subject to this review, which established their eligibility for a separate rate, Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR from April 1, 2016, through March 31, 2017:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (USD/kg) ¹⁴
Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd	0.45
Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd	0.45
Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.00
Jacobi Carbons AB ¹⁵	0.45
Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.45
Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd	0.45
Ningxia Mineral & Chemical Limited ...	0.45
Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd	0.45

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce found that 16 companies for which a review was requested did not establish eligibility for a separate rate because they did not file a separate rate application or a separate rate certification, as appropriate. No interested party commented on Commerce's preliminary determination with respect to these 16 companies. Therefore, for these final results we determine these companies, listed in Appendix II of this notice, to be part of the China-wide entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, and Commerce no longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews,¹⁶ we did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity. Thus, the weighted-average dumping margin for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 2.42 USD/kg)¹⁷ is not subject to change as a result of this review.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of review.

For each individually-examined respondent in this review which has a final weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less

than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer- (or customer-) specific per-unit duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's (or customer's) examined sales to the total sales quantity associated with those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁸ We will also calculate (estimated) *ad valorem* importer-specific assessment rates with which to determine whether the per-unit assessment rates are *de minimis*.¹⁹ Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²⁰

For the respondents which were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review and which qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be equal to the rate assigned to them for the final results (*i.e.*, 0.45 USD/kg).

For the companies identified in Appendix II as part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply a per-unit assessment rate of 2.42 USD/kg to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced or exported by those companies.

Pursuant to a refinement in our non-market economy practice, for sales that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by companies individually examined during this review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries associated with those sales at the rate for the China-wide entity. Furthermore, where we found that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's cash deposit rate) will be liquidated at the rate for the China-wide entity.²¹

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following per-unit cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the

¹¹ See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Rescission of Reviews in Part*, 73 FR 52823, 52824 (September 11, 2008), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM) at Comment 16.

¹² See, e.g., *Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Partial Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China*, 71 FR 77373, 77377 (December 26, 2006), unchanged in *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Partial Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 19690 (April 19, 2007).

¹³ See Carbon Activated's Final Calculation Memorandum and Datong Juqiang's Final Calculation Memorandum.

¹⁴ In the second administrative review of the Order, Commerce determined that it would calculate per-unit weighted-average dumping margins and assessment rates for all future reviews. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 70208, 70211 (November 17, 2010) (AR2 Carbon), and accompanying IDM at Comment 3.

¹⁵ In the third administrative review of the Order, Commerce found that Jacobi Carbons AB, Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co. Ltd., and Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) are a single entity and, because there were no facts presented on the record of this review which would call into question our prior finding, we continue to treat these companies as part of a single entity for this administrative review, pursuant to sections 771(33)(E), (F), and (G)

of the Act and 19 CFR 351.401(f). See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 67142, 67145 n.25 (October 31, 2011); see also *Preliminary Results*, and accompanying PDM at n.26.

¹⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013).

¹⁷ See, e.g., *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 70163, 70165 (November 25, 2014).

¹⁸ See AR2 Carbon, and accompanying IDM at Comment 3.

¹⁹ For calculated (estimated) *ad valorem* importer-specific assessment rates used in determining whether the per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, see Carbon Activated's Final Calculation Memorandum and Datong Juqiang's Calculation Memorandum and attached Margin Calculation Program Logs and Outputs.

²⁰ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

²¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Assessment Practice Refinement*, 76 FR at 65694.

publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Carbon Activated, Datong Juqiang, and the non-examined, separate rate respondents, the cash deposit rate will be equal to their weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 2.42 USD/kg); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter(s) that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These per-unit cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to

judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Date: October 16, 2018.

James Maeder,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary

Background

Scope of the Order

Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*

Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Use of Import Statistics In lieu of Highest Calculated Normal Value as Adverse Facts Available

Comment 2: Coal Tar Surrogate Value

Comment 3: Carbonized Material Surrogate Value

Comment 4: Hydrochloric Acid Surrogate Value

Comment 5: Labor Surrogate Value

Comment 6: Whether to Continue to Use the Thai Financial Statements

Comment 7: Value-Added Tax

Adjustments

Comment 8: Ministerial Errors

Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies Not Eligible for a Separate Rate and To Be Treated as Part of China-Wide Entity

Company

1. Beijing Embrace Technology Co., Ltd.
2. Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
3. Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Co., Ltd.
4. Meadwestvaco (China) Holding Co., Ltd.
5. Ningxia Guanghua A/C Co., Ltd.
6. Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
7. Ningxia Guanghua Chemical Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
8. Ningxia Jirui Activated Carbon
9. Shanxi Dapu International Trade Co., Ltd.
10. Shanxi DMD Corporation
11. Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd.
12. Tancarb Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.
13. Tangshan Solid Carbon Co., Ltd.
14. Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd.
15. Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co., Ltd.
16. Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2018-22969 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG564

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a meeting of its Ad Hoc Red Snapper and Grouper-Tilefish Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) Advisory Panel.

DATES: The meeting will convene on Wednesday, November 7, 2018; starting 8:30 a.m. and will adjourn at 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Gulf Council's office, 4107 W Spruce Street, Suite 200, Tampa, FL 33607; telephone: (813) 348-1630.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Ava Lasseter, Anthropologist, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; ava.lasseter@gulfcouncil.org, telephone: (813) 348-1630.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The items of discussion on the agenda are as follows:

Agenda

Wednesday, November 7, 2018, 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m.

1. Introductions and Adoption of Agenda
2. Approval of April 10, 2018 meeting summary
3. Scope of Work
4. Presentations on National Quota Banks
5. Reef Fish Amendment 36B: Modifications to Commercial IFQ Programs
6. Other Business
—Meeting Adjourns

The Agenda is subject to change, and the latest version along with other meeting materials will be posted on www.gulfcouncil.org as they become available.

Although other non-emergency issues not on the agenda may come before the Advisory Panel for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Actions of the Advisory Panel will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in the agenda and any issues

arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Kathy Pereira at the Gulf Council Office (see **ADDRESSES**), at least 5 working days prior to the meeting.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22993 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG105

Takes of Marine Mammals Incidental to Specified Activities; Taking Marine Mammals Incidental to the Mission Bay Ferry and Water Taxi Landing Project in San Francisco Bay, California

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; issuance of incidental harassment authorization.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the regulations implementing the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) as amended, notification is hereby given that NMFS has issued an incidental harassment authorization (IHA) to the Port of San Francisco to incidentally harass, by Level B harassment only, marine mammals during construction activities associated with the pile driving, pile removal, and drilling on the Mission Bay Ferry Landing (MBFL) and Water Taxi Landing (WTL) Project in San Francisco Bay, California.

DATES: This Authorization is effective from June 1, 2019, to May 31, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gray Redding, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, (301) 427-8401. Electronic

copies of the application and supporting documents, as well as a list of the references cited in this document, may be obtained online at: <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/incidental-take-authorizations-construction-activities>. In case of problems accessing these documents, please call the contact listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The MMPA prohibits the "take" of marine mammals, with certain exceptions. Sections 101(a)(5)(A) and (D) of the MMPA (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*) direct the Secretary of Commerce (as delegated to NMFS) to allow, upon request, the incidental, but not intentional, taking of small numbers of marine mammals by U.S. citizens who engage in a specified activity (other than commercial fishing) within a specified geographical region if certain findings are made and either regulations are issued or, if the taking is limited to harassment, a notice of an incidental take authorization may be provided to the public for review.

Authorization for incidental takings shall be granted if NMFS finds that the taking will have a negligible impact on the species or stock(s) and will not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the species or stock(s) for taking for subsistence uses (where relevant). Further, NMFS must prescribe the permissible methods of taking and other means of effecting the least practicable adverse impact on the affected species or stocks and their habitat, paying particular attention to rookeries, mating grounds, and areas of similar significance, and on the availability of such species or stocks for taking for certain subsistence uses (referred to in shorthand as "mitigation"); and requirements pertaining to the mitigation, monitoring and reporting of such takings are set forth. The definitions of all applicable MMPA statutory terms cited above are included in the relevant sections below.

Summary of Request

On November 2, 2017, NMFS received a request from the Port of San Francisco for an IHA to take marine mammals incidental to pile driving and drilling in San Francisco Bay. NMFS determined that a revised version of the Port's application was adequate and complete

on June 22, 2018. The Port of San Francisco's request was for take of seven species of marine mammals by Level B harassment only. This authorization is valid from June 1, 2019, to May 31, 2020. Neither the Port of San Francisco nor NMFS expects serious injury or mortality to result from this activity and, therefore, an IHA is appropriate.

Description of Proposed Activity

Overview

The Port of San Francisco plans to construct the MBFL and WTL on San Francisco Bay, within the Port of San Francisco's Southern Waterfront in the Mission Bay/Central Waterfront area. The project will create two, two-berth, floating landings to add ferry and water taxi access to the area. The project's activities that have the potential to take marine mammals include vibratory and impact pile driving, vibratory pile removal, and down the hole drilling. In total, 28 permanent piles ranging from 16-inch to 36-inch in diameter will be installed, but only 24 will require in water installation. Twelve older piles will be removed, and four 14-inch H-piles and one 30-inch steel pile will be driven temporally to act as the caisson and supports during down the hole drilling at 10 locations. In addition, the project will include dredging, however authorization of take from this activity is neither requested nor proposed for authorization. All piles will be driven between June 1, 2019 and November 20, 2019.

A detailed description of the planned Port of San Francisco MBFL and WTL project is provided in the **Federal Register** notice for the proposed IHA (83 FR 42465; August 22, 2018). Since that time, no changes have been made to the planned Port of San Francisco activities. Therefore, a detailed description is not provided here. Please refer to that **Federal Register** notice for the description of the specific activity.

While there were not changes to the planned activity, some errors were corrected and other minor changes occurred following publication of the proposed IHA. These changes are outlined in each section of this notice. In this section, the rate of pile installation for 36-inch steel piles was corrected from 5 piles per day to 4, to address an inconsistency in the application and more accurately reflect the applicant's expected schedule.

TABLE 1—SUMMARY OF IN WATER PILE INSTALLATION

Locations	Project element	Pile diameter (inch)	Pile type	Number of piles	Method	Piles/day	Construction days
Debris Removal		12	Steel	12	If necessary, a vibratory hammer will be used to remove up to 12 piles 60-120 seconds/pile while pulling the pile up to loosen it from the sediment.	12	1
MBFL	Pier	14	H-pile steel	4	Four 14-inch steel H beams will be driven with Vibratory Driver 600 seconds/pile to support 30-inch steel caisson sleeve driven with Vibratory Driver (900 sec/pile) to refusal, drill out hole removing soils, place and position concrete pile, grout pile in place while simultaneously pulling the caisson.	4	10
		30	Steel Caisson	1		1	
		24	Octagonal Concrete.	10		1	
	Float Guide Piles ..	36	Steel	6	Vibratory Driver 1,200 sec/pile then Impact Hammer last 15 ft (150 strikes/pile ~20 minutes); bubble curtain will be used during impact duration.	4	2
WTL	Donut Fender Piles.	36	Steel	2	Vibratory Driver 1200 sec/pile then Impact Hammer last 15 ft (150 strikes/pile ~20 minutes); bubble curtain will be used during impact duration.	4	
	Platform	16	Steel	2	Vibratory Driver 600 sec/pile then Impact Hammer last 15 ft (500 strikes/pile ~20 minutes); bubble curtain will be used during impact duration.	2	1
	Guide Piles	20	Square Concrete ..	4	Impact Hammer 500 strikes/pile (max 20 minutes); a bubble curtain will be used during impact duration.	4	1

Comments and Responses

A notice of NMFS's proposal to issue an IHA to the Port of San Francisco was published in the **Federal Register** on August 22, 2018 (83 FR 42465). That notice described, in detail, the Port of San Francisco's activity, the marine mammal species that may be affected by the activity, and the anticipated effects on marine mammals. During the 30-day public comment period, NMFS received comments from the Marine Mammal Commission. The Marine Mammal Commission recommended that NMFS issue the IHA, subject to inclusion of the proposed mitigation, monitoring, and reporting measures.

Comment 1: The Commission recommended NMFS authorize take by Level A harassment for harbor seals, noting the estimated number that could occur in the Level A harassment zone, the potential for take, and the possible limited effectiveness of mitigation.

Response 1: NMFS recognizes the potential for Level A harassment associated with the Port of San Francisco's MBFL and WTL project, but notes that this anticipated take by Level A harassment of two harbor seals is avoidable given the required mitigation and monitoring. Additionally, this calculation is highly conservative because it uses the project's largest Level A harassment zone for the entire duration, despite this zone being in effect for a short time and other Level A harassment zones being smaller. While NMFS could authorize take by Level A harassment associated with this activity as a precaution, we do not agree that such authorization is warranted and the applicant did not request such authorization. Additionally, the

observation of an animal within the Level A harassment zone does not necessarily equate to an incident of Level A harassment, as the calculation of that zone assumes that the animal is present at that distance from the driven pile for a given duration necessary to accumulate sufficient sound energy to actually incur injury. The largest Level A harassment zone for harbor seals, of 130 meters (m), assumes an activity duration of 40 minutes. Given that, it is unlikely that briefly occupying the Level A harassment zone would expose an animal to sound energy sufficient to cause take by Level A harassment.

Comment 2: The Commission recommended that NMFS refrain from using a source level reduction factor for sound attenuation device implementation during impact pile driving for all relevant incidental take authorizations due to the different noise level reduction at different received ranges.

Response 2: While it is true that noise level reduction measured at different received ranges does vary, given that both Level A and Level B harassment estimation using geometric modeling is based on noise levels measured at near-source distances (~10 m), NMFS believes it reasonable to use a source level reduction factor for sound attenuation device implementation during impact pile driving. In the case of the SF-OBBS impact driving isopleth estimates using an air bubble curtain for source level reduction, NMFS reviewed Caltrans' bubble curtain "on and off" studies conducted in San Francisco Bay in 2003 and 2004. The equipment used for bubble curtains has likely improved since 2004 but due to concerns for fish

species, Caltrans has not been able to conduct "on and off" tests recently. Based on 74 measurements (37 with the bubble curtain on and 37 with the bubble curtain off) at both near (<100 m) and far (>100 m) distances, the linear averaged received level reduction is 6 decibels (dB). If limiting the data points (a total of 28 measurements, with 14 during bubble curtain on and 14 during bubble curtain off) to only near distance measurements, the linear averaged noise level reduction is 7 dB. Since impact zone analysis using geometric spreading model is typically based on measurements at near-source distance, we consider it appropriate to use a reduction of 7 dB as a noise level reduction factor for impact pile driving using an air bubble curtain system.

Bubble curtains are effective at attenuating sound originating within the water column. Pile driving does generate sound within the seafloor as well. This sound travels within the seafloor and emerges back to the water column, but its intensity is reduced within the sediment due to absorption by the sediment and reflection at the sediment/water interface.

NMFS will evaluate the appropriateness of using a certain source level reduction factor for sound attenuation device implementation during impact pile driving for all relevant incidental take authorizations when more data become available.

Comment 3: The Commission recommended that NMFS require the applicant to conduct sound source measurements of its drilling activities in conjunction with the required sound measurements of ambient conditions.

Response 3: NMFS agrees that sound source measurements of the drilling

activities would be valuable, but has determined that this would be an overly burdensome requirement relative to the expected impacts of the specified activity (refer to negligible impact section). The project's permitted activity is short. Additionally, the process to record sound data sufficiently rigorous enough to provide new source information can be complex and costly. If the Port of San Francisco chooses to conduct sound source measurements, NMFS will work with the Port to help ensure these measurements are properly taken to best ensure their usefulness.

Comment 4: The Commission recommends that NMFS require applicants to provide proposed hydroacoustic monitoring plans with their applications to allow for public comment, or provide them to the Commission for review prior to final authorization.

Response 4: NMFS disagrees that the MMPA or NMFS's implementing regulations require that detailed hydroacoustic monitoring plans be made available for public review. Additionally, NMFS has the necessary technical expertise to properly evaluate and make recommendations to hydroacoustic monitoring plans that are received. That said, NMFS encourages applicants to prepare as detailed a monitoring plan as possible, as early in the process as possible, and shares these plans with the public if they are available at the time the proposed authorization is published.

Comment 5: The Commission recommends that NMFS refrain from implementing its proposed renewal process and instead use abbreviated **Federal Register** notices and reference existing documents to streamline the incidental harassment authorization process. The Commission suggested that the MMPA states that public comment on proposed authorizations must be concurrent with publication in the **Federal Register**. The Commission further recommends that if NMFS did not pursue a more general route, NMFS provide the Commission and the public with a legal analysis supporting its conclusion that the process is consistent with the requirements under section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA.

Response 5: The notice of the proposed IHA expressly notifies the public that under certain, limited conditions an applicant could seek a renewal IHA for an additional year. The notice describes the conditions under which such a renewal request could be considered and expressly seeks public comment in the event such a renewal is sought. Additional reference to this solicitation of public comment has recently been added at the beginning of FR notices that consider renewals. NMFS appreciates the streamlining achieved by the use of abbreviated **Federal Register** notices and intends to continue using them for proposed IHAs that include minor changes from previously issued IHAs, but which do not satisfy the renewal requirements. However, we believe our proposed method for issuing renewals meets statutory requirements and maximizes efficiency. Importantly, such renewals would be limited to where the activities are identical or nearly identical to those analyzed in the proposed IHA, monitoring does not indicate impacts that were not previously analyzed and authorized, and the mitigation and monitoring requirements remain the same, all of which allow the public to comment on the appropriateness and effects of a renewal at the same time the public provides comments on the initial IHA. NMFS has, however, modified the language for future proposed IHAs to clarify that all IHAs, including renewal IHAs, are valid for no more than one year and that the agency would consider only one renewal for a project at this time. In addition, notice of issuance or denial of a renewal IHA would be published in the **Federal Register**, as are all IHAs. Last, NMFS will publish on our website a description of the renewal process before any renewal is issued utilizing the new process.

Description of Marine Mammals in the Area of Specified Activities

A detailed description of the species likely to be affected by the Port of San Francisco's MBFL and WTL project, including brief introductions to the species and relevant stocks as well as available information regarding population trends and threats, and

information regarding local occurrence, were provided in the **Federal Register** notice for the proposed IHA (83 FR 42465; August 22, 2018); since that time, we are not aware of any changes in the status of these species and stocks; therefore, detailed descriptions are not provided here. Please refer to that **Federal Register** notice for these descriptions. Please also refer to NMFS' website (<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/find-species>) for generalized species accounts.

Table 2 lists all species with expected potential for occurrence in the Mission Bay/Central Waterfront area of San Francisco Bay and summarizes information related to the population or stock, including regulatory status under the MMPA and ESA and potential biological removal (PBR), where known. For taxonomy, we follow the Committee on Taxonomy (2017). PBR is defined by the MMPA as the maximum number of animals, not including natural mortalities, that may be removed from a marine mammal stock while allowing that stock to reach or maintain its optimum sustainable population (as described in NMFS's Stock Assessment Report (SAR)). While NMFS neither anticipates nor proposes to authorize mortality here, PBR and annual serious injury and mortality from anthropogenic sources are included here as gross indicators of the status of the species and other threats.

Marine mammal abundance estimates presented in this document represent the total number of individuals that make up a given stock or the total number estimated within a particular study or survey area. NMFS's stock abundance estimates for most species represent the total estimate of individuals within the geographic area, if known, that comprises that stock. For some species, this geographic area may extend beyond U.S. waters. All managed stocks in this region are assessed in NMFS's U.S. 2017 SAR (Carretta *et al.*, 2017). All values presented in Table 2 are the most recent available at the time of publication and are available in the 2017 SAR (Carretta *et al.*, 2017).

TABLE 2—MARINE MAMMALS IN THE PROJECT AREA

Common name	Scientific name	Stock	ESA/ MMPA status; strategic (Y/N) ¹	Stock abundance (CV, N _{min} , most recent abundance survey) ²	PBR	Annual M/SI ³
Order Cetartiodactyla—Cetacea—Superfamily Mysticeti (baleen whales)						
Family Eschrichtiidae: Gray whale	<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	Eastern North Pacific	-/-; N	20,990 (0.05, 20,125, 2011).	624	132

TABLE 2—MARINE MAMMALS IN THE PROJECT AREA—Continued

Common name	Scientific name	Stock	ESA/ MMPA status; strategic (Y/N) ¹	Stock abundance (CV, N _{min} , most recent abundance survey) ²	PBR	Annual M/SI ³
Family Balaenopteridae (rorquals): <i>Humpback whale</i>	<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	California/Oregon/Washington ..	E/D; Y	1,918 (0.03, 1,876, 2014)	11	>6.5
Superfamily Odontoceti (toothed whales, dolphins, and porpoises)						
Family Delphinidae: Bottlenose dolphin	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	California Coastal	-/-; N	453 (0.06, 346, 2011)	2.7	>2
Family Phocoenidae (porpoises): Harbor porpoise	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	San Francisco-Russian River ...	-/-; N	9,886 (0.51, 6,625, 2011)	66	0
Order Carnivora—Superfamily Pinnipedia						
Family Otariidae (eared seals and sea lions): California sea lion	<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	U.S.	-/-; N	296,750 (n/a, 153,337, 2011).	9,200	389
Northern fur seal	<i>Callorhinus ursinus</i>	California	-/-; N	14,050 (n/a, 7,524, 2013)	451	1.8
		Eastern North Pacific	-/-; N	626,734 (n.a., 530,474, 2014).	11,405	1.1
<i>Guadalupe fur seal</i>	<i>Arctocephalus townsendi</i>	Mexico to California	T/D; Y	20,000 (n/a, 15,830, 2010).	542	>3.2
Family Phocidae (earless seals): Pacific harbor seal	<i>Phoca vitulina richardii</i>	California	-/-; N	30,968 (n/a, 27,348, 2012).	1,641	43
Northern elephant seal	<i>Mirounga angustirostris</i>	California Breeding	-/-; N	179,000 (n/a, 81,368, 2010).	4,882	8.8

¹ Endangered Species Act (ESA) status: Endangered (E), Threatened (T)/MMPA status: Depleted (D). A dash (-) indicates that the species is not listed under the ESA or designated as depleted under the MMPA. Under the MMPA, a strategic stock is one for which the level of direct human-caused mortality exceeds PBR or which is determined to be declining and likely to be listed under the ESA within the foreseeable future. Any species or stock listed under the ESA is automatically designated under the MMPA as depleted and as a strategic stock.

² NMFS marine mammal stock assessment reports online at: <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/marine-mammal-stock-assessments>. CV is coefficient of variation; N_{min} is the minimum estimate of stock abundance. In some cases, CV is not applicable.

³ These values, found in NMFS's SARs, represent annual levels of human-caused mortality plus serious injury from all sources combined (e.g., commercial fisheries, ship strike). Annual M/SI often cannot be determined precisely and is in some cases presented as a minimum value or range. A CV associated with estimated mortality due to commercial fisheries is presented in some cases.

Note: Italicized species are not expected to be taken or proposed for authorization.

All species that could potentially occur in the Port's proposed project area in San Francisco Bay are included in Table 2. However, the temporal and/or spatial occurrence of humpback whale and Guadalupe fur seal is such that take is not expected to occur, and they are not discussed further beyond the explanation provided here. Humpback whales are rare visitors to the interior of San Francisco Bay. A recent, seasonal influx of humpback whales inside San Francisco Bay near the Golden Gate was recorded from April to November in 2016 and 2017 (Keener 2017). The Golden Gate is outside of this project's action area and humpback whales are not expected to be present during the project. Guadalupe fur seals occasionally range into the waters of northern California and the Pacific Northwest. The Farallon Islands (off central California) and Channel Islands (off southern California) are used as haulouts during these movements (Simon 2016). Juvenile Guadalupe fur seals occasionally strand in the vicinity of San Francisco, especially during El Niño events. Most strandings along the California coast are animals younger

than two years old, with evidence of malnutrition (NMFS 2017a). Because Guadalupe fur seals are rare in the area, and sightings are associated with abnormal weather conditions, such as El Niño events, NMFS has determined that no Guadalupe fur seals are likely to occur in the project vicinity and, therefore, no take is expected to occur.

Potential Effects of Specified Activities on Marine Mammals and Their Habitat

The effects of underwater noise from pile driving, pile removal, and drilling activities for the MBFL and WTL Project in San Francisco Bay, California have the potential to result in behavioral harassment of marine mammals in the vicinity of the action area. The **Federal Register** notice for the proposed IHA (83 FR 42465; August 22, 2018) included a discussion of the effects of anthropogenic noise on marine mammals, therefore that information is not repeated here; please refer to the **Federal Register** notice (83 FR 42465; August 22, 2018) for that information.

Anticipated Effects on Habitat

The main impact associated with the Port of San Francisco's MBFL and WTL project would be temporarily elevated sound levels and the associated direct effects on marine mammals. The project would not result in permanent impacts to habitats used directly by marine mammals, such as haulout sites, but may have potential short-term impacts to food sources such as forage fish, and minor impacts to the immediate substrate during installation/removal of piles and drilling during the MBFL and WTL project. These potential effects are discussed in detail in the **Federal Register** notice for the proposed IHA (83 FR 42465; August 22, 2018), therefore that information is not repeated here; please refer to that **Federal Register** notice for that information.

Estimated Take

This section provides an estimate of the number of incidental takes for authorization through this IHA, which will inform both NMFS' consideration of "small numbers" and the negligible impact determination.

After public comment and review of the proposed authorization, the following items have changed in the final authorization.

(1) The Level B harassment zone for drilling activities has decreased from 21,544 m to 15,849 m to account for an error that was present in the proposed IHA. During the drafting of the proposed IHA, the source level for drilling activities was reduced from 170 dB to 168 dB based on proxy data from the Alaska Department of Transportation (2016). The resulting Level B harassment zone was not updated from 21,544 m to 15,849 m until this error was noticed during public comment (Table 5).

(2) The Level B harassment zone for impact driving of 16-inch steel piles changed from 215 m to 136 m to account for an error that was present in the proposed IHA. This change resulted in a corresponding correction the ensonified area (Table 5).

(3) The Level B harassment zone for vibratory installation of 16-inch steel pipe piles was reduced from 21,544 m to 3,415 m. This change was to correct a misstatement in the proposed IHA. The original Level B harassment zone was stated as 21,544 m, when 3,415 m was the correct value for the given source level (158 dB SPL). This source level remains at 158 dB as presented in the proposed IHA, and the Level B harassment zone has been updated to match this source level with a corresponding correction to the ensonified area (Table 5).

(4) To correct errors present in the proposed IHA, duration estimates for some activities were updated. Updated activity durations included vibratory pile removal, vibratory pile installation of 36-inch steel piles, vibratory pile installation of 14-inch steel H piles, and down the hole drilling (Table 6). These changes were accompanied by corresponding but minor changes in Level A harassment zones (Table 7).

(5) Errors in calculation of takes by Level B harassment for harbor seal, California sea lion, and harbor porpoise were corrected, resulting in decreased take estimates. Take estimates for northern elephant seal and northern fur seal were increased from 1 to 3 individuals to account for the large Level B harassment zones for certain activities (Table 9).

Harassment is the only type of take expected to result from these activities. Except with respect to certain activities

not pertinent here, section 3(18) of the MMPA defines “harassment” as: Any act of pursuit, torment, or annoyance which (i) has the potential to injure a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild (Level A harassment); or (ii) has the potential to disturb a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild by causing disruption of behavioral patterns, including, but not limited to, migration, breathing, nursing, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (Level B harassment).

Authorized takes would be by Level B harassment only, in the form of disruption of behavioral patterns for individual marine mammals resulting from exposure to acoustic sources. Based on the nature of the activity and the anticipated effectiveness of the mitigation measures (*i.e.*, use of a bubble curtain, wood cushion, and shutdown—discussed in detail below in the Mitigation Measures section), Level A harassment is neither anticipated nor authorized.

As described previously, no mortality is anticipated or authorized for this activity. Below we describe how the take is estimated.

Generally speaking, we estimate take by considering: (1) Acoustic thresholds above which NMFS believes the best available science indicates marine mammals will be behaviorally harassed or incur some degree of permanent hearing impairment; (2) the area or volume of water that will be ensonified above these levels in a day; (3) the density or occurrence of marine mammals within these ensonified areas; and, (4) the number of days of activities. We note that while these basic factors can contribute to a basic calculation to provide an initial prediction of takes, additional information that can qualitatively inform take estimates is also sometimes available (*e.g.*, previous monitoring results or average group size). Below, we describe these components in more detail and present the take estimate.

Acoustic Thresholds

Using the best available science, NMFS has developed acoustic thresholds that identify the received level of underwater sound above which exposed marine mammals would be reasonably expected to be behaviorally harassed (equated to Level B harassment) or to incur PTS of some degree (equated to Level A harassment).

Level B Harassment for non-explosive sources—Though significantly driven by received level, the onset of behavioral disturbance from anthropogenic noise exposure is also informed to varying degrees by other factors related to the source (*e.g.*, frequency, predictability, duty cycle), the environment (*e.g.*, bathymetry), and the receiving animals (hearing, motivation, experience, demography, behavioral context) and can be difficult to predict (Southall *et al.*, 2007, Ellison *et al.*, 2012). Based on what the available science indicates and the practical need to use a threshold based on a factor that is both predictable and measurable for most activities, NMFS uses a generalized acoustic threshold based on received level to estimate the onset of behavioral harassment. NMFS predicts that marine mammals are likely to be behaviorally harassed in a manner we consider Level B harassment when exposed to underwater anthropogenic noise above received levels of 120 dB re 1 micro pascal (μ Pa) root mean square (rms) for continuous (*e.g.*, vibratory pile-driving, drilling) and above 160 dB re 1 μ Pa (rms) for non-explosive impulsive (*e.g.*, impact pile driving) sources.

The Port of San Francisco’s activity includes the use of continuous (vibratory pile driving, down the hole drilling) and impulsive (impact pile driving) sources, and therefore the 120 and 160 dB re 1 μ Pa (rms) thresholds are applicable.

Level A harassment for non-explosive sources—NMFS’ Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0) (NMFS, 2018) identifies dual criteria to assess auditory injury (Level A harassment) to five different marine mammal groups (based on hearing sensitivity) as a result of exposure to noise from two different types of sources (impulsive or non-impulsive). The Port of San Francisco’s activity includes the use of impulsive (impact pile driving) and non-impulsive (vibratory pile driving) sources.

These thresholds are provided in Table 3 below. The references, analysis, and methodology used in the development of the thresholds are described in NMFS’s 2018 Technical Guidance, which may be accessed at: <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/marine-mammal-acoustic-technical-guidance>.

TABLE 3—THRESHOLDS IDENTIFYING THE ONSET OF PERMANENT THRESHOLD SHIFT

Hearing group	PTS onset acoustic thresholds* (received level)	
	Impulsive	Non-impulsive
Low-Frequency (LF) Cetaceans	Cell 1: $L_{pk,flat}$: 219 dB; $L_{E,LF,24h}$: 183 dB	Cell 2: $L_{E,LF,24h}$: 199 dB.
Mid-Frequency (MF) Cetaceans	Cell 3: $L_{pk,flat}$: 230 dB; $L_{E,MF,24h}$: 185 dB	Cell 4: $L_{E,MF,24h}$: 198 dB.
High-Frequency (HF) Cetaceans	Cell 5: $L_{pk,flat}$: 202 dB; $L_{E,HF,24h}$: 155 dB	Cell 6: $L_{E,HF,24h}$: 173 dB.
Phocid Pinnipeds (PW) (Underwater)	Cell 7: $L_{pk,flat}$: 218 dB; $L_{E,PW,24h}$: 185 dB	Cell 8: $L_{E,PW,24h}$: 201 dB.
Otariid Pinnipeds (OW) (Underwater)	Cell 9: $L_{pk,flat}$: 232 dB; $L_{E,OW,24h}$: 203 dB	Cell 10: $L_{E,OW,24h}$: 219 dB.

* Dual metric acoustic thresholds for impulsive sounds: Use whichever results in the largest isopleth for calculating PTS onset. If a non-impulsive sound has the potential of exceeding the peak sound pressure level thresholds associated with impulsive sounds, these thresholds should also be considered.

Note: Peak sound pressure (L_{pk}) has a reference value of 1 μ Pa, and cumulative sound exposure level (L_E) has a reference value of 1 μ Pa²s. In this Table, thresholds are abbreviated to reflect American National Standards Institute standards (ANSI 2013). However, peak sound pressure is defined by ANSI as incorporating frequency weighting, which is not the intent for this Technical Guidance. Hence, the subscript “flat” is being included to indicate peak sound pressure should be flat weighted or unweighted within the generalized hearing range. The subscript associated with cumulative sound exposure level thresholds indicates the designated marine mammal auditory weighting function (LF, MF, and HF cetaceans, and PW and OW pinnipeds) and that the recommended accumulation period is 24 hours. The cumulative sound exposure level thresholds could be exceeded in a multitude of ways (*i.e.*, varying exposure levels and durations, duty cycle). When possible, it is valuable for action proponents to indicate the conditions under which these acoustic thresholds will be exceeded.

Ensonified Area

Here, we describe operational and environmental parameters of the activity that will feed into identifying the area ensonified above the acoustic thresholds, which include source levels and transmission loss coefficients.

Reference sound source levels used by the Port of San Francisco for all vibratory and impact piling/removal and drilling activities were derived from source level data from construction projects within Caltrans (2015) except for two cases noted below where Navy and Alaska Department of Transportation sources were used. To determine the ensonified areas for both the Level A and Level B harassment zones for vibratory piling of the 36-inch, 30-inch, and 16-inch steel piles and 14-inch steel H piles, the Port of San Francisco used sound pressure levels (SPL) of 170 dB re 1 μ Pa rms, 170 dB re 1 μ Pa rms, 158 dB re 1 μ Pa rms, and 158 dB re 1 μ Pa rms, respectively. These were derived from vibratory pile driving data of 36-inch (for 36-inch and 30-inch steel piles), 18-inch (for 16-inch steel piles) and 14-inch (for 14-inch steel H-

pile) steel piles reported in the values listed in Table 1.2–2 and Table 1.2.3 of Caltrans (2015), and Table 6–1 of Navy (2017). For vibratory pile removal, the Port of San Francisco used an SPL of 155 dB re 1 μ Pa rms. This proxy source level was derived from vibratory pile driving data of 12-inch steel pipe piles in Caltrans (2015; Table 1.2–2). In addition, for down the hole drilling activities used to place 24-inch octagonal concrete piles, an SPL of 168 dB was used, corresponding to the mean SPL reported in Table 72 of the Alaska Department of Transportation (2016) hydroacoustic report.

For impact pile driving, the Port of San Francisco used both SPLs and sound exposure levels (SEL) derived from summary source level values reported in Caltrans (2015). These source levels were then reduced by 7 dB due to the Port of San Francisco’s use of a bubble curtain. NMFS used a reduction value of 7 dB as it was roughly the average sound reduction value derived from sound measurements of piles that used bubble curtains within Caltrans (2015). For

piling of 36-inch steel piles, a source level of 183 dB SEL was chosen as a proxy value for modeling Level A harassment zones (Caltrans 2015, Table 1.2–1). This source level was reduced to 176 dB SEL with the 7 dB reduction. For piling of 20-inch concrete piles, a source level of 167 dB SEL was chosen as a proxy value for modeling Level A harassment zones (Caltrans 2015, Table 1.5–4, reported from 24-inch concrete pile measurements at a project in the Port of Oakland). This source level was selected as a proxy because of the proximity of the Port of Oakland project to the proposed work and it is more conservative than Caltrans (2015) summary value reported in Table 1.2–1. This source level was reduced to 160 dB SEL with the 7 dB reduction. In addition, for impact piling of 16-inch steel piles, a source level of 158 dB SEL was chosen as a proxy value for modeling Level A harassment zones (Caltrans 2015, Table 1.2–1). This source level was reduced to 151 dB SEL with the 7 dB reduction. The stated source levels and their corresponding activity are presented in Table 4 below.

TABLE 4—PROJECT SOURCE LEVELS

Activity	Source level at 10 meters (dB)
Vibratory Pile Driving/Removal:	
36-inch steel pile installation	170 SPL
30-inch steel pile installation (Caisson)	170 SPL
14-inch steel H pile installation	158 SPL
Removal of pre-existing piles	155 SPL
16-inch steel pile installation	158 SPL
Impact Pile Driving: *	
36-inch steel pile installation	176 SEL/186 SPL
20-inch concrete pile installation	160 SEL/172 SPL
16-inch steel pile installation	151 SEL/177 SPL
Down the Hole Drilling:	

TABLE 4—PROJECT SOURCE LEVELS—Continued

Activity	Source level at 10 meters (dB)
24-inch Octagonal Concrete (drilling of 30-inch hole)	168 SPL

* The values in the cells reflect a 7dB reduction due to the Port of San Francisco's use of a bubble curtain.

Level B Harassment Zones

The practical spreading model was used by the Port of San Francisco to generate the Level B harassment zones for all piling/removal activities. Practical spreading is described in full detail below.

Pile driving and drilling generates underwater noise that can potentially result in disturbance to marine mammals in the project area. Transmission loss (TL) is the decrease in acoustic intensity as an acoustic pressure wave propagates out from a source. TL parameters vary with frequency, temperature, sea conditions, current, source and receiver depth, water depth, water chemistry, and bottom composition and topography. The general formula for underwater TL is:

$$TL = B * \log_{10} (R1/R2),$$

Where

R1 = the distance of the modeled SPL from the driven pile, and

R2 = the distance from the driven pile of the initial measurement.

This formula neglects loss due to scattering and absorption, which is assumed to be zero here. The degree to which underwater sound propagates away from a sound source is dependent on a variety of factors, most notably the

water bathymetry and presence or absence of reflective or absorptive conditions including in-water structures and sediments. Spherical spreading occurs in a perfectly unobstructed (free-field) environment not limited by depth or water surface, resulting in a 6 dB reduction in sound level for each doubling of distance from the source ($20 * \log[\text{range}]$). Cylindrical spreading occurs in an environment in which sound propagation is bounded by the water surface and sea bottom, resulting in a reduction of 3 dB in sound level for each doubling of distance from the source ($10 * \log[\text{range}]$). A practical spreading value of 15 is often used under conditions where water increases with depth as the receiver moves away from the shoreline, resulting in an expected propagation environment that would lie between spherical and cylindrical spreading loss conditions.

Utilizing the practical spreading loss model, the Port of San Francisco determined underwater noise will fall below the behavioral effects threshold of 120 dB rms for marine mammals at a maximum radial distance of 21,544 m for vibratory piling (36 and 30-inch steel piles) and 15,849 m for drilling ((24-inch octagonal concrete pile). The maximum Level B harassment zone for

this activity will therefore be set at 21,544 m. However, previous sound monitoring for other projects in San Francisco Bay (*i.e.* Caltrans 2015; 2016) have shown background sound levels in the active portions of the Bay, near the project area, to range from 110 to 140 dB rms, with typical background levels in the range of 110 to 120 dB rms. This ambient noise may affect the ability to distinguish sound from vibratory pile driving in the region (Rodkin, 2009), but direct applicability of that finding to the Port's work is unknown, and therefore no reduction in Level B harassment zone is applied. The maximum radial distance of the Level B harassment zone for impact pile driving equaled 541.2 m (impact driving 36-inch steel piles). At this radial distance, the entire Level B harassment zone for impact piling equaled 0.3699 square kilometers (km²). This ensounded area is based on a GIS map of the area accounting for structures and landmasses which would block sound spreading (Please see Figure 9 of the Application). Table 5 below provides all Level B radial distances and their corresponding areas for each activity during the Port of San Francisco's project. Level B harassment zone areas are calculated using a GIS map (See Figure 9 of the Application).

TABLE 5—LEVEL B HARASSMENT ZONES CALCULATED USING THE PRACTICAL SPREADING MODEL

Source	Calculated distance to Level B threshold (meters)	Level B harassment zone (square kilometers km ²)
Vibratory Pile Driving		
36-inch steel pile installation	21,544	47.1608
30-inch steel pile installation	21,544	47.1608
16-inch steel pile installation	3,415	7.6431
14-inch steel H pile installation	3,415	7.6431
Removal of pre-existing concrete and wood piles	2,154	3.1511
Impact Pile Driving		
36-inch steel pile installation	541.2	0.36993
20-inch concrete pile installation	63.1	0.006650
16-inch steel pile installation	136	0.0291
Down the Hole Drilling		
	15,849	47.1608

Level A Harassment Zones

When the NMFS Technical Guidance (2016) was published, in recognition of the fact that the ensounded area could be more technically challenging to predict because of the duration component in the new thresholds, we developed a User Spreadsheet that includes tools to help predict a simple isopleth that can be used in conjunction with marine mammal density or occurrence to help predict takes. We note that because of some of the assumptions included in the

methods used for these tools, we anticipate that isopleths produced are typically going to be overestimates of some degree, which will result in some overestimate of Level A harassment. However, these tools offer the best way to predict appropriate isopleths when more sophisticated 3D modeling methods are not available, and NMFS continues to develop ways to quantitatively refine these tools, and will qualitatively address the output where appropriate. For stationary

sources (*i.e.* pile driving), NMFS's User Spreadsheet predicts the closest distance at which, if a marine mammal remained at that distance the whole duration of the activity, it would not incur PTS. Inputs used in the User Spreadsheet, and the resulting isopleths are reported below. Daily ensounded areas for Level A harassment are approximated as a semi-circle because the pile driving and drilling are occurring close to shore and the coastline is approximately linear.

TABLE 6—PARAMETERS OF PILE DRIVING AND DRILLING ACTIVITY

Equipment type	Vibratory pile driver (removal of concrete and wood piles)	Vibratory pile driver (installation of 36-inch steel piles)	Vibratory pile driver (installation of 30-inch steel piles)	Vibratory pile driver (installation of 16-inch steel piles)	Vibratory pile driver (installation of 14-inch steel H piles)	Impact pile driver (36-inch steel piles)	Impact pile driver (20-inch concrete piles)	Impact pile driver (16-inch steel piles)	Drilling (24-inch octagonal concrete pile)
Spreadsheet Tab Used	Non-impulsive, continuous.	Non-impulsive, continuous.	Non-impulsive, continuous.	Non-impulsive, continuous.	Non-impulsive, continuous.	Impulsive, Non-continuous.	Impulsive, Non-continuous.	Impulsive, Non-continuous.	Non-impulsive, continuous.
Source Level	155 SPL	170 SPL	170 SPL	158 SPL	158 SPL	176 SEL *	160 SEL *	151 SEL *	168 SPL
Weighting Factor	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2	2	2	2
Adjustment (kHz).									
(a) Activity duration (hours) within 24 hours, (b) Number of strikes per pile, (c) Number of piles per day.	(a) 0.66	(a) 1.33	(a) 0.25	(a) 0.33	(a) 0.66	(b) 150, (c) 4	(b) 500, (c) 4	(b) 500, (c) 2	(a) 5.5.
Propagation (xLogR).	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15.
Distance of source level measurement (meters) +.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10.

* Displayed source values include the 7 dB reduction for use of a bubble curtain.

TABLE 7—LEVEL A HARASSMENT ZONE ISOPLETH AND ENSOUNDED AREA FOR PILE DRIVING AND DRILLING

Source type	PTS isopleth (meters)				
	Low-frequency cetaceans	Mid-frequency cetaceans	High-frequency cetaceans	Phocid pinnipeds	Otariid pinnipeds
Vibratory Pile Driver (Removal of concrete and wood piles)	1.5	0.1	2.2	0.9	0.1
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 36-inch steel piles)	32.9	2.9	48.7	20.0	1.4
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 30-inch steel piles)	10.8	1.0	16.0	6.6	0.5
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 14-inch steel H piles)	3.3	0.3	4.9	2.0	0.1
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 16-inch steel H piles)	2.1	0.2	3.0	1.3	0.1
Impact Pile Driver (36-inch steel piles)	242.6	8.6	288.9	129.8	9.5
Impact Pile Driver (20-inch concrete piles)	46.4	1.7	55.3	24.8	1.8
Impact Pile Driver (16-inch steel piles)	7.3	0.3	8.8	3.9	0.3
Drilling(24-inch octagonal concrete pile)	62.7	3.5	54.9	33.5	2.4
Daily ensounded area (m ²)					
Vibratory Pile Driver (Removal of concrete and wood piles)	3.5	0.02	7.6	1.3	0.02
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 36-inch steel piles)	1,700	13	3,730	628	3.1
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 30-inch steel piles)	183	1.6	402	68	0.4
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 14-inch steel H piles)	17	0.14	37	6.3	0.02
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 16-inch steel H piles)	6.9	0.06	14	2.7	0.02
Impact Pile Driver (36-inch steel piles)	92,450	120	131,100	26,460	140
Impact Pile Driver (20-inch concrete piles)	3,380	4.5	4,800	966	5.1
Impact Pile Driver (16-inch steel piles)	84	0.1	120	24	0.1
Drilling(24-inch octagonal concrete pile)	6,180	19	4,730	1,760	9.0

Marine Mammal Occurrence

In this section we provide the information about the presence, density, or group dynamics of marine mammals that will inform the take calculations.

No systematic line transect surveys of marine mammals have been performed

in San Francisco Bay. Therefore, the in-water densities of harbor seals, California sea lions, and harbor porpoises were calculated based on 17 years of observations during monitoring for the San Francisco Bay-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB) construction and

demolition project (Caltrans 2018). Care was taken to eliminate multiple observations of the same animal, although this can be difficult and is likely that the same individual may have been counted multiple times on the same day. The amount of monitoring

performed per year varied, depending on the frequency and duration of construction activities with the potential to affect marine mammals. During the 257 days of monitoring from 2000 through 2017 (including 15 days of baseline monitoring in 2003), 1,029 harbor seals, 83 California sea lions, and 24 harbor porpoises were observed in waters in the project vicinity in total. In 2015, 2016, and 2017, the number of harbor seals in the project area increased significantly. In 2017, the number of harbor porpoise in the project area also increased significantly. Therefore, a harbor seal density estimate was calculated using the 2015–2017 data, and a harbor porpoise density estimate was calculated using the 2017 data, which may better reflect the current use of the project area by these animals. These observations included data from baseline, pre-, during, and post-pile driving, mechanical dismantling, on-shore blasting, and off-shore implosion activities.

Insufficient sighting data exist to estimate the density of bottlenose dolphins. However, a single bottlenose dolphin has been observed regularly near the project site. One individual was documented regularly, through photo

ID, over several months off the coast of the former Alameda Air Station (Perlman 2017).

Insufficient sighting data exist to estimate elephant seal densities in the Bay. Generally, only juvenile elephant seals enter the Bay and do not remain long. The most recent sighting near the project area was in 2012, on the beach at Clipper Cove on Treasure Island, when a healthy yearling elephant seal hauled out for approximately 1 day. Approximately 100 juvenile northern elephant seals strand in or near the Bay each year, including individual strandings at Yerba Buena Island and Treasure Island (less than 10 strandings per year).

In addition, insufficient sighting data exist to estimate northern fur seal and gray whale densities in the Bay. Only two to four northern fur seals strand in the Bay each year, and they are unlikely to occur in the project area. Also, during the Caltrans Richmond-San Rafael Bridge project, monitors recorded 12 living and two dead gray whales in the surveys performed in 2012. All sightings were in either the Central or North Bay, and all but two sightings occurred during the months of April and May. One gray whale was sighted in June and one in October. The Oceanic Society has

tracked gray whale sightings since they began returning to San Francisco Bay regularly in the late 1990s. Most sightings occurred just a mile or two inside of the Golden Gate, with some traveling into San Pablo Bay in the northern part of the San Francisco Bay (Self 2012). The Oceanic Society data show that all age classes of gray whales enter San Francisco Bay and they enter as singles or in groups of up to five individuals (Winning 2008). It is estimated that two to six gray whales enter San Francisco Bay in any given year.

Numbers used for density calculations are shown in Table 8. These numbers were calculated from observations in nearby waters of the San Francisco Bay during San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge construction conducted by Caltrans (Caltrans 2018). These observations occurred from 2000 to 2017 in a 2 km² monitoring zone for California sea lions, from 2015–2017 in a 2 km² monitoring zone for harbor seals, and in 2017 in a 15 km² zone for harbor porpoise. In the cases where densities were refined to capture a narrower range of years to be conservative, bold densities were used for take calculations.

TABLE 8—ESTIMATED IN-WATER DENSITY OF MARINE MAMMAL SPECIES IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
[Caltrans 2017]

Species observed	Area of monitoring zone (km ²)	Days of monitoring	Number of animals observed	Density animals/km ²
Harbor seals 2000–2017	2	257	1,029	2.002.
Harbor Seals 2015–2017	2	47	372	3.957.
California Sea Lions 2000–2017	2	257	83	0.161.
Bottlenose Dolphins 2017	2	6	2	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.
Harbor Porpoise 2000–2017	3	257	24	0.031.
Harbor Porpoise 2017	15	6	15	0.167.
Elephant Seal 2000–2017	2	257	0	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.
Northern Fur Seal 2000–2017	2	257	0	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.
Gray Whale 2000–2017	2	257	0	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.

Notes:

Densities for Pacific harbor seals, California sea lions, and harbor porpoises are based on monitoring for the east span of the SFOBB from 2000 to 2017.

A second set of Pacific harbor seal densities were calculated from the increase in sightings recorded from 2015 to 2017.

A second set of harbor porpoise densities were calculated for the increase in sightings that were recorded in 2017.

Bold densities were used for take calculations.

Sources: CalTrans 2001, 2004b, 2013b, 2013c, 2014, 2015b, 2016, 2017; Perlman 2017.

For species without enough sightings to construct a density estimate, we used information based on group size and frequency of sightings from previous years of work to inform the number of animals estimated to be taken, which is

detailed in the Take Estimation section below.

Take Calculation and Estimation

Here we describe how the information provided above is brought together to produce a quantitative take estimate.

When density data was available, Level B take for the project was calculated by multiplying the density times the largest Level B harassment zone (km²) times the number of construction days. Since density data was only available for harbor seals,

harbor porpoises, and California sea lions, these were the only species whose take was calculated used this methodology. Table 9 shows the number

of take calculated for species with density and without density estimates. For species without density information, information on average

group size of the species was used. This is discussed below Table 9.

TABLE 9—TAKE ESTIMATES AS A PERCENTAGE OF STOCK ABUNDANCE

Species	Density animals/km ²	Level B harassment zone (km ²) ¹	Construction days ²	Proposed Level B take	Percentage of stock
Harbor Seal	3.957	47.1608	15	2,799	9.0
California Sea Lions	0.161	47.1608	15	114	0.038
Harbor Porpoise	0.167	47.1608	15	118	1.2
Northern Elephant Seal	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.	47.1608	15	3	0.0034
Northern Fur Seal	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.	47.1608	15	3	0.0005
Gray Whale	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.	47.1608	15	3	0.014
Bottlenose Dolphin	Insufficient sighting data exists to estimate density.	47.1608	15	15	3.3

¹ Represents area of largest Level B harassment zone during pile driving/removal and drilling activities.

² Total construction days for pile driving/removal and drilling.

Gray Whale

Gray whales occasionally enter San Francisco Bay during their northward migration period of February and March. Pile driving and drilling are not proposed to occur during this time and gray whales are not likely to be present at other times of the year. It is estimated that two to six gray whales enter the Bay in any given year, but they are unlikely to be present during the work period (June 1 through November 30). However, individual gray whales have occasionally been observed in San Francisco Bay during the work period, and therefore it is conservatively estimated that, at most, 3 gray whales, or one average sized group, may be exposed to Level B harassment during the 15 days of pile driving/drilling.

Bottlenose Dolphin

When bottlenose dolphins are present in San Francisco Bay, they are more typically found close to the Golden Gate. Recently, beginning in 2015, two individuals have been observed frequently in the vicinity of Oyster Point (GGCR 2016, 2017; Perlman 2017) and one individual has been observed near Alameda (GGCR 2016). Observations of bottlenose dolphins are primarily west of Treasure Island and concentrated along the nearshore areas of San Francisco south to Redwood City (Caltrans 2018). Bottlenose dolphins rarely occur in San Francisco Bay, but given the size of the Level B harassment zone NMFS authorizes take of 15 bottlenose dolphins by level B harassment.

Northern Fur Seal

Observations of northern fur seals are too few to establish a density for this species in San Francisco Bay. The Marine Mammal Center (TMMC) reported only two to four northern fur seal strandings in the Bay in 2015 and 2016 (in Marin, San Francisco, and Santa Clara counties) (TMMC 2017). To account for the possible rare presence of the species in the action area, NMFS authorizes three takes by Level B harassment of northern fur seal.

Northern Elephant Seal

Elephant seals breed between December and March and have been rarely cited in San Francisco Bay. It is anticipated that if an elephant seal is encountered at all during pile driving or drilling it would be a juvenile. To account for the possible rare presence of the species in the action area, NMFS authorizes three takes by Level B harassment of elephant seal.

Level A Harassment

High frequency cetaceans (including harbor porpoise) have the largest Level A harassment zone resulting from this project as shown in Table 7. Estimated take by Level A harassment for harbor porpoise, based on density reported in Table 8 and the Level A harassment zone, is less than one individual (Density * Days * Ensonified Area). Given the required mitigation measures, including shutdown zones which exceed the Level A harassment zone, NMFS authorizes no Level A harassment for harbor porpoise or any marine mammal.

Mitigation Measures

The only change to mitigation measures were updates to the minimum shutdown zones to reflect the changes in Level A harassment zones discussed in the previous section.

In order to issue an IHA under Section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA, NMFS must set forth the permissible methods of taking pursuant to such activity, and other means of effecting the least practicable impact on such species or stock and its habitat, paying particular attention to rookeries, mating grounds, and areas of similar significance, and on the availability of such species or stock for taking for certain subsistence uses (latter not applicable for this action). NMFS regulations require applicants for incidental take authorizations to include information about the availability and feasibility (economic and technological) of equipment, methods, and manner of conducting such activity or other means of effecting the least practicable adverse impact upon the affected species or stocks and their habitat (50 CFR 216.104(a)(11)).

In evaluating how mitigation may or may not be appropriate to ensure the least practicable adverse impact on species or stocks and their habitat, as well as subsistence uses where applicable, we carefully consider two primary factors:

(1) The manner in which, and the degree to which, the successful implementation of the measure(s) is expected to reduce impacts to marine mammals, marine mammal species or stocks, and their habitat. This considers the nature of the potential adverse

impact being mitigated (likelihood, scope, range). It further considers the likelihood that the measure will be effective if implemented (probability of accomplishing the mitigating result if implemented as planned) the likelihood of effective implementation (probability implemented as planned); and

(2) The practicability of the measures for applicant implementation, which may consider such things as cost, impact on operations.

In addition to the specific measures described later in this section, the Port must conduct briefings for construction supervisors and crews, the monitoring team, and Port staff prior to the start of all pile driving activity, and when new personnel join the work, in order to explain responsibilities, communication procedures, the marine mammal monitoring protocol, and operational procedures.

Timing Restrictions

All work will be conducted during daylight hours. If poor environmental conditions restrict full visibility of the shutdown zone, pile installation would be delayed.

Sound Attenuation

Sound attenuation methods, including a bubble curtain, will be implemented for the duration of impact

pile driving to install 36-inch and 16-inch steel and 20-inch concrete piles. Additionally, a caisson sleeve will be used during down the whole drilling. The Port shall implement the following bubble curtain performance standards:

- The bubble curtain must distribute air bubbles around 100 percent of the piling perimeter for the full depth of the water column;

- The lowest bubble ring shall be in contact with the mudline for the full circumference of the ring, and the weights attached to the bottom ring shall ensure 100 percent mudline contact. No parts of the ring or other objects shall prevent full mudline contact;

- The selected contractor will ensure that personnel are trained in the proper balancing of air flow to the bubblers and shall require that construction contractors submit an inspection/performance report for approval by the Port of San Francisco within 72 hours following the performance test. Corrections to the attenuation device to meet the performance standards shall occur prior to impact driving.

Shutdown Zone For In-Water Heavy Machinery Work

For in-water heavy machinery work (using, e.g., standard barges, tug boats,

barge-mounted excavators, or clamshell equipment used to place or remove material), a minimum 10 meter shutdown zone shall be implemented. If a marine mammal comes within 10 m of such operations, operations shall cease and vessels shall reduce speed to the minimum level required to maintain steerage and safe working conditions. This type of work could include (but is not limited to) the following activities: (1) Vibratory pile driving; (2) movement of the barge to the pile location; (3) positioning of the pile on the substrate via a crane (*i.e.*, stabbing the pile); or (4) removal of the pile from the water column/substrate via a crane (*i.e.*, deadpull).

Additional Shutdown Zones

For all pile driving/removal and drilling activities, The Port of San Francisco will establish a shutdown zone for a marine mammal species that is greater than its corresponding Level A harassment zone. The purpose of a shutdown zone is generally to define an area within which shutdown of the activity would occur upon sighting of a marine mammal (or in anticipation of an animal entering the defined area). The shutdown zones for each of the pile driving and drilling activities are listed below in Table 10.

TABLE 10—SHUTDOWN ZONES

Source	Shutdown zones (meters)				
	Low-frequency cetaceans (humpback whale, minke whale)	Mid-frequency cetaceans (Pacific-white sided dolphin)	High-frequency cetaceans (Dall's porpoise, harbor porpoise)	Phocid (harbor seal)	Otariid (sea lion)
In-Water Construction Activities *					
In Water Heavy Construction (<i>i.e.</i> , Barge movements, pile positioning, deadpulling, and sound attenuation)	10	10	10	10	10
Vibratory Pile Driving					
Vibratory Pile Driver (Removal of concrete and wood piles) ..	10	10	10	10	10
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 14-inch steel H piles)	10	10	10	10	10
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 16-inch steel H piles)	10	10	10	10	10
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 30-inch steel piles)	25	10	25	10	10
Vibratory Pile Driver (Installation of 36-inch steel piles)	50	10	75	25	10
Impact Pile Driving					
Impact Pile Driver (16-inch steel piles)	10	10	10	10	10
Impact Pile Driver (20-inch concrete piles)	75	10	75	30	10
Impact Pile Driver (36-inch steel piles)	300	25	300	150	25
Drilling					
24-inch concrete pile (1 pile) (5.5 hours per day)	75	10	50	20	10

Monitoring Zones

The Port of San Francisco will establish and observe a monitoring zone. The monitoring zones for this project will differ based on activity. For vibratory pile driving and down the hole drilling, it may not be possible to observe the entire Level B harassment zones (areas where SPLs are equal to or exceed 120 dB rms) due to their size. The Port is expected to monitor and record observations in the largest reasonable portion of this Level B harassment zone based on the number

of observers and visibility, but conditions may require efforts to be focused in a smaller monitoring zone. For impact pile driving, the monitoring zones are areas where SPLs are equal to or exceed 160 dB rms. For vibratory pile driving/drilling and impact pile driving the Level B Harassment zones are presented in Table 11 below. For the vibratory pile driving and drilling activities, it is noted that Level B harassment zone radius and area will not necessarily equal the monitoring zone. These zones provide utility for monitoring conducted for mitigation

purposes (*i.e.*, shutdown zone monitoring) by establishing monitoring protocols for areas adjacent to the shutdown zones. Monitoring of disturbance zones enables observers to be aware of and communicate the presence of marine mammals in the project area, but outside the shutdown zone, and thus prepare for potential shutdowns of activity. However, the primary purpose of disturbance zone monitoring is for documenting instances of Level B harassment; disturbance zone monitoring is discussed in detail later (see Monitoring and Reporting).

TABLE 11—MONITORING ZONES

Source	Radial Distance to Level B threshold (meters)	Level B Harassment Zone (km ²)
Vibratory Pile Driving		
36-inch steel pile installation	* 21,544	* 47.1608
30-inch steel pile installation	* 21,544	* 47.1608
16-inch steel pile installation	* 3,415	* 7.6431
14-inch steel H pile installation	* 3,415	* 7.6431
Removal of pre-existing concrete and wood piles	* 2,154	* 3.1511
Impact Pile Driving		
36-inch steel pile installation	541.2	0.3699
20-inch concrete pile installation	63.1	0.006650
16-inch steel pile installation	136	0.0291
Down the Hole Drilling		
.....	* 15,849	* 47.1608

* The monitored radius and area of the Level B harassment zone may vary based on visibility.

Non-Authorized Take Prohibited

If a species enters or approaches the Level B harassment zone and that species is either not authorized for take or its authorized takes are met, pile driving, pile removal, and drilling activities must shut down immediately using delay and shut-down procedures. Activities must not resume until the animal has been confirmed to have left the area or an observation time period of 15 minutes has elapsed.

Soft Start

The use of a soft-start procedure is believed to provide additional protection to marine mammals by providing warning and/or giving marine mammals a chance to leave the area prior to the impact hammer operating at full capacity. For impact pile driving, contractors will be required to provide an initial set of strikes from the hammer at 40 percent energy, each strike followed by no less than a 30-second waiting period. This procedure will be conducted a total of three times before

impact pile driving begins. This soft start procedure must be implemented at the start of a day's impact pile driving and at any time following cessation of impact driving of 30 minutes or greater. Soft start is not required during vibratory pile driving/removal or drilling activities.

Pre-Activity Monitoring

Prior to the start of daily in-water construction activity, or whenever a break in pile driving or drilling of 30 minutes or longer occurs, the observer will observe the shutdown and monitoring zones for a period of 30 minutes. The shutdown zone will be cleared when a marine mammal has not been observed within the zone for that 30-minute period. A determination that the shutdown zone is clear must be made during a period of good visibility (*i.e.*, the entire shutdown zone and surrounding waters must be visible to the naked eye). If a marine mammal is observed within the shutdown zone, a soft-start cannot proceed until the

animal has left the zone or has not been observed for 15 minutes. If the monitoring zone has been observed for 30 minutes and non-permitted species are not present within the zone, soft start procedures can commence and work can continue even if visibility becomes impaired within the monitoring zone. When a marine mammal permitted for take by Level B harassment is present in the monitoring zone, pile driving, pile removal, and drilling activities may begin and take by Level B harassment will be recorded. As stated above, if the entire Level B harassment zone is not visible at the start of construction, piling or drilling activities can begin. If work ceases for more than 30 minutes, the pre-activity monitoring of both the monitoring zone and shutdown zone will commence.

Based on our evaluation of the applicant's mitigation measures, as well as other measures considered by NMFS, NMFS has determined that the mitigation measures provide the means effecting the least practicable impact on

the affected species or stocks and their habitat, paying particular attention to rookeries, mating grounds, and areas of similar significance.

Monitoring and Reporting

Between the proposed IHA and final IHA, the only change to monitoring and reporting protocols were a decrease in the required minimum number of protected species observers (PSOs) from two to one. To minimize the burden of monitoring on the applicant, two PSOs will be used for the first week of the project. Later portions of the project will utilize one PSO if monitoring results up to that point have not shown unexpectedly high numbers of marine mammals. NMFS determined that one PSO is sufficient to effectively observe the shutdown zones and a portion of the monitoring zone. This level of observation minimized burden on the applicant while still ensuring effective monitoring. Additionally, the use of two PSOs for a portion of the project will increase understanding of the impacts of this and similar projects on marine mammals in San Francisco Bay, while not placing an excessive burden on the Port of San Francisco.

In order to issue an IHA for an activity, Section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA states that NMFS must set forth, requirements pertaining to the monitoring and reporting of such taking. The MMPA implementing regulations at 50 CFR 216.104(a)(13) indicate that requests for authorizations must include the suggested means of accomplishing the necessary monitoring and reporting that will result in increased knowledge of the species and of the level of taking or impacts on populations of marine mammals that are expected to be present in the action area. Effective reporting is critical both to compliance as well as ensuring that the most value is obtained from the required monitoring.

Monitoring and reporting requirements prescribed by NMFS should contribute to improved understanding of one or more of the following:

- Occurrence of marine mammal species or stocks in the area in which take is anticipated (*e.g.*, presence, abundance, distribution, density);
- Nature, scope, or context of likely marine mammal exposure to potential stressors/impacts (individual or cumulative, acute or chronic), through better understanding of: (1) Action or environment (*e.g.*, source characterization, propagation, ambient noise); (2) affected species (*e.g.*, life history, dive patterns); (3) co-occurrence of marine mammal species with the

action; or (4) biological or behavioral context of exposure (*e.g.*, age, calving or feeding areas);

- Individual marine mammal responses (behavioral or physiological) to acoustic stressors (acute, chronic, or cumulative), other stressors, or cumulative impacts from multiple stressors;
- How anticipated responses to stressors impact either: (1) Long-term fitness and survival of individual marine mammals; or (2) populations, species, or stocks;
- Effects on marine mammal habitat (*e.g.*, marine mammal prey species, acoustic habitat, or other important physical components of marine mammal habitat); and
- Mitigation and monitoring effectiveness.

Hydroacoustic Monitoring

The Port recognizes in their application the need to implement a sound monitoring plan (SMP) as required by the Regional NMFS and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers programmatic review for pile driving activities in San Francisco Bay. The Port indicates that this SMP will recommend sound monitoring stations at 10 m, 100 m, and 300 m to monitor ambient noise conditions in the area. NMFS feels that ambient noise measurements are highly specific to the time and place they were taken, and therefore might have limited use to future projects. However, there are few source level measurements for down the hole drilling activities, as shown by the use of Alaska DOT proxy data in this IHA. NMFS feels that rigorous hydroacoustic monitoring of source level for the down the hole drilling activity will be more beneficial for future projects in this region and others. While NMFS is not requiring these source level measurements, if the Port were already planning to conduct measurements, we recommend focusing on source level verification and could offer guidance on its implementation.

Visual Monitoring

Monitoring would be conducted 30 minutes before, during, and 30 minutes after all pile driving/removal and drilling activities. In addition, observers shall record all incidents of marine mammal occurrence, regardless of distance from activity, and shall document any behavioral reactions in concert with distance from piles being driven, removed, or pile holes being drilled. Pile driving and drilling activities include the time to install, remove, or drill a hole for a single pile or series of piles, as long as the time elapsed between uses of the pile driving

equipment is no more than thirty minutes.

Monitoring will be conducted by NMFS approved PSOs. There will be a minimum of one PSO during all pile driving/removal and drilling activities. Two PSOs will be required to observe the shutdown and disturbance zones for the first five (5) days of combined pile driving, pile removal, and drilling.

PSOs shall scan the waters using binoculars, and/or spotting scopes, and shall use a handheld GPS or range-finder device to verify the distance to each sighting from the project site. All PSOs shall be trained in marine mammal identification and behaviors and are required to have no other project-related tasks while conducting monitoring. In addition, monitoring shall be conducted by qualified observers, who shall be placed at the best vantage point(s) practicable to monitor for marine mammals and implement shutdown/delay procedures when applicable by calling for the shutdown to the hammer operator. Qualified observers are trained and/or experienced professionals, with the following minimum qualifications:

- i. At least one PSO must have prior experience working as a marine mammal observer during construction activities;
- Independent observers (*i.e.*, not construction personnel);
- ii. Other PSOs may substitute education (degree in biological science or related field) or training for experience;
- iii. Where a team of three or more PSOs are required, a lead observer or monitoring coordinator shall be designated. The lead observer must have prior experience working as a marine mammal observer during construction;
- iv. The Port of San Francisco shall submit PSO CVs for approval by NMFS; The Port of San Francisco shall ensure that observers have the following additional qualifications:
 - Visual acuity in both eyes (correction is permissible) sufficient for discernment of moving targets at the water's surface with ability to estimate target size and distance; use of binoculars may be necessary to correctly identify the target;
 - Ability to conduct field observations and collect data according to assigned protocols;
 - Experience or training in the field identification of marine mammals, including the identification of behaviors;
 - Writing skills sufficient to prepare a report of observations including but not limited to the number and species of

marine mammals observed; dates and times when in-water construction activities were conducted; dates, times, and reason for implementation of mitigation (or why mitigation was not implemented when required); and marine mammal behavior;

- Ability to communicate orally, by radio or in person, with project personnel to provide real-time information on marine mammals observed in the area as necessary; and
- Sufficient training, orientation, or experience with the construction operations to provide for personal safety during observations.

The Port of San Francisco shall submit a draft report to NMFS not later than 90 days following the end of construction activities. The Port of San Francisco shall provide a final report within 30 days following resolution of NMFS' comments on the draft report. Reports shall contain, at minimum, the following:

- Date and time that monitored activity begins and ends for each day conducted (monitoring period);
- Construction activities occurring during each daily observation period, including how many and what type of piles driven;
 - Deviation from initial proposal in pile numbers, pile types, average driving times, etc.;
 - Weather parameters in each monitoring period (e.g., wind speed, percent cloud cover, visibility);
 - Water conditions in each monitoring period (e.g., sea state, tide state);
 - Extrapolated estimates of the total observed Level B harassment takes based on the percentage of the Level B harassment zone that was not visible or was not monitored.
- For each marine mammal sighting:
 - Species, numbers, and, if possible, sex and age class of marine mammals;
 - Description of any observable marine mammal behavior patterns, including bearing and direction of travel and distance from pile driving activity;
 - Location and distance from pile driving activities to marine mammals and distance from the marine mammals to the observation point;
 - Estimated amount of time that the animals remained in the Level B harassment zone;
 - Description of implementation of mitigation measures within each monitoring period (e.g., shutdown or delay);
 - Other human activity in the area within each monitoring period; and
 - A summary of the following:
 - Total number of individuals of each species detected within the monitoring

zone, and estimated as taken if correction factor appropriate;

- Total number of individuals of each species detected within the Level A harassment zone and the average amount of time that they remained in that zone; and
- Daily average number of individuals of each species (differentiated by month as appropriate) detected within the monitoring zone, and estimated as taken, if appropriate.

Negligible Impact Analysis and Determination

NMFS has defined negligible impact as an impact resulting from the specified activity that cannot be reasonably expected to, and is not reasonably likely to, adversely affect the species or stock through effects on annual rates of recruitment or survival (50 CFR 216.103). A negligible impact finding is based on the lack of likely adverse effects on annual rates of recruitment or survival (*i.e.*, population-level effects). An estimate of the number of takes alone is not enough information on which to base an impact determination. In addition to considering estimates of the number of marine mammals that might be "taken" through harassment, NMFS considers other factors, such as the likely nature of any responses (e.g., intensity, duration), the context of any responses (e.g., critical reproductive time or location, migration), as well as effects on habitat, and the likely effectiveness of the mitigation. We also assess the number, intensity, and context of estimated takes by evaluating this information relative to population status. Consistent with the 1989 preamble for NMFS's implementing regulations (54 FR 40338; September 29, 1989), the impacts from other past and ongoing anthropogenic activities are incorporated into this analysis via their impacts on the environmental baseline (e.g., as reflected in the regulatory status of the species, population size and growth rate where known, ongoing sources of human-caused mortality, or ambient noise levels).

As stated in the mitigation section, bubble curtains will be used and shutdown zones that encompass the area in which Level A harassment might be expected to occur will be implemented. As a result, no take by Level A harassment is expected nor authorized for this activity. Exposures to elevated sound levels produced during pile driving activities may cause behavioral responses by an animal, but they are expected to be mild and temporary. Effects on individuals that are taken by Level B harassment, on the

basis of reports in the literature as well as monitoring from other similar activities, will likely be limited to reactions such as increased swimming speeds, increased surfacing time, or decreased foraging (if such activity were occurring) (e.g., Thorson and Reyff, 2006; Lerma, 2014). Most likely, individuals will simply move away from the sound source and be temporarily displaced from the areas of pile driving, although even this reaction has been observed primarily only in association with impact pile driving. These reactions and behavioral changes are expected to subside quickly when the exposures cease. Within the project area, there are no critical habitats or other biologically important areas (Calambokidis *et al.*, 2015). The area is an active commercial port, and while harbor seals, California sea lions, and other marine mammals may be present, the area is not an established rookery or breeding ground for local populations.

During all impact driving, implementation of soft start procedures, the use of a bubble curtain, and monitoring of established shutdown zones will be required. Given sufficient notice through use of soft start (for impact driving), marine mammals are expected to move away from an irritating sound source prior to it becoming potentially injurious. In addition, PSOs will be stationed within the action area whenever pile driving/removal and drilling operations are underway. Depending on the activity, The Port of San Francisco will employ the use of at least one PSO to monitor shutdown and monitoring zones.

Although the MBFL and WTL Project would have some permanent removal of habitat available to marine mammals, the area lost would be negligible. Construction of the MBFL and WTL structures and dredging for the project will result in the disturbance of up to approximately 8.4 acres of predominantly fine-grained sediment and the associated benthic infaunal community. Total habitat disturbed from the project activities is estimated at 0.000071 percent of the total South San Francisco Bay subtidal habitat available (NOAA 2007). This is a relatively small fraction of area relative to the total available habitat for foraging and transit for marine mammals. In addition, to minimize impacts, in-water construction will be limited to locally established environmental work windows between June and November.

Overall, impacts to marine mammals and prey species due to the Mission Bay Ferry and Water Taxi Landing Project are expected to be minor and temporary. The area impacted by the project is very

small compared to the available habitat around San Francisco Bay. The most likely impact to prey will be temporary behavioral avoidance of the immediate area. During pile driving and drilling, it is expected that fish and marine mammals would temporarily move to nearby locations and return to the area following cessation of in-water construction activities. Therefore, indirect effects on marine mammal prey during the construction are not expected to be substantial.

In summary and as described above, the following factors primarily support our determination that the impacts resulting from this activity are not expected to adversely affect the species or stock through effects on annual rates of recruitment or survival:

- Mortality is not anticipated or authorized;
- Minimal impacts to marine mammal habitat are expected;
- Bubble curtain and other sound attenuating devices are used during impact pile driving will lessen the amount of behavioral disturbance and contribute to the alleviation of the likelihood of injury;
- Impacts are not occurring in rookeries, or known areas or features of special significance for foraging or reproduction in the project area;
- Anticipated incidents of Level B harassment consist of, at worst, temporary modifications in behavior; and
- Required mitigation measures (*i.e.*, shutdown zones) are expected to be effective in reducing the effects of the specified activity.

Based on the analysis contained herein of the likely effects of the specified activity on marine mammals and their habitat, and taking into consideration the implementation of the monitoring and mitigation measures, NMFS finds that the total marine mammal take from the activity will have a negligible impact on all affected marine mammal species or stocks.

Small Numbers

As noted above, only small numbers of incidental take may be authorized under Section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA for specified activities other than military readiness activities. The MMPA does not define small numbers and so, in practice, where estimated numbers are available, NMFS compares the number of individuals taken to the most appropriate estimation of abundance of the relevant species or stock in our determination of whether an authorization is limited to small numbers of marine mammals. Additionally, other qualitative factors

may be considered in the analysis, such as the temporal or spatial scale of the activities.

Take for all species authorized except harbor seal is less than five percent of their respective stock abundance. For harbor seal, the authorized take is less than 10 percent of the stock abundance. Based on this and the analysis contained herein of the proposed activity (including the proposed mitigation and monitoring measures) and the anticipated take of marine mammals, NMFS finds that small numbers of marine mammals will be taken relative to the population size of the affected species or stocks.

Unmitigable Adverse Impact Analysis and Determination

There are no relevant subsistence uses of the affected marine mammal stocks or species implicated by this action. Therefore, NMFS has determined that the total taking of affected species or stocks would not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of such species or stocks for taking for subsistence purposes.

National Environmental Policy Act

To comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and NOAA Administrative Order (NAO) 216-6A, NMFS must review our action (*i.e.*, the issuance of an incidental harassment authorization) with respect to potential impacts on the human environment.

This action is consistent with categories of activities identified in Categorical Exclusion B4 (incidental harassment authorizations with no anticipated serious injury or mortality) of the Companion Manual for NOAA Administrative Order 216-6A, which do not individually or cumulatively have the potential for significant impacts on the quality of the human environment and for which we have not identified any extraordinary circumstances that would preclude this categorical exclusion. Accordingly, NMFS has determined that the issuance of the IHA qualifies to be categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Endangered Species Act (ESA)

No incidental take of ESA-listed species is proposed for authorization or expected to result from this activity. Therefore, NMFS has determined that formal consultation under section 7 of the ESA is not required for this action.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Catherine Marzin,

*Acting Director, Office of Protected Resources,
National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2018-22923 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG575

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a meeting of its Habitat Protection and Ecosystem-Based Management Advisory Panel (AP).

DATES: The Habitat Protection and Ecosystem-Based Management AP meeting will take place November 6, 2018, from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., November 7, 2018, from 9 a.m. until 4:30 p.m., and November 8, 2018, from 9 a.m. until 12 p.m.

ADDRESSES:

Meeting address: The meetings will be held at the Sirata Beach Resort and Conference Center, 5300 Gulf Boulevard, St. Petersburg, FL 33706; phone: (727) 363-5100.

Council address: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, N Charleston, SC 29405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Iverson, Public Information Officer, SAFMC; phone: (843) 571-4366 or toll free (866) SAFMC-10; fax: (843) 769-4520; email: kim.iverson@safmc.net.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Habitat Protection and Ecosystem-Based Management AP meeting is open to the public and will be available via webinar as it occurs. Registration is required. Webinar registration information and other meeting materials will be posted to the Council's website at: <http://safmc.net/safmc-meetings/current-advisory-panel-meetings/> as it becomes available.

The Habitat Protection and Ecosystem-Based Management AP meeting agenda will include the following: An update on the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Navy Fleet Training and Testing Area cooperatively developed by the Navy

and NOAA Fisheries; be provided an update and provide comments on Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) energy development activities with a focus on Renewable Energy development and industry planning in North Carolina and developing plans for other South Atlantic States; review and provide input on Council Web Services refinements developed for the Fishery Ecosystem II Dashboard; receive an overview of frigate and bullet mackerel as prey supporting the dolphin wahoo fisheries; receive an update on fishery independent research programs supporting stock and habitat assessment and ecosystem modelling including the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP-SA), Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) and Southeast Fishery-Independent Survey (SEFIS); and receive a presentation on the ongoing mapping and characterization of South Atlantic deepwater by NOAA Office of Ocean Exploration and the NOAA research vessel Okeanus Explorer ecosystems which serve as Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)—Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPC). The AP meeting will also include a discussion/breakout session to provide input on refining EFH information and online access for regional partner development of Environmental Impact Statements and supporting information for permit review addressing non-fishing threats in the region. AP members will also receive an update on NOAA Fisheries Ecosystem Based Fishery Management activities including a Climate Vulnerability Analysis, Ecosystem Status Report, Community Vulnerability and Ecosystem modelling. The AP will develop recommendations as necessary for consideration by the Council's Habitat Protection and Ecosystem-Based Management Committee.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids should be directed to the Council office (see **ADDRESSES**) 3 days prior to the meeting.

Note: The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22990 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG531

Fisheries of the South Atlantic; Southeast Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR); Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of SEDAR 63 Review Workshop for Gulf of Mexico Menhaden.

SUMMARY: The SEDAR 63 assessment of the Gulf of Mexico stock of Menhaden will be reviewed by an independent Panel at the Review Workshop. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

DATES: The SEDAR 63 Review Workshop will be held on November 6, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. until 6 p.m.; and November 7, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. until 3:30 p.m. The established times may be adjusted as necessary to accommodate the timely completion of discussion relevant to the assessment process. Such adjustments may result in the meeting being extended from, or completed prior to the time established by this notice.

ADDRESSES:

Meeting address: The SEDAR 63 Review Workshop will be held at the Four Points by Sheraton French Quarter Hotel, 541 Bourbon Street, New Orleans, LA 70130; phone: (504) 648-2322.

SEDAR address: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, N Charleston, SC 29405; www.sedarweb.org.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Byrd, SEDAR Coordinator, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, North Charleston, SC 29405; phone: (843) 571-4366; email: julia.byrd@safmc.net.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic, and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils, in conjunction with NOAA Fisheries and the Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions, have implemented the Southeast Data, Assessment and Review (SEDAR) process, a multi-step method for determining the status of fish stocks in the Southeast Region. SEDAR is a three-step process including: (1) Data Workshop; (2) Assessment Process utilizing a workshop and webinars; and (3) Review Workshop. The product of the Data Workshop is a data report, which compiles and evaluates potential datasets and recommends which

datasets are appropriate for assessment analyses. The product of the Assessment Process is a stock assessment report, which describes the fisheries, evaluates the status of the stock, estimates biological benchmarks, projects future population conditions, and recommends research and monitoring needs. The assessment is independently peer reviewed at the Review Workshop. The product of the Review Workshop is a Summary documenting panel opinions regarding the strengths and weaknesses of the stock assessment and input data. Participants for SEDAR Workshops are appointed by the Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic, and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils and NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Office, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, and Southeast Fisheries Science Center. Participants include: Data collectors and database managers; stock assessment scientists, biologists, and researchers; constituency representatives including fishermen, environmentalists, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs); international experts; and staff of Councils, Commissions, and state and federal agencies.

The items of discussion in the Review Workshop are as follows:

Independent peer review of the assessment developed during the Data Workshop and Assessment Process. Panelists will review the assessment and document their comments and recommendations in a Summary Report.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids should be directed to the SAFMC office (see **ADDRESSES**) at least 10 business days prior to the meeting.

Note: The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-22992 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Telecommunications and Information Administration

Multistakeholder Process on Promoting Software Component Transparency

AGENCY: National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) will convene a meeting of a multistakeholder process on promoting software component transparency on November 6, 2018.

DATES: The meeting will be held on November 6, 2018, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the American Institute of Architects, 1735 New York Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allan Friedman, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Room 4725, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4281; email: afriedman@ntia.doc.gov. Please direct media inquiries to NTIA's Office of Public Affairs: (202) 482-7002; email: press@ntia.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This National Telecommunications and Information Administration cybersecurity multistakeholder process focuses on promoting software component transparency. Most modern software is not written completely from scratch, but includes existing components, modules, and libraries from the open source and commercial software world. Modern development practices, such as code reuse, and a dynamic IT marketplace with acquisitions and mergers, make it challenging to track the use of software components. The Internet of Things compounds this phenomenon, as new organizations, enterprises, and innovators take on the role of software developer to add "smart" features or connectivity to their products. Although

the majority of libraries and components do not have known vulnerabilities, the sheer quantity of software means that some software products ship with vulnerable or out-of-date components.

Under the multistakeholder process, NTIA acts as the convener, but stakeholders drive the outcomes, determine how to scope and organize the work through subgroups or other means, and evaluate success of the process based on the extent to which the group's findings on software component transparency are implemented across the ecosystem. The first meeting of this multistakeholder process was held on July 19, 2018, in Washington, DC.¹ Stakeholders presented multiple perspectives, and identified four inter-related work streams: Understanding the Problem, Use Cases and State of Practice, Standards and Formats, and Healthcare Proof of Concept.

The main objectives of the November 6, 2018, meeting are to share progress from the working groups and hear feedback from the broader stakeholder community. Stakeholders will also discuss how the outputs of the different work streams can complement each other, and identify issues for further study. More information about stakeholders' work is available at: <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/SoftwareTransparency>.

Time and Date: NTIA will convene the next meeting of the multistakeholder process on software component transparency on November 6, 2018, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. Please refer to NTIA's website, <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/SoftwareTransparency>, for the most current information.

Place: The meeting will be held at the American Institute of Architects, 1735 New York Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20006. The location of the meeting is subject to change. Please refer to NTIA's website, <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/SoftwareTransparency>, for the most current information.

Other Information: The meeting is open to the public and the press on a first-come, first-served basis. Space is limited.

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Allan Friedman at (202) 482-4281 or afriedman@ntia.doc.gov at least seven (7) business days prior to each meeting. The meetings will also be webcast. Requests for real-time captioning of the

webcast or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Allan Friedman at (202) 482-4281 or afriedman@ntia.doc.gov at least seven (7) business days prior to each meeting. There will be an opportunity for stakeholders viewing the webcast to participate remotely in the meetings through a moderated conference bridge, including polling functionality. Access details for the meetings are subject to change. Please refer to NTIA's website, <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/SoftwareTransparency>, for the most current information.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Kathy Smith,

Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 2018-22872 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

[Docket Number DARS-2018-0047; OMB Control Number 0704-0321]

Information Collection Requirement; Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS); Contract Financing

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments regarding a proposed extension of an approved information collection requirement.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, DoD announces the proposed extension of a public information collection requirement and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. *DoD invites comments on:* Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of DoD, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved this information collection for use through January 31, 2019. DoD proposes that OMB extend its approval for use for three additional

¹ Notes, presentations, and a video recording of the July 19, 2018, kickoff meeting are available at: <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/SoftwareTransparency>.

years beyond the current expiration date.

DATES: DoD will consider all comments received by December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by OMB Control Number 0704–0321, using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* osd.dfars@mail.mil. Include OMB Control Number 0704–0321 in the subject line of the message.

- *Fax:* 571–372–6094.

- *Mail:* Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Attn: Mr. Mark Gomersall, OUSD(A&S)DPC(DARS), 3060 Defense Pentagon, Room 3B941, Washington, DC 20301–3060.

Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mark Gomersall, at 571–372–6099.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number:* Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Part 232, Contract Financing, and the Clause at 252.232–7002, Progress Payments for Foreign Military Sales Acquisitions; OMB Control Number 0704–0321.

Needs and Uses: Section 22 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2762) requires the U.S. Government to use foreign funds, rather than U.S. appropriated funds, to purchase military equipment for foreign governments. To comply with this requirement, the Government needs to know how much to charge each country. The clause at 252.232–7002, Progress Payments for Foreign Military Sales Acquisitions, requires each contractor whose contract includes foreign military sales (FMS) requirements to submit a separate progress payment request for each progress payment rate, and to submit a supporting schedule that clearly distinguishes the contract's FMS requirements from U.S. requirements. The Government uses this information to determine how much of each country's funds to disburse to the contractor.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Number of Respondents: 144.

Responses per Respondent: Approximately 30.

Annual Responses: 4,320.

Average Burden per Response: 1.5 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 6,480 (includes 2,160 response hours plus 4,320 recordkeeping hours).

Frequency: On occasion.

Summary of Information Collection

This information collection includes requirements relating to DFARS part 232, Contract Financing, and the related clause at DFARS 252.232–7002, Progress Payments for Foreign Military Sales Acquisitions. DFARS 232.502–4–70(a) prescribes use of the clause at DFARS 252.232–7002 in any contract that provides for progress payments and contains FMS requirements. The clause at 252.232–7002 requires each contractor whose contract includes FMS requirements to submit a separate progress payment request for each progress payment rate and to submit a supporting schedule that distinguishes the contract's FMS requirements from U.S. requirements.

Jennifer Lee Hawes,
Regulatory Control Officer, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 2018–22995 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED–2018–ICCD–0085]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA): Interest Rate Limitation Request

AGENCY: Federal Student Aid (FSA), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, ED is proposing an extension of an existing information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching the Docket ID number ED–2018–ICCD–0085. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be

accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 550 12th Street SW, PCP, Room 9086, Washington, DC 20202–0023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Ian Foss, 202–377–3681.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA): Interest Rate Limitation Request

OMB Control Number: 1845–0135.

Type of Review: An extension of an existing information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 200.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 67.

Abstract: The Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA) provides that those on active duty military service are entitled to have an interest rate in excess of 6% be capped at 6% for the duration of their qualifying military service. The Department is requesting an extension of the currently approved

information collection. These Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program and Direct Loan Program regulations have not changed. The regulations require a loan holder to match its database against the Department of Defense's Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) and automatically apply the interest rate limitation, as appropriate, to borrowers under the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act. The form in this collection would only be used in limited cases where the borrower is not found in the Defense Manpower Data Center, or does not have a copy of military orders, but still wishes to receive benefits under the SCRA.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Kate Mullan,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Office of the Chief Privacy Officer, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2018-22964 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2018-ICCD-0086]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Federal Family Educational Loan Program—Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA)

AGENCY: Federal Student Aid (FSA), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, ED is proposing a revision of an existing information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching the Docket ID number ED-2018-ICCD-0086. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. *Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted.* Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the

Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 550 12th Street SW, PCP, Room 9086, Washington, DC 20202-0023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Ian Foss, 202-377-3681.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Federal Family Educational Loan Program—Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA).

OMB Control Number: 1845-0093.

Type of Review: A revision of an existing information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Private Sector; State, Local, or Tribal Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 16,731.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 50,115.

Abstract: The Department is requesting a revision of the current information collection. These Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program regulations require a loan holder to match its database against the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) and automatically apply the interest rate limitation, as appropriate, to borrowers under the Servicemembers Civil Relief

Act (SCRA). There has been no change in the statute or in the regulations. The Department is revising downward the overall collection burden due to the decreasing number of FFEL loan holders and affected loans. Additionally, the Department is removing the minimal burden previously assessed individuals as a separate form was created subsequent to the initial information collection and the burden on individuals is more appropriate linked to that information collection.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Kate Mullan,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Office of the Chief Privacy Officer, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2018-22965 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

State Energy Advisory Board

AGENCY: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the State Energy Advisory Board (STEAB). The Federal Advisory Committee Act requires that public notice of these meetings be announced in the **Federal Register**.

DATES:

November 27, 2018 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. ET

November 28, 2018 9 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. ET

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Li, Senior Policy Advisor, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20585. Phone number 202-287-5189, and email Michael.Li@ee.doe.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: To make recommendations to the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy regarding goals and objectives, programmatic and administrative policies, and to otherwise carry out the Board's responsibilities as designated in the State Energy Efficiency Programs Improvement Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-440).

Tentative Agenda: Meet with and hear from lab staff that support the work of

the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. The meeting is also expected to examine the work of the Grid Modernization Initiative, Building Technologies Office and the Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs Office. The Board is expected to develop recommendations for the Assistant Secretary of the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact Michael Li at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests to make oral comments must be received five days prior to the meeting; reasonable provision will be made to include requested topic(s) on the agenda. The Chair of the Board is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

Minutes: The minutes of the meeting will be available for public review and copying within 90 days on the STEAB website: <http://www.energy.gov/eere/steab/state-energy-advisory-board>.

Signed in Washington, DC, on October 16, 2018.

Latanya Butler,

Deputy Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018-22875 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Nevada

AGENCY: Office of Environmental Management, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Nevada. The Federal Advisory Committee Act requires that public notice of this meeting be announced in the **Federal Register**.

DATES: Wednesday, November 7, 2018 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Frank H. Rogers Science and Technology Building, 755 East Flamingo, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Ulmer, Board Administrator, 232 Energy Way, M/S 167, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89030. Phone: (702) 523-0894; Fax (702) 295-2025 or Email: Barbara.Ulmer@emcbc.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE-EM and site management in the areas of environmental restoration, waste management, and related activities.

Tentative Agenda:

1. Briefing for Offsite Groundwater Contamination Communication Plan—Work Plan Item #6
2. Briefing on the Emergency Preparedness Working Group

Public Participation: The EM SSAB, Nevada, welcomes the attendance of the public at its advisory committee meetings and will make every effort to accommodate persons with physical disabilities or special needs. If you require special accommodations due to a disability, please contact Barbara Ulmer at least seven days in advance of the meeting at the phone number listed above. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral presentations pertaining to agenda items should contact Barbara Ulmer at the telephone number listed above. The request must be received five days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Deputy Designated Federal Officer is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Individuals wishing to make public comments can do so during the 15 minutes allotted for public comments.

Minutes: Minutes will be available by writing to Barbara Ulmer at the address listed above or at the following website: http://www.nnss.gov/NSSAB/pages/MM_FY19.html.

Signed in Washington, DC, on October 16, 2018.

Latanya Butler,

Deputy Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018-22874 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Northern New Mexico

AGENCY: Office of Environmental Management, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Northern New Mexico. The Federal Advisory Committee Act requires that public

notice of this meeting be announced in the **Federal Register**.

DATES: Wednesday, November 7, 2018, 1 p.m.–5:15 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Lodge at Santa Fe, Kachina Ballroom, 750 North St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Menice Santistevan, Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board (NNMCAB), 94 Cities of Gold Road, Santa Fe, NM 87506. Phone (505) 995-0393; Fax (505) 989-1752 or Email: Menice.Santistevan@em.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE-EM and site management in the areas of environmental restoration, waste management, and related activities.

Tentative Agenda:

- Call to Order
- Welcome and Introductions
- Approval of Agenda and Meeting Minutes of September 26, 2018
- Old Business
 - Consideration and Action on Recommendation from the EM SSAB Chairs Regarding Site-Specific Advisory Board Involvement in Enhancing Stakeholder/Public Engagement
 - Other Items
- New Business
- Presentation on Epidemiological Data for Cancer and Autoimmune Diseases Downstream of the Los Alamos National Laboratory
- Break
- Public Comment Period
- Fiscal Year 2018 Accomplishments
- Update from EM-Los Alamos Field Office
- Update from New Mexico Environment Department
- Update from NNMCAB Deputy Designated Federal Officer and Executive Director
- Wrap-Up Comments From NNMCAB Members
- Adjourn

Public Participation: The EM SSAB, Northern New Mexico, welcomes the attendance of the public at its advisory committee meetings and will make every effort to accommodate persons with physical disabilities or special needs. If you require special accommodations due to a disability, please contact Menice Santistevan at least seven days in advance of the meeting at the telephone number listed above. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda

items should contact Menice Santistevan at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received five days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Deputy Designated Federal Officer is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Individuals wishing to make public comments will be provided a maximum of five minutes to present their comments.

Minutes: Minutes will be available by writing or calling Menice Santistevan at the address or phone number listed above. Minutes and other Board documents are on the internet at: <https://energy.gov/em/nmcb/meeting-materials>.

Signed in Washington, DC, on October 16, 2018.

Latanya Butler,

Deputy Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018-22877 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. AD16-24-000]

Winter 2018-2019 Operations and Market Performance in Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators; Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference

As announced in a Notice of Technical Conference issued on September 10, 2018, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) staff will hold a technical conference, after the October 2018 Commission public meeting, to hear from the Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs) and Independent System Operators (ISOs)¹ on their efforts for ensuring reliable and economic system performance during the 2018-2019 winter season. The technical conference will take place on October 18, 2018 at the Commission's offices at 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC beginning at 2:00 p.m. and ending at 3:30 p.m. (Eastern Time). Commission staff will lead the technical conference, and the

Chairman and Commissioners may attend.

The agenda for this technical conference is attached.

All interested persons may attend the conference, and registration is not required. However, in-person attendees are encouraged to register on-line at: <https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/10-18-18-form.asp>. In-person attendees should allow time to pass through building security procedures before the 2:00 p.m. start time of the technical conference.

This technical conference will be transcribed and webcast. Transcripts will be available immediately for a fee from Ace Reporting (202-347-3700). A link to the webcast of this event will be available in the Commission Calendar of Events at www.ferc.gov. The Capitol Connection provides technical support for the webcasts and offers the option of listening to the conference via phone-bridge for a fee. For additional information, visit www.CapitolConnection.org or call 703-993-3100.

While this conference is not for the purpose of discussing specific cases, it may address matters at issue in the following Commission proceedings that are pending:

- *ISO New England Inc.*: ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER17-795-000 and ER17-795-001; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER18-1509-000; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. EL18-182-000; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER18-1639-000; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER18-1639-001; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER18-2364-000; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER18-619-000; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER18-619-001; ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER13-2266-000, *et al.*

- *New York Independent System Operator, Inc.*: N.Y. Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc., Docket No. ER16-120-007.

- *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*: Energy Storage Association v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL17-64-000; Renewable Energy Systems Americas v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL17-65-000; PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER16-372-000, *et al.*; Independent Market Monitor for PJM v. American Electric Power Corp., Docket No. EL17-22-000, *et al.*; PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER18-87-000, *et al.*; PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL18-34-000; EL18-1314-000, *et al.*; Calpine Corporation *et al.* v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL16-49-000, *et al.*; PJM

Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL18-178-000, *et al.*; CPV Power Holdings, L.P., *et al.* v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL18-169-000; PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER18-988-000, *et al.*; PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. EL14-48-000, *et al.*

- *California Independent System Operator Corp.*: CXA La Paloma, LLC v. Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., Docket No. EL18-177-000; Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., Docket No. ER18-2369-000; Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., Docket No. ER18-2520-000.

Commission conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations please send an email to accessibility@ferc.gov or call toll free (866) 208-3372 (voice) or (202) 502-8659 (TTY), or send a fax to (202) 208-2106 with the requested accommodations.

For more information about this technical conference, please contact David Rosner at 202-502-8479, david.rosner@ferc.gov, or Samin Peirovi at 202-502-8080, samin.peirovi@ferc.gov. For information related to logistics, please contact Sarah McKinley at 202-502-8368, sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22950 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1121-127]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Type of Application:* Recreation Plan Amendment.
- b. *Project No:* 1121-127.
- c. *Date Filed:* September 14, 2018.
- d. *Applicant:* Pacific Gas and Electric Company.
- e. *Name of Project:* Battle Creek Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* The project is located on the mainstem Battle Creek, North Fork Battle Creek, and South Fork Battle Creek, in Shasta and Tehama counties, California.

¹ The technical conference will include representatives from California Independent System Operator Corporation, ISO New England Inc., Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc., New York Independent System Operator, Inc., PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., and Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791a–825r.

h. *Applicant Contact:* Ms. Elisabeth Rossi, License Coordinator, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Mail Code N13E, P.O. Box 770000, San Francisco, CA 94177, (415) 973–2032.

i. *FERC Contact:* Mr. Jon Cofrancesco, (202) 502–8951, jon.cofrancesco@ferc.gov.

j. *Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, and protests:* November 15, 2018.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file comments, motions to intervene, and protests using the Commission's eFiling system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ecomment.asp>. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, (866) 208–3676 (toll free), or (202) 502–8659 (TTY). In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426. The first page of any filing should include docket number P–1121–127. Comments emailed to Commission staff are not considered part of the Commission record.

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure require all intervenors filing documents with the Commission to serve a copy of that document on each person whose name appears on the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervenor files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

k. *Description of Request:* Pacific Gas and Electric Company (licensee) filed a revised recreation plan proposing to delete a new fishing access area on the Cross Country Canal required under the project's current recreation plan. While beginning construction of the new site, the licensee discovered historical artifacts and immediately stopped work. After further assessment, it has been determined that construction of the new fishing access site cannot proceed without impacting cultural resources. After finding no suitable alternatives for the new site and given the current low recreation use of existing informal canal fishing access sites in the area, the licensee proposes to remove the

required new site from the project's recreation plan. The proposed revised recreation plan reflects the deletion of this fishing access site, as well as the deletion of extraneous or outdated information. All other aspects of the current recreation plan would remain the same.

l. *Locations of the Application:* A copy of the application is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street NE, Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 502–8371. This filing may also be viewed on the Commission's website at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. You may also register online at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp> to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, call 1–866–208–3676 or email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, for TTY, call (202) 502–8659. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item (h) above. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant.

m. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

n. *Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene:* Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214, respectively. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

o. *Filing and Service of Documents:* Any filing must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE" as applicable; (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person commenting, protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, motions to intervene, or protests must

set forth their evidentiary basis. Any filing made by an intervenor must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2010.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22947 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric corporate filings:

Docket Numbers: EC19–12–000.

Applicants: Meadowlark Wind I LLC.

Description: Application for Authorization Under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, et al. of Meadowlark Wind I LLC.

Filed Date: 10/16/18.

Accession Number: 20181016–5103.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Docket Numbers: ER11–4338–004.

Applicants: New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

Description: Compliance filing: Compliance to set effective date—Order No. 745 Demand Response to be effective 10/31/2018.

Filed Date: 10/16/18.

Accession Number: 20181016–5091.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.

Docket Numbers: ER18–2312–000.

Applicants: Enel Green Power Diamond Vista Wind Project, LLC.

Description: Supplement to August 31, 2018 Supplement to Enel Green Power Diamond Vista Wind Project, LLC tariff filing. Also on October 12, 2018 filed a supplement to the October 11, 2018 filing.

Filed Date: 10/11/18; 10/12/18.

Accession Number: 20181011–5200; 20181012–5213.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/26/18.

Docket Numbers: ER18–2330–000.

Applicants: Enel Green Power Rattlesnake Creek Wind Project, LLC.

Description: Supplement to August 28, 2018 Enel Green Power Rattlesnake Creek Wind Project, LLC tariff filing.

Filed Date: 10/12/18.

Accession Number: 20181012–5216.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/26/18.

Docket Numbers: ER18–2361–000.
Applicants: Enel Green Power Hilltopper Wind, LLC.
Description: Supplement to August 30, 2018 Enel Green Power Hilltopper Wind, LLC tariff filing.
Filed Date: 10/12/18.
Accession Number: 20181012–5218.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/26/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–66–000.
Applicants: Conemaugh Power Pass-Through Holders LLC.
Description: Amendment to October 9, 2018 Conemaugh Power Pass-Through Holders LLC tariff filing.
Filed Date: 10/15/18.
Accession Number: 20181015–5159.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/29/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–70–000.
Applicants: Keystone Power Pass-Through Holders LLC.
Description: Amendment to October 9, 2018 Keystone Power Pass-Through Holders LLC tariff filing.
Filed Date: 10/15/18.
Accession Number: 20181015–5161.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/29/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–111–000.
Applicants: Pacific Gas and Electric Company.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Balancing Accounts Update 2019 (TRBAA, RSBAA, ECRBAA) to be effective 1/1/2019.
Filed Date: 10/15/18.
Accession Number: 20181015–5115.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/5/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–112–000.
Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2018–10–16 SA 2395 MidAmerican-ITC Midwest 4th Rev GIA (H021 J041) to be effective 9/28/2018.
Filed Date: 10/16/18.
Accession Number: 20181016–5035.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–113–000.
Applicants: ISO New England Inc.
Description: ISO New England Inc. submits Third Quarter 2018 Capital Budget Report.
Filed Date: 10/15/18.
Accession Number: 20181015–5158.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/5/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–114–000.
Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc., ALLETE, Inc.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2018–10–16 SA 3183 MP–GRE T–L IA (Bellevue) to be effective 10/17/2018.
Filed Date: 10/16/18.
Accession Number: 20181016–5094.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.
Docket Numbers: ER19–115–000.
Applicants: FL Solar 5, LLC.

Description: Baseline eTariff Filing: FL Solar 5, LLC MBR Application to be effective 11/15/2018.

Filed Date: 10/16/18.

Accession Number: 20181016–5107.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.

Docket Numbers: ER19–116–000.

Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc., ALLETE, Inc.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2018–10–16 SA 3187 MP–GRE T–L IA (St. Stephens) to be effective 10/17/2018.

Filed Date: 10/16/18.

Accession Number: 20181016–5123.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.

Docket Numbers: ER19–117–000.

Applicants: Innovative Solar 54, LLC.

Description: Baseline eTariff Filing: Baseline new to be effective 12/9/2018.

Filed Date: 10/16/18.

Accession Number: 20181016–5132.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.

Docket Numbers: ER19–118–000.

Applicants: Innovative Solar 67, LLC.

Description: Baseline eTariff Filing: Baseline new to be effective 12/6/2018.

Filed Date: 10/16/18.

Accession Number: 20181016–5134.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 11/6/18.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22945 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98–1–000]

Records Governing Off-The-Record Communications Public Notice

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(b), of the receipt of prohibited and exempt off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive a prohibited or exempt off-the-record communication relevant to the merits of a contested proceeding, to deliver to the Secretary of the Commission, a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication.

Prohibited communications are included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not a part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become a part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such a request only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication shall serve the document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications are included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).7

The following is a list of off-the-record communications recently received by the Secretary of the Commission. The communications listed are grouped by docket numbers in ascending order. These filings are available for electronic review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary link.

Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For

assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov)

ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Docket No.	File date	Presenter or Requester
Prohibited: 1. P-405-106	10-3-2018	Alex Balboa
Exempt: 1. CP17-495-000	10-1-2018	FERC Staff ¹
2. CP17-101-000	10-5-2018	FERC Staff ²
3. CP18-46-000	10-12-2018	FERC Staff ³

¹ Memorandum dated October 1, 2018 forwarding letter from the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office.

² Addendum to meeting minutes for interagency teleconference on August 13, 2018 with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.

³ Memorandum for project conference call on October 10, 2018 with Adelphia.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22944 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings

Take notice that the Commission has received the following Natural Gas Pipeline Rate and Refund Report filings:

Filings Instituting Proceedings

Docket Numbers: RP10-930-001.

Applicants: Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC.

Description: Compliance filing Electronic Original Volume No. 2 to be effective 11/12/2018.

Filed Date: 10/12/18.

Accession Number: 20181012-5156.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/24/18.

Docket Numbers: RP19-80-000.

Applicants: ETC Tiger Pipeline, LLC.

Description: eTariff filing per 1430: FERC Form No. 501-G Report.

Filed Date: 10/12/18.

Accession Number: 20181012-5000.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/24/18.

Docket Numbers: RP19-81-000.

Applicants: Iroquois Gas Transmission System, L.P.

Description: eTariff filing per 1430: 101218 Petition for Waiver of Form 501-G Filing.

Filed Date: 10/12/18.

Accession Number: 20181012-5020.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/24/18.

Docket Numbers: RP19-82-000.

Applicants: High Point Gas Transmission, LLC.

Description: eTariff filing per 1430: Form No 501-G Filing.

Filed Date: 10/12/18.

Accession Number: 20181012-5120.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/24/18.

Docket Numbers: RP19-83-000.

Applicants: Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

Description: § 4(d) Rate Filing: Negotiated Rate Agreement-Castleton Commodities—TempCapRelease to be effective 10/13/2018.

Filed Date: 10/15/18.

Accession Number: 20181015-5060.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 10/29/18.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22949 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL19-6-000]

City of Alexandria, Louisiana v. Cleco Power LLC; Notice of Complaint

Take notice that on October 12, 2018, pursuant to sections 206, 306, and 309 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C.

824e, 825e, and 825h and Rule 206 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.206, the City of Alexandria, Louisiana (Complainant) filed a formal complaint against Cleco Power LLC (Respondent), alleging that the Respondent is violating its Attachment O formula rate in the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. Tariff. Complainant also argues that the Respondent is violating Commission orders, regulations, and generally applicable ratemaking policies, all as more fully explained in the complaint.

The Complainant certifies that copies of the complaint were served on the contacts for Respondent and the Louisiana Public Service Commission as listed on the Commission's list of Corporate Officials.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainants.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the

“eLibrary” link and is available for electronic review in the Commission’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an “eSubscription” link on the website that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on November 1, 2018.

Dated: October 15, 2018.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22924 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER19–106–000]

Supplemental Notice That Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization: Birdsboro Power LLC

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding of Birdsboro Power LLC’s application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff, noting that such application includes a request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests with regard to the applicant’s request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability, is November 5, 2018.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at <http://www.ferc.gov>. To facilitate electronic service, persons with internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the

eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above-referenced proceeding are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for electronic review in the Commission’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the website that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22946 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 9985–65–OAR]

Meeting of the Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s), Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee (MSTRS) will meet on January 10, 2019. The MSTRS is a subcommittee under the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee. This is an open meeting. The meeting will include discussion of current topics and presentations about activities being conducted by EPA’s Office of Transportation and Air Quality. The preliminary agenda for the meeting and any notices about change in venue will be posted on the subcommittee’s website: <http://www2.epa.gov/caaac/mobile-sources-technical-review-subcommittee-mstrs-caaac>. MSTRS listserv subscribers will receive notification when the agenda is available on the subcommittee website. To subscribe to the MSTRS listserv, send an email to mccubbin.courtney@epa.gov.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Thursday, January 10, 2019, from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. Registration begins at 8:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting is currently scheduled to be held at Dupont Circle Hotel, 1500 New Hampshire Ave. NW, Washington DC 20036. However, this date and location are subject to change and interested parties should monitor the subcommittee website (above) for the latest logistical information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Courtney McCubbin, Designated Federal Officer, Transportation and Climate Division, Mailcode 6406A, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–564–2436; email: mccubbin.courtney@epa.gov.

Background on the work of the subcommittee is available at: <https://www.epa.gov/caaac/mobile-sources-technical-review-subcommittee-mstrs-caaac>.

Individuals or organizations wishing to provide comments to the subcommittee should submit them to Ms. McCubbin at the address above by December 27, 2018. The subcommittee expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: During the meeting, the subcommittee may also hear progress reports from some of its workgroups as well as updates and announcements on activities of general interest to attendees.

For Individuals with disabilities: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Ms. McCubbin (see above). To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Ms. McCubbin, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Christopher Grundler,
Director, Office of Transportation and Air Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018–23003 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPPT–2018–0406; FRL–9985–20]

Certain New Chemical Substances; Receipt and Status Information for July 2018

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is required under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), as amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act, to make information publicly available and to publish information in the **Federal Register** pertaining to submissions under TSCA section 5, including notice of receipt of a Premanufacture Notice (PMN), Significant New Use Notice (SNUN) or Microbial Commercial Activity Notice (MCAN), including an amended notice or test information; an exemption application (Biotech exemption); an application for a Test Marketing Exemption (TME), both pending and/or concluded; a Notice of Commencement (NOC) of manufacture (including import) for new chemical substances; and a periodic status report on new chemical substances that are currently under EPA review or have recently concluded review. This document covers the period from July 1, 2018 to July 31, 2018.

DATES: Comments identified by the specific case number provided in this document must be received on or before November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0406, and the specific case number for the chemical substance related to your comment, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** Document Control Office (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical information contact: Jim Rahai, Information Management Division (MC 7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200

Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 564-8593; email address: rahai.jim@epa.gov.

For general information contact: The TSCA-Hotline, ABVI-Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554-1404; email address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Executive Summary***A. What action is the Agency taking?*

This document provides the receipt and status reports for the period from July 1, 2018 to July 31, 2018. The Agency is providing notice of receipt of PMNs, SNUNs and MCANs (including amended notices and test information); an exemption application under 40 CFR part 725 (Biotech exemption); TMEs, both pending and/or concluded; NOCs to manufacture a new chemical substance; and a periodic status report on new chemical substances that are currently under EPA review or have recently concluded review.

EPA is also providing information on its website about cases reviewed under the amended TSCA, including the section 5 PMN/SNUN/MCAN and exemption notices received, the date of receipt, the final EPA determination on the notice, and the effective date of EPA's determination for PMN/SNUN/MCAN notices on its website at: <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/status-pre-manufacture-notices>. This information is updated on a weekly basis.

B. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*, a chemical substance may be either an "existing" chemical substance or a "new" chemical substance. Any chemical substance that is not on EPA's TSCA Inventory of Chemical Substances (TSCA Inventory) is classified as a "new chemical substance," while a chemical substance that is listed on the TSCA Inventory is classified as an "existing chemical substance." (See TSCA section 3(11).) For more information about the TSCA Inventory go to: <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-inventory>.

Any person who intends to manufacture (including import) a new chemical substance for a non-exempt commercial purpose, or to manufacture or process a chemical substance in a non-exempt manner for a use that EPA has determined is a significant new use, is required by TSCA section 5 to

provide EPA with a PMN, MCAN or SNUN, as appropriate, before initiating the activity. EPA will review the notice, make a risk determination on the chemical substance or significant new use, and take appropriate action as described in TSCA section 5(a)(3).

TSCA section 5(h)(1) authorizes EPA to allow persons, upon application and under appropriate restrictions, to manufacture or process a new chemical substance, or a chemical substance subject to a significant new use rule (SNUR) issued under TSCA section 5(a)(2), for "test marketing" purposes, upon a showing that the manufacture, processing, distribution in commerce, use, and disposal of the chemical will not present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. This is referred to as a test marketing exemption, or TME. For more information about the requirements applicable to a new chemical go to: <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/newchemicals>.

Under TSCA sections 5 and 8 and EPA regulations, EPA is required to publish in the **Federal Register** certain information, including notice of receipt of a PMN/SNUN/MCAN (including amended notices and test information); an exemption application under 40 CFR part 725 (biotech exemption); an application for a TME, both pending and concluded; NOCs to manufacture a new chemical substance; and a periodic status report on the new chemical substances that are currently under EPA review or have recently concluded review.

C. Does this action apply to me?

This action provides information that is directed to the public in general.

D. Does this action have any incremental economic impacts or paperwork burdens?

No.

E. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. **Submitting confidential business information (CBI).** Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the

public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

II. Status Reports

In the past, EPA has published individual notices reflecting the status of TSCA section 5 filings received, pending or concluded. In 1995, the Agency modified its approach and streamlined the information published in the **Federal Register** after providing notice of such changes to the public and an opportunity to comment (See the **Federal Register** of May 12, 1995, (60 FR 25798) (FRL-4942-7). Since the passage of the Lautenberg amendments to TSCA in 2016, public interest in information on the status of section 5 cases under EPA review and, in particular, the final determination of such cases, has increased. In an effort to be responsive to the regulated community, the users of this information, and the general public, to

comply with the requirements of TSCA, to conserve EPA resources and to streamline the process and make it more timely, EPA is providing information on its website about cases reviewed under the amended TSCA, including the section 5 PMN/SNUN/MCAN and exemption notices received, the date of receipt, the final EPA determination on the notice, and the effective date of EPA's determination for PMN/SNUN/MCAN notices on its website at: <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/status-pre-manufacture-notices>. This information is updated on a weekly basis.

III. Receipt Reports

For the PMN/SNUN/MCANs received by EPA during this period, Table I provides the following information (to the extent that such information is not subject to a CBI claim) on the notices received by EPA during this period: The EPA case number assigned to the notice that indicates whether the submission is an initial submission, or an amendment, a notation of which version was received, the date the notice was

received by EPA, the submitting manufacturer (*i.e.*, domestic producer or importer), the potential uses identified by the manufacturer in the notice, and the chemical substance identity.

As used in each of the tables in this unit, (S) indicates that the information in the table is the specific information provided by the submitter, and (G) indicates that this information in the table is generic information because the specific information provided by the submitter was claimed as CBI. Submissions which are initial submissions will not have a letter following the case number. Submissions which are amendments to previous submissions will have a case number followed by the letter "A" (*e.g.*, P-18-1234A). The version column designates submissions in sequence as "1", "2", "3", etc. Note that in some cases, an initial submission is not numbered as version 1; this is because earlier version(s) were rejected as incomplete or invalid submissions. Note also that future versions of the following tables may adjust slightly as the Agency works to automate population of the data in the tables.

TABLE I—PMN/SNUN/MCANs RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018

Case No.	Version	Received date	Manufacturer	Use	Chemical substance
J-18-0028	1	7/3/2018	DSM BioProducts & Services.	(G) Ethanol production from the fermentation of C-6 sugars.	(G) <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> modified.
J-18-0029	1	7/3/2018	DSM BioProducts & Services.	(G) Ethanol production from the fermentation of C-6 sugars.	(G) <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> modified.
J-18-0030	1	7/3/2018	DSM BioProducts & Services.	(G) Ethanol production from the fermentation of C-6 sugars.	(G) <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> modified.
J-18-0038A	2	7/2/2018	CBI	(G) Biopolymer Production	(G) Biopolymer producing modified micro-organism(s) with chromosomally-borne modifications.
J-18-0039A	2	7/2/2018	CBI	(G) Biopolymer Production	(G) Biopolymer producing modified micro-organism(s) with chromosomally-borne modifications.
P-16-0180A	3	6/25/2018	CBI	(S) Component of industrial and maintenance coatings.	(G) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, polymer with a-hydro-w-hydroxypoly[oxy(methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)] and alkylene oxide polymer, alkylamine initiated.
P-16-0275A	2	7/12/2018	CBI	(G) Surfactant, (G) None	(G) Rhamnolipid salt, (G) Rhamnolipids.
P-16-0275A	3	7/21/2018	CBI	(G) Surfactant, (G) None	(G) Rhamnolipid salt, (G) Rhamnolipids.
P-16-0276A	2	7/12/2018	CBI	(G) Surfactant, (G) None	(G) Rhamnolipid salt, (G) Rhamnolipids.
P-16-0276A	3	7/21/2018	CBI	(G) Surfactant, (G) None	(G) Rhamnolipid salt, (G) Rhamnolipids.
P-17-0347A	3	7/17/2018	Sasol Chemicals (USA) LLC.	(G) Oilfield Surfactant	(S) Oxirane, 2-methyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono(2-butylloctyl) ether.
P-17-0348A	3	7/17/2018	Sasol Chemicals (USA) LLC.	(G) Oilfield Surfactant	(S) Oxirane, 2-methyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono(2-hexyldodecyl) ether.
P-17-0349A	3	7/17/2018	Sasol Chemicals (USA) LLC.	(G) Oilfield Surfactant	(S) Oxirane, 2-methyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono(2-octyldodecyl) ether.
P-17-0350A	3	7/17/2018	Sasol Chemicals (USA) LLC.	(G) Oilfield Surfactant	(S) Oxirane, 2-methyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono(2-decyltetradecyl) ether.
P-17-0351A	3	7/17/2018	Sasol Chemicals (USA) LLC.	(G) Oilfield Surfactant	(S) Oxirane, 2-methyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono(2-dodecylhexadecyl) ether.
P-17-0352A	3	7/17/2018	Sasol Chemicals (USA) LLC.	(G) Oilfield Surfactant	(S) Oxirane, 2-methyl-, polymer with oxirane, mono(2-tetradecyloctadecyl) ether.
P-17-0398A	8	7/20/2018	Nexus Fuels	(G) Stock use, (G) Wax-Component of complex formulations for blending.	(G) Branched cyclic and linear hydrocarbons from plastic depolymerization.
P-17-0399A	8	7/20/2018	Nexus Fuels	(G) Stock use, (G) Wax-Component of complex formulations for blending.	(G) Alkane, alkene, styrenic compounds derived from plastic depolymerization.
P-18-0028A	4	7/20/2018	Nexus Fuels	(G) Blending stock	(G) Branched cyclic and linear hydrocarbons from plastic depolymerization.

TABLE I—PMN/SNUN/MCANS RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018—Continued

Case No.	Version	Received date	Manufacturer	Use	Chemical substance
P-18-0031A	5	7/10/2018	CBI	(G) Ingredient for industrial coating	(G) Substituted dicarboxylic acid, polymer with various alkanediols.
P-18-0036A	4	7/19/2018	Bluestar Silicones USA Corp.	(G) Water repellant	(S) Siloxanes and silicones, di-me, 3-[3-carboxy-2(or 3)-(octenyl)-1-oxopropoxy]propyl group-terminated.
P-18-0042A	6	7/16/2018	Myriant Corporation ...	(G) Industrial Coating	(S) 2,5-furandione, polymer with 2-ethyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol, 3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-4,7-methano-1h-inden-5(or 6)-yl ester, ester with 2,3-dihydroxypropyl neodecanoate, polymer with 5-isocyanato-1-(isocyanatomethyl)-1,3,3-trimethylcyclohexane, 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate- and 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate-blocked.
P-18-0049A	4	6/28/2018	CBI	(G) Coating component/processing aid	(G) Mixed metal halide.
P-18-0050A	3	6/21/2018	CBI	(G) Raw material in industrial coatings	(G) Alkane, diisocyanato-, homopolymer, alkyl dihydrogen phosphate- and polyalkylene glycol mono-alkyl ether-.
P-18-0057A	7	7/5/2018	CBI	(S) A drier accelerator that is used for superior drying performance in solvent-borne and waterborne air dried paints, inks and coatings.	(S) Vanadium, tris(2-ethylhexanoato-ko)tri-μ-oxotri-, cyclo.
P-18-0104A	4	6/21/2018	CBI	(S) Halogen free flame retardant in thermoplastic polymers.	(G) Acrylic acid, reaction products with pentaerythritol, polymerized.
P-18-0129A	3	7/23/2018	CBI	(G) Intermediate used for chemical production.	(S) Benzenepropional, alpha, alpha, 3-trimethyl-.
P-18-0152A	4	7/5/2018	CBI	(G) Intermediate for use in manufacturing	(G) Hydrolyzed functionalized di-amino silanol polymer.
P-18-0155A	3	6/25/2018	CBI	(G) Component in cement	(G) Crosslinked polymer of alkyl acrylamides, acrylate esters, and alkyl acrylamide sulfonate salt.
P-18-0156A	3	6/25/2018	CBI	(G) Component in cement	(G) Crosslinked polymer of alkyl acrylamides, acrylate esters, and alkyl acrylamide sulfonic acid.
P-18-0162A	4	7/19/2018	CBI	(G) Adhesive component	(G) Cashew nutshell liquid, polymer with diisocyanatoalkane, substituted-polyoxyalkyldiol and polyether polyol.
P-18-0170A	4	7/10/2018	CBI	(G) Textile treatment	(S) 1-propanaminium, n,n'-(oxydi-2,1-ethanediyl)bis[3-chloro-2-hydroxy-n,n-dimethyl-, dichloride.
P-18-0175A	3	7/12/2018	Hexion Inc	(S) Non-food contact can coating, (S) Food can coating.	(S) Formaldehyde, polymer with 4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol and phenol, bu ether.
P-18-0184A	2	7/13/2018	CBI	(G) Component in printing plates, (G) Coating component.	(G) Halide, bis alkylaromatic, polyaromatic non-metal salt.
P-18-0210A	4	7/11/2018	CBI	(G) Intermediate	(G) Phosphonomethylated ether diamine.
P-18-0212A	2	6/26/2018	Allnex USA Inc	(S) Coating resin for improved appearance and adhesion.	(G) Substituted carbomonocycle, polymer with alkyl alkenoate, alkenyl substituted carbomonocycle, substituted alkanediol, heteropolycycle, alkylene glycol and alkenoic acid, compd. with alkylamino alkanol.
P-18-0217A	3	6/26/2018	Galata Chemicals LLC	(S) Stabilizer for PVC compound	(G) Alkyltin dodecylthioester.
P-18-0218A	3	6/26/2018	Galata Chemicals LLC	(S) Stabilizer for PVC compound	(G) Alkyltin tetradecylthioester.
P-18-0219A	4	7/2/2018	CBI	(G) Intermediate for topcoat	(G) Polythioether, short chain diol polymer terminated with aliphatic diisocyanate.
P-18-0223	1	6/26/2018	Clariant Corporation ...	(S) Selectivity improver for catalysts used in the production of polyolefins.	(G) Alkane, bis(alkoxymethyl)-dimethyl-.
P-18-0224A	3	6/27/2018	CBI	(G) Component of ink	(G) Alkenoic acid, polymer with alkenylcarbomonocycle, [alkanediylbis(substitutedalkylene)] bis[heteromonocycle] and (alkylalkenyl) aromatic, salt.
P-18-0225A	3	6/27/2018	CBI	(G) Component of ink	(G) Alkenoic acid, polymer with substituted alkylloxirane, alkenylcarbomonocycle, alkyl substituted alkyl alkanediol and (alkylalkenyl) aromatic salt.
P-18-0226A	3	7/10/2018	CBI	(G) Anti-agglomerate	(G) Tri alkyl, mono alkoxy, fatty acid ester, ammonium salt.
P-18-0227	1	6/29/2018	CBI	(G) Corrosion inhibitor, (G) Chemical intermediate.	(S) D-glucaric acid.
P-18-0230	1	6/29/2018	Clariant Plastics & Coatings USA Inc.	(S) Lubricant and surface protection agent ...	(S) Waxes and waxy substances, rice bran, oxidized, calcium salts.
P-18-0231	1	6/29/2018	Allnex USA Inc	(S) Waterborne UV curable coating resin binder for inkjet, ink or overprint varnish.	(G) Alkanoic acid, substituted alkyl-, polymer with isocyanatoalkane, alkyl carbonate, alkanediol and polyalkylene glycol ether with alkyl(substituted alkyl) alkanediol alkenoate, glycerol monoacrylate alkanate-blocked.
P-18-0232	1	7/5/2018	Clariant Corporation ...	(S) Hydrogen sulfide scavenger in oil and gas applications.	(G) Polyol, reaction products with formaldehyde and methanol.

TABLE I—PMN/SNUN/MCANS RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018—Continued

Case No.	Version	Received date	Manufacturer	Use	Chemical substance
P-18-0233	1	7/6/2018	CBI	(G) Coating agent	(G) Alkyl alkenoic acid, alkyl ester, telomer with alkylthiol, substituted carbomonocycle, substituted alkyl alkyl alkenoate and hydroxyalkyl alkenoate, tert-butyl alkyl peroxyate-initiated.
P-18-0234	1	7/6/2018	Eastman Kodak Company.	(G) Coating component	(G) Alkenoic acid, reaction products with bis substituted alkane and ether polyol.
P-18-0234A	2	7/11/2018	CBI	(G) Coating component	(G) Alkenoic acid, reaction products with bis substituted alkane and ether polyol.
P-18-0234A	4	7/24/2018	CBI	(G) Coating component	(G) Alkenoic acid, reaction products with bis substituted alkane and ether polyol.
P-18-0235A	2	7/23/2018	CBI	(S) Component in automotive gasoline/transportation fuel for consumer use.	(G) Naphtha oils.
P-18-0236	1	7/11/2018	The Sherwin Williams Company.	(G) Paint additive	(G) Metal, alkenoic acid, alkyl substituted alkenoate polymer carbopolycycle complexes.
P-18-0237A	3	7/19/2018	CBI	(G) Use in print resins	(G) Alkanediol, polymer with 5-isocyanato-1-(isocyanatomethyl)-1,3,3-trimethylcyclohexane, alkylaminoalkyl methacrylate-, and dialkylheteromonocycle-blocked.
P-18-0238	1	7/16/2018	Georgia-Pacific Chemicals LLC.	(S) Binder for wood panels	(G) Saccharide reaction products with acid anhydride, etherified.
P-18-0239	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Reactant in coating	(G) N-alkyl propanamide.
P-18-0240	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Reactant in coating	(G) N-alkyl acetamide.
P-18-0241	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Necessary precursor for automotive coating.	(G) 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, methyl ester, polymer with ethenylbenzene, ethyl 2-propenoate, substituted-alkyl-2-propenoate, 2-oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate and 1,2-propanediol mono(2-methyl-2-propenoate), reaction products with diethanolamine, formats (salts).
P-18-0242	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Necessary precursor for automotive coating.	(G) 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, methyl ester, polymer with ethenylbenzene, ethyl 2-propenoate substituted-alkyl-2-propenoate, 2-oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate and 1,2-propanediol mono(2-methyl-2-propenoate), reaction products with diethanolamine, acetates (salts).
P-18-0243	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Necessary precursor for automotive coating.	(G) 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, methyl ester, polymer with ethenylbenzene, ethyl 2-propenoate, substituted-alkyl-2-propenoate, 2-oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate and 1,2-propanediol mono(2-methyl-2-propenoate), reaction products with diethanolamine, sulfamates (salts).
P-18-0244	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Necessary precursor for automotive coating.	(G) 2-propenoic acid, substituted-alkyl-2-propenoate, polymer with ethenylbenzene, ethyl 2-propenoate, methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, 2-oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate and 1,2-propanediol mono(2-methyl-2-propenoate), reaction products with diethanolamine, formats (salts).
P-18-0245	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Necessary precursor for automotive coating.	(G) 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, methyl ester, polymer with ethenylbenzene, ethyl 2-propenoate, 2-oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, 1,2-propanediol mono(2-methyl-2-propenoate) and substituted-alkyl-2-propenoate, reaction products with diethanolamine, formats (salts).
P-18-0246	1	7/16/2018	CBI	(G) Necessary precursor for automotive coating.	(G) 2-propenoic acid, substituted-alkyl-2-propenoate, polymer with ethenylbenzene, ethyl 2-propenoate, methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, 2-oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate and 1,2-propanediol mono(2-methyl-2-propenoate), reaction products with diethanolamine, acetates (salts).
P-18-0247	1	7/18/2018	CBI	(S) Crosslinker for automotive electrocoat	(G) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, polymer with 2-ethyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol, polyetherpolyol, alpha, alpha'-[(1-methylethylidene)di-4,1-phenylene]bis[omega-hydroxypoly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)] and 1,2-propanediol, iso-butyl alc.- and 2-butoxyethanol- and 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol- and et alc.- and methanol- and 1-methoxy-2-propanol-blocked.

TABLE I—PMN/SNUN/MCANS RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018—Continued

Case No.	Version	Received date	Manufacturer	Use	Chemical substance
P-18-0248	1	7/18/2018	CBI	(S) Crosslinker for automotive electrocoat	(G) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, polymer with polyetherpolyol, 2-butoxyethanol- and 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol- and methanol-blocked.
P-18-0249	1	7/18/2018	CBI	(S) Crosslinker for automotive electrocoat	(G) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, polymer with polyetherpolyol, 2-butoxyethanol- and 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol- and methanol- and 1-methoxy-2-propanol-blocked.
P-18-0250	1	7/18/2018	CBI	(S) Crosslinker for automotive electrocoat	(G) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, polymer with polyetherpolyol, 2-butoxyethanol- and 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol- and 1(or2)-(2-methoxymethylethoxy)propanol-blocked.
P-18-0251	1	7/18/2018	CBI	(S) Crosslinker for automotive electrocoat	(S) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, 2-butoxyethanol- and 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol- and methanol- and 1(or2)-(2-methoxymethylethoxy)propanol-blocked.
P-18-0252	1	7/18/2018	CBI	(S) Crosslinker for automotive electrocoat	(S) Isocyanic acid, polymethylenepolyphenylene ester, 2-butoxyethanol- and 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol- and methanol- and 1-methoxy-2-propanol-blocked.
P-18-0253	1	7/20/2018	UBE America Inc	(G) Extrusion and Injection Molding Polymer	(S) Dodecanoic acid, 12-amino-, homopolymer.
P-18-0254	1	7/20/2018	UBE America Inc	(G) Extrusion and Injection Molding Polymer	(G) Hexanedioic acid, polymer with 12-aminododecanoic acid and a polyetheramine.
P-18-0255	1	7/20/2018	UBE America Inc	(G) Recreational equipment	(S) Dodecanoic acid, 12-amino-, polymer with hexahydro-2h-azepin-2-one.
P-18-0256	1	7/20/2018	CBI	(G) Chemical Intermediate, (G) Solvent	(S) Undecanol, branched.
P-18-0257	1	7/23/2018	Everris NA Inc	(S) Inorganic Fertilizer	(S) Phosphoric acid, potassium salt (2:3).
P-18-0258	1	7/23/2018	CBI	(G) Copolyamide for Monofilament, (G) Copolyamide for Packaging Films, (G) Copolyamide for Molding Parts.	(G) Dioic acids, polymers with caprolactam and alkyldiamines.
P-18-0259	1	7/23/2018	CBI	(G) Copolyamide for Monofilament, (G) Copolyamide for Packaging Films, (G) Copolyamide for Molding Parts.	(G) Fatty acids, dimers, hydrogenated, polymers with caprolactam and alkyl diamine.
P-18-0260	1	7/26/2018	Allnex USA Inc	(S) Binder for wood stains	(G) Fatty acids, polymers with alkanolic acid and substituted carbomonocycle, peroxide-initiated, polymers with alkanolic acid esters and substituted carbomonocycle, ammonium salts.
P-18-0261	1	7/26/2018	CBI	(G) Polymer composite additive	(G) Metal, alkylcarboxylate oxo complexes.
P-18-0263	1	7/27/2018	CBI	(G) Solution additive	(G) Mixed alkyl esters-, polymer with n1-(2-aminoethyl)- 1,2-ethanediamine, aziridine, n-acetyl derivs., acetates (salts).
P-18-0264	1	7/27/2018	CBI	(G) Intermediate	(G) Phosphonomethylated ether diamine.
SN-18-0004A	3	7/5/2018	CBI	(S) This SNUN is a request to incorporate PMN substance P-12-0044 as an anti-corrosion additive and/or strengthening additive for use in epoxy compounds for coatings, paints and composites.	(S) Functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes.
SN-18-0004A	3	7/5/2018	CBI	(S) This SNUN is a request to incorporate PMN substance P-12-0044 as an anti-corrosion additive and/or strengthening additive for use in epoxy compounds for coatings, paints and composites.	(S) Functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes.

In Table II. of this unit, EPA provides the following information (to the extent that such information is not claimed as CBI) on the TMEs received by EPA

during this period: The EPA case number assigned to the TME, the date the TME was received by EPA, the projected end date for EPA's review of

the TME, the submitting manufacturer/importer, the potential uses identified by the manufacturer/importer in the TME, and the chemical identity.

TABLE II—TMEs RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018

Case No.	Submission type	Version	Received date	Manufacturer	Use	Chemical substance
T-18-0003A	SS78	2	07/16/2018	CBI	(G) Additive	(G) Alkylated diphenylamines, homopolymers.

In Table III. of this unit, EPA provides the following information (to the extent that such information is not claimed as CBI) on the NOCs received by EPA during this period: The EPA case

number assigned to the NOC including whether the submission was an initial or amended submission, the date the NOC was received by EPA, the date of commencement provided by the

submitter in the NOC, a notation of the type of amendment (e.g., amendment to generic name, specific name, technical contact information, etc.) and chemical substance identity.

TABLE III—NOCs RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018

Case No.	Received date	Commence- ment date	If amendment, type of amendment	Chemical substance
P-11-0182	7/20/2018	10/23/2017	N	(S) Poly(oxy-1,4-butanediyl), .alpha.-hydro-.omega.-hydroxy-, polymers with hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene and 5-isocyanato-1-(isocyanatomethyl)-1,3,3-trimethylcyclohexane, 4-methoxyphenol-blocked.
P-13-0253A ..	7/20/2018	5/18/2018	Y	(G) Alkoxy substituted carbopolycyclemethyl.
P-13-0253A ..	7/11/2018	5/18/2018	Y	(G) 3,4-bis(alkoxy)-4-(carbopolycyclemethyl)-.
P-13-0289	6/27/2018	6/13/2018	N	(G) Alkanoic acid, tetramethylheteromonocycle ester.
P-13-0878A ..	7/19/2018	5/9/2018	Y	(G) 2-propenoic acid, reaction products with tris (2-hydroxyethyl) isocyanurate.
P-14-0125	7/25/2018	4/26/2018	N	(S) 1-octadecanaminium, n-(3-chloro-2-hydroxypropyl)-n,n-dimethyl-, chloride (1:1).
P-14-0314A ..	7/13/2018	4/6/2018	Y	(G) Poly oxy aliphatic halogenated phosphate.
P-14-0471A ..	7/19/2018	5/9/2018	Y	(G) Hexanedioic acid, polymer with 2-(chloromethyl) oxirane polymer with isocyanato acrylate blocked, cmpds with triethylamine.
P-14-0472	7/9/2018	6/14/2018	N	(G) Polyphosphoric acids, [(alkyl-oxo-2-propen-1-yl)oxy]ethyl esters, compds. with n-(aminoiminomethyl)urea.
P-15-0368	7/11/2018	5/13/2016	N	(S) Starch, acid-hydrolyzed, 3-(trimethylammonio)propyl ether, bromide.
P-15-0652	7/3/2018	6/12/2018	N	(G) Dicarboxylic acids, polymers with alkyl prop-2-enoate, alkanediol, 2-substituted alkyl 2-methylprop-2-enoate, 3-substituted-2-(substituted alkyl)-2-alkylpropanoic acid, 5-isocyanato-1-(isocyanatoalkyl)-1,3,3-trialkylcycloalkane, alkanedioic acid, methyl 2-methylprop-2-enoate and vinyl carbomonocycle compds. with trialkyl amine.
P-16-0588A ..	7/17/2018	5/13/2018	Y	(G) Alkyl methacrylate, polymer with alkyl acrylate and polyesters.
P-17-0343A ..	7/19/2018	4/9/2018	Y	(G) Heteropolycyclic-alkanol, carbomonocycle-alkanesulfonate.
P-18-0017	6/29/2018	6/29/2018	N	(G) Substituted carbomonocycle, polymer with substituted heteromonocycle and substituted polyalkylene glycol.
P-18-0044	7/11/2018	6/13/2018	N	(G) Fatty acids.
P-18-0045	7/18/2018	7/17/2018	N	(G) Fatty acids, alkyl esters.
P-18-0046	7/2/2018	6/29/2018	N	(G) Substituted carbomonocycle, polymer with diisocyanatoalkane, substituted alkylacrylate-blocked.
P-18-0071	7/26/2018	7/23/2018	N	(G) Aromatic dicarboxylic acid, compound with alkane diamines, polymer with alkane diamine and dicarboxylic acid.
P-18-0079	7/26/2018	7/23/2018	N	(G) Aromatic dicarboxylic acid, compound with alkyl diamines, homopolymer.

In Table IV. of this unit, EPA provides the following information (to the extent such information is not subject to a CBI claim) on the test information received

by EPA during this time period: The EPA case number assigned to the test information; the date the test information was received by EPA, the

type of test information submitted, and chemical substance identity.

TABLE IV—TEST INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018

Case No.	Received date	Type of test information	Chemical substance
P-16-0404	7/3/2018	Color Fastness Local Lymph Node Assay (OECD 442b) Bacterial Mutation Assay (OECD 471).	(G)- Alkyl ester, 2-({4-[2-(trisubstituted phenyl)azo]-5-acetamido-2-substitutedphenyl}(substituted alkoxy)amino).
P-17-0200	7/3/2018	Fresh Water Algal Growth Inhibition Test with [CBI] (OECD 201).	(G) 1,3-bis(substitutedbenzoyl)benzene.
P-18-0052	7/9/2018	Surface Tension Testing	(G) Perfluoroalkylethyl- and vinyl-modified Organopolysiloxane.
P-18-0053	7/9/2018	Surface Tension Testing	(G) Perfluoroalkylethyl- and vinyl-modified Organopolysiloxane.
P-15-0121	7/9/2018	Algae Growth Inhibition Test (OPPTS 850.1000)	(S) Formaldehyde, polymer with 2-aminocyclopentanemethanamine, 1,4-butanediamine, 1,2-cyclohexanediamine, 1,6-hexanediamine, hexahydro-1H-azepine and 2-methyl-1,5-pentanediamine.
P-15-0583	7/9/2018	Sediment-Water Life-Cycle Toxicity Test using Spiked Sediment (OECD 233).	(G) Butanedioic acid, alkyl amine, dimethylbutyl ester.

TABLE IV—TEST INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM 7/1/2018 TO 7/31/2018—Continued

Case No.	Received date	Type of test information	Chemical substance
P-16-0438	7/10/2018	Acrolein Cyanohydrin-O-Acetate (ACA) TSCA Workplace Exposure Task and Personnel Protective Equipment Testing, Task Monitoring, PPE sampling, Testing to determine the Effective Organic Vapor Analyzer Response Factor and Detection Limit for ACA.	(S) 3-Butenenitrile, 2-(acetyloxy).
P-17-0187	7/13/2018	Primary Skin Irritation Test	(G) Polymer with benzoic acid tetra halogen hydroxy tetrahalogen oxo H xanthenyl alkenylaryl alkyl ester alkalai metal salt, butyl-2-propenoate, ethenyl neodecanoate, methyl-2-methyl-2-propenoate and 2-methyl-2-propenoic acid.
SN-18-0003 ...	7/13/2018	Metals Removal Testing	(S) Lithium nickel oxide (LiNiO ₂).
P-17-0257	7/18/2018	Dustiness Testing using the Vortex Shaker	(S) Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes.
P-18-0230	7/18/2018	Water Solubility Test (OECD 105) Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability Test (OECD 437).	(S) Waxes and Waxy substances, rice bran, oxidized, calcium salts.
P-15-0583	7/19/2018	Anaerobic and Aerobic Aquatic Metabolism Toxicity data (OECD 308).	(G) Butanedioic acid, alkyl amine, dimethylbutyl ester.
P-18-0036	7/19/2018	Skin Sensitization Study (OECD 406)	(G) Siloxanes and Silicones, di-Me, 3-[3-carboxy-2(or 3)-(octenyl)-1-oxopropoxy]propyl group-terminated.

If you are interested in information that is not included in these tables, you may contact EPA's technical information contact or general information contact as described under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** to access additional non-CBI information that may be available.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*

Dated: October 11, 2018.

Pamela Myrick,

*Director, Information Management Division,
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.*

[FR Doc. 2018-23004 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

Clerk, at (202) 694-1040, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting date.

Dayna C. Brown,

Secretary and Clerk of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-23152 Filed 10-18-18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Notice, request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) invites comment on a proposal to extend for three years, without revision, the Basel II Interagency Pillar 2 Supervisory Guidance (Pillar 2 Guidance) (FR 4199; OMB No. 7100-0320).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by *FR 4199*, by any of the following methods:

- **Agency Website:** <http://www.federalreserve.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/foia/proposedregs.aspx>.

- **Email:** regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Include OMB number in the subject line of the message.

- **Fax:** (202) 452-3819 or (202) 452-3102.

- **Mail:** Ann E. Misback, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and

Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board's website at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/foia/proposedregs.aspx> as submitted, unless modified for technical reasons.

Accordingly, your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper form in Room 3515, 1801 K Street NW (between 18th and 19th Streets NW), Washington, DC 20006 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on weekdays. For security reasons, the Board requires that visitors make an appointment to inspect comments. You may do so by calling (202) 452-3684. Upon arrival, visitors will be required to present valid government-issued photo identification and to submit to security screening in order to inspect and photocopy comments.

Additionally, commenters may send a copy of their comments to the OMB Desk Officer—Shagufta Ahmed—Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503, or by fax to (202) 395-6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the PRA OMB submission, including the proposed reporting form and instructions, supporting statement, and other documentation will be placed into OMB's public docket files, if approved. These documents will also be made available on the Board's public website at: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/reportforms/review.aspx> or may be requested from the agency clearance officer, whose name appears below.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: Thursday, October 25, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: 1050 First Street NE, Washington, DC (12th Floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Correction and Approval of Minutes for October 11, 2018

Draft Advisory Opinion 2018-12:

Defending Digital Campaigns, Inc.
Management and Administrative Matters

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694-1220.

Individuals who plan to attend and require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact Dayna C. Brown, Secretary and

Federal Reserve Board Clearance Officer—Nuha Elmaghrabi—Office of the Chief Data Officer, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551, (202) 452–3829. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) users may contact (202) 263–4869, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 15, 1984, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delegated to the Board authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) to approve and assign OMB control numbers to collection of information requests and requirements conducted or sponsored by the Board. In exercising this delegated authority, the Board is directed to take every reasonable step to solicit comment. In determining whether to approve a collection of information, the Board will consider all comments received from the public and other agencies.

Request for Comment on Information Collection Proposal

The Board invites public comment on the following information collection, which is being reviewed under authority delegated by the OMB under the PRA. Comments are invited on the following:

- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the Board's functions, including whether the information has practical utility;
- The accuracy of the Board's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;
- Ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and
- Estimates of capital or startup costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations received will be analyzed to determine the extent to which the Board should modify the proposal.

Proposal Under OMB Delegated Authority To Extend for Three Years, Without Revision, the Following Information Collection

Report title: Basel II Interagency Pillar 2 Supervisory Guidance (Pillar 2 Guidance).

Agency form number: FR 4199.

OMB control number: 7100–0320.

Frequency: As needed.

Respondents: Banking institutions.

Estimated number of respondents: 13.

Estimated average hours per response: 420.

Estimated annual burden hours: 5,460.

General description of report: The advanced approaches framework requires certain banks and bank holding companies (BHCs) to use an internal ratings-based approach to calculate regulatory credit risk capital requirements and advance measurement approaches to calculate regulatory operational risk capital requirements.

A bank is required to comply with the advanced approaches framework if it meets either of two independent threshold criteria: (1) Consolidated total assets of \$250 billion or more, as reported on the most recent year-end regulatory reports; or (2) consolidated total on-balance sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion or more at the most recent year-end.

A BHC is required to comply with the advanced approaches framework if the BHC has (1) consolidated total assets (excluding assets held by an insurance underwriting subsidiary) of \$250 billion or more, as reported on the most recent year-end regulatory reports; (2) consolidated total on-balance sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion or more at the most recent year-end; or (3) a subsidiary depository institution (DI) that meets the criteria to be subject to the advanced approaches rule or elects to adopt the advanced approaches. As of year-end 2017, 13 BHCs meet the above criteria and are therefore subject to the advanced approaches rule.¹

Also, some banks or BHCs may voluntarily decide to adopt the advanced approaches framework. Both mandatory and voluntary respondents are required to meet certain qualification requirements before they can use the advanced approaches framework for risk-based capital purposes.

¹ Regulation YY permits a bank holding company that is a subsidiary of a foreign banking institution to elect not to comply with the advanced approaches rule prior to formation of an intermediate holding companies (IHCs) with the prior approval of the Board. 12 CFR 252.153(e)(2)(C). Currently, no savings and loan holding companies are subject to the advanced approaches rule.

The Pillar 2 Guidance sets the expectation that respondents maintain certain documentation as described in paragraphs 37, 41, 43, and 46 of this portion of the guidance. Details of the expectations for each section are provided below.

Setting and Assessing Capital Adequacy Goals That Relate to Risk

Paragraph 37. In analyzing capital adequacy, a banking organization should evaluate the capacity of its capital to absorb losses. Because various definitions of capital are used within the banking industry, each banking organization should state clearly the definition of capital used in any aspect of its internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP).² Since components of capital are not necessarily alike and have varying capacities to absorb losses, a banking organization should be able to demonstrate the relationship between its internal capital definition and its assessment of capital adequacy. If a banking organization's definition of capital differs from the regulatory definition, the banking organization should reconcile such differences and provide an analysis to support the inclusion of any capital instruments that are not recognized under the regulatory definition. Although common equity is generally the predominant component of a banking organization's capital structure, a banking organization may be able to support the inclusion of other capital instruments in its internal definition of capital if it can demonstrate a similar capacity to absorb losses. The banking organization should document any changes in its internal definition of capital and the reason for those changes.

² Under the Board's capital plan rule (12 CFR 225.8), a bank holding company with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more is required to develop and maintain a capital plan; however, on July 6, 2018, the Board issued a public statement regarding the impact of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (EGRRCPA) (Pub. L. No. 115–174, 132 Stat. 1296 (2018)). The Board stated, consistent with EGRRCPA, that it will not action to require bank holding companies with total consolidated assets greater than or equal to \$50 billion but less than \$100 billion to comply with the Board's capital plan rule (<https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/files/bcreg20180706b1.pdf>). Bank holding companies subject to the capital plan rule must have a capital policy that sets forth a capital adequacy process. ICAAP would constitute an internal capital adequacy process for purposes of the capital plan rule, and bank holding companies that have a satisfactory ICAAP generally would be considered to have a satisfactory internal capital adequacy process for purposes of the capital plan rule.

Ensuring Integrity of Internal Capital Adequacy Assessments

Paragraph 41. A banking organization should maintain thorough documentation of its ICAAP to ensure transparency. At a minimum, this should include a description of the banking organization's overall capital-management process, including the committees and individuals responsible for the ICAAP; the frequency and distribution of ICAAP-related reporting; and the procedures for the periodic evaluation of the appropriateness and adequacy of the ICAAP. In addition, where applicable, ICAAP documentation should demonstrate the banking organization's sound use of quantitative methods (including model selection and limitations) and data-selection techniques, as well as appropriate maintenance, controls, and validation. A banking organization should document and explain the role of third-party and vendor products, services and information—including methodologies, model inputs, systems, data, and ratings—and the extent to which they are used within the ICAAP. A banking organization should have a process to regularly evaluate the performance of third-party and vendor products, services and information. As part of the ICAAP documentation, a banking organization should document the assumptions, methods, data, information, and judgment used in its quantitative and qualitative approaches.

Paragraph 43. The board of directors and senior management have certain responsibilities in developing, implementing, and overseeing the ICAAP. The board should approve the ICAAP and its components. The board or its appropriately delegated agent should review the ICAAP and its components on a regular basis and approve any revisions. That review should encompass the effectiveness of the ICAAP, the appropriateness of risk tolerance levels and capital planning, and the strength of control infrastructures. Senior management should continually ensure that the ICAAP is functioning effectively and as intended, under a formal review policy that is explicit and well documented. Additionally, a banking organization's internal audit function should play a key role in reviewing the controls and governance surrounding the ICAAP on an ongoing basis.

Paragraph 46. As part of the ICAAP, the board or its delegated agent, as well as appropriate senior management, should periodically review the resulting assessment of overall capital adequacy. This review, which should occur at least

annually, should include an analysis of how measures of internal capital adequacy compare with other capital measures (such as regulatory, accounting-based or market-determined). Upon completion of this review, the board or its delegated agent should determine that, consistent with safety and soundness, the banking organization's capital takes into account all material risks and is appropriate for its risk profile. However, in the event a capital deficiency is uncovered (that is, if capital is not consistent with the banking organization's risk profile or risk tolerance) management should consult and adhere to formal procedures to correct the capital deficiency.

Legal authorization and confidentiality: The collection of information is authorized pursuant to the International Lending Supervision Act (12 U.S.C. 3907(a)(1) and (b)(3)), section 1831o of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1831o), section 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1844), section 10(b)(2) of the Homeowners' Loan Act (12 U.S.C. 1467a(b)), and section 171 of the Dodd-Frank Act (12 U.S.C. 5371). The FR 4199 is voluntary.

Because the collections of information associated with the FR 4199 do not involve the submission of information to the Board, no issues of confidentiality would normally arise. To the extent that the Board collects information kept by a banking organization as a record during an examination of the banking organization, confidential treatment may be afforded to the records under exemption 8 of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(8)), which protects information collected as part of the Board's supervisory process. Additionally, individual respondents may request that certain information be afforded confidential treatment pursuant to exemption 4 of FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)) if the information has not previously been publically disclosed and the release of the data would likely cause substantial harm to the competitive position of the respondent.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 16, 2018.

Michele Taylor Fennell,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2018-22914 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090-0205;

Docket No. 2018-0001; Sequence No. 12]

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); Submission for OMB Review; Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding the extension of a previously existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the General Services Administration will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace.

DATES: Submit comments on or before: November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for GSA, Room 10236, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally submit a copy to GSA by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link "Comment Now" that corresponds with "Information Collection 3090-0205, Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace". Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 3090-0205, Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace" on your attached document.

- *Mail:* General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW, Washington, DC 20405. ATTN: Ms. Mandell/IC 3090-0205, Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 3090-0205, Environmental

Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace, in all correspondence related to this collection. Comments received generally will be posted without change to *regulations.gov*, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check *regulations.gov*, approximately two-to-three business days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Johnnie McDowell, Procurement Analyst, General Services Acquisition Policy Division, GSA, at telephone 202-718-6112, or via email to johnnie.mcdowell@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

The Federal Hazardous Substance Act and Hazardous Material Transportation Act prescribe standards for packaging of hazardous substances. To meet the requirements of the Acts, the General Services Administration Regulation prescribes provision 552.223-72, Hazardous Material Information, to be inserted in solicitations and contracts that provides for delivery of hazardous materials on a Free On Board (FOB) origin basis.

This information collection will be accomplished by means of the provision which requires the contractor to identify for each National Stock Number (NSN), the DOT Shipping Name, Department of Transportation (DOT) Hazards Class, and whether the item requires a DOT label. Contracting Officers and technical personnel use the information to monitor and ensure contract requirements based on law and regulation.

Properly identified and labeled items of hazardous material allows for appropriate handling of such items throughout GSA's supply chain system. The information is used by GSA, stored in an NSN database and provided to GSA customers. Non-Collection and/or a less frequently conducted collection of the information resulting from GSAR provision 552.223-72 would prevent the Government from being properly notified. Government activities may be hindered from apprising their employees of; (1) All hazards to which they may be exposed; (2) Relative symptoms and appropriate emergency treatment; and (3) Proper conditions and precautions for safe use and exposure.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 563.

Responses per Respondent: 3.
Total Responses: 1,689.
Hours per Response: .67.
Total Burden Hours: 1111.

C. Public Comments

A 60-day notice published in the **Federal Register** at 83 FR 32296 on July 12, 2018. No comments were received. Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary, whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division, 1800 F Street NW, Washington, DC 20405, telephone 202-501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 3090-0205, Environmental Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace, in all correspondence.

Dated: October 15, 2018.

Jeffrey A. Koses,

Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-23008 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-61-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Board of Scientific Counselors, National Center for Health Statistics (BSC, NCHS)

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the CDC announces the following meeting for the Board of Scientific Counselors, National Center for Health Statistics (BSC, NCHS). This meeting is open to the public; however, visitors must be processed in accordance with established federal policies and

procedures. For foreign nationals or non-U.S. citizens, pre-approval is required (please contact Gwen Mustaf, 301-458-4500, glm4@cdc.gov, or Sayeedha Uddin, 301-458-4303, isx9@cdc.gov at least 10 days in advance for requirements). All visitors are required to present a valid form of picture identification issued by a state, federal or international government. As required by the Federal Property Management Regulations, Title 41, Code of Federal Regulation, Subpart 101-20.301, all persons entering in or on Federal controlled property and their packages, briefcases, and other containers in their immediate possession are subject to being x-rayed and inspected. Federal law prohibits the knowing possession or the causing to be present of firearms, explosives and other dangerous weapons and illegal substances. The meeting room accommodates approximately 78 people.

DATES: The meeting will be held on December 4, 2018, 11:00 a.m.–5:30 p.m., EDT, and December 5, 2018, 8:30 a.m.–1:00 p.m., EDT.

ADDRESSES: NCHS Headquarters, 3311 Toledo Road, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sayeedha Uddin, M.D., M.P.H., Executive Secretary, NCHS/CDC, Board of Scientific Counselors, 3311 Toledo Road, Room 2627, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782, telephone (301)458-4303, email isx9@cdc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose: This committee is charged with providing advice and making recommendations to the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services; the Director, CDC; and the Director, NCHS, regarding the scientific and technical program goals and objectives, strategies, and priorities of NCHS.

Matters to be Considered: Day 1 meeting agenda includes welcome remarks by NCHS leadership; update on the National Health Interview Statistics Redesign Bridge Sample; update on National Study of Long-Term Care Providers; update on the Comparability Study for Opioid Questions; update from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Trust Fund Drug Workgroup; Day 2 meeting agenda includes update on Indicator Selection for Healthy People 2030; update on Evaluation of Birth Outcomes Associated with Drug Use; and an update on the Utilization of Electronic Health Records (EHR) Data in NCHS Data Systems. Requests to make oral presentations should be submitted

in writing to the contact person listed above. All requests must contain the name, address, telephone number, and organizational affiliation of the presenter. Written comments should not exceed five single-spaced typed pages in length and must be received by November 19, 2018. Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The Chief Operating Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Sherri Berger,

Chief Operating Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018-22987 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-19-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-19-1014; Docket No. CDC-2018-0096]

Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice with comment period.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce public burden and maximize the utility of government information, invites the general public and other Federal agencies the opportunity to comment on a proposed and/or continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This notice invites comment on a proposed information collection project titled CDC Worksite Health Scorecard, an updated organizational assessment and planning tool designed to help employers identify gaps in their health promotion programs and prioritize high-impact strategies for health promotion at their worksites.

DATES: CDC must receive written comments on or before December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CDC-2018-0096 by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** *Regulations.gov*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- **Mail:** Jeffrey M. Zirger, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS-D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket Number. CDC will post, without change, all relevant comments to *Regulations.gov*.

Please note: Submit all comments through the *Federal eRulemaking portal (regulations.gov)* or by U.S. mail to the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, contact Jeffrey M. Zirger, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS-D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; phone: 404-639-7570; Email: *omb@cdc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. In addition, the PRA also requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each new proposed collection, each proposed extension of existing collection of information, and each reinstatement of previously approved information collection before submitting the collection to the OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, we are publishing this notice of a proposed data collection as described below.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments that will help:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

5. Assess information collection costs.

Proposed Project

CDC Worksite Health ScoreCard—Revision—(OMB# 0920-1014 Exp. 02/28/2019) National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

In the United States, chronic diseases such as heart disease, obesity and diabetes are among the leading causes of death and disability. Although chronic diseases are among the most common and costly health problems, they are also among the most preventable. Adopting healthy behaviors—such as eating nutritious foods, being physically active and avoiding tobacco use—can prevent the devastating effects and reduce the rates of these diseases.

Employers are recognizing the role they can play in creating healthy work environments and providing employees with opportunities to make healthy lifestyle choices. To support these efforts, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed an online organizational assessment tool called the CDC Worksite Health Scorecard (“Scorecard”).

The Scorecard is a tool designed to help employers assess whether they have implemented evidence-based health promotion interventions or strategies in their worksites to prevent heart disease, stroke, and related conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, and obesity. The updated, validated, and pilot tested instrument contains 154 core health topic yes/no questions, eight core worksite demographic questions, with an additional eight optional worksite demographic questions divided into 19 modules (risk factors/conditions/demographics) that assess how evidence-based health promotion strategies are implemented at a worksite. These strategies include health promoting counseling services, environmental supports, policies, health plan benefits, and other worksite programs shown to be effective in preventing heart disease, stroke, and related health conditions. Employers can use this tool to assess how a comprehensive health promotion and disease prevention program is offered to their employees, to help identify program gaps, and to prioritize high impact strategies for health promotion at the worksite.

This is a revised Information Collection Request (ICR) supporting a broader group of employers to access the updated and pilot tested Scorecard, a web-based worksite organizational assessment, to regularly assess their workplace health programs and practices. Scorecard users will create a user account, complete the online assessment and receive an immediate feedback report that summarizes the current status of their worksite health program; identifies gaps in current programming; benchmarks individual employer results against other users of the system; and provides access to worksite health tools and resources to address employer gaps and priority program areas.

The updated Scorecard is based on a 2017 pilot test to determine the validity and reliability involving 89 employers (each represented by two knowledgeable employees) who completed the survey and follow-up telephone interviews to gather general impressions of the Scorecard—particularly the new modules—and also to discuss items where there were discrepancies (and items that were left blank) to understand the respondent's interpretation and perspective of their answers to these questions. The revised instrument includes some reorganization of the instrument and minor revisions, particularly to the new modules/questions, to better explain and define the context, concepts, or administration

of the strategies and interventions contained in the questions has been completed. This will streamline future information collection and minimize additional response time.

CDC will continue to provide outreach to, and register approximately 800 employers per year to use the online Scorecard survey in their workplace health program assessment, planning, and implementation efforts, which is open to employers of all sizes, industry sectors, and geographic locations across the country. OMB approval is requested for three years. Participation is voluntary and there are no costs to respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondent	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hrs)	Total burden (in hrs)
Employers	CDC Worksite Health ScoreCard Registration.	800	1	5/60	67
	CDC Worksite Health Scorecard	800	1	45/60	600
Total	667

Jeffrey M. Zirger,

Acting Chief, Information Collection Review Office, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018-22940 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[Docket No. CDC-2018-0054]

Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Success Rates Reporting and Data Validation Procedures

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: On May 31, 2018, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) requested comments on a plan to (1) revise the definition and characterization of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) success rates and (2) introduce clinic validation footnotes for the annual ART Fertility Clinic Success Rates Report. In the plan, CDC proposed

to include the footnotes to identify clinics selected by CDC to participate in the validation process of the National ART Surveillance System (NASS) data and: (a) Do participate, (b) do participate and have major data discrepancies identified through this process, or (c) decline to participate in the data validation process. This notice responds to the comments received in response to the notice published on May 31, 2018 and announces the availability of the revised process for ART Success Rates Reporting and plans for revising Data Validation Procedures.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeani Chang, Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Highway NE, Mailstop F-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30341. Telephone: (770) 488-5200; email: ARTinfo@cdc.gov.

Public Comment Summary and Responses

CDC received three public comments to the docket. One comment was considered nonsubstantive because it was outside the scope of the docket. A second comment was supportive of CDC's planned approach for revising the definition of success rates and introducing clinic validation footnotes. The third comment contained concerns

about CDC's planned clinic validation footnotes and the approach to clinic validation, and requested a clarification of the reporting requirements of embryo banking cycles. These suggestions, as well as CDC's responses, are included below:

1. ART success rates reporting: One commenter asked that CDC provide more details about reporting requirements of embryo banking cycles.

Response: CDC thanks the commenter for this request. Egg/embryo banking cycles intended for pregnancy in the short term include cycles initiated with the intent of cryopreserving all eggs/embryos for subsequent transfers within 12 months. Egg/embryo banking cycles intended for pregnancy in the long term (often referred to as fertility preservation) include cycles where the patient did not start any transfer cycles within the 12 month period following the date on which the intended retrieval cycle started and one of the following: (1) The cycle intent was long term (>12 months) banking for fertility preservation prior to gonadotoxic medical treatments; or (2) The cycle intent was long term (>12 months) banking for other reasons and (a) at least one egg was retrieved, and (b) at least one egg or embryo was frozen. Specifics about the reporting process and requirements are described in "Reporting of Pregnancy Success Rates

from Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Programs” (80 FR 51811).

2. Clinic data validation and footnotes: A commenter expressed concern that discrepancies identified during on-site data validation would not be corrected prior to publication of the ART Fertility Clinic Success Rates Report. The commenter suggested that instead of including a footnote, identification of erroneous data (such as an incorrect number of reported cycles or pregnancy outcomes) should result in removing clinic success rates from ART Fertility Clinic Success Rates Report, and that erroneous data should not be included with data from other clinics. The commenter was also concerned that random selection of clinics under the current CDC validation system does not identify systematic reporting errors. The commenter suggested that targeted selection of clinics based on reporting characteristics that predict erroneously inflated ART success rates is a better approach to identify systematic reporting errors. Finally, the commenter was concerned that validation footnotes and the appendix may not be easily understood by the patients.

Response: CDC thanks the commenter for expressing these concerns and for providing suggestions to improve reporting. CDC is considering these concerns and reviewing options for future years' data validation. CDC is withdrawing its pending proposal for data validation footnotes (83 FR 25009). If CDC determines that changes in data validation selection processes and/or footnotes are advisable, proposed changes will be published in the **Federal Register** for public comment.

Appendix—Notice for Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Success Rates Reporting:

A. Background

Section 2(a) of Public Law 102–493 (42 U.S.C. 263a–1(a)), the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992 (FCSRCA), requires that each assisted reproductive technology (ART) program report annually to the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) pregnancy success rates achieved through assisted reproductive technology. The FCSRCA also requires CDC to annually publish and distribute to the public reported pregnancy success rates for each ART clinic. According to the FCSRCA, the definitions of pregnancy success rates should be developed in consultation with appropriate consumer and professional organizations, should take

into account the effect on success rates of age, diagnosis, and other significant factors, and should include the live birth rate per attempted ovarian stimulation procedure and the live birth rate per successful oocyte retrieval.

Specifics about the reporting process and requirements are described in “Reporting of Pregnancy Success Rates from Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Programs” (August 26, 2015; 80 FR (51811–51819)). Specifics about the definition and characterization of ART success rates were last described in “Reporting of Pregnancy Success Rates from Assisted Reproductive Technology Programs” (February 5, 2004; 69 FR (5548–5550)). Success rates for fresh, nondonor cycles were defined as: 1. The rate of pregnancy after completion of ART according to the number of all ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures; 2. the rate of live birth after completion of ART according to the number of all ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures, the number of oocyte retrieval processes, and the number of embryo (or zygote or oocyte) transfer procedures; 3. the rate of singleton live birth after completion of ART according to the number of all ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures and the number of embryo (or zygote or oocyte) transfer procedures. Success rates for cycles using thawed embryos and cycles using donor oocytes or embryos were defined as: 4. the rate of live birth after completion of ART according to the number of embryo (or zygote or oocyte) transfer procedures; 5. the rate of singleton live birth after completion of ART according to the number of embryo (or zygote or oocyte) transfer procedures.

Effective for reporting year 2017, CDC is implementing substantial changes to the definition and characterization of ART success rates due to changes in clinical practice and more variation in treatment options, including improvements in cryopreservation resulting in more segmentation of typical treatment cycles. The field of ART is moving toward the calculation and reporting of cumulative success rates where data collection systems can collect successes over all embryo transfers from a single oocyte retrieval or across several oocyte retrievals and embryo transfers. After consultation with consumer and professional organizations with expertise in ART, CDC will begin cumulative ART success rates reporting in reporting year 2017. The ART success rates described in this **Federal Register** notice shall replace those previously described in 2004.

B. ART Procedures Among Patients Using Their Own Oocytes

ART success rates for ART procedures among all patients using their own eggs are defined as:

1. The rate of live birth or singleton live birth resulting from the transfer of oocytes retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year or from the transfer of embryos created from oocytes retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year. For the purpose of this definition, transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure. Oocytes must have been retrieved in the year prior to the reporting year in order to allow a full year to perform transfers of the retrieved oocytes (either in the prior reporting year or in the current reporting year). The live birth rate and singleton live birth rate will be presented according to the number of:

a. All ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started from the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient.

b. All ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started in the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient in which at least one oocyte was retrieved.

c. All transfer procedures of at least one oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year, or of at least one embryo created from an oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year. For the purpose of this definition, egg or embryo transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure.

2. The number of ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started in the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient presented according to the number of:

a. Live births resulting from all transfers of at least one oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year, or transfers of at least one embryo created from an oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year. For the purpose of this definition, egg or embryo transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure.

Other rates for ART procedures among all patients using their own eggs are defined as follows (and may be provided publicly at the ART program's discretion)—

3. The rate of cancellation, implantation, pregnancy, live birth,

singleton live birth, multiple live birth, twin live birth, triplet or higher order live birth, preterm live birth, low birthweight live birth or term, normal birthweight and singleton live birth resulting from the transfer of oocytes retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year or the transfer of embryos created from oocytes retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year. For the purpose of this definition, transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure. These other rates may be presented according to the number of:

a. All ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started in the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient.

b. All ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started in the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient in which at least one oocyte was retrieved.

c. All transfer procedures of at least one oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year, or of at least one embryo created from an oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year. For the purpose of this definition, egg or embryo transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure.

d. All first, second, third, or more transfer procedures after retrieval of at least one oocyte from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year, or of at least one embryo created from an oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year. For the purpose of this definition, egg or embryo transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure.

Rates for ART procedures among new ART patients (*i.e.* patients that have never had a prior ART cycle ever) using their own oocytes are defined as—

4. The rate of live birth resulting from the transfer of oocytes or embryos from all first intended oocyte retrievals presented according to the number of:

a. ART patients who reported at the start of the retrieval procedure that they had no prior ART stimulations and no prior frozen ART procedures. For the purpose of this definition, the retrieval procedure must have started in the year prior to the reporting year.

5. The rate of live birth resulting from the transfer of oocytes or embryos from all first or second intended oocyte retrievals presented according to the number of:

a. ART patients who reported at the start of the retrieval procedure that they had no prior ART stimulations and no prior frozen ART procedures. For the purpose of this definition, the retrieval procedure must have started in the year prior to the reporting year.

6. The rate of live birth resulting from the transfer of oocytes or embryos from all intended oocyte retrievals presented according to the number of:

a. ART patients who reported at the start of the retrieval procedure that they had no prior ART stimulations and no prior frozen ART procedures. For the purpose of this definition, the retrieval procedure must have started in the year prior to the reporting year.

7. The number of ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started in the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient presented according to the number of:

a. ART patients who reported at the start of the retrieval procedure that they had no prior ART stimulations and no prior frozen ART procedures.

8. The number of transfer procedures of at least one oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year, or of at least one embryo created from an oocyte retrieved from the patient in the year prior to the reporting year presented according to the number of:

a. Ovarian stimulation or monitoring procedures started in the year prior to the reporting year with the intent to retrieve oocytes from the patient. For the purpose of this definition, egg or embryo transfer procedures must have started within 12 months of the start of the retrieval procedure. Also, ART patients must have reported at the start of the retrieval procedure that they had no prior ART stimulations and no prior frozen ART procedures.

C. ART Procedures Among Patients Using Oocytes or Embryos From a Donor

Success rates for ART procedures among patients using oocytes or embryos from a donor are defined as—

9. The rate of live birth or singleton live birth presented according to the number of:

a. Transfer procedures of at least one donor egg, embryo created from a donor egg, or donated embryo started in the current reporting year.

Other rates for ART procedures among patients using oocytes or embryos from a donor are defined as follows (and may be provided publicly at the ART program's discretion):

10. The rate of cancellation, implantation, pregnancy, live birth, singleton live birth, multiple live birth,

twin live birth, triplet or higher order live birth, preterm live birth, low birthweight live birth, or term, normal birthweight and singleton live birth presented according to the number of:

a. ART procedures to prepare a patient (recipient) for the transfer of at least one donor egg, embryo created from a donor egg, or donated embryo, started in the current reporting year.

b. Transfer procedures of at least one donor egg, embryo created from a donor egg, or donated embryo started in the current reporting year.

D. ART Procedures Among All Patients and All Cycle Types

At the discretion of the ART program, ART reporting also may include:

11. The number, average number or percentage of ART procedures or ART patients with certain characteristics, such as:

a. Patient characteristics (*e.g.* patient age or reason for ART).

b. ART procedure characteristics (*e.g.* type of treatment (fertility preservation, short term banking, in vitro fertilization, gamete intrafallopian transfer, zygote intrafallopian transfer), stimulation protocol, source of the oocytes or embryos (patient or donor), the state of the oocytes or embryos (fresh or frozen), the intent of the procedure, the use of prenatal genetic diagnosis or screening, the use of intracytoplasmic sperm injection, the use of assisted hatching, the use of a gestational carrier, the stage of the embryo at transfer, or the number of embryos transferred).

All ART patient and procedure characteristics, ART success rates, and other rates for patients using their own oocytes as well as for patients using oocytes or embryos from a donor may be stratified by CDC by factors thought to influence the outcome of an ART procedure.

12. Factors for stratification may include:

a. Characteristics of the ART patient such as patient age or reason for ART.

b. Characteristics of the ART procedure such as type of treatment (fertility preservation, short term banking, in vitro fertilization, gamete intrafallopian transfer, zygote intrafallopian transfer), stimulation protocol, the source of the oocytes or embryos (patient or donor), the state of the oocytes or embryos (fresh or frozen), the intent of the procedure, the use of prenatal genetic diagnosis or screening, the use of intracytoplasmic sperm injection, the use of assisted hatching, the use of a gestational carrier, the stage of the embryo at transfer, or the number of embryos transferred.

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Sandra Cashman,

Executive Secretary, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018-22991 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Mine Safety and Health Research Advisory Committee (MSHRAC)

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the CDC announces the following meeting for the Mine Safety and Health Research Advisory Committee (MSHRAC). This meeting is open to the public, limited only by the space available. The meeting room accommodates approximately 38 people. If you wish to attend in person or by phone, please contact Marie Chovanec by email at MChovanec@cdc.gov or by phone at 412-386-5302 at least 5 business days in advance of the meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on November 29, 2018, 8 a.m.–4 p.m., MST and on November 30, 2018, 8 a.m.–12 p.m. MST.

ADDRESSES: University of Arizona, ENR2 Building, Room S215, 1064 E. Lowell Street, Tucson, AZ 85721 United States.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey H. Welsh, Designated Federal Officer, MSHRAC, NIOSH, CDC, 626 Cochran Mill Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15236, telephone 412-386-4040; email juw5@cdc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose: This committee is charged with providing advice to the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services; the Director, CDC; and the Director, NIOSH, on priorities in mine safety and health research, including grants and contracts for such research, 30 U.S.C. 812(b)(2), Section 102(b)(2).

Matters to be Considered: The agenda will include discussions on mining safety and health research projects and outcomes, including real-time DPM monitor; industrial minerals sector research priorities; MSHRAC metal mine automation workgroup report; cemented backfill research; recent research in coal mine explosion and fire prevention; engaging in the miner

health program; stability evaluation of active gas wells in longwall abutment pillars; and durable support for western US underground metal mines. The meeting will also include updates from the NIOSH Associate Director for Mining, the Spokane Mining Research Division, and the Pittsburgh Mining Research Division. Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The Chief Operating Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Sherri Berger,

Chief Operating Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018-22988 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-19-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-19-18UF]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the information collection request titled Assessment of Evidence to Inform Standards that Ensure Turnout Gear Remains Protective Throughout Its Lifecycle to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. CDC previously published a "Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations" notice on April 12, 2018 to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. CDC received one comment related to the previous notice. This notice serves to allow an additional 30 days for public and affected agency comments.

CDC will accept all comments for this proposed information collection project. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

(a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including, through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and

(e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639-7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Direct written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice to the Attention: CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Provide written comments within 30 days of notice publication.

Proposed Project

Evidence to Inform Standards that Ensure Turnout Gear Remains Protective Throughout Its Lifecycle—New—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

Turnout gear is a type of personal protective equipment used by the 1.1 million U.S. fire fighters to shield the body from carcinogens, flames, heat, and chemical/biological agents. It serves as a barrier to external hazards while simultaneously allowing for the escape of metabolic heat to prevent elevated core body temperatures. To provide the necessary performance characteristics, turnout gear design is complex, consisting of three major layers that work as a composite—a thermal liner, a moisture barrier, and an outer shell.

Consensus standards provide performance requirements and retirement criteria for turnout gear. The retirement criteria is based on visual inspections and a 10-year age cap with visual inspection being less effective for the moisture barrier and thermal liner layers. Recent data of turnout gear donated from fire departments demonstrates that turnout gear from 2 to 10 years old was unable to meet all performance requirements. Thus, under the current retirement criteria, turnout

gear that may not be protective against all hazards is being used by fire fighters.

Intuitively, the use conditions to which turnout gear would be exposed to when used by a large or medium metropolitan fire department would be very different than those of a smaller department. However, the absence of scientific data to link performance to use conditions (*e.g.*, number and type of washings, number of fire-related calls) provides a barrier to transitioning to an alternative approach to retirement.

This study will obtain a statistically meaningful sample of turnout gear from three fire departments. The use

conditions for the sampled turnout gear will be determined, and the gear will be subjected to established performance requirements. For each set of gear, its performance will be directly linked to its use condition history. This combined lab and field data will help determine if there is a relationship between turnout gear use conditions and the ability for turnout gear to effectively protect the user.

The use conditions for each set of sampled gear will be determined by:

(1) Reviewing fire department records, practices, and policies;

(2) surveying the fire fighters assigned to each set of sampled gear to obtain one-month of retrospective information about the use conditions to which it was likely exposed; and

(3) a 6-month prospective data collection where the fire fighters assigned to each set of sampled gear provide information about their shift-specific exposures.

The estimated annualized Burden Hours for this information collection is 1,050. There is no cost to respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
Individual Fire Fighter	Turnout Gear Safety Survey—Retrospective Exposures for past month.	100	1	30/60
	Turnout Gear Safety Survey—Prospective Exposures for six months.	100	60	10/60

Jeffrey M. Zirger,

Acting Chief, Information Collection Review Office, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2018–22939 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2018–N–3305]

Allergenic Products Advisory Committee; Cancellation

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The meeting of the Allergenic Products Advisory Committee scheduled for November 7, 2018, is cancelled. This meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** of September 26, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Serina Hunter-Thomas, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Rm. 6338, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, 240–402–5771, serina.hunter-thomas@fda.hhs.gov, or FDA Advisory Committee Information Line, 1–800–741–8138 (301–443–0572 in the Washington, DC area), and follow the

prompts to the desired center or product area. Please call the Information Line for up-to-date information on this meeting.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22942 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2018–N–3728]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Collection of Conflict of Interest Information for Participation in Food and Drug Administration Non-Employee Fellowship and Traineeship Programs

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the Agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Federal Agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, and

to allow 60 days for public comment in response to the notice. This notice solicits comments on the “Collection of Conflict of Interest Information for Participation in FDA Non-Employee Fellowship and Traineeship Programs.”

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the collection of information by December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before December 21, 2018]. The <https://www.regulations.gov> electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of December 21, 2018. Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a

third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see "Written/Paper Submissions" and "Instructions").

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- *Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):* Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in "Instructions."

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2018-N-3728 for "Collection of Conflict of Interest Information for Participation in FDA Fellowship and Traineeship Programs." Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see **ADDRESSES**), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as "Confidential Submissions," publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions**—To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states "THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION." The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management

Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as "confidential." Any information marked as "confidential" will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the "Search" box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amber Sanford, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, Three White Flint North, 10A-12M, 11601 Landsdown St., North Bethesda, MD 20852, 301-796-8867, PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal Agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires Federal Agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, FDA is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, FDA invites comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FDA's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FDA's

estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Collection of Conflict of Interest Information for Participation in FDA Non-Employee Fellowship and Traineeship Programs; OMB Control Number 0910—NEW

In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA will submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request to review and approve a new collection of information: "Collection of Conflict of Interest Information for Participation in FDA Non-Employee Fellowship and Traineeship Programs." Section 742 (b) of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 379l) allows FDA to conduct and support intramural training programs through fellowship and traineeship programs. These new forms provide the FDA with information about financial investments and relationships from non-employee scientists who participate in FDA fellowship and traineeship programs. Participants in FDA fellowship and traineeship programs will be asked for certain information about financial interests and current relationships: (1) Description of the financial interest; (2) the type of financial interest (e.g. stocks, bonds, stock options); (3) if the financial interest is an employee benefit from prior employment; (4) value of financial interest; (5) who owns the financial interest (e.g. self, spouse minor children); (6) employment relationship with an FDA significantly regulated organization (SRO); (7) and service as a consultant to an FDA SRO, and/or proprietary interest(s) in one of more product(s) regulated by FDA, including patent, trademark, copyright, or licensing agreement. The purpose of the financial information is for FDA to determine if there is a conflict of interest between the Fellow's or Trainee's financial and relationship interests and their activities at FDA. The collection of information is mandatory to participate in FDA's fellowship and traineeship programs.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN ¹

Activity	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Collection Form—Report of Financial Interests and Other Relationships for Non-Employee Scientists at FDA					
Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education Fellowship	500	1	500	1	500
Traineeship Program	500	1	500	1	500
Reagan-Udall Fellowship at FDA	50	1	50	1	50
Total					1,050

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22960 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2016–E–3916]

Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; TECENTRIQ

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or the Agency) has determined the regulatory review period for TECENTRIQ and is publishing this notice of that determination as required by law. FDA has made the determination because of the submission of an application to the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Department of Commerce, for the extension of a patent which claims that human biological product.

DATES: Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published (see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section) are incorrect may submit either electronic or written comments and ask for a redetermination by December 21, 2018. Furthermore, any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period by April 22, 2019. See “Petitions” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for more information.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before December 21,

2018. The <https://www.regulations.gov> electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of December 21, 2018. Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- **Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):** Dockets Management Staff (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.
- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management

Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA–2016–E–3916 for “Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; TECENTRIQ.” Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see **ADDRESSES**), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with § 10.20 (21 CFR 10.20) and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the

information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the "Search" box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Friedman, Office of Regulatory Policy, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 51, Rm. 6250, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301-796-3600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98-417) and the Generic Animal Drug and Patent Term Restoration Act (Pub. L. 100-670) generally provide that a patent may be extended for a period of up to 5 years so long as the patented item (human drug product, animal drug product, medical device, food additive, or color additive) was subject to regulatory review by FDA before the item was marketed. Under these acts, a product's regulatory review period forms the basis for determining the amount of extension an applicant may receive.

A regulatory review period consists of two periods of time: A testing phase and an approval phase. For human biological products, the testing phase begins when the exemption to permit the clinical investigations of the biological product becomes effective and runs until the approval phase begins. The approval phase starts with the initial submission of an application to market the human biological product and continues until FDA grants permission to market the biological product. Although only a portion of a regulatory review period may count toward the actual amount of extension that the Director of USPTO may award (for example, half the testing phase must be subtracted as well as any time that may have occurred before the patent was issued), FDA's determination of the length of a regulatory review period for a human biological product will include all the testing phase and approval phase as specified in 35 U.S.C. 156(g)(1)(B).

FDA has approved for marketing the human biologic product TECENTRIQ (atezolizumab). TECENTRIQ is indicated for the treatment of patients

with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have disease progression during or following platinum-containing chemotherapy or who have disease progression within 12 months of neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment with platinum-containing chemotherapy. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on tumor response rate and duration of response. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in confirmatory trials. Subsequent to this approval, the USPTO received a patent term restoration application for TECENTRIQ (U.S. Patent No. 8,217,149) from Genentech, Inc., and the USPTO requested FDA's assistance in determining this patent's eligibility for patent term restoration. In a letter dated September 20, 2017, FDA advised the USPTO that this human biological product had undergone a regulatory review period and that the approval of TECENTRIQ represented the first permitted commercial marketing or use of the product. Thereafter, the USPTO requested that FDA determine the product's regulatory review period.

II. Determination of Regulatory Review Period

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for TECENTRIQ is 1,836 days. Of this time, 1,708 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 128 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. *The date an exemption under section 505(i) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(i)) became effective:* May 11, 2011. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the date the investigational new drug application became effective was on May 11, 2011.

2. *The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the human biological product under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262):* January 12, 2016. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the biologics license application (BLA) for TECENTRIQ (BLA 761034) was initially submitted on January 12, 2016.

3. *The date the application was approved:* May 18, 2016. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that BLA 761034 was approved on May 18, 2016.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the USPTO applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension.

In its application for patent extension, this applicant seeks 769 days of patent term extension.

III. Petitions

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published are incorrect may submit either electronic or written comments and, under 21 CFR 60.24, ask for a redetermination (see **DATES**). Furthermore, as specified in § 60.30 (21 CFR 60.30), any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period. To meet its burden, the petition must comply with all the requirements of § 60.30, including but not limited to: must be timely (see **DATES**), must be filed in accordance with § 10.20, must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA investigation, and must certify that a true and complete copy of the petition has been served upon the patent applicant. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41-42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Submit petitions electronically to <https://www.regulations.gov> at Docket No. FDA-2013-S-0610. Submit written petitions (two copies are required) to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-22957 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket Nos. FDA-2017-E-6715, FDA-2017-E-6721, and FDA-2017-E-6726]

Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; TYMLOS

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or the Agency) has determined the regulatory review period for TYMLOS and is publishing this notice of that determination as required by law. FDA has made the determination because of the submission of applications to the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Department

of Commerce, for the extension of a patent which claims that human drug product.

DATES: Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published (see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section) are incorrect may submit either electronic or written comments and ask for a redetermination by December 21, 2018. Furthermore, any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period by April 22, 2019. See “Petitions” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for more information.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before December 21, 2018. The <https://www.regulations.gov> electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of December 21, 2018. Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.
- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- **Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):** Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

• For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket Nos. FDA-2017-E-6715, FDA-2017-E-6721, and FDA-2017-E-6726 for “Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; TYMLOS.” Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see **ADDRESSES**), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with § 10.20 (21 CFR 10.20) and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the

docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Friedman, Office of Regulatory Policy, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 51, Rm. 6250, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301-796-3600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98-417) and the Generic Animal Drug and Patent Term Restoration Act (Pub. L. 100-670) generally provide that a patent may be extended for a period of up to 5 years so long as the patented item (human drug product, animal drug product, medical device, food additive, or color additive) was subject to regulatory review by FDA before the item was marketed. Under these acts, a product's regulatory review period forms the basis for determining the amount of extension an applicant may receive.

A regulatory review period consists of two periods of time: A testing phase and an approval phase. For human drug products, the testing phase begins when the exemption to permit the clinical investigations of the drug becomes effective and runs until the approval phase begins. The approval phase starts with the initial submission of an application to market the human drug product and continues until FDA grants permission to market the drug product. Although only a portion of a regulatory review period may count toward the actual amount of extension that the Director of USPTO may award (for example, half the testing phase must be subtracted as well as any time that may have occurred before the patent was issued), FDA's determination of the length of a regulatory review period for a human drug product will include all of the testing phase and approval phase as specified in 35 U.S.C. 156(g)(1)(B).

FDA has approved for marketing the human drug product TYMLOS (abaloparatide). TYMLOS is indicated for the treatment of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis at high risk for fracture. Subsequent to this approval, the USPTO received patent term restoration applications for TYMLOS (U.S. Patent Nos. 7,803,770; 8,148,333; and 8,748,382) from Radius Health, Inc., and the USPTO requested FDA's assistance in determining the patents' eligibility for patent term

restoration. In a letter dated February 8, 2018, FDA advised the USPTO that this human drug product had undergone a regulatory review period and that the approval of TYMLOS represented the first permitted commercial marketing or use of the product. Thereafter, the USPTO requested that FDA determine the product's regulatory review period.

II. Determination of Regulatory Review Period

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for TYMLOS is 4,130 days. Of this time, 3,735 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 395 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. *The date an exemption under section 505(i) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 355(i)) became effective:* January 8, 2006. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the date the investigational new drug application became effective was January 8, 2006.

2. *The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the human drug product under section 505(b) of the FD&C Act:* March 30, 2016. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the new drug application (NDA) for TYMLOS (NDA 208743) was initially submitted on March 30, 2016.

3. *The date the application was approved:* April 28, 2017. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that NDA 208743 was approved on April 28, 2017.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the USPTO applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension. In its applications for patent extension, this applicant seeks 724 days, 1,123 days, or 1,128 days of patent term extension.

III. Petitions

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published are incorrect may submit either electronic or written comments and, under 21 CFR 60.24, ask for a redetermination (see **DATES**). Furthermore, as specified in § 60.30 (21 CFR 60.30), any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period. To meet its burden, the petition must comply with all the requirements of § 60.30, including but not limited to: Must be timely (see **DATES**), must be filed in accordance with § 10.20, must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA

investigation, and must certify that a true and complete copy of the petition has been served upon the patent applicant. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41–42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Submit petitions electronically to <https://www.regulations.gov> at Docket No. FDA–2013–S–0610. Submit written petitions (two copies are required) to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22956 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2018–N–2434]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Guidance for Industry on Formal Meetings With Sponsors and Applicants for Prescription Drug User Fee Act Products

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, Agency, or we) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Fax written comments on the collection of information by November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, Fax: 202–395–7285, or emailed to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910–0429. Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: JonnaLynn Capezzuto, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, Three White Flint

North, 10A–12M, 11601 Landsdown St., North Bethesda, MD 20852, 301–796–3794, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Guidance for Industry on Formal Meetings With Sponsors and Applicants for Prescription Drug User Fee Act Products

OMB Control Number 0910–0429—Extension

This information collection supports the above captioned Agency guidance document. The guidance document was issued to help individuals with procedures on formal meetings between FDA and sponsors or applicants regarding the development and review of Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) products. The guidance describes procedures for requesting, scheduling, conducting, and documenting such formal meetings. The guidance provides information on how FDA interprets and applies section 119(a) of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 2007 (Pub. L. 105–115), specific PDUFA goals for the management of meetings associated with the review of human drug applications for PDUFA products, and provisions of existing regulations describing certain meetings (§§ 12.47 and 312.82 (21 CFR 312.47 and 312.82)). The collection of information described in the guidance reflects the current and past practice of sponsors and applicants to submit meeting requests and background information prior to a scheduled meeting. Agency regulations currently permit such requests and recommend the submission of an information package before an “end-of-phase 2 meeting” (§§ 312.47(b)(1)(ii) and (iv)) and a “pre-NDA meeting” (§ 312.47(b)(2)). While the information collection provisions of § 312.47 are currently approved under OMB control number 0910–0014, the guidance provides additional recommendations for submitting information to FDA in support of a meeting request. The guidance document is available on our website at: <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/Guidance/ComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM590547.pdf>.

Request for a Meeting—Consistent with recommendations found in the guidance, a sponsor or applicant interested in meeting with the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) or the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) should submit a

meeting request to the appropriate FDA component as an amendment to the application for the underlying product in accordance with our regulations (§§ 312.23, 314.50, and 601.2 (21 CFR 312.23, 314.50, and 601.2)). Information provided to the Agency as part of an investigational new drug application (IND), new drug application (NDA), or biological license application (BLA) must be submitted with an appropriate cover form. Form FDA 1571 must accompany IND submissions, and Form FDA 356h must accompany NDA and BLA submissions. These Agency forms are approved under OMB control numbers 0910–0014 and 0910–0338, respectively.

We recommend that a request be submitted in this manner to ensure that each request is kept in the administrative file with the complete application, and to ensure that pertinent information about the request is entered into appropriate tracking databases. Using information from our tracking databases enables us to monitor progress on activities attendant to scheduling and holding a formal meeting and to ensure that appropriate steps will be taken in a timely manner.

The guidance recommends that meeting requests include the following information:

- Information identifying and describing the product
- the type of meeting being requested
- a brief statement of the purpose of the meeting
- a list of objectives and expected outcomes from the meeting
- a preliminary proposed agenda
- a draft list of questions to be raised at the meeting
- a list of individuals who will represent the sponsor or applicant at the meeting
- a list of Agency staff requested to be in attendance
- the approximate date that the information package will be sent to the Agency
- suggested dates and times for the meeting

We use the information to determine the purpose of the meeting, the necessary participants, the proposed agenda, and to schedule the meeting.

Information Package—The guidance also recommends that a sponsor or applicant submitting an information package provide summary information relevant to the product and supplementary information pertaining to any issue raised by the sponsor, applicant, or FDA. Information packages should generally include:

- Identifying information about the underlying product
- a brief statement of the purpose of the meeting
- a list of objectives and expected outcomes of the meeting
- a proposed agenda for the meeting
- a list of specific questions to be addressed at the meeting
- a summary of clinical data that will be discussed (as appropriate)
- a summary of preclinical data that will be discussed (as appropriate)
- chemistry, manufacturing, and controls information that may be discussed (as appropriate)

The information package enables Agency staff to prepare for the meeting and allows appropriate time for reviewing relevant product data. Although FDA reviews similar information in the meeting request, the information package should provide updated data reflecting the most current and accurate information available to the sponsor or applicant.

In the **Federal Register** of July 11, 2018 (83 FR 32130), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. No comments were received.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN ¹

Guidance recommendations	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Meeting Requests:					
CDER	1,319	2.31	3,058	10	30,580
CDER	301	1.21	363	10	3,630
Subtotal					34,210
Information Packages:					
CDER	1,149	2.19	2,522	18	45,396
CDER	187	1.12	210	18	3,780
Subtotal					49,176
Total					83,386

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Our estimated burden for the information collection reflects an overall increase since the previous OMB approval. We attribute this adjustment to an increase in the number of meeting requests and information packages received over the last few years.

Based on Agency data, we estimate 1,319 sponsors and applicants (respondents) request 3,058 formal meetings with CDER annually, and 301 respondents request 363 formal

meetings with CDER annually regarding the development and review of a PDUFA product. The hours per response, which is the estimated number of hours that a respondent spends preparing the information to be submitted with a meeting request in accordance with the guidance, is estimated to be 10 hours. We expect it takes this amount of time to gather and copy brief statements about the product

as well as a description of the purpose and details of the meeting.

Also consistent with Agency data, we estimate 1,149 respondents submitted 2,522 information packages to CDER annually, and 187 respondents submitted 210 information packages to CDER annually, prior to a formal meeting regarding the development and review of a PDUFA product. We estimate 18 hours is needed to prepare

the information package in accordance with the guidance.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22954 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2004–N–0451]

Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997: Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 050

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing a publication containing modifications the Agency is making to the list of standards FDA recognizes for use in premarket reviews (FDA Recognized Consensus Standards). This publication, entitled “Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 050” (Recognition List Number: 050), will assist manufacturers who elect to declare conformity with consensus standards to meet certain requirements for medical devices.

DATES: Submit electronic or written comments concerning this document at any time. These modifications to the list of recognized standards are effective October 22, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else’s Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact

information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- *Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):* Dockets Management Staff (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA–2004–N–0451 for “Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997: Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 050.” Received comments will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA will consider any comments received in determining whether to amend the current listing of modifications to the list of recognized standards, Recognition List Number: 050.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this

information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA’s posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

An electronic copy of Recognition List Number: 050 is available on the internet at <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Standards/ucm123792.htm>. See section IV for electronic access to the searchable database for the current list of FDA recognized consensus standards, including Recognition List Number: 050 modifications and other standards related information. Submit written requests for a single hard copy of the document entitled “Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 050” to Scott Colburn, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Rm. 5514, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301–796–6287. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your request, or fax your request to 301–847–8144.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Colburn, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Rm. 5514, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301–796–6287, CDRHStandardsStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 204 of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA) (Pub. L. 105–115) amended section 514 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 360d). Amended section 514 allows FDA to recognize consensus standards developed by international and national organizations for use in satisfying portions of device

premarket review submissions or other requirements.

In the **Federal Register** notice of September 13, 2018 (83 FR 46738), FDA announced the availability of a guidance entitled “Appropriate use of Voluntary Consensus Standards in Premarket Submission for Medical Devices.” The guidance describes how FDA has implemented its standard recognition program and is available at <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm077295.pdf>. Modifications to the initial list of recognized standards, as published in the **Federal Register**, can be accessed at <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Standards/ucm123792.htm>.

These notices describe the addition, withdrawal, and revision of certain standards recognized by FDA. The Agency maintains hypertext markup language (HTML) and portable document format (PDF) versions of the list of FDA Recognized Consensus Standards. Additional information on the Agency’s standards program is available at <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Standards/default.htm>.

II. Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 050

FDA is announcing the addition, withdrawal, correction, and revision of certain consensus standards the Agency is recognizing for use in premarket submissions and other requirements for devices. FDA is incorporating these

modifications to the list of FDA Recognized Consensus Standards in the Agency’s searchable database. FDA is using the term “Recognition List Number: 050” to identify the current modifications.

In table 1, FDA describes the following modifications: (1) The withdrawal of standards and their replacement by others, if applicable; (2) the correction of errors made by FDA in listing previously recognized standards; and (3) the changes to the supplementary information sheets of recognized standards that describe revisions to the applicability of the standards.

In section III, FDA lists modifications the Agency is making that involve the initial addition of standards not previously recognized by FDA.

TABLE 1—MODIFICATIONS TO THE LIST OF RECOGNIZED STANDARDS

Old recognition No.	Replacement recognition No.	Title of standard ¹	Change ²
A. Anesthesiology			
1–85	1–139	ISO 80601–2–61 Second edition 2017–12 (Corrected version 2018–02) Medical electrical equipment—Part 2–61: Particular requirements for basic safety and essential performance of pulse oximeter equipment.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
1–96	1–140	ISO 80601–2–55 Second edition 2018–02 Medical electrical equipment—Part 2–55: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of respiratory gas monitors.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
B. Biocompatibility			
2–176	2–255	ISO 10993–11 Third edition 2017–09 Biological evaluation of medical devices—Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
2–204	2–256	ASTM F720–17 Standard Practice for Testing Guinea Pigs for Contact Allergens: Guinea Pig Maximization Test.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
2–233	2–257	ASTM F2382–17e1 Standard Test Method for Assessment of Circulating Blood-Contacting Medical Device Materials on Partial Thromboplastin Time (PTT).	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
C. Cardiovascular			
3–110	AAMI TIR41:2011/(R)2017 Technical Information Report Active implantable medical devices—Guidance for designation of left ventricle and implantable cardioverter defibrillator lead connectors and pulse generator connector cavities for implantable pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators.	Reaffirmation.
3–123	3–152	IEC 80601–2–30 Edition 1.1 2013–07 Medical electrical equipment—Part 2–30: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of automated non-invasive sphygmomanometers.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
D. Dental/Ear, Nose, and Throat (ENT)			
4–214	4–242	ISO 10139–1 Third edition 2018–03 Dentistry—Soft lining materials for removable dentures—Part 1: Materials for short-term use.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
E. General I (Quality Systems/Risk Management) (QS/RM)			
5–42	ASTM D903–98 (Reapproved 2017) Standard Test Method for Peel or Stripping Strength of Adhesive Bonds.	Reaffirmation.
F. General II (Electrical Safety/Electromagnetic Compatibility) (ES/EMC)			
19–8	IEC 60601–1–2 Edition 4.0 2014–02 Medical electrical equipment—Part 1–2: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance—Collateral Standard: Electromagnetic disturbances—Requirements and tests.	Extent of recognition.
19–19	IEC TR 60601–4–2 Edition 1.0 2016–05 Medical electrical equipment—Part 4–2: Guidance and interpretation—Electromagnetic immunity: performance of medical electrical equipment and medical electrical systems.	Extent of recognition.

TABLE 1—MODIFICATIONS TO THE LIST OF RECOGNIZED STANDARDS—Continued

Old recognition No.	Replacement recognition No.	Title of standard ¹	Change ²
19–21	19–30	AIM Standard 7351731 Rev. 2.00 Medical Electrical Equipment and System Electromagnetic Immunity Test for Exposure to Radio Frequency Identification Readers—An AIM Standard.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
G. General Hospital/General Plastic Surgery (GH/GPS)			
6–123	ASTM E667–98 (Reapproved 2017) Standard Specification for Mercury-in-Glass, Maximum Self-Registering Clinical Thermometers.	Reaffirmation.
6–254	ASTM F2100–11 (Reapproved 2018) Standard Specification for Performance of Materials Used in Medical Face Masks.	Reaffirmation.
6–301	6–408	ISO 10555–1 Second edition 2013–06–15 Intravascular catheters—Sterile and single-use catheters—Part 1: General requirements [Including AMENDMENT 1 (2017)].	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version including amendment.
6–352	6–409	ASTM F703–18 Standard Specification for Implantable Breast Prostheses	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
H. In Vitro Diagnostics (IVD)			
7–127	7–275	CLSI EP07 3rd Edition Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
7–171	7–276	CLSI M38 3rd Edition Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
7–201	7–277	CLSI GP41 7th Edition Collection of Diagnostic Venous Blood Specimens	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
7–204	7–278	CLSI M27 4th Edition Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
7–217	CLSI M60 1st Edition Performance Standards for Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts.	Title change.
7–240	CLSI M27–S4 Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Fourth Informational Supplement.	Withdrawn. See 7–217.
7–245	CLSI EP09–A3 Measurement Procedure Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples; Approved Guideline—Third Edition.	Withdrawn.
7–254	7–279	CLSI M07 11th Edition Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
7–258	7–280	CLSI M02 13th Edition Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
7–271	7–281	CLSI M100 28th Edition Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
I. Materials			
8–57	8–465	ISO 5832–2 Fourth edition 2018–03 Implants for surgery—Metallic materials—Part 2: Unalloyed titanium.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–112	ASTM F1044–05 (Reapproved 2017)e1 Standard Test Method for Shear Testing of Calcium Phosphate Coatings and Metallic Coatings.	Reaffirmation.
8–128	8–466	ASTM F2213–17 Standard Test Method for Measurement of Magnetically Induced Torque on Medical Devices in the Magnetic Resonance Environment.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–330	8–467	ASTM F1978–18 Standard Test Method for Measuring Abrasion Resistance of Metallic Thermal Spray Coatings by Using the Taber Abraser.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–334	8–468	ASTM F2459–18 Standard Test Method for Extracting Residue from Metallic Medical Components and Quantifying via Gravimetric Analysis.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–372	8–469	ASTM F560–17 Standard Specification for Unalloyed Tantalum for Surgical Implant Applications (UNS R05200, UNS R05400).	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–380	ASTM F1160–14 (Reapproved 2017)e1 Standard Test Method for Shear and Bending Fatigue Testing of Calcium Phosphate and Metallic Medical and Composite Calcium Phosphate/Metallic Coatings.	Reaffirmation.
8–382	8–470	ASTM F2102–17 Standard Guide for Evaluating the Extent of Oxidation in Polyethylene Fabricated Forms Intended for Surgical Implants.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–390	8–471	ASTM F1925–17 Standard Specification for Semi-Crystalline Poly(lactide) Polymer and Copolymer Resins for Surgical Implants.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–412	ASTM F2537–06 (Reapproved 2017) Standard Practice for Calibration of Linear Displacement Sensor Systems Used to Measure Micromotion.	Reaffirmation.
8–414	8–472	ASTM F2847–17 Standard Practice for Reporting and Assessment of Residues on Single-Use Implants and Single-Use Sterile Instruments.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8–419	8–473	ASTM F2885–17 Standard Specification for Metal Injection Molded Titanium-6Aluminum-4Vanadium Components for Surgical Implant Applications.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version. Extent of recognition.
8–420	8–474	ASTM F2886–17 Standard Specification for Metal Injection Molded Cobalt-28Chromium-6Molybdenum Components for Surgical Implant Applications.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.

TABLE 1—MODIFICATIONS TO THE LIST OF RECOGNIZED STANDARDS—Continued

Old recognition No.	Replacement recognition No.	Title of standard ¹	Change ²
8-436	8-475	ASTM F2026-17 Standard Specification for Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) Polymers for Surgical Implant Applications.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8-448	8-476	ASTM F2004-17 Standard Test Method for Transformation Temperature of Nickel-Titanium Alloys by Thermal Analysis.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
8-454	8-477	ASTM F2129-17b Standard Test Method for Conducting Cyclic Potentiodynamic Polarization Measurements to Determine the Corrosion Susceptibility of Small Implant Devices.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
J. Nanotechnology No new entries at this time.			
K. Neurology No new entries at this time.			
L. Obstetrics-Gynecology/Gastroenterology/Urology (OB-Gyn/G/Urology)			
9-43	9-117	ISO 16038 Second edition 2017-11 Male condoms—Guidance on the use of ISO 4074 and ISO 23409 in the quality management of condoms.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
9-67	ASTM D7661-10 (Reapproved 2017) Standard Test Method for Determining Compatibility of Personal Lubricants with Natural Rubber Latex Condoms.	Reaffirmation.
9-92	9-118	ISO 8637-1 First edition 2017-11 Extracorporeal systems for blood purification—Part 1: Haemodialysers, haemodiafilters, haemofilters and haemoconcentrators.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
9-95	9-119	IEC 60601-2-36 Edition 2.0 2014-04 Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-36: Particular requirements for the safety of equipment for extracorporeally induced lithotripsy.	Withdrawn and replaced with new recognition number.
9-112	9-120	ASTM D3492-16 Standard Specification for Rubber Contraceptives (Male Condoms).	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
M. Ophthalmic			
10-56	ANSI Z80.12-2007 (R2017) American National Standard for Ophthalmics—Multifocal Intraocular Lenses.	Reaffirmation.
10-57	ANSI Z80.13-2007 (R2017) American National Standard for Ophthalmics—Phakic Intraocular Lenses.	Reaffirmation.
10-60	10-111	ISO 11981 Third edition 2017-11 Ophthalmic optics—Contact lenses and contact lens care products—Determination of physical compatibility of contact lens care products with contact lenses.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
10-67	10-112	ISO 11986 Third edition 2017-11 Ophthalmic optics—Contact lenses and contact lens care products—Determination of preservative uptake and release.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
10-84	ANSI Z80.11-2012 (R2017) American National Standard for Ophthalmics—Laser Systems for Corneal Reshaping.	Reaffirmation.
N. Orthopedic			
11-185	ASTM F2267-04 (Reapproved 2018) Standard Test Method for Measuring Load Induced Subsidence of Intervertebral Body Fusion Device Under Static Axial Compression.	Reaffirmation.
11-197	ASTM F983-86 (Reapproved 2018) Standard Practice for Permanent Marking of Orthopaedic Implant Components.	Reaffirmation.
11-199	ASTM F565-04 (Reapproved 2018) Standard Practice for Care and Handling of Orthopedic Implants and Instruments.	Reaffirmation.
11-203	11-322	ASTM F1541-17 Standard Specification and Test Methods for External Skeletal Fixation Devices.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-224	11-323	ASTM F2706-17 Standard Test Methods for Occipital-Cervical and Occipital-Cervical-Thoracic Spinal Implant Constructs in a Vertebrectomy Model.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-226	8-478	ASTM F1089-18 Standard Test Method for Corrosion of Surgical Instruments ..	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version. Transferred.
11-227	11-324	ASTM F366-17 Standard Specification for Fixation Pins and Wires	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-228	11-325	ASTM F564-17 Standard Specification and Test Methods for Metallic Bone Staples.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-245	11-326	ASTM F384-17 Standard Specifications and Test Methods for Metallic Angled Orthopedic Fracture Fixation Devices.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-257	11-327	ASTM F543-17 Standard Specification and Test Methods for Metallic Medical Bone Screws.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-261	11-328	ASTM F1378-17 Standard Specification for Shoulder Prostheses	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.

TABLE 1—MODIFICATIONS TO THE LIST OF RECOGNIZED STANDARDS—Continued

Old recognition No.	Replacement recognition No.	Title of standard ¹	Change ²
11-271	11-329	ASTM F2180-17 Standard Specification for Metallic Implantable Strands and Cables.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-284	11-330	ASTM F2028-17 Standard Test Methods for Dynamic Evaluation of Glenoid Loosening or Disassociation.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-288	11-331	ASTM F2077-17 Test Methods for Intervertebral Body Fusion Devices	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-296	11-332	ASTM F2193-18 Standard Specification and Test Methods for Components Used in the Surgical Fixation of the Spinal Skeletal System.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-297	11-333	ASTM F382-17 Standard Specification and Test Method for Metallic Bone Plates.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-310	ASTM F1611-00 (Reapproved 2018) Standard Specification for Intramedullary Reamers.	Reaffirmation.
11-315	11-334	ASTM F1829-17 Standard Test Method for Static Evaluation of Anatomic Glenoid Locking Mechanism in Shear.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
11-318	11-335	ASTM F3141-17a Standard Guide for Total Knee Replacement Loading Profiles.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
O. Physical Medicine			
16-159	16-202	ISO 7176-2 Third edition 2017-10 Wheelchairs—Part 2: Determination of dynamic stability of electric wheelchairs.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
16-185	ANSI RESNA WC-2:2009 American National Standard for Wheelchairs—Volume 2, Additional Requirements for Wheelchairs (including Scooters) with Electrical Systems Section 21: Requirements and test methods for electromagnetic compatibility of electrically powered wheelchairs and motorized scooters.	Extent of recognition.
16-193	16-203	ASME A18.1-2017 Safety Standard for Platform Lifts and Stairway Chairlifts	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
P. Radiology			
12-202	IEC 60601-2-43 Edition 2.0 2010-03 Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-43: Particular requirements for the safety and essential performance of X-ray equipment for interventional procedures.	Recognition restored with transition period.
12-204	IEC 60601-2-28 Edition 2.0 2010-03 Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-28: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of X-ray tube assemblies for medical diagnosis.	Recognition restored with transition period.
12-296	12-317	IEC 60601-2-54 CONSOLIDATED VERSION Edition 1.1 2015-04 Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-54: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of X-ray equipment for radiography and radioscopy [Including: Amendment 2 (2018)].	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version including amendment.
Q. Software/Informatics			
No new entries at this time.			
R. Sterility			
14-138	14-512	ISO 13408-2 Second edition 2018-01 Aseptic processing of health care products—Part 2: Sterilizing filtration.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
14-275	ANSI/AAMI ST41:2008/(R)2018 Ethylene oxide sterilization in health care facilities: Safety and effectiveness.	Reaffirmation.
14-293	ANSI/AAMI ST50:2004/(R)2018 Dry heat (heated air) sterilizers	Reaffirmation.
14-294	ANSI/AAMI ST40:2004/(R)2018 Table-top dry heat (heated air) sterilization and sterility assurance in health care facilities.	Reaffirmation.
14-295	ANSI/AAMI ST81:2004/(R)2016 Sterilization of medical devices—Information to be provided by the manufacturer for the processing of resterilizable medical devices.	Withdrawn. See 14-515.
14-344	14-513	ASTM F2825-18 Standard Practice for Climatic Stressing of Packaging Systems for Single Parcel Delivery.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
14-407	14-514	ISO 11737-1 Third edition 2018-01 Sterilization of health care products—Microbiological methods—Part 1: Determination of a population of microorganisms on products.	Withdrawn and replaced with newer version.
S. Tissue Engineering			
No new entries at this time.			

¹ All standard titles in this table conform to the style requirements of the respective organizations.² Standards that are “Withdrawn” or “Withdrawn and replaced with newer version” will have a transition period with an expiration date as noted in the recognition database <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfStandards/search.cfm>.

III. Listing of New Entries

In table 2, FDA provides the listing of new entries and consensus standards

added as modifications to the list of recognized standards under Recognition List Number: 050.

TABLE 2—NEW ENTRIES TO THE LIST OF RECOGNIZED STANDARDS

Recognition No.	Title of standard ¹	Reference No. and date
A. Anesthesiology		
No new entries at this time.		
B. Biocompatibility		
No new entries at this time.		
C. Cardiovascular		
3-153	Standard Guide for Coating Inspection and Acute Particulate Characterization of Coated Drug-Eluting Vascular Stent Systems.	ASTM F2743-11.
3-154	Standard Guide for Fatigue-to-Fracture (FtF) Methodology for Cardiovascular Medical Devices.	ASTM F3211-17.
3-155	Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-47: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of ambulatory electrocardiographic systems.	IEC 60601-2-47 Edition 2.0 2012-02.
3-156	Implants for surgery—Active implantable medical devices—Part 1: General requirements for safety, marking and for information to be provided by the manufacturer.	ISO 14708-1 Second edition 2014-08-15.
D. Dental/Ear, Nose, and Throat (ENT)		
4-243	Corrosion Test Methods	ANSI/ADA Standard No. 97:2002/ISO 10271:2001 Reaffirmed by ANSI: May 29, 2013.
4-244	Dentistry—Test methods for rotary instruments	ISO 8325 Second edition 2004-09-15.
4-245	Dentistry—Corrosion test methods for metallic materials	ISO 10271 Second edition 2011-08-01.
4-246	Dentistry—Pre-capsulated dental amalgam	ISO 20749 First edition 2017-03.
4-247	Dentistry—Laser welding and filler materials	ISO 28319 Second edition 2018-04.
E. General I (Quality Systems/Risk Management) (QS/RM)		
5-118	Guidance for the creation of physiologic data and waveform databases to demonstrate reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of alarm system algorithms.	AAMI TIR66:2017.
5-119	Medical devices—Connectors for reservoir delivery systems for healthcare applications—Part 3: Enteral application.	ISO 18250-3 First edition 2018-06.
F. General II (Electrical Safety/Electromagnetic Compatibility) (ES/EMC)		
19-31	American National Standard Recommended Practice for the Immunity Measurement of Electrical and Electronic Equipment.	ANSI C63.15-2017 (Revision of ANSI C63.15-2016).
G. General Hospital/General Plastic Surgery (GH/GPS)		
No new entries at this time.		
H. In Vitro Diagnostics (IVD)		
7-282	Performance Standards for Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts	CLSI M60 1st Edition.
7-283	Essential Tools for Implementation and Management of a Point-of-Care Testing Program.	CLSI POCT04 3rd Edition.
7-284	Supplemental Tables for Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry	CLSI EP37 1st Edition.
I. Materials		
8-479	Implants for surgery—Homopolymers, copolymers and blends on poly(lactide)—In vitro degradation testing.	ISO 13781 Second edition 2017-07.

TABLE 2—NEW ENTRIES TO THE LIST OF RECOGNIZED STANDARDS—Continued

Recognition No.	Title of standard ¹	Reference No. and date
J. Nanotechnology		
No new entries at this time.		
K. Neurology		
No new entries at this time.		
L. Obstetrics-Gynecology/Gastroenterology/Urology (OB-Gyn/G/Urology)		
No new entries at this time.		
M. Ophthalmic		
10-113	American National Standard for Ophthalmics—Toric Intraocular Lenses	ANSI Z80.30-2018.
N. Orthopedic		
11-336	Wear of implant materials—Polymer and metal wear articles—Isolation and characterization.	ISO 17853 Third edition 2011-03-01.
11-337	Implants for surgery—Roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis for the assessment of migration of orthopaedic implants.	ISO 16087 First edition 2013-10-01.
11-338	Implants for surgery—Determination of impact resistance of ceramic femoral heads for hip joint prostheses.	ISO 11491 First edition 2017-07.
11-339	Implants for surgery—Partial and total hip joint prostheses—Part 2: Articulating surfaces made of metallic, ceramic and plastics materials [Including AMENDMENT 1 (2016)].	ISO 7206-2 Third edition 2011-04-01 AMENDMENT 1 2016-09-15.
11-340	Standard Guide for Assessment of Hard-on-Hard Articulation Total Hip Replacement and Hip Resurfacing Arthroplasty Devices.	ASTM F3018-17.
11-341	Standard Test Method for Cyclic Fatigue Testing of Metal Tibial Tray Components of Unicondylar Knee Joint Replacements.	ASTM F3140-17.
O. Physical Medicine		
No new entries at this time.		
P. Radiology		
12-318	Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-64: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of light ion beam medical electrical equipment.	IEC 60601-2-64 Edition 1.0 2014-09.
12-319	Medical electrical equipment—Part 2-68: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of X-ray-based image-guided radiotherapy equipment for use with electron accelerators, light ion beam therapy equipment and radionuclide beam therapy equipment.	IEC 60601-2-68 Edition 1.0 2014-09.
12-320	Medical electrical equipment—Medical light ion beam equipment—Performance characteristics.	IEC 62667 Edition 1.0 2017-08.
Q. Software/Informatics		
No new entries at this time.		
R. Sterility		
14-515	Processing of health care products—Information to be provided by the medical device manufacturer for the processing of medical devices.	ISO 17664 Second edition 2017-10.
14-516	Standard Test Method for Detecting Leaks in Nonporous Packaging or Flexible Barrier Materials by Dye Penetration.	ASTM F3039-15.
14-517	Standard Guide for Application of Test Soils for the Validation of Cleaning Methods for Reusable Medical Devices.	ASTM F3293-18.
S. Tissue Engineering		
15-55	Standard Guide for Micro-computed Tomography of Tissue Engineered Scaffolds	ASTM F3259-17.

¹ All standard titles in this table conform to the style requirements of the respective organizations.

IV. List of Recognized Standards

FDA maintains the current list of FDA Recognized Consensus Standards in a searchable database that may be

accessed at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfStandards/search.cfm>. Such standards are those that FDA has recognized by notice

published in the **Federal Register** or that FDA has decided to recognize but for which recognition is pending (because a periodic notice has not yet

appeared in the **Federal Register**). FDA will announce additional modifications and revisions to the list of recognized consensus standards, as needed, in the **Federal Register** once a year, or more often if necessary.

V. Recommendation of Standards for Recognition by FDA

Any person may recommend consensus standards as candidates for recognition under section 514 of the FD&C Act by submitting such recommendations, with reasons for the recommendation, to CDRHStandardsStaff@fda.hhs.gov. To be considered, such recommendations should contain, at a minimum, the following information available at <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Standards/ucm123739.htm>.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22977 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2011–N–0143]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Foreign Supplier Verification Programs for Food Importers

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the Agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Federal Agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, and to allow 60 days for public comment in response to the notice. This notice solicits comments on the information collection requirements associated with our Foreign Supplier Verification Programs (FSVP) for Food Importers.

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the collection of information by December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late,

untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before December 21, 2018. The <https://www.regulations.gov> electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of December 21, 2018.

Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- **Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):** Dockets Management Staff (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.
- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA–2011–N–0143 for “Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Foreign Supplier Verification Programs for Food Importers.” Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see

ADDRESSES), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Domini Bean, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, Three White Flint North, 10A–12M, 11601 Landsdown St., North Bethesda, MD 20852, 301–796–5733, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), Federal Agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. “Collection of information” is defined

in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires Federal Agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, FDA is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, FDA invites comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FDA's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FDA's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the

information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Foreign Supplier Verification Programs (FSVP) for Food Importers

OMB Control Number 0910-0752—Extension

This information collection supports FDA regulations at 21 CFR part 1, subpart L—Foreign Supplier Verification Programs for Food Importers, as well as associated guidance. As amended by the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) (Pub. L. 111-353), the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) enables the Agency to better protect the public health by helping to ensure the safety and security of the food supply. The regulations are intended to help ensure that food imported into the United States is produced in compliance with specific processes and procedures, including reasonably appropriate risk-based preventive controls. The

regulations establish that importers of foods must develop, maintain, and follow an FSVP that provides adequate assurances that a foreign supplier is producing the food in compliance with processes and procedures that provide at least the same level of public health protection as those required under section 418 of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 350g) (regarding hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls for certain foods) or 419 (21 U.S.C. 350h) (regarding standards for produce safety), if either is applicable, and the implementing regulations, and is producing the food in compliance with sections 402 (21 U.S.C. 342) (regarding adulteration) and 403(w) (21 U.S.C. 343(w)) (if applicable) (regarding misbranding with respect to labeling for the presence of major food allergens) of the FD&C Act. The regulations also provide for certain exemptions.

To assist respondents with the requirements we have developed Agency guidance, which is available at: <https://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/FSMA/ucm253380.htm>.

We estimate the burden of the information collection is as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN¹

21 CFR section	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Exemption for food for research 1.501(c)	36,360	40	1,454,400	0.083 (5 minutes) ...	120,715
DUNS number for filing with U.S. Customs and Border Protection 1.509(c), 1.511(c), 1.512(b)(2).	56,800	157	8,917,600	0.02 (1.2 minutes) ..	178,352
Total	299,067

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN¹

Information collection activity; 21 CFR section	Number of recordkeepers	Number of records per recordkeeper	Total annual records	Average burden per recordkeeping	Total hours
Controls for low-acid canned foods; 1.502(b)	2,443	4	9,772	1	9,772
FSVP Recordkeeping, including hazard determination, written procedures, reevaluation; audits; and corrective actions:					
Determine and document hazards; 1.504(a)	11,701	1	11,701	3.5	40,954
Review hazard analysis; 1.504(d)	11,701	7	81,907	0.33 (20 minutes) ...	27,029
Evaluation of food and foreign supplier; 1.505(a)(2), 1.511(c)(1).	11,701	1	11,701	4	46,804
Approval of suppliers; 1.505(b), 1.512(c)(1)(iii) ...	8,191	1	8,191	12	98,292
Reevaluation of food and foreign supplier; 1.505(c), 1.512(c)(1)(ii)(A).	11,701	365	4,270,865	0.25 (15 minutes) ...	1,067,716
Confirm or change requirements of foreign supplier verification activity; 1.505(c), 1.512(c)(1)(ii)(A).	2,340	1	2,340	2	4,680
Review of other entities assessments; 1.505(d), 1.512(c)(1)(iii).	3,510	1	3,510	1.2	4,212
Written procedures for use of approved foreign suppliers; 1.506(a)(1), 1.511(c)(2), 1.512(c)(3)(i).	11,701	1	11,701	8	93,608
Review of written procedures; 1.506(a)(2), 1.511(c)(2)(ii), 1.512(c)(3)(ii).	11,701	1	11,701	1	11,701

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN ¹—Continued

Information collection activity; 21 CFR section	Number of recordkeepers	Number of records per recordkeeper	Total annual records	Average burden per recordkeeping	Total hours
Written procedures for conducting verification activities; 1.506(b), 1.511(c)(3).	11,701	1	11,701	2	23,402
Determination and documentation of appropriate supplier verification activities; 1.506(d)(1)–(2), 1.511(c)(5)(i).	11,701	4	46,804	3.25	152,113
Review of appropriate supplier verification activities determined by another entity; 1.506(d)(3), 1.511(c)(5)(iii).	11,701	2	23,402	0.33 (20 minutes) ...	7,723
Conduct/review audits; 1.506(e)(1)(i), 1.511(c)(4)(ii)(A).	11,701	2	23,402	3	70,206
Conduct periodic sampling/testing; 1.506(e)(1)(ii), 1.511(c)(4)(ii)(B).	11,701	2	23,402	1	23,402
Review records; 1.506(e)(1)(iii), 1.511(c)(4)(ii)(C).	11,701	2	23,402	1.6	37,443
Document your review of supplier verification activity records; 1.506(e)(3), 1.511(c)(4)(iii).	11,701	6	70,206	0.25 (15 minutes) ..	17,552
1.507(a)(1)	11,701	3.17	37,092	1.25	46,365
Written assurances; 1.507(a)(2), 1.507(a)(3), and 1.507(a)(4).	11,701	8.72	102,038	0.50 (30 minutes) ...	51,019
Disclosures that accompany assurances; 1.507(a)(2), 1.507(a)(3), and 1.507(a)(4).	102,038	1	102,038	0.50 (30 minutes) ...	51,019
Document assurances from customers; 1.507(c).	36,522	2.8	102,262	0.25 (15 minutes) ..	25,566
Document corrective actions; 1.508(a) and 1.512(b)(4).	2,340	1	2,340	2	4,680
Investigate and determine FSVP adequacy; 1.508(b), 1.511(c)(1).	2,340	1	2,340	5	11,700
Subtotal for FSVP Recordkeeping Itemized Above.	4,984,046	1,917,186
Written assurances for food produced under dietary supplement current good manufacturing practices; 1.511(b).	11,701	2.88	33,699	2.25	75,823
Document very small importer/certain small foreign supplier status; 1.512(b)(1).	50,450	1	50,450	1	50,450
Written assurances associated with very small importer/certain small foreign supplier 1.512(b)(3).	50,450	2.8	141,260	2.25	317,835
Total	2,361,294

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with the information collection.

We are retaining the currently approved burden estimates. The FSVP requirements became effective May 30, 2017, and we continue to evaluate associated burden.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22953 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket Nos. FDA–2018–E–0675, FDA–2018–E–0678, and FDA–2018–E–0689]

Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; BESPONSA

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or the Agency) has determined the regulatory review period for BESPONSA and is publishing this notice of that determination as required by law. FDA has made the determination because of the submission of applications to the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Department of Commerce, for the extension of a patent which claims that human biological product.

DATES: Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published (see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section) are incorrect may submit either electronic or written comments and ask for a redetermination by December 21, 2018. Furthermore, any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence

during the regulatory review period by April 22, 2019. See “Petitions” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for more information.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before December 21, 2018. The <https://www.regulations.gov> electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of December 21, 2018. Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the

instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see "Written/Paper Submissions" and "Instructions").

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- *Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions):* Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.
- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in "Instructions."

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket Nos. FDA-2018-E-0675, FDA-2018-E-0678, and FDA-2018-E-0689 for "Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; BESPONSA." Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see **ADDRESSES**), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as "Confidential Submissions," publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions—**To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states "THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION." The Agency will review this copy, including

the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as "confidential." Any information marked as "confidential" will not be disclosed except in accordance with § 10.20 (21 CFR 10.20) and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the "Search" box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Friedman, Office of Regulatory Policy, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 51, Rm. 6250, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301-796-3600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98-417) and the Generic Animal Drug and Patent Term Restoration Act (Pub. L. 100-670) generally provide that a patent may be extended for a period of up to 5 years so long as the patented item (human drug product, animal drug product, medical device, food additive, or color additive) was subject to regulatory review by FDA before the item was marketed. Under these acts, a product's regulatory review period forms the basis for determining the amount of extension an applicant may receive.

A regulatory review period consists of two periods of time: A testing phase and an approval phase. For human biological products, the testing phase begins when the exemption to permit the clinical investigations of the biological product becomes effective

and runs until the approval phase begins. The approval phase starts with the initial submission of an application to market the human biological product and continues until FDA grants permission to market the biological product. Although only a portion of a regulatory review period may count toward the actual amount of extension that the Director of USPTO may award (for example, half the testing phase must be subtracted as well as any time that may have occurred before the patent was issued), FDA's determination of the length of a regulatory review period for a human biological product will include all of the testing phase and approval phase as specified in 35 U.S.C. 156(g)(1)(B).

FDA has approved for marketing the human biologic product BESPONSA (inotuzumab ozogamicin). BESPONSA is indicated for treatment of adults with relapsed or refractory B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Subsequent to this approval, the USPTO received patent term restoration applications for BESPONSA (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,153,768; 8,835,611; and 8,747,857) from Wyeth Holdings LLC, and the USPTO requested FDA's assistance in determining the patents' eligibility for patent term restoration. In a letter dated April 4, 2018, FDA advised the USPTO that this human biological product had undergone a regulatory review period and that the approval of BESPONSA represented the first permitted commercial marketing or use of the product. Thereafter, the USPTO requested that FDA determine the product's regulatory review period.

II. Determination of Regulatory Review Period

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for BESPONSA is 5,298 days. Of this time, 5,057 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 241 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. *The date an exemption under section 505(i) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(i)) became effective:* February 16, 2003. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the date the investigational new drug application became effective was on February 16, 2003.

2. *The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the human biological product under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262):* December 20, 2016. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the biologics license application (BLA) for BESPONSA (BLA 761040) was

initially submitted on December 20, 2016.

3. *The date the application was approved:* August 17, 2017. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that BLA 761040 was approved on August 17, 2017.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the USPTO applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension. In its applications for patent extension, this applicant seeks 654 days, 703 days, or 1,099 days of patent term extension.

III. Petitions

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published are incorrect may submit either electronic or written comments and, under 21 CFR 60.24, ask for a redetermination (see **DATES**). Furthermore, as specified in § 60.30 (21 CFR 60.30), any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period. To meet its burden, the petition must comply with all the requirements of § 60.30, including but not limited to: Must be timely (see **DATES**), must be filed in accordance with § 10.20, must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA investigation, and must certify that a true and complete copy of the petition has been served upon the patent applicant. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41–42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Submit petitions electronically to <https://www.regulations.gov> at Docket No. FDA–2013–S–0610. Submit written petitions (two copies are required) to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22958 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2016–D–4308]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Draft Guidance for Industry: Labeling of Red Blood Cell Units With Historical Antigen Typing Results

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, or Agency) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Fax written comments on the collection of information by November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, Fax: 202–395–7285, or emailed to aira_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910–NEW and title “Draft Guidance for Industry: Labeling of Red Blood Cell Units with Historical Antigen Typing Results.” Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: JennaLynn Capezzuto, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, Three White Flint North, 10A–12M, 11601 Landsdown St., North Bethesda, MD 20852, 301–796–3794, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Labeling of Red Blood Cell Units With Historical Antigen Typing Results, OMB Control Number 0910–NEW

The draft guidance document provides establishments that collect blood and blood components for transfusion with recommendations for labeling RBC units with non-ABO/Rh(D) antigen typing results obtained from previous donations (historical antigen typing results). The draft guidance

provides recommendations to transfusion services for managing RBC units labeled with historical antigen typing results. The guidance also provides licensed blood collection establishments that choose to implement labeling of RBC units with historical antigen typing results instructions regarding how to report the manufacturing and labeling changes under 21 CFR 601.12.

Description of Respondents:

Establishments that collect blood and blood components intended for transfusion.

Burden Estimate: We believe that the information collection provisions in the draft guidance do not create a new burden for respondents and are part of usual and customary business practices. According to the 30th edition of the AABB Standards for Blood Banks and Transfusion Services, RBC units may be labeled as RBC antigen negative without testing the current donation if two previous separate donations were tested by the collection facility and results of RBC typing were found to be concordant. The standards indicate that facilities have the option to put the non-ABO/Rh(D) historical antigen typing results on a tie-tag or directly on the container label.

The guidance also recommends establishments that collect blood and blood components for transfusion should convey to transfusion services the practices for repeating historical RBC typing results on current donations and for labeling RBC units with historical RBC antigen typing results.

We believe that collection establishments have already developed standard operating procedures for including the non-ABO/Rh(D) historical antigen typing results on a tie-tag or directly on the container label, and for conveying any change in their antigen typing or labeling practices to their consignees, including practices for repeating historical RBC typing results on current donations and for labeling RBC units with historical RBC antigen typing results.

In the **Federal Register** of January 3, 2017 (82 FR 130), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. FDA received six comments on the guidance; however, no comments were related to the collection of information.

The draft guidance also refers to previously approved collections of information found in FDA regulations. The collections of information in 21 CFR 601.12 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0338; and the collections of information in 21 CFR

part 606 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–116.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22959 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics: Hearing

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) announces the following advisory committee hearing.

Name: National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics (NCVHS), Subcommittee on Standards.

Date and Times: Wednesday, December 12, 2018: 9 a.m.–5 p.m. (EST); Thursday, December 13, 2018: 9 a.m.–1 p.m. (EST).

Place: Omni Shoreham Hotel, 2500 Calvert Street NW, Washington, DC 20008.

Status: Open. There will be a public comment period during the final 15 minutes of both days of the meeting.

Purpose: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) legislation from 1996, as amended,¹ directed the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) to publish regulations adopting standards, code sets and identifiers to support the exchange of electronic health information between covered entities. The standards are for retail pharmacy and medical transactions.

In its capacity to advise the HHS Secretary on health data, statistics, privacy, national health information policy, and HIPAA, NCVHS is in the final stages of the development of a standards update and adoption roadmap, referred to as the Predictability Roadmap. The development of the Predictability Roadmap has been a year and a half long process, achieved in collaboration with industry stakeholders and the standards development organizations (SDOs). The overall vision for the Predictability Roadmap is that:

- HIPAA covered entities and their business associates use the adopted standards and operating rules in a consistent way to exchange health information and conduct business; and
- Standards are reliably updated and adopted so that covered entities know

when they will need to, and/or be able to update systems and business processes.

To accomplish this goal, NCVHS conducted several information gathering activities and stakeholder engagement meetings and workshops: In June 2017 the Subcommittee on Standards met with each of the standards development organizations (SDOs) to learn about their individual maintenance processes; in August 2017 the Subcommittee held a visioning exercise with the SDOs and Designated Standards Maintenance Organization (DSMO); and in May 2018, the Subcommittee conducted a CIO Forum with 21 health care technology experts and senior corporate officers representing a cross-section of organizations that were end-users of the HIPAA and ACA administrative standards. The goal of this Forum was to elicit input for improving the standards development, update and adoption process, and address barriers to use of those standards. Based on this work, the Subcommittee on Standards developed a draft Predictability Roadmap comprised of 23 recommendations organized under three major focus areas. The draft recommendations were presented at the September 13–14 NCVHS meeting and are posted on the website at: <https://ncvhs.hhs.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Presentation-NCVHS-Draft-Predictability-Roadmap-Recs-Coussoule-and-Goss.pdf>.

The purpose of this Subcommittee hearing is to obtain input from stakeholders on the draft recommendations designed to improve the processes for updating, adopting and using standards and operating rules, and developing a formal Predictability Roadmap. The Subcommittee will use the feedback received at this hearing to finalize recommendations to the Secretary of HHS.

Individuals and representatives of organizations interested in submitting written testimony are invited to respond to the following questions:

In general,

1. Would these recommendations as a whole improve the predictability of the adoption of administrative standards and operating rules?
2. What additional recommendations are critical to achieve predictability? And specifically,
3. What is the value proposition of each recommendation and what improvements to the current state do you believe will arise from each recommendation/group of similar recommendations?
4. Are there potential unintended consequences? What are those and how

can they be mitigated with modifications to the recommendations?

The questions outlined above can be used to guide written submissions to the Subcommittee. Written submissions should be sent electronically to NCVHSmal@cdc.gov with “Predictability Roadmap” in the subject line no later than November 20, 2018.

The times and topics for this meeting are subject to change. Please refer to the posted agenda at www.ncvhs.hhs.gov for any updates.

Contact Persons for More Information:

Substantive program information may be obtained from Rebecca Hines, MHS, Executive Secretary, NCVHS, National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 3311 Toledo Road, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782, telephone (301) 458–4715. Information pertaining to meeting content may be obtained from Lorraine Doo, MSW, MPH, (410) 786–6597; and/or Geanelle G. Herring, MSW, (410) 786–4466; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Office of Information Technology, Division of National Standards, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland, 21244. Summaries of meetings and a roster of Committee members are available on the NCVHS website: www.ncvhs.hhs.gov where further information including an agenda and instructions to access the live audio broadcast of the meeting will be posted.

Should you require reasonable accommodation, please contact the CDC Office of Equal Employment Opportunity on (770) 488–3210 as soon as possible.

Sharon Arnold,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Science and Data Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 2018–22952 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4151–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial

¹ Along with Section 1104(c) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010.

property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Special Emphasis Panel; Review of NHLBI Cardiac Surgery Network Clinical Centers.

Date: November 14, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Sheraton BWI (Baltimore), 1100 Old Elkridge Landing Road, Baltimore, MD 21090.

Contact Person: Shelley S. Sehnert, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Office of Scientific Review/DERA, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 7206, Bethesda, MD 20892-7924, 301-435-0303, ssehnert@nhlbi.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Special Emphasis Panel; Clinical Ancillary Studies (R01).

Date: November 16, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: The William F. Bolger Center, 9600 Newbridge Drive, Potomac, MD 20854.

Contact Person: YingYing Li-Smerin, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Office of Scientific Review/DERA, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 7184, Bethesda, MD 20892-7924, 301-827-7942, lismerin@nhlbi.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Special Emphasis Panel; Early Phase Clinical Trials (R61/R33).

Date: November 16, 2018.

Time: 1:30 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 7180, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Tony L. Creazzo, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Office of Scientific Review/DERA, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 7180, Bethesda, MD 20892-7924, 301-827-7913, creazzotl@mail.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Special Emphasis Panel; Early Phase Clinical Trials (R33).

Date: November 16, 2018.

Time: 2:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 7180, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Tony L. Creazzo, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Office of Scientific Review/DERA, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 7180, Bethesda, MD 20892-7924, 301-827-7913, creazzotl@mail.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.233, National Center for

Sleep Disorders Research; 93.837, Heart and Vascular Diseases Research; 93.838, Lung Diseases Research; 93.839, Blood Diseases and Resources Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Ronald J. Livingston, Jr.,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-22901 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Special Emphasis Panel.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health Special Emphasis Panel, Early Phase Clinical Trials of Natural Products (NP).

Date: November 29, 2018.

Time: 12:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, Two Democracy Plaza, 6707 Democracy Boulevard, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Martina Schmidt, Ph.D., Chief, Office of Scientific Review, National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health, NIH, 6707 Democracy Blvd., Suite 401, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-594-3456, schmidma@mail.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.213, Research and Training in Complementary and Integrative Health, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Ronald J. Livingston, Jr.,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-22902 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Fellowships: Physiology and Pathobiology of Cardiovascular and Respiratory Systems.

Date: November 6-7, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Hyatt Regency Bethesda, One Bethesda Metro Center, 7400 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Richard D. Schneiderman, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4138, Bethesda, MD 20817, 301-402-3995, richard.schneiderman@nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Small Business: Biological Chemistry, Biophysics and Assay Development.

Date: November 8-9, 2018.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: JW Marriott New Orleans, 614 Canal Street, New Orleans, LA 70130.

Contact Person: Vonda K. Smith, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 6188, MSC 7892, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-435-1789, smithvo@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Member Conflict: Developmental Brain Disorders and Alzheimer's Disease Applications.

Date: November 8, 2018.

Time: 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Seetha Bhagavan, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5194,

MSC 7846, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 237-9838, bhagavas@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Cardiovascular and Respiratory Sciences.

Date: November 14, 2018.

Time: 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Sara Ahlgren, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, RM 4136, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-435-0904, sara.ahlgren@nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Biochemistry and Biophysics of Biological Macromolecules Fellowship Applications.

Date: November 15, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Hotel Monaco Baltimore, 2 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21201.

Contact Person: Raymond Jacobson, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5858, MSC 7849, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-996-7702, jacobsonrh@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Biochemistry and Biophysics of Biological Macromolecules Fellowship Applications.

Date: November 15-16, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Hotel Monaco Baltimore, 2 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21201.

Contact Person: Sudha Veeraraghavan, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-435-1504, sudha.veeraraghavan@nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; PAR 16-121: Early-Stage Preclinical Validation of Therapeutic Leads for Diseases of Interest to the NIDDK.

Date: November 15, 2018.

Time: 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Raul Rojas, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 6185, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301)451-6319, rojasr@mail.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: AIDS and Related Research Integrated Review Group; HIV Coinfections and HIV Associated Cancers Study Section.

Date: November 19, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Washington Marriott at Metro Center, 775 12th Street NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Contact Person: Eduardo A. Montalvo, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5108, MSC 7852, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 435-1168, montalve@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Small Business: Disease Prevention and Management, Risk Reduction and Health Behavior Change.

Date: November 19-20, 2018.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: The Fairmont Washington, DC, 2401 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20037.

Contact Person: Michael John McQuestion, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3114, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-480-1276, mike.mcquestion@nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Small Business: Drug Discovery and Development.

Date: November 19-20, 2018.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Hyatt Regency Bethesda, One Bethesda Metro Center, 7400 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Sergei Ruvinov, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4158, MSC 7806, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-435-1180, ruvinser@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Small Business: Orthopedic, Skeletal Muscle and Oral Sciences.

Date: November 19-20, 2018.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Bahia Resort Hotel, 998 West Mission Bay Drive, San Diego, CA 92109.

Contact Person: Aftab A. Ansari, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4108, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-237-9931, ansaria@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Member Conflict: Topics in Drug Discovery and Clinical Field Studies.

Date: November 19, 2018.

Time: 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Contact Person: Liangbiao Zheng, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3202, MSC 7808, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-996-5819, zhengli@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Member

Conflict: Arthritis, Connective Tissue, and Skin Sciences.

Date: November 19, 2018.

Time: 1:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Yi-Hsin Liu, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4214, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-435-1781, liuyh@csr.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393-93.396, 93.837-93.844, 93.846-93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Sylvia L. Neal,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-22898 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Opioids in the CNS: Markers and Mechanisms.

Date: October 23, 2018.

Time: 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Julius Cinque, MS, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5186, MSC 7846, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 435-1252, cinquej@csr.nih.gov.

This notice is being published less than 15 days prior to the meeting due to the timing

limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393–93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Sylvia L. Neal,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22899 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Library of Medicine; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable materials, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Library of Medicine Special Emphasis Panel COI/Career Award.

Date: December 6, 2018.

Time: 11 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Library of Medicine/Center for Scientific Review, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3181, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Yanli Wang, Ph.D., Health Data Scientist, Division of Extramural Programs, National Library of Medicine, NIH, 6705 Rockledge Drive, Suite 301, Bethesda, MD 20892–7968, 301–594–4933, yanli.wang@mail.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.879, Medical Library Assistance, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Ronald J. Livingston, Jr.,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22897 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders Special Emphasis Panel; NIDCD Chemical Senses Fellowship Review.

Date: October 24, 2018.

Time: 11:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Sheo Singh, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Scientific Review Branch, Division of Extramural Activities, 6001 Executive Blvd., Room 8351, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301–496–8683, singhs@nidcd.nih.gov.

This notice is being published less than 15 days prior to the meeting due to the timing limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.173, Biological Research Related to Deafness and Communicative Disorders, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Sylvia L. Neal,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–22900 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA–2018–0001]

Notice of Adjustment of Statewide per Capita Impact Indicator

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice that the statewide per capita impact indicator under the Public Assistance program for disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018, will be increased.

DATES: This adjustment applies to major disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Hoyes, Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3834.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 44 CFR 206.48 provides that FEMA will adjust the statewide per capita impact indicator under the Public Assistance program to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Department of Labor.

FEMA gives notice that the statewide per capita impact indicator will be increased to \$1.50 for all disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FEMA bases the adjustment on an increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers of 2.7 percent for the 12-month period that ended in August 2018. The Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor released the information on September 13, 2018.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.036, Disaster Grants—Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters))

Brock Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018–22888 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA–2018–0001]

Notice of Adjustment of Countywide per Capita Impact Indicator

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice that the countywide per capita impact indicator under the Public Assistance program for disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018, will be increased.

DATES: This adjustment applies to major disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan Hoyes, Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3834.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In assessing damages for area designations under 44 CFR 206.40(b), FEMA uses a countywide per capita indicator to evaluate the impact of the disaster at the county level. FEMA will adjust the countywide per capita impact indicator under the Public Assistance program to reflect annual changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Department of Labor.

FEMA gives notice of an increase in the countywide per capita impact indicator to \$3.78 for all disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FEMA bases the adjustment on an increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers of 2.7 percent for the 12-month period that ended in August 2018. The Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor released the information on September 13, 2018.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.036, Disaster Grants—Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)

Brock Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018-22886 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**Federal Emergency Management Agency**

[Docket ID FEMA-2018-0001]

Notice of Adjustment of Minimum Project Worksheet Amount

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice that the minimum Project Worksheet Amount under the Public Assistance program for disasters and emergencies declared on or after October 1, 2018, will be increased.

DATES: This adjustment applies to major disasters and emergencies declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan Hoyes, Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3834.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and

Emergency Assistance Act 42 U.S.C. 5121-5207 and 44 CFR 206.202(d)(2) provide that FEMA will annually adjust the minimum Project Worksheet amount under the Public Assistance program to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Department of Labor.

FEMA gives notice of an increase to \$3,200 for the minimum amount that will be approved for any Project Worksheet under the Public Assistance program for all major disasters and emergencies declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FEMA bases the adjustment on an increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers of 2.7 percent for the 12-month period that ended in August 2018. This is based on information released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics at the U.S. Department of Labor on September 13, 2018.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.036, Disaster Grants—Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters))

Brock Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018-22889 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**Federal Emergency Management Agency**

[Docket ID FEMA-2007-0008]

National Advisory Council; Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Committee management; Notice of open Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) National Advisory Council (NAC) will meet in person on November 6-8, 2018, in Washington, DC. The meeting will be open to the public.

DATES: The NAC will meet Tuesday, November 6, 2018, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, November 7, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Thursday, November 8, 2018, from 8:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET). Please note that the meeting may close early if the NAC has completed its business.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at The National Association of Counties, located at 660 North Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20001. It is recommended that attendees register

with FEMA by October 26, 2018, by providing their name, telephone number, email address, title, and organization to the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** below.

For information on facilities or services for people with disabilities and others with access and functional needs (including people who use mobility aids, require medication or portable medical equipment, use service animals, need information in alternate formats, or rely on personal assistance services), or to request assistance at the meeting, contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** below as soon as possible.

To facilitate public participation, members of the public are invited to provide written comments on the issues to be considered by the NAC. The "Agenda" section below outlines these issues. The full agenda and any related documents for this meeting will be posted by Friday, November 2, 2018, on the NAC website at <http://www.fema.gov/national-advisory-council>. Written comments must be submitted and received by 5:00 p.m. ET on November 2, 2018, identified by Docket ID FEMA-2007-0008, and submitted by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* (540) 504-2331. Please include a cover sheet addressing the fax to ATTN: Deana Platt.

- *Mail:* Regulatory Affairs Division, Office of Chief Counsel, FEMA, 500 C Street SW, Room 8NE, Washington, DC 20472-3100.

Instructions: All submissions must include the words "Federal Emergency Management Agency" and the docket number for this action. Comments received, including any personal information provided, will be posted without alteration at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read comments received by the NAC, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and search for Docket ID FEMA-2007-0008.

A public comment period will be held on Wednesday, November 7, 2018, from 1:00 p.m. to 1:15 p.m. ET. All speakers must limit their comments to 5 minutes. Comments should be addressed to the NAC. Any comments not related to the agenda topics will not be considered by the NAC. To register to make remarks during the public comment period, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by November 2, 2018. Please note that the public comment period may end before

the time indicated, following the last call for comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deana Platt, Designated Federal Officer, Office of the National Advisory Council, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472-3184, telephone (202) 646-2700, Fax (540) 504-2331, and email FEMA-NAC@fema.dhs.gov. The NAC website is: www.fema.gov/national-advisory-council.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of this meeting is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix.

The NAC advises the FEMA Administrator on all aspects of emergency management. The NAC incorporates input from state, local, tribal and territorial governments, and the private sector in the development and revision of FEMA plans and strategies. The NAC includes a cross-section of officials, emergency managers, and emergency response providers from state, local, tribal, and territorial governments, the private sector, and nongovernmental organizations.

Agenda: On Tuesday, November 6, 2018, the NAC will be briefed on progress made on previous recommendations from the relevant FEMA program leadership and will also hear about strategic priorities from the FEMA Administrator.

On Wednesday, November 7, 2018, the Integrated Public Alert and Warning System Subcommittee will present its recommendations to the full NAC for consideration and, based on group discussion, the NAC will vote to make recommendations as appropriate to the FEMA Administrator. Following this, the three permanent and one ad-hoc NAC subcommittees (Federal Insurance and Mitigation Subcommittee, Preparedness and Protection Subcommittee, Response and Recovery Subcommittee, and Tribal Subcommittee) will discuss and deliberate on their potential recommendations and again, based on group discussion, the NAC will vote to make recommendations as appropriate to the FEMA Administrator. Potential recommendation topics include (1) leveraging communities to build a culture of preparedness, (2) engaging the whole community in planning and response, and (3) closing the insurance gap.

On Thursday, November 8, 2018, the NAC will review potential topics for research before the next in-person meeting, discuss recent disasters, review

agreed upon recommendations, and confirm charges for the subcommittees.

The full agenda and any related documents for this meeting will be posted by Friday, November 2, 2018, on the NAC website at <http://www.fema.gov/national-advisory-council>.

Dated: October 10, 2018.

William B. "Brock" Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018-22883 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-48-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA-2018-0001]

Notice of Maximum Amount of Assistance Under the Individuals and Households Program

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice of the maximum amount for assistance under the Individuals and Households Program for emergencies and major disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

DATES: This adjustment applies to emergencies and major disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher B. Smith, Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 212-1000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 408 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (the Stafford Act), 42 U.S.C. 5174, prescribes that FEMA must annually adjust the maximum amount for assistance provided under the Individuals and Households Program (IHP). FEMA gives notice that the maximum amount of IHP financial assistance provided to an individual or household under section 408 of the Stafford Act with respect to any single emergency or major disaster is \$34,900. The increase in award amount as stated above is for any single emergency or major disaster declared on or after October 1, 2018. In addition, in accordance with 44 CFR 61.17(c), this adjustment includes the maximum amount of available coverage under any Group Flood Insurance Policy (GFIP) issued.

FEMA bases the adjustment on an increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers of 2.7 percent for the 12-month period, which ended in August 2018. The Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor released the information on September 13, 2018.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.048, Federal Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households in Presidentially Declared Disaster Areas; 97.049, Presidentially Declared Disaster Assistance—Disaster Housing Operations for Individuals and Households; 97.050, Presidentially Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households—Other Needs).

Brock Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018-22884 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA-2018-0001]

Notice of Adjustment of Disaster Grant Amounts

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice of an adjustment to the threshold for Small Project subgrants made to state, tribal, and local governments and private nonprofit facilities for disasters declared on or after October 1, 2018.

DATES: This adjustment applies to major disasters and emergencies declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Hoyes, Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3834.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121-5207, as amended by the Sandy Recovery Improvement Act, Public Law 113-2, provides that FEMA will annually adjust the threshold for assistance provided under section 422, Simplified Procedures, relating to the Public Assistance program, to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Department of Labor.

FEMA gives notice that \$128,900 is the threshold for any Small Project subgrant made to state, tribal, and local

governments or to the owner or operator of an eligible private nonprofit facility under section 422 of the Stafford Act for all major disasters or emergencies declared on or after October 1, 2018.

FEMA bases the adjustment on an increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers of 2.7 percent for the 12-month period that ended in August 2018. This is based on information released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics at the U.S. Department of Labor on September 13, 2018.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.036, Disaster Grants—Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters))

Brock Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018–22890 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA–3405–EM; Docket ID FEMA–2018–0001]

Florida; Emergency and Related Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This is a notice of the Presidential declaration of an emergency for the State of Florida (FEMA–3405–EM), dated October 9, 2018, and related determinations.

DATES: The declaration was issued October 9, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dean Webster, Office of Response and Recovery, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–2833.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, in a letter dated October 9, 2018, the President issued an emergency declaration under the authority of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121–5207 (the Stafford Act), as follows:

I have determined that the emergency conditions in certain areas of the State of Florida resulting from Hurricane Michael beginning on October 7, 2018, and continuing, are of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant an emergency declaration under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121 *et seq.* (“the Stafford Act”). Therefore, I declare that such an emergency exists in the State of Florida.

You are authorized to provide appropriate assistance for required emergency measures, authorized under Title V of the Stafford Act, to save lives and to protect property and public health and safety, and to lessen or avert the threat of a catastrophe in the designated areas. Specifically, you are authorized to provide assistance for debris removal and emergency protective measures (Categories A and B), including direct Federal assistance, under the Public Assistance program in selected areas and emergency protective measures (Category B), limited to direct Federal assistance, under the Public Assistance program in selected areas.

Consistent with the requirement that Federal assistance be supplemental, any Federal funds provided under the Stafford Act for Public Assistance will be limited to 75 percent of the total eligible costs. In order to provide Federal assistance, you are hereby authorized to allocate from funds available for these purposes such amounts as you find necessary for Federal emergency assistance and administrative expenses.

Further, you are authorized to make changes to this declaration for the approved assistance to the extent allowable under the Stafford Act.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) hereby gives notice that pursuant to the authority vested in the Administrator, Department of Homeland Security, under Executive Order 12148, as amended, Thomas J. McCool, of FEMA is appointed to act as the Federal Coordinating Officer for this declared emergency.

The following areas of the State of Florida have been designated as adversely affected by this declared emergency:

Debris removal and emergency protective measures (Categories A and B), including direct federal assistance, under the Public Assistance program for Bay, Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Hamilton, Jackson, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty, Madison, Suwannee, Taylor, and Wakulla Counties.

Emergency protective measures (Category B), limited to direct federal assistance, under the Public Assistance program for Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Citrus, Columbia, Dixie, Escambia, Gilchrist, Hernando, Hillsborough, Holmes, Lafayette, Levy, Manatee, Okaloosa, Pasco, Pinellas, Santa Rosa, Union, Walton, and Washington Counties.

The following Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers (CFDA) are to be used for reporting and drawing funds: 97.030, Community Disaster Loans; 97.031, Cora Brown Fund; 97.032, Crisis Counseling; 97.033, Disaster Legal Services; 97.034, Disaster Unemployment Assistance (DUA); 97.046, Fire Management Assistance Grant; 97.048, Disaster Housing Assistance to Individuals and Households In Presidentially Declared Disaster Areas; 97.049, Presidentially Declared Disaster Assistance—Disaster Housing Operations for Individuals and Households; 97.050, Presidentially Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households—Other Needs; 97.036,

Disaster Grants—Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters); 97.039, Hazard Mitigation Grant.

Brock Long,

Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2018–22885 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–6129–N–01]

Statutorily Mandated Designation of Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts for 2019

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document designates “Difficult Development Areas” (DDAs) and “Qualified Census Tracts” (QCTs) for purposes of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 42, as enacted by the Tax Reform Act of 1986. The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes new DDA and QCT designations annually.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions on how areas are designated and on geographic definitions, contact Michael K. Hollar, Senior Economist, Economic Development and Public Finance Division, Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW, Room 8216, Washington, DC 20410–6000; telephone number 202–402–5878, or send an email to Michael.K.Hollar@hud.gov. For specific legal questions pertaining to Section 42, contact Branch 5, Office of the Associate Chief Counsel, Passthroughs and Special Industries, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20224; telephone number 202–317–4137, fax number 202–317–6731. For questions about the “HUBZone” program, contact Mariana Pardo, Director, HUBZone Program, Office of Government Contracting and Business Development, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW, Suite 8800, Washington, DC 20416; telephone number 202–205–2985, fax number 202–481–6443, or send an email to hubzone@sba.gov. (These are not toll-free telephone numbers.) A text telephone is available for persons with hearing or speech impairments at 800–877–8339. Additional copies of this

notice are available through HUD User at 800–245–2691 for a small fee to cover duplication and mailing costs.

Copies Available Electronically: This notice and additional information about DDAs and QCTs are available electronically on the internet at <http://www.huduser.org/datasets/qct.html>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. This Notice

Under 26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(iii), for purposes of the LIHTC, the Secretary of HUD must designate DDAs, which are areas with high construction, land, and utility costs relative to area median gross income. This notice designates DDAs for each of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The designations of DDAs in this notice are based on modified Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 Small Area Fair Market Rents (Small Area FMRs), FY2018 nonmetropolitan county FMRs, FY2018 income limits, and 2010 Census population counts, as explained below.

Similarly, under 26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(ii), the Secretary of HUD must designate QCTs, which are areas where either 50 percent or more of the households have an income less than 60 percent of the area median gross income for such year or have a poverty rate of at least 25 percent. This notice designates QCTs based on new income and poverty data released in the American Community Survey (ACS). Specifically, HUD relies on the most recent three sets of ACS data to ensure that anomalous estimates, due to sampling, do not affect the QCT status of tracts.

II. Data Used To Designate DDAs

Data from the 2010 Census on total population of metropolitan areas, metropolitan Zip Code Tabulation Areas (ZCTAs), and nonmetropolitan areas are used in the designation of DDAs. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) first published new metropolitan area definitions incorporating 2010 Census data in OMB Bulletin No. 15–01 on July 15, 2015. FY2018 FMRs and FY2018 income limits used to designate DDAs are based on these metropolitan statistical area (MSA) definitions, with modifications to account for substantial differences in rental housing markets (and, in some cases, median income levels) within MSAs. Small Area FMRs are calculated for the ZCTAs, or portions of ZCTAs within the metropolitan areas defined by OMB Bulletin No. 15–01.

III. Data Used To Designate QCTs

Data from the 2010 Census on total population of census tracts, metropolitan areas, and the nonmetropolitan parts of states are used in the designation of QCTs. The FY2018 income limits used to designate QCTs are based on these MSA definitions with modifications to account for substantial differences in rental housing markets (and in some cases median income levels) within MSAs. This QCT designation uses the OMB metropolitan area definitions published in OMB Bulletin No. 15–01 on July 15, 2015, without modification for purposes of evaluating how many census tracts can be designated under the population cap, but uses the HUD-modified definitions and their associated area median incomes for determining QCT eligibility.

Because the 2010 Decennial Census did not include questions on respondent household income, HUD uses ACS data to designate QCTs. The ACS tabulates data collected over 5 years to provide estimates of socioeconomic variables for small areas containing fewer than 65,000 persons, such as census tracts. Due to sample-related anomalies in estimates from year to year, HUD utilizes three sets of ACS tabulations to ensure that anomalous estimates do not affect QCT status.

IV. Background

The U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) and its Internal Revenue Service (IRS) are authorized to interpret and enforce the provisions of the LIHTC found at IRC Section 42. In order to assist in understanding HUD's mandated designation of DDAs and QCTs for use in administering IRC Section 42, a summary of the section is provided below. The following summary does not purport to bind Treasury or the IRS in any way, nor does it purport to bind HUD, since HUD has authority to interpret or administer the IRC only in instances where it receives explicit statutory delegation.

V. Summary of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit

A. Determining Eligibility

The LIHTC is a tax incentive intended to increase the availability of low-income rental housing. IRC Section 42 provides an income tax credit to certain owners of newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated low-income rental housing projects. The dollar amount of the LIHTC available for allocation by each state (credit ceiling) is limited by population. Each state is allowed a credit ceiling based on a statutory formula indicated at IRC

Section 42(h)(3). States may carry forward unallocated credits derived from the credit ceiling for one year; however, to the extent such unallocated credits are not used by then, the credits go into a national pool to be redistributed to states as additional credit. State and local housing agencies allocate the state's credit ceiling among low-income housing buildings whose owners have applied for the credit. Besides IRC Section 42 credits derived from the credit ceiling, states may also provide IRC Section 42 credits to owners of buildings based on the percentage of certain building costs financed by tax-exempt bond proceeds. Credits provided under the tax-exempt bond "volume cap" do not reduce the credits available from the credit ceiling.

The credits allocated to a building are based on the cost of units placed in service as low-income units under particular minimum occupancy and maximum rent criteria. Prior to the enactment of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018 (Act), under IRC Section 42(h), a building was required to meet one of two tests to be eligible for the LIHTC; either: (1) 20 percent of the units must be rent-restricted and occupied by tenants with incomes no higher than 50 percent of the Area Median Gross Income (AMGI), or (2) 40 percent of the units must be rent-restricted and occupied by tenants with incomes no higher than 60 percent of AMGI. A unit is "rent-restricted" if the gross rent, including an allowance for tenant-paid utilities, does not exceed 30 percent of the imputed income limitation (*i.e.*, 50 percent or 60 percent of AMGI) applicable to that unit. The rent and occupancy thresholds remain in effect for at least 15 years, and building owners are required to enter into agreements to maintain the low-income character of the building for at least an additional 15 years.

The Act added a third test, the average income test. See § 42(g)(1), as amended by section 103(a)(1), Division T, of the Act. A building meets the minimum requirements of the average income test if 40 percent or more (25 percent or more in the case of a project located in a high cost housing area as described in IRS Section 142(d)(6)) of the residential units in such project are both rent-restricted and occupied by individuals whose income does not exceed the imputed income limitation designated by the taxpayer with respect to the respective unit. The taxpayer designates the imputed income limitation for each unit. The designated imputed income limitation of any unit is determined in 10-percentage-point increments, and may be designated as

20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, or 80 percent of area median gross income. The average of the imputed income limitations designated must not exceed 60 percent of area median gross income. See § 42(g)(1)(C), as amended by section 103(a)(2), Division T, of the Act.

B. Calculating the LIHTC

The LIHTC reduces income tax liability dollar-for-dollar. It is taken annually for a term of 10 years and is intended to yield a present value of either: (1) 70 percent of the “qualified basis” for new construction or substantial rehabilitation expenditures that are not federally subsidized (as defined in IRC Section 42(i)(2)), or (2) 30 percent of the qualified basis for the cost of acquiring certain existing buildings or projects that are federally subsidized. The tax credit rates are determined monthly under procedures specified in IRC Section 42 and cannot be less than 9 percent for new buildings that are not federally subsidized. Individuals can use the credits up to a deduction equivalent of \$25,000 (the actual maximum amount of credit that an individual can claim depends on the individual’s marginal tax rate). For buildings placed in service after December 31, 2007, individuals can use the credits against the alternative minimum tax. Corporations, other than S or personal service corporations, can use the credits against ordinary income tax, and, for buildings placed in service after December 31, 2007, against the alternative minimum tax. These corporations also can deduct losses from the project.

The qualified basis represents the product of the building’s “applicable fraction” and its “eligible basis.” The applicable fraction is based on the number of low-income units in the building as a percentage of the total number of units, or based on the floor space of low-income units as a percentage of the total floor space of residential units in the building. The eligible basis is the adjusted basis attributable to acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction costs (depending on the type of LIHTC involved). These costs include amounts chargeable to a capital account that are incurred prior to the end of the first taxable year in which the qualified low-income building is placed in service or, at the election of the taxpayer, the end of the succeeding taxable year. In the case of buildings located in designated DDAs or designated QCTs, or buildings designated by the state agency, eligible basis can be increased up to 130 percent from what it would otherwise be. This means that the available credits also can

be increased by up to 30 percent. For example, if a 70 percent credit is available, it effectively could be increased to as much as 91 percent (70 percent \times 130 percent).

C. Defining Difficult Development Areas (DDAs) and Qualified Census Tracts (QCTs)

As stated above, IRC Section 42 defines a DDA as an area designated by the Secretary of HUD that has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to the AMGI. All designated DDAs in metropolitan areas (taken together) may not contain more than 20 percent of the aggregate population of all metropolitan areas, and all designated areas not in metropolitan areas may not contain more than 20 percent of the aggregate population of all nonmetropolitan areas. See 26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(iii).

Similarly, IRC Section 42 defines a QCT as an area designated by the Secretary of HUD where, for the most recent year for which census data are available on household income in such tract, either 50 percent or more of the households in the tract have an income which is less than 60 percent of the area median gross income or the tract’s poverty rate is at least 25 percent. All designated QCTs in a single metropolitan area or nonmetropolitan area (taken together) may not contain more than 20 percent of the population of that metropolitan or nonmetropolitan area. Thus, unlike the restriction on DDA designations, QCTs are restricted by the total population of each individual area as opposed to the aggregate population across all metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan areas. See 26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(ii).

IRC Section 42(d)(5)(B)(v) allows states to award an increase in basis up to 30 percent to buildings located outside of federally designated DDAs and QCTs if the increase is necessary to make the building financially feasible. This state discretion applies only to buildings allocated credits under the state housing credit ceiling and is not permitted for buildings receiving credits in connection with tax-exempt bonds. Rules for such designations shall be set forth in the LIHTC-allocating agencies’ qualified allocation plans (QAPs). See 26 U.S.C. 42(m).

VI. Explanation of HUD Designation Method

A. 2019 Difficult Development Areas

In developing the 2019 list of DDAs, as required by 26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(iii), HUD compared housing costs with incomes. HUD used 2010 Census

population for ZCTAs, and nonmetropolitan areas, and the MSA definitions, as published in OMB Bulletin 15–01 on July 15, 2015, with modifications, as described below. In keeping with past practice of basing the coming year’s DDA designations on data from the preceding year, the basis for these comparisons is the FY2018 HUD income limits for very low-income households (very low-income limits, or VLILs), which are based on 50 percent of AMGI, and modified FMRs based on the FY2018 FMRs used for the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program. For metropolitan DDAs, HUD used Small Area FMRs based on three annual releases of ACS data, to compensate for statistical anomalies which affect estimates for some ZCTAs. For nonmetropolitan DDAs, HUD used the FY2018 FMRs published on October 2, 2017 (83 FR 7205) as updated through February 20, 2018 (83 FR 7205).

In formulating the FY2018 FMRs and VLILs, HUD modified the current OMB definitions of MSAs to account for differences in rents among areas within each current MSA that were in different FMR areas under definitions used in prior years. HUD formed these “HUD Metro FMR Areas” (HMFAs) in cases where one or more of the parts of newly defined MSAs were previously in separate FMR areas. All counties added to metropolitan areas are treated as HMFAs with rents and incomes based on their own county data, where available. HUD no longer requires recent-mover rents to differ by five percent or more in order to form a new HMFA. All HMFAs are contained entirely within MSAs. All nonmetropolitan counties are outside of MSAs and are not broken up by HUD for purposes of setting FMRs and VLILs. (Complete details on HUD’s process for determining FY2018 FMR areas and FMRs are available at <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/fmr.html#2018>. Complete details on HUD’s process for determining FY2018 income limits are available at <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il.html#2018>.)

HUD’s unit of analysis for designating metropolitan DDAs consists of ZCTAs, whose Small Area FMRs are compared to metropolitan VLILs. For purposes of computing VLILs in metropolitan areas, HUD considers entire MSAs in cases where these were not broken up into HMFAs for purposes of computing VLILs; and HMFAs within the MSAs that were broken up for such purposes. Hereafter in this notice, the unit of analysis for designating metropolitan DDAs will be called the ZCTA, and the unit of analysis for nonmetropolitan

DDAs will be the nonmetropolitan county or county equivalent area. The procedure used in making the DDA designations follows:

1. Calculate FMR-to-Income Ratios.

For each metropolitan ZCTA and each nonmetropolitan county, HUD calculated a ratio of housing costs to income. HUD used a modified FY2018 two-bedroom Small Area FMR for ZCTAs, the FY2018 two-bedroom FMR as published for non-metropolitan counties, and the FY2018 four-person VLIL for this calculation.

The modified FY2018 two-bedroom Small Area FMRs for ZCTAs differ from the FY2018 Small Area FMRs in four ways. First, HUD did not limit the Small Area FMR to 150 percent of its metropolitan area FMR. Second, HUD did not limit annual decreases in Small Area FMRs to ten percent, which was first applied in the FY2018 FMR calculations. Third, HUD adjusted the Small Area FMRs in New York City using the New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey, which is conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau, to adjust for the effect of local rent control and stabilization regulations. No other jurisdictions have provided HUD with data that could be used to adjust Small Area FMRs for rent control or stabilization regulations.¹ Finally, the Small Area FMRs are not limited to the State non-metropolitan minimum FMR.

The numerator of the ratio, representing the development cost of housing, was the area's FY2018 FMR, or Small Area FMR in metropolitan areas. In general, the FMR is based on the 40th-percentile gross rent paid by recent movers to live in a two-bedroom rental unit.

The denominator of the ratio, representing the maximum income of eligible tenants, was the monthly LIHTC income-based rent limit, which was calculated as 1/12 of 30 percent of 120 percent of the area's VLIL (where the VLIL was rounded to the nearest \$50 and not allowed to exceed 80 percent of the AMGI in areas where the VLIL is adjusted upward from its 50 percent-of-AMGI base).

2. Sort Areas by Ratio and Exclude Unsuitable Areas. The ratios of the FMR, or Small Area FMR, to the LIHTC income-based rent limit were arrayed in descending order, separately, for ZCTAs and for nonmetropolitan counties. ZCTAs with populations less than 100 were excluded in order to avoid designating areas unsuitable for

residential development, such as ZCTAs containing airports.

3. Select Areas with Highest Ratios and Exclude QCTs. The DDAs are those areas with the highest ratios that cumulatively comprise 20 percent of the 2010 population of all metropolitan areas and all nonmetropolitan areas. For purposes of applying this population cap, HUD excluded the population in areas designated as 2019 QCTs. Thus, an area can be designated as a QCT or DDA, but not both.

B. Application of Population Caps to DDA Determinations

In identifying DDAs, HUD applied caps, or limitations, as noted above. The cumulative population of metropolitan DDAs cannot exceed 20 percent of the cumulative population of all metropolitan areas, and the cumulative population of nonmetropolitan DDAs cannot exceed 20 percent of the cumulative population of all nonmetropolitan areas.

In applying these caps, HUD established procedures to deal with how to treat small overruns of the caps. The remainder of this section explains those procedures. In general, HUD stops selecting areas when it is impossible to choose another area without exceeding the applicable cap. The only exceptions to this policy are when the next eligible excluded area contains either a large absolute population or a large percentage of the total population, or the next excluded area's ranking ratio, as described above, was identical (to four decimal places) to the last area selected, and its inclusion resulted in only a minor overrun of the cap. Thus, for both the designated metropolitan and nonmetropolitan DDAs, there may be minimal overruns of the cap. HUD believes the designation of additional areas in the above examples of minimal overruns is consistent with the intent of the IRC. As long as the apparent excess is small due to measurement errors, some latitude is justifiable, because it is impossible to determine whether the 20 percent cap has been exceeded. Despite the care and effort involved in a Decennial Census, the Census Bureau and all users of the data recognize that the population counts for a given area and for the entire country are not precise. Therefore, the extent of the measurement error is unknown. There can be errors in both the numerator and denominator of the ratio of populations used in applying a 20 percent cap. In circumstances where a strict application of a 20 percent cap results in an anomalous situation, recognition of the unavoidable imprecision in the census

data justifies accepting small variances above the 20 percent limit.

C. Qualified Census Tracts

In developing the list of QCTs, HUD used 2010 Census 100-percent count data on total population, total households, and population in households; the median household income and poverty rate as estimated in the 2010–2014, 2011–2015 and 2012–2016, ACS tabulations; the FY2018 Very Low-Income Limits (VLILs) computed at the HUD Metropolitan FMR Area (HMFA) level to determine tract eligibility; and the MSA definitions published in OMB Bulletin No. 15–01 on July 15, 2015, for determining how many eligible tracts can be designated under the statutory 20 percent population cap.

HUD uses the HMFA-level AMGIs to determine QCT eligibility because the statute, specifically IRC Section 42(d)(5)(B)(iv)(II), refers to the same section of the IRC that defines income for purposes of tenant eligibility and unit maximum rent, specifically IRC Section 42(g)(4). By rule, the IRS sets these income limits according to HUD's VLILs, which, starting in FY2006 and thereafter, are established at the HMFA level. HUD uses the entire MSA to determine how many eligible tracts can be designated under the 20 percent population cap as required by the statute (IRC Section 42(d)(5)(B)(ii)(III)), which states that MSAs should be treated as singular areas.

The QCTs were determined as follows:

1. Calculate 60 percent AMGI. To be eligible to be designated a QCT, a census tract must have 50 percent of its households with incomes below 60 percent of the AMGI or have a poverty rate of 25 percent or more. Due to potential statistical anomalies in the ACS 5-year estimates, one of these conditions must be met in at least 2 of the 3 ACS 5-year tabulations for a tract to be considered eligible for QCT designation. HUD calculates 60 percent of AMGI by multiplying by a factor of 1.2 the HMFA or nonmetropolitan county FY2017 VLIL adjusted for inflation to match the ACS estimates, which are adjusted to the value of the dollar in the last year of the 5-year group.

2. Determine Whether Census Tracts Have Less than 50 percent of Households Below 60 percent AMGI. For each census tract, whether or not 50 percent of households have incomes below the 60 percent income standard (income criterion) was determined by: (a) Calculating the average household size of the census tract, (b) adjusting the

¹ HUD encourages other jurisdictions with rent control laws that affect rents paid by recent movers into existing units to contact HUD about what data might be provided or collected to adjust Small Area FMRs in those jurisdictions.

income standard to match the average household size, and (c) comparing the average-household-size-adjusted income standard to the median household income for the tract reported in each of the three years of ACS tabulations (2010–2014, 2011–2015 and 2012–2016). HUD did not consider estimates of median household income to be statistically reliable unless the margin of error was less than half of the estimate (or a Margin of Error Ratio, MoER, of 50 percent or less). If at least two of the three estimates were not statistically reliable by this measure, HUD determined the tract to be ineligible under the income criterion due to lack of consistently reliable median income statistics across the three ACS tabulations. Since 50 percent of households in a tract have incomes above and below the tract median household income, if the tract median household income is less than the average-household-size-adjusted income standard for the tract, then more than 50 percent of households have incomes below the standard.

3. *Estimate Poverty Rate.* For each census tract, the poverty rate was determined in each of the three releases of ACS tabulations (2010–2014, 2011–2015 and 2012–2016) by dividing the population with incomes below the poverty line by the population for whom poverty status has been determined. As with the evaluation of tracts under the income criterion, HUD applies a data quality standard for evaluating ACS poverty rate data in designating the 2019 QCTs. HUD did not consider estimates of the poverty rate to be statistically reliable unless both the population for whom poverty status has been determined and the number of persons below poverty had MoERs of less than 50 percent of the respective estimates. If at least two of the three poverty rate estimates were not statistically reliable, HUD determined the tract to be ineligible under the poverty rate criterion due to lack of reliable poverty statistics across the ACS tabulations.

4. *Designate QCTs Where 20 percent or Less of Population Resides in Eligible Census Tracts.* QCTs are those census tracts in which 50 percent or more of the households meet the income criterion in at least two of the three years evaluated, or 25 percent or more of the population is in poverty in at least two of the three years evaluated, such that the population of all census tracts that satisfy either one or both of these criteria does not exceed 20 percent of the total population of the respective area.

5. *Designate QCTs Where More than 20 percent of Population Resides in Eligible Census Tracts.* In areas where more than 20 percent of the population resides in eligible census tracts, census tracts are designated as QCTs in accordance with the following procedure:

a. The statistically reliable income and poverty criteria are each averaged over the three ACS tabulations (2010–2014, 2011–2015 and 2012–2016). Statistically reliable values that did not exceed the income and poverty rate thresholds were included in the average.

b. Eligible tracts are placed in one of two groups based on the averaged values of the income and poverty criteria. The first group includes tracts that satisfy both the income and poverty criteria for QCTs for at least two of the three evaluation years; a different pair of years may be used to meet each criterion. The second group includes tracts that satisfy either the income criterion in at least two of the three years, or the poverty criterion in at least two of three years, but not both. A tract must qualify by at least one of the criteria in at least two of the three evaluation years to be eligible.

c. Tracts in the first group are ranked from highest to lowest by the average of the ratios of the tract average-household-size-adjusted income limit to the median household income. Then, tracts in the first group are ranked from highest to lowest by the average of the poverty rates. The two ranks are averaged to yield a combined rank. The tracts are then sorted on the combined rank, with the census tract with the highest combined rank being placed at the top of the sorted list. In the event of a tie, more populous tracts are ranked above less populous ones.

d. Tracts in the second group are ranked from highest to lowest by the average of the ratios of the tract average-household-size-adjusted income limit to the median household income. Then, tracts in the second group are ranked from highest to lowest by the average of the poverty rates. The two ranks are then averaged to yield a combined rank. The tracts are then sorted on the combined rank, with the census tract with the highest combined rank being placed at the top of the sorted list. In the event of a tie, more populous tracts are ranked above less populous ones.

e. The ranked first group is stacked on top of the ranked second group to yield a single, concatenated, ranked list of eligible census tracts.

f. Working down the single, concatenated, ranked list of eligible tracts, census tracts are identified as designated until the designation of an

additional tract would cause the 20 percent limit to be exceeded. If a census tract is not designated because doing so would raise the percentage above 20 percent, subsequent eligible census tracts are then considered to determine if one or more eligible census tract(s) with smaller population(s) could be designated without exceeding the 20 percent limit.

D. Exceptions to OMB Definitions of MSAs and Other Geographic Matters

As stated in OMB Bulletin 15–01, defining metropolitan areas:

“OMB establishes and maintains the delineations of Metropolitan Statistical Areas, . . . solely for statistical purposes. . . . OMB does not take into account or attempt to anticipate any non-statistical uses that may be made of the delineations, [.] In cases where . . . an agency elects to use the Metropolitan . . . Area definitions in nonstatistical programs, it is the sponsoring agency’s responsibility to ensure that the delineations are appropriate for such use. An agency using the statistical delineations in a nonstatistical program may modify the delineations, but only for the purposes of that program. In such cases, any modifications should be clearly identified as delineations from the OMB statistical area delineations in order to avoid confusion with OMB’s official definitions of Metropolitan . . . Statistical Areas.”

Following OMB guidance, the estimation procedure for the FMRs and income limits incorporates the current OMB definitions of metropolitan areas based on the CBSA standards, as implemented with 2010 Census data, but makes adjustments to the definitions, in order to separate subparts of these areas in cases where counties were added to an existing or newly defined metropolitan area. In CBSAs where subareas are established, it is HUD’s view that the geographic extent of the housing markets are not the same as the geographic extent of the CBSAs.

In the New England states (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont), HMFAs are defined according to county subdivisions or minor civil divisions (MCDs), rather than county boundaries. However, since no part of an HMFA is outside an OMB-defined, county-based MSA, all New England nonmetropolitan counties are kept intact for purposes of designating Nonmetropolitan DDAs.

Future Designations

DDAs are designated annually as updated income and FMR data are made public. QCTs are designated annually as new income and poverty rate data are released.

Effective Date

The 2019 lists of QCTs and DDAs are effective:

(1) for allocations of credit after December 31, 2018; or

(2) for purposes of IRC Section 42(h)(4), if the bonds are issued and the building is placed in service after December 31, 2018.

If an area is not on a subsequent list of QCTs or DDAs, the 2019 lists are effective for the area if:

(1) the allocation of credit to an applicant is made no later than the end of the 730-day period after the applicant submits a complete application to the LIHTC-allocating agency, and the submission is made before the effective date of the subsequent lists; or

(2) for purposes of IRC Section 42(h)(4), if:

(a) The bonds are issued or the building is placed in service no later than the end of the 730-day period after the applicant submits a complete application to the bond-issuing agency, and

(b) the submission is made before the effective date of the subsequent lists, provided that both the issuance of the bonds and the placement in service of the building occur after the application is submitted.

An application is deemed to be submitted on the date it is filed if the application is determined to be complete by the credit-allocating or bond-issuing agency. A "complete application" means that no more than de minimis clarification of the application is required for the agency to make a decision about the allocation of tax credits or issuance of bonds requested in the application.

In the case of a "multiphase project," the DDA or QCT status of the site of the project that applies for all phases of the project is that which applied when the project received its first allocation of LIHTC. For purposes of IRC Section 42(h)(4), the DDA or QCT status of the site of the project that applies for all phases of the project is that which applied when the first of the following occurred: (a) The building(s) in the first phase were placed in service, or (b) the bonds were issued.

For purposes of this notice, a "multiphase project" is defined as a set of buildings to be constructed or rehabilitated under the rules of the LIHTC and meeting the following criteria:

(1) The multiphase composition of the project (*i.e.*, total number of buildings and phases in project, with a description of how many buildings are to be built in each phase and when each

phase is to be completed, and any other information required by the agency) is made known by the applicant in the first application of credit for any building in the project, and that applicant identifies the buildings in the project for which credit is (or will be) sought;

(2) the aggregate amount of LIHTC applied for on behalf of, or that would eventually be allocated to, the buildings on the site exceeds the one-year limitation on credits per applicant, as defined in the Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) of the LIHTC-allocating agency, or the annual per-capita credit authority of the LIHTC allocating agency, and is the reason the applicant must request multiple allocations over 2 or more years; and

(3) all applications for LIHTC for buildings on the site are made in immediately consecutive years.

Members of the public are hereby reminded that the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, or the Secretary's designee, has legal authority to designate DDAs and QCTs, by publishing lists of geographic entities as defined by, in the case of DDAs, the Census Bureau, the several states and the governments of the insular areas of the United States and, in the case of QCTs, by the Census Bureau; and to establish the effective dates of such lists. The Secretary of the Treasury, through the IRS thereof, has sole legal authority to interpret, and to determine and enforce compliance with the IRC and associated regulations, including **Federal Register** notices published by HUD for purposes of designating DDAs and QCTs. Representations made by any other entity as to the content of HUD notices designating DDAs and QCTs that do not precisely match the language published by HUD should not be relied upon by taxpayers in determining what actions are necessary to comply with HUD notices.

Interpretive Examples of Effective Date

For the convenience of readers of this notice, interpretive examples are provided below to illustrate the consequences of the effective date in areas that gain or lose QCT or DDA status. The examples covering DDAs are equally applicable to QCT designations.

(Case A) Project A is located in a 2019 DDA that is NOT a designated DDA in 2020 or 2021. A complete application for tax credits for Project A is filed with the allocating agency on November 15, 2019. Credits are allocated to Project A on October 30, 2021. Project A is eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2019 DDA because the application was filed

BEFORE January 1, 2020 (the assumed effective date for the 2020 DDA lists), and because tax credits were allocated no later than the end of the 730-day period after the filing of the complete application for an allocation of tax credits.

(Case B) Project B is located in a 2019 DDA that is NOT a designated DDA in 2020 or 2021. A complete application for tax credits for Project B is filed with the allocating agency on December 1, 2019. Credits are allocated to Project B on March 30, 2022. Project B is NOT eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2019 DDA because, although the application for an allocation of tax credits was filed BEFORE January 1, 2020 (the assumed effective date of the 2020 DDA lists), the tax credits were allocated later than the end of the 730-day period after the filing of the complete application.

(Case C) Project C is located in a 2019 DDA that was not a DDA in 2018. Project C was placed in service on November 15, 2018. A complete application for tax-exempt bond financing for Project C is filed with the bond-issuing agency on January 15, 2019. The bonds that will support the permanent financing of Project C are issued on September 30, 2019. Project C is NOT eligible for the increase in basis otherwise accorded a project in a 2019 DDA, because the project was placed in service BEFORE January 1, 2019.

(Case D) Project D is located in an area that is a DDA in 2019 but is NOT a DDA in 2020 or 2021. A complete application for tax-exempt bond financing for Project D is filed with the bond-issuing agency on October 30, 2019. Bonds are issued for Project D on April 30, 2021, but Project D is not placed in service until January 30, 2022. Project D is eligible for the increase in basis available to projects located in 2019 DDAs because: (1) one of the two events necessary for triggering the effective date for buildings described in Section 42(h)(4)(B) of the IRC (the two events being bonds issued and buildings placed in service) took place on April 30, 2021, within the 730-day period after a complete application for tax-exempt bond financing was filed, (2) the application was filed during a time when the location of Project D was in a DDA, and (3) both the issuance of the bonds and placement in service of Project D occurred after the application was submitted.

(Case E) Project E is a multiphase project located in a 2019 DDA that is NOT a designated DDA or QCT in 2020. The first phase of Project E received an allocation of credits in 2019, pursuant to an application filed March 15, 2019,

which describes the multiphase composition of the project. An application for tax credits for the second phase of Project E is filed with the allocating agency by the same entity on March 15, 2020. The second phase of Project E is located on a contiguous site. Credits are allocated to the second phase of Project E on October 30, 2020. The aggregate amount of credits allocated to the two phases of Project E exceeds the amount of credits that may be allocated to an applicant in one year under the allocating agency's QAP and is the reason that applications were made in multiple phases. The second phase of Project E is, therefore, eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2019 DDA, because it meets all of the conditions to be a part of a multiphase project.

(Case F) Project F is a multiphase project located in a 2019 DDA that is NOT a designated DDA in 2020 or 2021. The first phase of Project F received an allocation of credits in 2019, pursuant to an application filed March 15, 2019, which does not describe the multiphase composition of the project. An application for tax credits for the second phase of Project F is filed with the allocating agency by the same entity on March 15, 2021. Credits are allocated to the second phase of Project F on October 30, 2021. The aggregate amount of credits allocated to the two phases of Project F exceeds the amount of credits that may be allocated to an applicant in one year under the allocating agency's QAP. The second phase of Project F is, therefore, NOT eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2019 DDA, since it does not meet all of the conditions for a multiphase project, as defined in this notice. The original application for credits for the first phase did not describe the multiphase composition of the project. Also, the application for credits for the second phase of Project F was not made in the year immediately following the first phase application year.

Findings and Certifications

Environmental Impact

This notice involves the establishment of fiscal requirements or procedures that are related to rate and cost determinations and do not constitute a development decision affecting the physical condition of specific project areas or building sites. Accordingly, under 40 CFR 1508.4 of the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality and 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6) of HUD's regulations, this notice is categorically excluded from environmental review under the

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321).

Federalism Impact

Executive Order 13132 (entitled "Federalism") prohibits an agency from publishing any policy document that has federalism implications if the document either imposes substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments and is not required by statute, or the document preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the executive order. This notice merely designates DDAs and QCTs as required under IRC Section 42, as amended, for the use by political subdivisions of the states in allocating the LIHTC. This notice also details the technical methods used in making such designations. As a result, this notice is not subject to review under the order.

Dated: September 26, 2018.

Todd M. Richardson,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

[FR Doc. 2018-23000 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7005-N-22]

60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Revitalization Area Designation and Management

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Room 4176, Washington, DC 20410-5000; telephone 202-402-3400 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at Colette.Pollard@hud.gov for a copy of

the proposed forms or other available information. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ivery Himes, Director, Office of Single Family Asset Management, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone 202-708-1672, extension 5628 (this is not a toll-free number) or email Colette.Pollard@hud.gov. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Revitalization Area Designation and Management.

OMB Approval Number: 2502-0566.

Type of Request: Extension.

Form Number: None.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The Department accepts request from state, local, or tribal governments or HUD-approved Nonprofit organizations to designate a geographic area as a Revitalization Area by sending a written Requesting Letter to HUD. Revitalization Areas are intended to promote community revitalization through expanded homeownership opportunities of revitalization areas.

Respondents (i.e. affected public): State, local, or tribal governments or HUD-approved Nonprofit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 42.

Estimated Number of Responses: 42.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Average Hours per Response: 2.

Total Estimated Burdens: 84.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: October 10, 2018.

Vance T. Morris,

Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 2018-23001 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R6-ES-2018-N087;
FXES11130600000-189-FF06E00000]

Endangered and Threatened Species; Receipt of Recovery Permit Applications

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of permit applications; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, have received applications for permits to conduct activities intended to enhance the propagation or survival of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. We invite the public and local, State, Tribal, and

Federal agencies to comment on these applications. Before issuing any of the requested permits, we will take into consideration any information that we receive during the public comment period.

DATES: We must receive your written comments by November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: *Document availability and comment submission:* Submit requests for copies of the applications and related documents and submit any comments by one of the following methods. All requests and comments should specify the applicant name(s) and application number(s) (*e.g.*, TXXXXXX):

- *Email:* permitsR6ES@fws.gov.
- *U.S. Mail:* Kate Norman, Ecological Services, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 134 Union Blvd., Suite 670, Lakewood, CO 80228.
- *Pickup/hand-delivery:* Call 303-236-4224 to make an appointment during regular business hours at Ecological Services, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 134 Union Blvd., Suite 670, Lakewood, CO 80228.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy Konishi, Recovery Permits Coordinator, Ecological Services, 303-236-4224 (phone); permitsR6ES@fws.gov (email). Individuals who are hearing or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 for TTY assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invite the public to comment on applications for a permit under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Endangered Species Act, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). The requested permits would allow the applicants to conduct activities intended to promote recovery of species that are listed as endangered or threatened under the ESA.

Background

With some exceptions, the ESA prohibits activities that constitute take of listed species unless a Federal permit is issued that allows such activity. The ESA's definition of "take" includes such activities as pursuing, harassing, trapping, capturing, or collecting in addition to hunting, shooting, harming, wounding, or killing.

A recovery permit issued by us under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the ESA authorizes the permittee to conduct activities with endangered or threatened species for scientific purposes that promote recovery or for enhancement of propagation or survival of the species. These activities often include such prohibited actions as capture and collection. Our regulations implementing section 10(a)(1)(A) for these permits are found in the Code of Federal Regulations at 50 CFR 17.22 for endangered wildlife species, 50 CFR 17.32 for threatened wildlife species, 50 CFR 17.62 for endangered plant species, and 50 CFR 17.72 for threatened plant species.

Permit Application Available for Review and Comment

Proposed activities in the following permit requests are for the recovery and enhancement of propagation or survival of the species in the wild. The ESA requires that we invite public comment before issuing this permit. Accordingly, we invite local, State, Tribal, and Federal agencies and the public to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect to these applications. The comments and recommendations that will be most useful and likely to influence agency decisions are those supported by quantitative information or studies.

Application No.	Applicant, city, state	Species	Location	Take activity	Permit action
TE91970C-0.	US Army Corps of Engineers, Yankton, SD.	Interior least tern (<i>Sternula antillarum athalassos</i>).	Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota.	Survey and monitor to determine baseline population numbers.	New.
TE103272-4.	Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.	Interior least tern (<i>Sternula antillarum athalassos</i>).	Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Georgia, South Carolina.	Survey, monitor, attach radio transmitters to determine baseline population numbers.	Renew.
TE186282-2.	Nebraska Department of Transportation.	American burying beetle (<i>Nicrophorus americanus</i>).	Nebraska	Survey and monitor to determine baseline population numbers.	Renew.
TE08832A-1.	Utah State University.	Bonytail chub (<i>Gila elegans</i>), Colorado pikeminnow (<i>Ptychocheilus lucius</i>), razorback sucker (<i>Xyrauchen texanus</i>).	Utah	Survey and monitor to determine baseline population numbers.	Renew.

Application No.	Applicant, city, state	Species	Location	Take activity	Permit action
TE99824C-0.	RedFISH Environmental.	Bonytail chub (<i>Gila elegans</i>), Colorado pikeminnow (<i>Ptychocheilus lucius</i>), razor-back sucker (<i>Xyrauchen texanus</i>), humpback chub (<i>Gila cypha</i>).	Colorado, Utah, Wyoming.	Survey and monitor to determine baseline population numbers.	New.

Public Availability of Comments

Written comments we receive become part of the administrative record associated with this **Federal Register** notice. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can request in your comment that we withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Next Steps

If we decide to issue permits to any of the applicants listed in this notice, we will publish a notice in the **Federal Register**.

Authority

We publish this notice under section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Michael Thabault,

Assistant Regional Director, Mountain-Prairie Region.

[FR Doc. 2018–22967 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[189A2100DD/AAKC001030/
A0A501010.999900 253G; OMB Control
Number 1076–0164]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Homeliving Programs and School Closure and Consolidation

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection;
request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the Bureau of Indian Education (BIE) are proposing to renew an information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments on this information collection request (ICR) by mail to Ms. Juanita Mendoza, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Education, 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 20240; fax: (202) 208–3312; email: Juanita.Mendoza@bie.edu. Please reference OMB Control Number 1076–0164 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Ms. Juanita Mendoza, (202) 208–6123.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

We are soliciting comments on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is the collection necessary to the proper functions of the BIE; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the BIE enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the BIE minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number,

email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: The regulations at 25 CFR 36, Subpart G, Home-living Programs, implement section 1122 of the Native American Education Improvement Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 95–561, title XI, § 1120, as added Pub. L. 107–110, title X, § 1042, Jan. 8, 2002, 115 Stat. 2007). These regulations require the BIE to implement national standards for home-living situations in all BIE-funded residential schools. The BIE must collect information from all BIE-funded residential schools in order to assess each school's progress in meeting the national standards. Submission of this information allows the BIE to ensure that minimum academic standards for the education of Indian children and criteria for dormitory situations in Bureau-operated schools and Indian-controlled contract schools are met.

Title of Collection: Homeliving Programs and School Closure and Consolidation.

OMB Control Number: 1076–0164.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Indian Tribes.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: There are 65 schools with residential programs, of which 13 are Bureau-operated and 52 are Tribally-operated. Thus, the collection of information must be cleared for 52 of the 65 residential schools.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 730 per year, on average.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Ranges from 1 minute to 40 hours, depending on the activity.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 1,344 hours.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to Obtain a Benefit.

Frequency of Collection: Annual or on occasion, depending on the activity.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: \$0.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Elizabeth K. Appel,

Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018–23007 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4337–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

[189D0102DM DS62200000

DLSN00000.000000 DX.62201; OMB Control Number 1090–0009]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Donor Certification Form

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Office of Financial Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the Office of Financial Management are proposing to renew an information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments on this information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget's Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior by email at OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov; or via facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Please provide a copy of your comments to Paul Batlan, Office of Financial

Management, 1849 C St. NW, MS 2557 MIB, Washington, DC 20240, or email him at Paul_Batlan@ios.doi.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 1090–0009 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Paul Batlan by email at Paul_Batlan@ios.doi.gov. You may also view the ICR at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on May 8, 2018 (83 FR 20852). No comments were received.

We are again soliciting comments on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is the collection necessary to the proper functions of the Office of Financial Management; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Office of Financial Management enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Office of Financial Management minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of

public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: This notice identifies an information collection activity that the Office of Financial Management has submitted to OMB for approval for the Department and its bureaus to continue to collect information from proposed donors relative to their relationship(s) with the Department. The Department and its individual bureaus have gift acceptance authorities. In support of the variety of donation authorities in the Department and increasing numbers of donations, it is the policy of the Department to ask those proposing to donate gifts valued at \$25,000 or more to provide information regarding their relationship with the Department. The purpose of this policy is to ensure that the acceptance of a gift does not create legal or ethical issues for the Department, its bureaus, or potential donors. The information will be gathered through the use of a form that collects information relevant to the acceptability of the proposed donation in conformance with the Department's donations policy. The form is completed and certified by the prospective donor then submitted to the Department or its bureau for review. Having the donor certify his or her interactions with the Department gives the staff vetting the proposed donation basic information to be verified, resulting in a more efficient and timely donation review process.

The information collected is as follows:

Information collected	Reason for collection
Name, and indication whether executing in individual capacity, or on behalf of an organization.	To identify the donor, and whether the donor is acting individually or on behalf of an organization.
Declaration whether the donor is involved with litigation or controversy with the Department.	To assist the Department in determining whether there are any issues associated with the proffer of the gift that need to be more closely examined.
Declaration whether the donor is engaged in any financial or business relationship with the Department.	To assist the Department in determining whether there are any issues associated with the proffer of the gift that need to be more closely examined.
Declaration whether the donor has been debarred, excluded or disqualified from the non-procurement common rule, or otherwise declared ineligible from doing business with any Federal agency.	To assist the Department in determining whether there are any issues associated with the proffer of the gift that need to be more closely examined.
Declaration as to whether the donation is expected to be involved with marketing or advertising.	To assist the Department in determining whether there are any issues associated with the proffer of the gift that need to be more closely examined.

Information collected	Reason for collection
Declaration whether the donor is seeking to attach conditions to the donation.	To assist the Department in determining whether there are any issues associated with the proffer of the gift that need to be more closely examined.
Declaration whether this proposed donation is or is not part of a series of donations to the Department.	To assist the Department in determining the scope and context of the donation, and to assist in determining whether there are any issues associated with the proffer of the gift that need to be more closely examined.
Signature, Printed Name, Date, Organization, Email address, City, State, Zip, and daytime or work phone number.	To establish the contact information of the potential donor, and have the certifier sign the certification form.

Title of Collection: Donor Certification Form.

OMB Control Number: 1090-0009.

Form Number: DI-3680.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Individuals or households, Businesses, Not-for-profit institutions, Tribal governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 115.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 115.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: 20 minutes.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 39 hours.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Frequency of Collection: Once per prospective donor per year.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: None.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Douglas A. Glenn,

Deputy Chief Financial Officer and Director, Office of Financial Management.

[FR Doc. 2018-22978 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334-63-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLNVB01000.L 71220000.EX0000.
LVTF1486020 MO# 4500101184]

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Deep South Expansion Project, Lander and Eureka Counties, Nevada

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of

1969, as amended (NEPA), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (FLPMA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Mount Lewis Field Office, Battle Mountain, Nevada, has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and is announcing the beginning of the public comment period to solicit public comments on the DEIS. Barrick Cortez, Inc. (BCI) is proposing to expand its existing Cortez Hills Project mining operations, which would require a modification to the Plan of Operations to increase the plan boundary by 4,279 acres: From 58,093 acres to 62,372 acres.

DATES: To ensure comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Draft EIS within 45 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**. The date(s) and location(s) of any public meetings or other public involvement activities will be announced at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media releases, local media, newspapers, mailings, and the BLM website at: goo.gl/jwgvXA.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments related to the Project by any of the following methods:

- **Website:** <https://aecom.wetransfer.com/downloads/ae11a0597ec409ff8ae048db96df62b020180803215410/1fd982a652f79e37f3e601b88767537120180803215411/a09201>.
- **Email:** blm_nv_mlfo_deepsoutheis@blm.gov.

- **Fax:** 775-635-4034.
- **Mail:** BLM Mount Lewis Field Office, 50 Bastian Road, Battle Mountain, NV 89820.

Documents pertinent to this proposal may be examined at the Mount Lewis Field Office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kevin Hurrell, Project Manager; telephone: 775-635-4000; address: 50 Bastian Road, Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820; or email: blm_nv_mlfo_deepsoutheis@blm.gov. Contact Kevin Hurrell to have your name added to BLM's mailing list. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf

(TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Barrick Cortez, Inc. (BCI) is proposing to expand its existing Cortez Hills Project mining operations, which is located southeast of Battle Mountain in Eureka and Lander Counties, Nevada. There are 54,825 acres of public lands within the Plan of Operations boundary that are administered by the BLM Mount Lewis Field Office, and 3,268 acres of private lands controlled by BCI. BCI was previously authorized to disturb 16,700 acres within their Plan of Operations boundary.

The proposed mine expansion, named the Deep South Expansion Project (Proposed Project) would require a modification to the Plan of Operations to increase the plan boundary by 4,279 acres: From 58,093 acres to 62,372 acres. The proposed modification would result in approximately 3,798 acres of new disturbance inside the new proposed plan boundary, of which 2,768 acres are public lands.

BCI currently employs about 1,250 people from the northern Nevada towns of Elko, Battle Mountain, Winnemucca, Eureka, Carlin, and surrounding areas. If the Deep South Expansion Project is approved, the company expects to extend the mine life and employment opportunities for its workforce by another 12 years.

BCI's purpose for the Deep South Expansion Project is to continue to profitably recover gold and silver from reserves and resources on federal mining claims in the Project Area utilizing, to the extent practical, existing facilities at BCI's currently permitted operations within the Project Area. The project need is to meet the prevailing market demand for gold. The prevailing market demand is adjusted on a daily basis on commodity exchanges throughout the world. This adjustment results from buyers and sellers agreeing

on a specific transaction price, which reflects the current supply and demand for the commodity and other factors.

Under the Gold Acres Pit Partial Backfill Alternative, the proposed expansion of the existing Gold Acres Pit would be completed prior to development of the proposed satellite pits (Alta, Bellwether, and Pasture), with the waste rock from the satellite pits (30 million tons) placed as backfill in the Gold Acres Pit to an approximate elevation of 5,440 feet amsl (Figures 2–18 and 2–19). This would result in a 72-acre reduction in the proposed new disturbance for the Gold Acres North Waste Rock Facility. The pit bottom elevations for the expanded Gold Acres Pit and proposed satellite pits would be the same as described for the Proposed Action. No dewatering would be required for the proposed expansion of open pit operations at the Gold Acres Complex as the proposed pit bottom elevations would be above the groundwater table. Therefore, proposed dewatering and water management operations would be the same as under the Proposed Action.

Under the No Action Alternative the proposed facilities and facility modifications, as well as the proposed operations modifications, that comprise the Deep South Expansion Project would not be developed or implemented. Under this alternative, the existing mining and processing operations in the Project Area and the current off site transport of refractory ore to the Goldstrike Mill for processing and backhaul of Arturo Mine oxide ore to the Pipeline Complex for processing would continue under the terms of current permits and approvals as authorized by the BLM and State of Nevada.

The DEIS describes and analyzes the Proposed Project's direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts on all affected resources. In addition to the Proposed Project, one additional alternative was analyzed, including the Gold Acres Pit Partial Backfill alternative, and the No Action Alternative.

On March 29, 2017, a Notice of Intent was published in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 58501) inviting scoping comments on the Proposed Action. The BLM held a public scoping meeting in Battle Mountain on April 18, 2017, Crescent Valley on April 19, 2017 and Elko, Nevada on April 20, 2017. The BLM received six scoping comment submittals during the scoping period. Concerns raised included impacts to water resources, air quality, wildlife, and recreation.

The BLM has utilized and coordinated the NEPA scoping and

comment process to help fulfill the public involvement requirements under the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) (54 U.S.C. 306108) as provided in 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3), and the agency continues to do so. The information about historical and cultural resources within the area potentially affected by the Proposed Project has assisted the BLM in identifying and evaluating impacts to such resources in the context of both NEPA and the NHPA.

The BLM has consulted and continues to consult with Indian tribes on a government-to-government basis in accordance with Executive Order 13175 and other policies. Tribal concerns, including impacts to Indian trust assets and potential impacts to cultural resources have been analyzed in the DEIS. Federal, State, and local agencies, along with tribes and other stakeholders that may be interested in or affected by the Proposed Project, are invited to participate in the comment process.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7.

Jon D. Sherve,

Field Manager, Mount Lewis Field Office.

[FR Doc. 2018–22979 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

**[NPS–WASO–D–COS–POL–26448;
PPWODIREP0] [PPMVSCS1Y.Y00000]**

Request for Nominations for the Made in America Outdoor Recreation Advisory Committee

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, is seeking nominations for individuals to be considered for appointment to the Made in America Outdoor Recreation Advisory Committee (Committee). The Committee provides advice to the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) on the public-private partnerships across all public lands, with the goal of expanding access to and improving

infrastructure on public lands and waterways.

DATES: Nominations must be postmarked by November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Nominations should be sent to Shirley Sears, Office of Policy, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, Mail Stop 2659, Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shirley Sears, Office of Policy, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, Mail Stop 2659, Washington, DC 20240, telephone number 202–354–3955, or email shirley_sears@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee has been established as a discretionary committee by authority of the Secretary under 54 U.S.C. 100906, and is regulated by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 1–16).

The Committee's duties are strictly advisory and include, but are not limited to, providing recommendations on policies and programs that: Expand and improve visitor infrastructure developed through public-private partnerships; implement sustainable operations embracing fair, efficient, and convenient fee collection and strategic use of the collected fees; improve interpretation using technology; and create better tools and/or opportunities for Americans to discover their lands and waters. The Committee also provides recommendations for implementation of Secretary's Order 3347—Conservation Stewardship and Outdoor Recreation.

The Committee meets approximately two times per year. The Secretary appoints members and their alternates to the Committee to serve up to a 3-year term. The Committee will not exceed 18 discretionary and 2 ex officio members.

Nominations are currently being sought for consideration in appointing two discretionary members to the Committee from among, but not limited to, the entities listed below. The nominees must be senior-level representatives of their organizations.

- Camping, recreational, and/or all-terrain vehicle (ATV) industries
- Tourism and/or guide industries related to outdoor recreation
- Hospitality industries
- Outdoor outfitter industries
- Saltwater and freshwater recreational fishing organizations
- Recreational boating organizations
- Industrial manufacturing industries
- Transportation industries

Nominations should be typed and must include a resume providing an adequate description of the nominee's

qualifications, including information that would enable the Department of the Interior (DOI) to make an informed decision regarding meeting the membership requirements of the Committee and to permit the DOI to contact a potential member.

Members of the Committee serve without compensation. However, while away from their homes or regular places of business, Committee and subcommittee members engaged in Committee or subcommittee business that the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) has approved may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5703, in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in Federal Government service.

Public disclosure of information: Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information with your nomination, you should be aware that your entire nomination—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your nomination to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 54 U.S.C. 100906

Alma Rippes,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–23015 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS–NERO–GATE–26485; PPNEGATEB0, PPMVSCS1Z.Y00000]

Request for Nominations for the Gateway National Recreation Area Fort Hancock 21st Century Advisory Committee

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Request for nominations.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Department of the Interior is requesting nominations for qualified persons to serve as members of the Committee.

DATES: Written nominations must be received by December 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Nominations should be sent to Daphne Yun, U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Gateway National Recreation Area, Office of the Superintendent, 210 New York Avenue, Staten Island, New York 10305, or email daphne_yun@nps.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daphne Yun, U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Gateway National Recreation Area, Sandy Hook Unit, 26 Hudson Road, Highlands, New Jersey 07732, or email at daphne_yun@nps.gov, or via telephone at (732) 872–5908.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Gateway National Recreation Area Fort Hancock 21st Century Advisory Committee was established by authority of the Secretary of the Interior under 54 U.S.C. 100906, and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix 1–16). The purpose of the Committee is to advise the Secretary of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, on the development of a reuse plan and on matters relating to future uses of certain buildings at the Fort Hancock Historic District, located within the Sandy Hook Unit of Gateway National Recreation Area in New Jersey.

The Committee consists of representatives from among, but not limited to, the following interest groups to represent a range of interests concerned with the management of Fort Hancock within the park and its impact on the local area: The natural resource community, the business community, the cultural resource community, the real estate community, the recreation community, the education community, the scientific community, and hospitality organizations. The Committee will also include representatives from the following municipalities: Borough of Highlands, Borough of Sea Bright, Borough of Rumson, Middletown Township, Monmouth County Freeholders, and Borough of Monmouth Beach.

We are currently seeking members to represent all categories.

Nominations should be typed and should include a resume providing an adequate description of the nominee's qualifications, including information that would enable the Department of the Interior to make an informed decision regarding meeting the membership requirements of the Committee and permit the Department to contact a potential member. All documentation, including letters of recommendation, must be compiled and submitted in one complete package. All those interested in membership, including current members whose terms are expiring, must follow the same nomination process. Members may not appoint deputies or alternates.

Members of the Committee serve without compensation. However, while away from their homes or regular places

of business in the performance of services for the Committee as approved by the NPS, members may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in Government service are allowed such expenses under section 5703 of title 5 of the United States Code.

Public Disclosure of Information: Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information with your nomination, you should be aware that your entire nomination—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your nomination to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 54 U.S.C. 100906.

Alma Rippes,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018–23016 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–739 (Fourth Review)]

Clad Steel Plate From Japan; Cancellation of Hearing for Full Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: October 15, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Daugherty ((202) 205–2078), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective July 10, 2018, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of this review (83 FR 33250, July 17,

2018). Pursuant to the schedule, the domestic interested party submitted the sole prehearing brief, and a request to appear at the Commission hearing scheduled for October 18, 2018. No other party has entered an appearance in this review. Subsequently, noting their sole request to appear at the hearing, counsel for the domestic interested party filed a request to cancel the hearing. Counsel indicated a willingness to submit written responses to any Commission questions in lieu of an actual hearing. Consequently, the public hearing in connection with this review, scheduled to begin at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday, October 18, 2018, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, is cancelled. Parties to this review should respond to any written questions posed by the Commission in their posthearing briefs, which are due to be filed on October 25, 2018.

For further information concerning this review see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 16, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-22921 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-18-048]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

TIME AND DATE: October 25, 2018 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-1110 (Second Review) (Sodium Hexametaphosphate from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determination and views of the Commission by November 15, 2018.

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.
In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 16, 2018.

William Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018-23041 Filed 10-18-18; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-589 and 731-TA-1394-1395 (Final)]

Forged Steel Fittings From China and Italy; Scheduling of the Final Phase of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: October 17, 2018

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Celia Feldpausch (202-205-2387), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective May 17, 2018, the Commission established a general schedule for the conduct of the final phase of its investigations on forged steel fittings from China, Italy, and Taiwan,¹ following preliminary determinations by the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") that imports of subject forged steel fittings from China, Italy, and Taiwan were being sold at less than fair value (LTFV) in the United States.²

¹ Forged Steel Fittings From China, Italy, and Taiwan; Scheduling of the Final Phase of Countervailing Duty and Anti-Dumping Duty Investigations, 83 FR 25715, June 4, 2018.

² Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of

Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of June 4, 2018 (83 FR 25715). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on August 2, 2018, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel. The Commission subsequently issued its final affirmative determination regarding LTFV imports from Taiwan on September 14, 2018 (83 FR 47640, September 20, 2018).

Commerce has issued final affirmative determinations with respect to the subject forged steel fittings from China³ and Italy.⁴ Accordingly, the Commission currently is issuing a supplemental schedule for its antidumping and countervailing duty investigations on imports of forged steel fittings from China and Italy.

This supplemental schedule is as follows: The deadline for filing supplemental party comments on Commerce's final antidumping and countervailing duty determinations is October 19, 2018. Supplemental party comments may address only Commerce's final antidumping and countervailing duty determinations regarding imports of forged steel fittings from China and Italy. These supplemental final comments may not contain new factual information and may not exceed five (5) pages in length. The supplemental staff report in the final phase of these investigations regarding subject imports from China and Italy will be placed in the nonpublic record on October 30, 2018; and a public version will be issued thereafter.

Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures, 83 FR 22948, May 17, 2018; *Forged Steel Fittings From Italy: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 83 FR 22954, May 17, 2018; and *Forged Steel Fittings from Taiwan: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 22957, May 17, 2018; see also *Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination*, 83 FR 11170, March 14, 2018.

³ *Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 50339, October 5, 2018; *Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 83 FR 50342, October 5, 2018.

⁴ *Forged Steel Fittings From Italy: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 50345, October 5, 2018.

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 17, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-22961 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1067]

Certain Road Milling Machines and Components Thereof; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a Final Initial Determination on Section 337 Violation and a Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, should the Commission find a violation. This notice is soliciting public interest comments from the public only. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to Commission rules.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Docket Information System ("EDIS") (<https://edis.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("Section 337") provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States unless the public interest factors listed in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1) prevent such action. A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation, specifically: (1) A limited exclusion order ("LEO") against certain road milling machines and components thereof, which are imported, sold for importation, and/or sold after importation by respondent Caterpillar Prodotti Stradali S.r.l.; Caterpillar Americas CV; Caterpillar Paving Products, Inc.; and Caterpillar Inc. ("Caterpillar"); and (2) a cease and desist order ("CDO") against Caterpillar.

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in this investigation. Accordingly, parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4). In addition, members of the public are hereby invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the administrative law judge's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on October 15, 2018. Comments should address whether issuance of the LEO and CDO in this investigation, should the Commission

find a violation, would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainants, their licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainants, complainants' licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the LEO and CDO would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions from the public must be filed no later than by close of business on Friday, November 9, 2018.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-1067") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See *Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures*, https://www.usitc.gov/secretary/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000). Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for

purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 16, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-22920 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Fire Protection Association

Notice is hereby given that, on October 10, 2018, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), National Fire Protection Association ("NFPA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, NFPA has provided an updated and current list of its standards development activities, related technical committee and conformity assessment activities. Information concerning NFPA regulations, technical committees, current standards, standards development and conformity

assessment activities are publicly available at nfpa.org.

On September 20, 2004, NFPA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 21, 2018 (69 FR 61869).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 31, 2018. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 20, 2018 (83 FR 42144).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2018-22925 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On October 15, 2018, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Federal-Mogul, LLC*, Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-13205.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. The United States' complaint seeks recovery of EPA's past response costs for the cleanup of the Shoemaker Street Superfund Site in Detroit, Michigan. The consent decree requires the defendant to pay \$425,000 of EPA's past response costs. Upon payment, the defendant will receive a covenant not to sue for any EPA response costs related to the Site that predate the entry of the consent decree.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Federal-Mogul LLC*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-11568. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$3.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Randall M. Stone,

Acting Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2018-22984 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with the Section 223 (19 U.S.C. 2273) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271, *et seq.*) ("Act"), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of the Act ("TAA") for workers by (TA-W) number issued during the period of July 14, 2018 through August 17, 2018. (This Notice primarily follows the language of the Trade Act. In some places however, changes such as the inclusion of subheadings, a reorganization of language, or "and," "or," or other words are added for clarification.)

Section 222(a)—Workers of a Primary Firm

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for TAA, the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(a)) must be met, as follows:

(1) The first criterion (set forth in Section 222(a)(1) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a)(1)) is that a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm (or "such firm") have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

AND (2(A) or 2(B) below)

(2) The second criterion (set forth in Section 222(a)(2) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a)(2)) may be satisfied by either (A) the Increased Imports Path, or (B) the Shift in Production or Services to a Foreign Country Path/Acquisition of Articles or Services from a Foreign Country Path, as follows:

(A) Increased Imports Path

(i) The sales or production, or both, of such firm, have decreased absolutely; AND (ii and iii below)

(ii)(I) imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased; OR

(II)(aa) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased; OR

(II)(bb) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using the services supplied by such firm, have increased; OR

(III) imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased;

AND

(iii) the increase in imports described in clause (ii) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; OR

(B) Shift in Production or Services to a Foreign Country Path or Acquisition of Articles or Services From a Foreign Country Path

(i)(I) There has been a shift by such workers' firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or the supply of services like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services which are supplied by such firm; OR

(II) such workers' firm has acquired from a foreign country articles or

services that are like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services which are supplied by such firm;

AND

(ii) the shift described in clause (i)(I) or the acquisition of articles or services described in clause (i)(II) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.

Section 222(b)—Adversely Affected Secondary Workers

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected secondary workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for TAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(b)) must be met, as follows:

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

AND

(2) the workers' firm is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(a)), and such supply or production is related to the article or service that was the basis for such certification (as defined in subsection 222(c)(3) and (4) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(c)(3) and (4)));

AND

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied to the firm described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; OR

(B) a loss of business by the workers' firm with the firm described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation determined under paragraph (1).

Section 222(e)—Firms Identified by the International Trade Commission

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in firms identified by the International Trade Commission and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for TAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section

222(e) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(e)) must be met, by following criteria (1), (2), and (3) as follows:

(1) The workers' firm is publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in—

(A) an affirmative determination of serious injury or threat thereof under section 202(b)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2252(b)(1)); OR

(B) an affirmative determination of market disruption or threat thereof under section 421(b)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2436(b)(1)); OR

(C) an affirmative final determination of material injury or threat thereof under section 705(b)(1)(A) or 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(1)(A) and 1673d(b)(1)(A));

AND

(2) the petition is filed during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which—

(A) a summary of the report submitted to the President by the International Trade Commission under section 202(f)(1) of the Trade Act (19 U.S.C. 2252(f)(1)) with respect to the affirmative determination described in paragraph (1)(A) is published in the **Federal Register** under section 202(f)(3) (19 U.S.C. 2252(f)(3)); OR

(B) notice of an affirmative determination described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1) is published in the **Federal Register**;

AND

(3) the workers have become totally or partially separated from the workers' firm within—

(A) the 1-year period described in paragraph (2); OR

(B) notwithstanding section 223(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2273(b)), the 1-year period preceding the 1-year period described in paragraph (2).

Affirmative Determinations for Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (Increased Imports Path) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,625	Titan Wheel Corporation of Virginia, Titan International, Inc., Marty, Inc.	Saltville, VA	March 8, 2017.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,875	Benteler Automotive Corporation, Austrian Benteler International AG, Automotive, Manpower of Lansing.	Galesburg, MI	June 7, 2017.
93,909	Atlantic Coffee Industrial Solutions, LLC	Houston, TX	June 20, 2017.
93,928	Westinghouse Plasma Corporation, Sunshine Kaidi New Energy Group Co., Ltd.	Mt. Pleasant, PA	June 27, 2017.
93,934	Fibrant, LLC, Augusta Holdco, Inc., Austin Industrial	Augusta, GA	October 22, 2018.
93,939	Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc., Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	June 28, 2017.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (Shift in Production or Services to a Foreign Country Path or Acquisition of Articles or Services from a Foreign Country Path) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
92,953	Kelly Services, Inc., Kelly Global Business Services, Global Business Solutions, etc.	Troy, MI	June 15, 2016.
93,814	DISH Network L.L.C., DISH DBS Corporation	Christiansburg, VA	May 10, 2017
93,820	DiCentral Corporation, Sales Department	Houston, TX	May 16, 2017.
93,844	PERQ/HCI LLC, SRDS division, Kantar Media, Cognizant	Rosemont, IL	May 24, 2017.
93,865	Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., Editorial Department	Austin, TX	June 1, 2017.
93,898	Cardinal Health, 200 LLC, Presource Sales Operations, Cardinal Health, Inc.	Waukegan, IL	June 15, 2017.
93,899	Arizona Digestive Center, Billing, Creative Business Resources, Inc.	Scottsdale, AZ	June 18, 2017.
93,903	Thermo Fisher Scientific, Laboratory Products, Life Sciences Solutions Group, DCR Workforce, etc.	Rochester, NY	June 18, 2017.
93,908	Travel Impressions, Ltd./Apple Vacations, LLC, ALG Integrated Holdings Corp., Manpower, Execusearch.	Farmingdale, NY	June 19, 2017.
93,915	Telefonica USA, Inc., Telefonica International Wholesale Services II S.L.U., Careerxchange, Inc.	Doral, FL	June 20, 2017.
93,925	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Reston, VA	June 26, 2017.
93,925A	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center Engineering, Dimension Data, etc.	Raleigh, NC	June 26, 2017.
93,925B	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Natick, MA	June 26, 2017.
93,925C	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Rancho Cordova, CA	June 26, 2017.
93,925D	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Valencia, CA	June 26, 2017.
93,925E	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Alpharetta, GA	June 26, 2017.
93,925F	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Fort Mill, SC	June 26, 2017.
93,925G	Dimension Data North America, Inc., Managed Services Global Services Center (GSC) Engineering, Dimension Data.	Austin, TX	June 26, 2017.
93,932	Computershare, Inc., Canton Technology Group, Computershare Limited, Adecco Staffing, etc.	Canton, MA	June 27, 2017
93,933	Datwyler Pharma Packaging USA, Inc., Datwyler Pharma Packaging Belgium N.V., Kay Personnel.	Pennsauken, NJ	June 27, 2017.
93,941	Randstad, Seagate Technology, Seagate Technology (US) Holdings	Oklahoma City, OK	June 26, 2017.
93,942	Micro Motion Inc., Emerson Electric Company, Rosemount Flow, Vision Staffing Solutions.	Eden Prairie, MN	June 29, 2017.
93,942A	Volt Workforce Solutions, Micro Motion Inc., Emerson Electric Company, Rosemount Flow.	Eden Prairie, MN	April 29, 2018.
93,945	Apple Vacations, LLC, ALG Integrated Holdings Corp	Newtown Square, PA	June 15, 2017.
93,952	Honeywell International Inc., Productivity Products Strategic Business Unit, Manpower Group.	Lynnwood, WA	July 3, 2017.
93,968	Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC, USA, MERCK KGaA	St. Louis, MO	July 10, 2017.
93,973	BIC Corporation, Société BIC, Consumer Products Division, Kelly Services.	Milford, CT	July 11, 2017.
93,976	Lord and Taylor LLC, Major Home Fashions Department	Wilkes-Barre, PA	July 11, 2017.
93,977	Peds Legwear (USA) Inc., Gildan Activewear, Foothills Temporary Employment, Foothills Staffing, etc.	Hildebran, NC	July 11, 2017.
93,989	Silcotech Carolina Inc., 2306239 Ontario Inc., Capital Talent Partners	York, SC	July 17, 2017.
93,994	Fargo Assembly Company, Electrical Components International Inc., Edgeley Division.	Edgeley, ND	July 19, 2017.
93,994A	Fargo Assembly Company, Electrical Components International Inc., Ellendale Division.	Ellendale, ND	July 19, 2017.
93,994B	Fargo Assembly Company, Electrical Components International Inc., Lehr Division.	Lehr, ND	July 19, 2017.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,999	Ministry Health Care Inc., Ascension Health, revenue cycle group, American Business Resource, etc.	Merrill, WI	July 20, 2017.
94,000	Pranda North America, Inc., Pranda Jewelry Public Company Ltd	Cranston, RI	July 20, 2017.
94,002	Auburn Leather Company, G.W. Caldwell LLC, Quality Personnel	Auburn, KY	June 24, 2017.
94,002A	Auburn Leather Company DBA Old Kentucky Leather, G.W. Caldwell LLC, Quality Personnel.	Franklin, KY	June 24, 2017.
94,018	AT&T Mobility Services LLC, AT&T, AT&T Digital, Retail & Care—Mobility Technical Support & Digital.	Harrisburg, PA	July 27, 2017.
94,019	Chain IQ US Inc., Americas Division, Chain IQ AG, Matlen Silver Group.	Jersey City, NJ	July 30, 2017.
94,022	CURT Manufacturing, LLC, CURT Acquisition Holdings, Inc., iSymphony.	Eau Claire, WI	July 27, 2017.
94,051	Enrichment Technology US, Inc., Enrichment Technology Company	Eunice, NM	August 8, 2017.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers

are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,223	Saint-Gobain Proppants, Saint-Gobain NorPro, Saint-Gobain Corporation.	Fort Smith, AR	October 14, 2017.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(e) (firms identified by the

International Trade Commission) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,794	Engineered Wire Products, Inc., Upper Sandusky Division, Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc.	Upper Sandusky, OH	July 7, 2016.
93,996	Mid-West Mfg. LLC, PTC Group Holdings Corp	Chicago Heights, IL	January 30, 2017.
93,997	PTC Tubular Products LLC, PTC Group Holdings Corp., Manpower	Fairbury, IL	January 30, 2017.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility

criteria for TAA have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that the requirements of Trade Act section 222(a)(1) and (b)(1) (significant worker

total/partial separation or threat of total/partial separation), or (e) (firms identified by the International Trade Commission), have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,476	Tenax Corporation	Evergreen, AL.	
93,892	Cascade Steel Rolling Mills, Inc., Schnitzer Steel Industries, El Monte, Express Employment Professionals.	City of Industry, CA.	

The investigation revealed that the criteria under paragraphs (a)(2)(A) (increased imports), (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country or acquisition of articles or

services from a foreign country), (b)(2) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA or downstream producer to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply

for TAA), and (e) (International Trade Commission) of section 222 have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
92,887	American Distribution and Warehousing Services, Inc	Ridgeway, VA.	
93,156	Charter Communications, LLC, Charter Communications, Inc	Palm Desert, CA.	
93,624	Georgia-Pacific Consumer Operations LLC, Camas Mill, Communications Papers, Georgia-Pacific, etc.	Camas, WA.	
93,757	A.O. Smith Corporation, Renton Facility, Accountemps	Renton, WA.	
93,759	Fasten Inc	Boston, MA.	
93,809	NorthEast Provider Solutions, Inc., Westchester County Healthcare Corp., Westchester Medical Center.	Hawthorne, NY.	
93,841	FF Acquisition, LLC, Farm Fresh Grocery Store No. 6262, Supervalu Inc.	Poquoson, VA.	
93,888	Essity Operations Wausau, LLC, Global Hygiene Supply Division	Middletown, OH.	

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Trade Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and

on the Department's website, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
94,007	Centralia Knitting Mills, Inc	Centralia, WA.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued

in cases where the petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
92,747	Wipro	East Brunswick, NJ.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the worker group on whose

behalf the petition was filed is covered under an existing certification.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,593	Dex Media Inc., Dex YP	Tucker, GA.	
93,905	Ditech Financial LLC, Procurement/P2P Group, Walter Investment Management, Accountemps, etc.	St. Paul, MN.	
93,916	Cosmoflex, Inc., ContiTech USA, Inc	Hannibal, MO.	
93,956	Caterpillar Inc	Joliet, IL.	
94,006	Nuance Transcription Services, Inc., Nuance Communications, Inc ...	Atlanta, GA.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning group of

workers is covered by an earlier petition that is the subject of an ongoing

investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
93,816	Philips North America LLC, Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Holding USA, etc.	Bothell, WA.	

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of July 14, 2018 through August 17, 2018. These determinations are available on the Department's website https://www.doleta.gov/tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm under the searchable listing determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888-365-6822.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of August 2018.

Hope D. Kinglock,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2018-22916 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Post-Initial Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Sections 223 and 284 (19 U.S.C. 2273 and 2395) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271, *et seq.*) ("Act"), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents Notice of Affirmative Determinations Regarding Application for Reconsideration, summaries of Negative Determinations Regarding Applications for Reconsideration, summaries of Revised Certifications of Eligibility, summaries of Revised Determinations (after Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration), summaries of Negative Determinations (after Affirmative Determination Regarding

Application for Reconsideration), summaries of Revised Determinations (on remand from the Court of International Trade), and summaries of Negative Determinations (on remand from the Court of International Trade) regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of the Act ("TAA") for workers by (TA-W) number issued during the period of *March 1, 2016 through August 17, 2018*. Post-initial determinations are issued after a petition has been certified or denied. A post-initial determination may revise a certification, or modify or affirm a negative determination.

Affirmative/Negative Determinations Regarding Applications for Reconsideration

The certifying officer may grant an application for reconsideration under the following circumstances: (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was

erroneous; (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts previously considered; or (3) If, in the opinion of the certifying officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifies reconsideration of the determination. See 29 CFR 90.18(c).

Affirmative Determinations Regarding Applications for Reconsideration

The following Applications for Reconsideration have been received and granted. See 29 CFR 90.18(d). The group of workers or other persons showing an interest in the proceedings may provide written submissions to show why the determination under reconsideration

should or should not be modified. The submissions must be sent no later than ten days after publication in the **Federal Register** to the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. See 29 CFR 90.18(f).

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
85,355	Chevron Mining, Inc	Questa, NM.
85,956	Cameron International Corporation	Duncan, OK.
90,125	Owens-Brockway Glass Container, Inc	Oakland, CA.
90,324	Embarq Management Company/United Telephone of Pennsylvania	Carlisle, PA.
91,039	Foxconn Assembly, LLC	Houston, TX.
91,121	REC Silicon LLC	Moses Lake, WA.
91,138	GrafTech International Holdings Inc	Anmoore, WV.
91,218	Mesabi Radial Tire Company	Hibbing, MN.
91,248	Exal Corporation	Youngstown, OH.
91,264	Shenango Incorporated	Pittsburgh, PA.
91,325	Mesabi Metalics Company LLC f/k/a Essar Steel Minnesota LLC	Hibbing, MN.
91,329	Irathane Systems, Inc	Hibbing, MN.
91,495	Molycorp Metals and Alloys, Inc	Mountain Pass, CA.
91,525	Teknetix Inc	Parkersburg, WV.
91,562	Halliburton Energy Services, Inc	Duncan, OK.
91,569	Vigo Coal Operating Company, LLC	Mount Carmel, IL.
91,641	General Electric Company	Fort Edward, NY.
91,673	Climax Manufacturing Inc	Lowville, NY.
91,791	Woodard & Curran, Inc	Madison, ME.
91,875	Manitowoc Cranes, LLC	Manitowoc, WI.
91,882	SPX FLOW, Inc	McKean, PA.
91,957	Joy Global, Inc	Eighty Four, PA.
92,084	Northern Industrial Erectors, Inc	Grand Rapids, MN.
92,193	White Pine Electric Power, LLC	White Pine, MI.
92,256	Ball Corporation	Weirton, WV.
92,318	Vancouver Iron and Steel, Inc	Vancouver, WA.
92,453	Garco Building Systems	Airway Heights, WA.
92,463	Brayton Point Energy, LLC	Somerset, MA.
92,537	General Motors Components Holdings, LLC (GMCH)	Kokomo, IN.
92,554	Skiva Graphics Screen	Carlsbad, CA.
92,574	Truvision Services, Inc	Yorkville, IL.
92,590	MUFG Union Bank, N.A	Monterey Park, CA.
92,612	Graphics Art Center	Portland, OR.
92,779	3M Company	Elyria, OH.
92,921	JPMorgan Chase & Co	Columbus, OH.
92,945	Progress Rail	Hodgkins, IL.
93,064	Locke Insulators, Inc.	Baltimore, MD.
93,094	Health Care Service Corporation	Marion, IL.
93,223	Saint-Gobain Proppants	Fort Smith, AR.
93,431	Optum Services Inc., United HealthGroup	Hartford, CT.
93,521	PCI Nitrogen LLC	Pasadena, TX.
93,760	Radial South	Memphis, TN.
91,121A	REC Silicon ASA	Silver Bow, MT.
91,121B	Nemo IT Solutions	Moses Lake, WA.
91,121C	Spherion Staffing LLC	Silver Bow, MT.
91,329A	Industrial Rubber Applicators, Inc.	Hibbing, MN.
91,329B	Iracore International-Minnesota, Inc	Hibbing, MN.
91,569A	Vigo Coal Operating Company, LLC	Boonville, IN.
91,569B	Vigo Coal Operating Company, LLC	Evansville, IN.

Negative Determinations Regarding Application for Reconsideration

The following determinations regarding applications for reconsideration have been received and denied. The determination complained

of was not erroneous; there was not a mistake in the determination of facts previously considered; and in the opinion of the certifying officer, there was not a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the determination. A Negative

Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration is a final determination for purposes of judicial review pursuant to section 284 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2395) and 29 CFR 90.19(a). See 29 CFR 90.18(e).

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
86,111	Seattle Snohomish Mill Company Inc	Snohomish, WA.
91,272	L-3 Communications Integrated Systems, L.P	Beale Air Force Base, CA.
91,475	Sprint	Blountville, TN.
91,490	Sprint	Blountville, TN.
91,543	Mayflower Vehicle Systems, LLC	Shadyside, OH.
91,760	Aviara Residence Club Owners Association	Carlsbad, CA.
91,797	Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc	Irvine, CA.
91,953	Mercer Lime Company	Slippery Rock, PA.
92,182	Gerdau Ameristeel US, Inc	Calvert City, KY.
92,507	Halliburton Energy Services, Inc	Tulsa, OK.
92,518	Fifth Third Bank	Coral Gables, FL.
92,767	Ocwen Financial Corporation	Houston, TX.
92,826	Honeywell International, Inc	Melville, NY.

Notice of Revised Certifications of Eligibility

Revised certifications of eligibility have been issued with respect to cases where affirmative determinations and certificates of eligibility were issued initially, but a minor error was discovered after the certification was issued. The revised certifications are issued pursuant to the Secretary's authority under section 223 of the Act and 29 CFR 90.16. Revised Certifications of Eligibility are final determinations for purposes of judicial review pursuant to section 284 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2395) and 29 CFR 90.19(a).

Notice of Determinations on Reconsideration

Post-initial determinations have been issued with respect to cases where affirmative determinations regarding applications for reconsideration were granted. For cases where the worker group eligibility requirements are met, Revised Certifications of Eligibility or Revised Determinations have been issued. Revised Certifications of Eligibility and Revised Determinations are final determinations for purposes of judicial review pursuant to section 284 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2395) and 29 CFR 90.19(a). *See* 29 CFR 90.18(h). Negative Determinations on Reconsideration have been issued with respect to cases where the worker group eligibility requirements are not met. Negative Determinations on Reconsideration are final determinations for purposes of judicial review pursuant to section 284 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2395) and 29 CFR 90.19(a). *See* 29 CFR 90.18(i).

Notice of Determination on Remand

Post-initial determinations have also been issued with respect to cases where negative determinations regarding eligibility to apply for TAA were issued initially or on reconsideration and were appealed to the Court of International Trade and remanded by the court to the

Secretary for the taking of additional evidence. *See* 29 CFR 90.19(a) and (c). For cases where the worker group eligibility requirements are met, the previous determination was modified and Revised Determinations on Remand have been issued. For cases where the worker group eligibility requirements are not met, the previous determination is affirmed and Negative Determinations on Remand have been issued. The Secretary will certify and file the record of the remand proceedings in the Court of International Trade. Determinations on Remand are final determinations for purposes of judicial review pursuant to section 284 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2395).

Summary of Statutory Requirement

(This Notice primarily follows the language of the Trade Act. In some places however, changes such as the inclusion of subheadings, a reorganization of language, or "and," "or," or other words are added for clarification.)

Section 222(a)—Workers of a Primary Firm

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for TAA, the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(a)) must be met, as follows:

(1) The first criterion (set forth in Section 222(a)(1) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a)(1)) is that a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm (or "such firm") have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

AND (2(A) or 2(B) below).

(2) The second criterion (set forth in Section 222(a)(2) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a)(2)) may be satisfied by either (A) the Increased Imports Path, or (B) the Shift in Production or Services to a Foreign Country Path/Acquisition of Articles or Services from a Foreign Country Path, as follows:

(A) Increased Imports Path:

(i) the sales or production, or both, of such firm, have decreased absolutely; AND (ii and iii below).

(ii) (I) imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased; OR

(II)(aa) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased; OR

(II)(bb) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using the services supplied by such firm, have increased; OR

(III) imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased;

AND

(iii) the increase in imports described in clause (ii) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; OR

(B) Shift in Production or Services to a Foreign Country Path OR Acquisition of Articles or Services from a Foreign Country Path:

(i)(I) there has been a shift by such workers' firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or the supply of services like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services which are supplied by such firm; OR

(II) such workers' firm has acquired from a foreign country articles or services that are like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services which are supplied by such firm;

AND

(ii) the shift described in clause (i)(I) or the acquisition of articles or services described in clause (i)(II) contributed

importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.

Section 222(b)—Adversely Affected Secondary Workers

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected secondary workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for TAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(b)) must be met, as follows:

(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

AND

(2) the workers' firm is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(a)), and such supply or production is related to the article or service that was the basis for such certification (as defined in subsection 222(c)(3) and (4) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(c)(3) and (4)));

AND

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied to the firm described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; OR

(B) a loss of business by the workers' firm with the firm described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation determined under paragraph (1).

Section 222(e)—Firms identified by the International Trade Commission

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in firms identified by the International Trade Commission and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for TAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(e) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2272(e)) must be met, by following criteria (1), (2), and (3) as follows:

(1) the workers' firm is publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in—

(A) an affirmative determination of serious injury or threat thereof under section 202(b)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2252(b)(1)); OR

(B) an affirmative determination of market disruption or threat thereof under section 421(b)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2436(b)(1)); OR

(C) an affirmative final determination of material injury or threat thereof under section 705(b)(1)(A) or 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(1)(A) and 1673d(b)(1)(A));

AND

(2) the petition is filed during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which—

(A) a summary of the report submitted to the President by the International Trade Commission under section 202(f)(1) of the Trade Act (19 U.S.C. 2252(f)(1)) with respect to the affirmative determination described in paragraph (1)(A) is published in the **Federal Register** under section 202(f)(3) (19 U.S.C. 2252(f)(3)); OR

(B) notice of an affirmative determination described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1) is published in the **Federal Register**;

AND

(3) the workers have become totally or partially separated from the workers' firm within—

(A) the 1-year period described in paragraph (2); OR

(B) notwithstanding section 223(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2273(b)), the 1-year period preceding the 1-year period described in paragraph (2).

Revised Certifications of Eligibility

The following revised certifications of eligibility to apply for TAA have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination, and the reason(s) for the determination.

The following revisions have been issued.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date	Reason(s)
82,557	Ericsson Inc	Overland Park, KS ..	3/12/2012	Worker Group Clarification.
83,328	General Electric Company	Erie, PA	12/20/2012	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286	United States Steel Corporation	Lorain, OH	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,302	Kimberly Carbonates, LLC	Kimberly, WI	5/12/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,451	Fifth Third Mortgage Company	Cincinnati, OH	7/25/2013	Other.
85,547	Foxconn Assembly LLC/Foxconn Hon Hai Logistics LLC.	Houston, TX	9/22/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,592	Micro Power Electronics, Inc	Beaverton, OR	10/10/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,605	GE Power Electronics, Inc	Galion, OH	10/17/2013	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
85,625	Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc	Vernon, VT	10/29/2013	Other.
85,629	Amgen Inc	Seattle, WA	11/3/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,664	Kraft Foods Group Global, Inc	Woburn, MA	11/20/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,703	CareFusion Resources, LLC	Englewood, CO	12/8/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,717	California Redwood Company	Korbel, CA	12/9/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,718	Osram Sylvania	Danvers, MA	12/10/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,725	Lexis Nexis	Miamisburg, OH	12/15/2013	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
85,742	General Motors Lake Orion Assembly	Lake Orion, MI	12/19/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,763	Ross Mould LLC	Washington, PA	8/24/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,796	U.S. Steel Tubular Products, Inc	Lone Star, TX	1/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,804	Convergys Corporation	Jacksonville, TX	2/2/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
85,867	Day & Zimmermann, Inc	Parsons, KS	3/6/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,911	Arrow International	Asheboro, NC	3/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,954	Baker Hughes Incorporated	Claremore, OK	4/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,961	Modine Manufacturing Company	Washington, IA	4/24/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,992	Verizon	Cary, NC	5/6/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
86,001	The Boeing Company	Seattle, WA	6/13/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
86,004	Cooper Power Systems	Fayetteville, AR	5/8/2014	Worker Group Clarification.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date	Reason(s)
86,065	Cliffs Natural Resources, Inc	Ishpeming, MI	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,083	Magnetation LLC	Keewatin, MN	6/9/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,088	Breg, Inc	Grand Prairie, TX	6/9/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
86,089	Huntington Alloys Corporation	Huntington, WV	6/10/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,004	Citizens Bank, National Association	Bridgeport, CT	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,013	National Oilwell Varco	Springfield, OH	1/1/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
90,046	ConMed	Centennial, CO	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,075	Symantec Corporation	Springfield, OR	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,075	Symantec Corporation	Springfield, OR	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,082	Lumentum Operations LLC	Bloomfield, CT	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,166	Dresser, Inc	Avon, MA	5/9/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
90,251	Caterpillar, Inc	Lafayette, IN	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,278	Breg, Inc	Plano, TX	1/1/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
90,281	Verso Corporation	Wickliffe, KY	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,327	Kyklos Bearing International, LLC	Sandusky, OH	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,017	American Airlines, Inc	Fort Worth, TX	10/2/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,027	Indiana Marujun, LLC	Winchester, IN	10/2/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,030	Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc	Normal, IL	10/6/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,045	Higher One, Inc	New Haven, CT	10/9/2014	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
91,051	Carter Fuel Systems	Logansport, IN	10/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,070	LPL Financial LLC	San Diego, CA	10/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,090	AK Steel Corporation	Ashland, KY	10/26/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,092	One Call Care Management	Jacksonville, FL	10/29/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,132	Century Aluminum of South Carolina, Inc	Goose Creek, SC	11/11/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,145	Joy Global Underground Mining, LLC	Franklin, PA	11/27/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,152	PetroChoice, LLC	Chisholm, MN	11/17/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,177	PTC Alliance	Beaver Falls, PA	11/14/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,205	KBR, Inc	Houston, TX	12/8/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,211	D+H USA Corporation	Portland, OR	12/10/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,233	Thermo Fisher Scientific, LLC	Austin, TX	12/15/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,257	Huntley Power LLC	Tonawanda, NY	12/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,258	International Business Machines (IBM)	Denver, CO	12/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,279	Allegheny Ludlum LLC	Bagdad, PA	1/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,290	ConAgra Foods, Inc	Omaha, NE	12/24/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,336	Spirit Aerosystems, Inc	Wichita, KS	10/19/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,352	Noranda Aluminum, Inc	New Madrid, MO	2/5/2016	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,485	Sensata Technologies, Inc	Springfield, TN	2/18/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,499	Saginaw Machine Systems, Inc	Saginaw, MI	2/22/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,511	Technicolor Home Entertainment Services	Olyphant, PA	2/24/2015	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
91,535	General Electric Company	Grove City, PA	3/1/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,535	General Electric Company	Grove City, PA	3/1/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,549	W.W. Grainger, Inc	Janesville, WI	3/3/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,554	Polar Tank Trailer	Holdingford, MN	3/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,567	Titan Tire Corporation of Bryan	Bryan, OH	2/20/2016	Other.
91,596	Hitachi Metals Automotive Components, LLC.	Wellsboro, PA	3/14/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,611	Sherwin Alumina Company, LLC	Gregory, TX	3/21/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,616	Mary's River Lumber Company	Corvallis, OR	3/21/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,653	Royal Ingredients, LLC	Swedesboro, NJ	3/31/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,654	WKW Roof Rail Systems, LLC	Battle Creek, MI	3/31/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,725	General Electric Company	Erie, PA	6/4/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
91,755	Kraft Heinz Foods Company	Allentown, PA	4/28/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,809	Sprint	Overland Park, KS ...	5/12/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,811	Sykes Enterprises, Incorporated	Langhorne, PA	5/13/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,816	Nike Foundation	Beaverton, OR	5/16/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,900	Intel Corporation	Hillsboro, OR	6/9/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,914	Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC	West Palm Beach, FL.	6/10/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,920	Compucom Systems, Inc	Dallas, TX	6/14/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,954	Siemens Shared Services	Orlando, FL	6/23/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,005	CTS Corporation	Elkhart, IN	7/8/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,015	Mattel, Inc	East Aurora, NY	7/13/2015	Worker Group Clarification.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date	Reason(s)
92,023	Alcatel-Lucent USA, Inc	Naperville, IL	7/18/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,078	Intel Corporation	Rio Rancho, NM	8/1/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,106	Gonzalez Group, LLC	Litchfield, MI	8/11/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,118	CVG Alabama, LLC	Piedmont, AL	6/24/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
92,137	Weyerhaeuser NR Company	Columbia Falls, MT	8/23/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,175	Dow Business Services, LLC	Midland, MI	9/1/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,176	Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC	Warren, OH	9/2/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,183	Applied Materials	Austin, TX	8/8/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,189	GE Energy Power Conversion US, Inc	Pittsburgh, PA	9/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,198	Ericsson, Inc	Plano, TX	9/12/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Anchorage, AK	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,217	Caterpillar Inc	Houston, PA	9/16/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,243	Harman	Cheney, WA	9/22/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,251	Versum Materials US, LLC	Allentown, PA	9/9/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
92,293	HP Inc	Palo Alto, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293	HP Inc	Palo Alto, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293	HP Inc	Palo Alto, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,325	ConvaTec	Greensboro, NC	10/14/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,403	Convergys Customer Management Group	Tamarac, FL	11/3/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,411	tronc, Inc	Chicago, IL	11/9/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,422	Rexnord Industries, LLC	Indianapolis, IN	11/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,465	GE Inspection Technologies	Lewistown, PA	12/5/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,465	GE Inspection Technologies	Lewistown, PA	12/5/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,471	FCR	Independence, OR	12/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,482	Crew Knitwear LLC	Los Angeles, CA	12/9/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
92,493	Pentair Technical Solutions	Houston, TX	12/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,546	Kellogg Seelyville Bakery	Terre Haute, IN	1/10/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,605	M+W US, Inc	Plano, TX	2/2/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,625	tronc, Inc	Chicago, IL	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,634	Seagate Technology	Shakopee, MN	2/10/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,644	Luvo USA, LLC f/k/a Provita Cuisine LLC	Schaumburg, IL	2/14/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,684	ASG Technologies Group, Inc	Naples, FL	2/24/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,692	Travelport, LP	Kansas City, MO	3/1/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,732	Ross Mould LLC	Washington, PA	3/12/2017	Other.
92,740	NSi Industries LLC	Mount Vernon, NY	3/16/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,741	Pacific Gas and Electric Co	San Francisco, CA	3/16/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,754	Axon Specialty Products LLC	Paulsboro, NJ	3/24/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,796	Dresser-Rand Company	Olean, NY	6/5/2017	Worker Group Clarification.
92,805	Nielsen	Green Bay, WI	4/6/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,839	Dura Automotive Systems, LLC	Stockton, IL	4/25/2016	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
92,845	Diodes FabTech Inc	Lee's Summit, MO	4/26/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,866	LEDVANCE, LLC	St. Marys, PA	5/6/2017	Worker Group Clarification.
92,882	Triumph Aerostructures	Red Oak, TX	3/13/2017	Other.
92,895	SmashFly Technologies, Inc	Concord, MA	5/16/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,903	The Boeing Company	Tukwila, WA	8/7/2017	Worker Group Clarification.
92,933	Wolfe Tory Medical Inc	Salt Lake City, UT	6/5/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,952	Eagle Family Foods Group LLC	Seneca, MO	6/14/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,992	Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Chicago, IL	7/3/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,999	Atlas Copco Secoroc LLC	Grand Prairie, TX	7/7/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,007	Commemorative Brands, Inc	Austin, TX	8/9/2016	Technical Error.
93,032	Ditech Financial LLC	St. Paul, MN	7/21/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,066	Kalmar Rough Terrain Center, LLC	Cibolo, TX	8/7/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,074	Philips Medical Systems (Cleveland) Inc	Aurora, IL	8/11/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,140	U.S. Steel Tubular Products, Inc	Lone Star, TX	3/13/2017	Other.
93,173	Itron, Inc	Owenton, KY	9/14/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,202	Dex Media, Inc	Tucker, GA	10/3/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,202	Dex Media, Inc	Tucker, GA	10/3/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,218	Xerox Corporation	Rochester, NY	10/6/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,251	SKF Sealing Solutions	Seneca, KS	10/25/2016	Other.
93,254	Arrow International Inc	Reading, PA	12/18/2017	Technical Error.
93,262	Boyd Coffee Company	Portland, OR	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262	Boyd Coffee Company	Portland, OR	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,295	RR Donnelley	Lancaster, PA	10/16/2017	Technical Error.
93,323	Payless ShoeSource, Inc	Topeka, KS	11/22/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,330	Technicolor Connected Home USA	Indianapolis, IN	11/28/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,382	Honeywell International, Inc	Metropolis, IL	12/20/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,431	Optum Services Inc, UnitedHealth Group	Hartford, CT	1/17/2017	Technical Error.
93,619	AES Ohio Generation (DP&L)	Aberdeen, OH	3/6/2017	Worker Group Clarification.
93,639	AK Steel Corporation	Lyndora, PA	9/30/2017	Technical Error.
93,813	Cosmoflex, Inc	Hannibal, MO	5/10/2017	Other.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date	Reason(s)
82,557A	Ericsson Inc	Overland Park, KS ...	3/12/2012	Worker Group Clarification.
82,557B	Ericsson Inc	Overland Park, KS ...	3/12/2012	Worker Group Clarification.
82,557C	Ericsson Inc	Atlanta, GA	3/12/2012	Worker Group Clarification.
82,557D	Ericsson Inc	Overland Park, KS ...	3/12/2012	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286A	United States Steel Corporation	Fairfield, AL	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286B	United States Steel Corporation	East Chicago, IN	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286C	Lorain Northern Railroad	Lorain, OH	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286D	United States Steel Corporation	Pine Buff, AR	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286E	United States Steel Corporation	Gary, IN	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286F	United States Steel Corporation	Ecorse, MI	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,286G	Fairfield Southern Company	Fairfield, AL	5/2/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,629A	Amgen Inc	Bothell, WA	11/3/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,664A	Kelly Services, Radiant Systems, and U.S. Security Associates, Inc.	Woburn, MA	11/20/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,717A	California Redwood Company	Eureka, CA	12/9/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,718A	Osram Sylvania	Wilmington, MA	12/10/2013	Worker Group Clarification.
85,796A	United States Steel Corporation	Keewatin, MN	1/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,796B	United States Steel Corporation	Mt. Iron, MN	1/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,796C	United States Steel Corporation	Granite City, IL	1/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,796D	United States Steel Corporation	West Mifflin, PA	1/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,867A	Day & Zimmermann Lone Star LLC	East Camden, AR ...	3/6/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,911A	Arrow International	Ramseur, NC	3/27/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,954A	Baker Hughes Incorporated	Broken Arrow, OK ...	4/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
85,954B	Baker Hughes Incorporated	Hampton, AR	4/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,001A	Leased Workers from 22nd Century Tech- nologies, Inc, etc.	Seattle, WA	5/8/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,065A	Cliffs Natural Resources, Inc	Palmer, MI	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,065B	United Talconite, LLC	Eveleth, MN	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,065C	United Talconite, LLC	Forbes, MN	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,065D	Hibbing Taconite	Hibbing, MN	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,065E	Northshore Mining	Babbitt, MN	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,065F	Northshore Mining	Silver Bay, MN	6/4/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,083A	Magnetation LLC	Bovey, MN	6/9/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,083B	Magnetation LLC	Grand Rapids, MN ...	6/9/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
86,089A	Huntington Alloys Corporation	Burnaugh, KY	6/10/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,004A	Citizens Bank, National Association	Bridgeport, CT	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,166A	Kelly Services, NEED, Op Amp, Softek, Aerrotek, APN Software Solutions.	Avon, MA	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,281A	Verso Corporation	Beaver Dam, KY	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,281B	Verso Corporation	Eddyville, KY	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,281C	Verso Corporation	Wickliffe, KY	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,281D	Verso Corporation	Bethel Springs, TN ..	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,281E	Verso Corporation	Camden, TN	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
90,281F	Verso Corporation	Dover, TN	1/1/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,070A	LPL Financial LLC	Charlotte, NC	10/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,070B	LPL Financial LLC	Boston, MA	10/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,092A	One Call Care Management	Tampa, FL	10/29/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,145A	On-Site Leased Workers from Technical Solutions, Inc, etc.	Franklin, PA	11/16/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,145B	Joy Global Underground Mining, LLC	Reno, PA	11/16/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,152A	PetroChoice, LLC	Superior, WI	11/17/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,211A	D+H USA Corporation	Bothell, WA	12/10/2014	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,258A	International Business Machines (IBM)	Endicott, NY	12/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,258B	International Business Machines (IBM)	Omaha, NE	12/22/2014	Worker Group Clarification.
91,336A	Spirit Aerosystems, Inc	Wichita, KS	1/12/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,352A	Express Personnel, Randstad and Whelan Security Company.	New Madrid, MO	1/14/2015	Wages Reported Under Different FEIN Number.
91,554A	Polar Tank Trailer	Opole, MN	3/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,567A	PER MAR Security Services and Elwood Staffing.	Bryan, OH	3/8/2015	Other.
91,616A	Mary's River Lumber Company	Montesano, WA	3/21/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,616B	Mary's River Lumber Company	Philomath, OR	3/21/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,725A	3M Industrial, A-D Technology, AVI Foodsystems, AXIS Solution.	Erie, PA	4/21/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,809A	Sprint	Blountville, TN	5/12/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,811A	Sykes Enterprises, Incorporated	Eugene, OR	5/13/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,811B	Sykes Enterprises, Incorporated	Eugene, OR	5/13/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,914A	Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC	Coppell, TX	4/25/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,914B	Kelly Vendor Management Services	Coppell, TX	6/10/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,914C	Ocwen Loan Financial Corporation	Houston, TX	6/10/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
91,920A	Compucom Systems, Inc	Plano, TX	6/14/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,106A	Gonzalez Group, LLC	Jonesville, MI	8/11/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,137A	Weyerhaeuser NR Company	Columbia Falls, MT ..	8/23/2015	Worker Group Clarification.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date	Reason(s)
92,137B	Weyerhaeuser NR Company	Kalispell, MT	8/23/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214A	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Ann Arbor, MI	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214B	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Norcross, GA	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214C	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Colorado Springs, CO	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214D	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Corvallis, OR	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214E	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Dulles, VA	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214F	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Lexington, KY	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214G	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Maple Grove, MN	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214H	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Mission, KS	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214I	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Portland, OR	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214J	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Sheboygan, WI	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214K	Quantum Spatial, Inc	Sheboygan Falls, WI	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,214L	Quantum Spatial, Inc	St. Petersburg, FL	9/15/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,243A	Harman	Elkhart, IN	9/22/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293A	HP Inc	San Diego, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293A	HP Inc	San Diego, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293A	HP Inc	San Diego, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293B	HP Inc	Fort Collins, CO	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293B	HP Inc	Fort Collins, CO	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293B	HP Inc	Fort Collins, CO	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293C	HP Inc	Alpharetta, GA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293C	HP Inc	Alpharetta, GA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293C	HP Inc	Alpharetta, GA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293D	HP Inc	Boise, ID	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293D	HP Inc	Boise, ID	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293D	HP Inc	Boise, ID	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293E	HP Inc	Rio Rancho, NM	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293E	HP Inc	Rio Rancho, NM	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293E	HP Inc	Rio Rancho, NM	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293F	HP Inc	New York, NY	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293F	HP Inc	New York, NY	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293F	HP Inc	New York, NY	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293G	HP Inc	Corvallis, OR	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293G	HP Inc	Corvallis, OR	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293G	HP Inc	Corvallis, OR	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293H	HP Inc	Plano, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293H	HP Inc	Plano, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293H	HP Inc	Plano, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293I	HP Inc	Houston, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293I	HP Inc	Houston, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293I	HP Inc	Houston, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293J	HP Inc	Austin, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293J	HP Inc	Austin, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293J	HP Inc	Austin, TX	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293K	HP Inc	Vancouver, WA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293K	HP Inc	Vancouver, WA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293K	HP Inc	Vancouver, WA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293L	HP Inc	San Jose, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293L	HP Inc	San Jose, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293L	HP Inc	San Jose, CA	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293M	HP Inc	Aguadilla, PR	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293M	HP Inc	Aguadilla, PR	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,293M	HP Inc	Aguadilla, PR	10/4/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,471A	FCR	Roseburg, OR	12/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,471B	FCR	Grants Pass, OR	12/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,471C	FCR	Coos Bay, OR	12/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,471D	FCR	Veneta, OR	12/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,471E	FCR	Eugene, OR	12/7/2015	Worker Group Clarification.
92,625A	tronc, Inc	Lewisville, TX	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625B	tronc, Inc	Allentown, PA	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625C	tronc, Inc	Baltimore, MD	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625D	tronc, Inc	Orlando, FL	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625E	tronc, Inc	Deerfield Beach, FL	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625F	tronc, Inc	Los Angeles, CA	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625G	tronc, Inc	Hartford, CT	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625H	tronc, Inc	San Diego, CA	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,625I	tronc, Inc	San Diego, CA	2/6/2016	Ownership Change of a Successor Firm.
92,634A	Seagate Technology	Longmont, CO	2/10/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,634B	Seagate Technology	Bloomington, MN	2/10/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,684A	ASG Technologies Group, Inc	Phoenix, AZ	2/24/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,732A	Crown Casting, LLC	Hodges, SC	3/12/2017	Other.
92,754A	Axeon Refining LLC	San Antonio, TX	3/24/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,754B	Axeon Refining LLC	Stamford, CT	3/24/2016	Worker Group Clarification.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date	Reason(s)
92,805A	Nielsen	Fond du Lac, WI	4/6/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,866A	Wortman Controls, Inc	St. Marys, PA	5/3/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
92,903A	The Boeing Company	Portland, OR	5/19/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,202A	Dex Media, Inc	DFW Airport, TX	10/3/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,254A	Piper Companies	Reading, PA	10/27/2016	Technical Error.
93,262A	Boyd Coffee Company	Eugene, OR	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262A	Boyd Coffee Company	Eugene, OR	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262AA	Boyd Coffee Company	Tempe, AZ	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262AA	Boyd Coffee Company	Tempe, AZ	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262B	Boyd Coffee Company	Boise, ID	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262B	Boyd Coffee Company	Boise, ID	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262BB	Boyd Coffee Company	Council Bluffs, IA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262BB	Boyd Coffee Company	Council Bluffs, IA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262C	Boyd Coffee Company	Casper, WY	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262C	Boyd Coffee Company	Casper, WY	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262D	Boyd Coffee Company	Cypress, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262D	Boyd Coffee Company	Cypress, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262E	Boyd Coffee Company	Eagman, MN	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262E	Boyd Coffee Company	Eagman, MN	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262F	Boyd Coffee Company	Fresno, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262F	Boyd Coffee Company	Fresno, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262G	Boyd Coffee Company	Grand Prairie, TX	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262G	Boyd Coffee Company	Grand Prairie, TX	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262H	Boyd Coffee Company	Downers Grove, IL	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262H	Boyd Coffee Company	Downers Grove, IL	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262I	Boyd Coffee Company	Hayward, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262I	Boyd Coffee Company	Hayward, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262J	Boyd Coffee Company	Houston, TX	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262J	Boyd Coffee Company	Houston, TX	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262K	Boyd Coffee Company	Idaho Falls, ID	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262K	Boyd Coffee Company	Idaho Falls, ID	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262L	Boyd Coffee Company	Las Vegas, NV	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262L	Boyd Coffee Company	Las Vegas, NV	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262M	Boyd Coffee Company	Layton, UT	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262M	Boyd Coffee Company	Layton, UT	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262N	Boyd Coffee Company	Lolo, MT	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262N	Boyd Coffee Company	Lolo, MT	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262O	Boyd Coffee Company	Loveland, CO	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262O	Boyd Coffee Company	Loveland, CO	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262P	Boyd Coffee Company	Lynnwood, WA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262P	Boyd Coffee Company	Lynnwood, WA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262Q	Boyd Coffee Company	Omaha, NE	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262Q	Boyd Coffee Company	Omaha, NE	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262R	Boyd Coffee Company	Ontario, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262R	Boyd Coffee Company	Ontario, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262S	Boyd Coffee Company	Sacramento, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262S	Boyd Coffee Company	Sacramento, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262T	Boyd Coffee Company	Salinas, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262T	Boyd Coffee Company	Salinas, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262U	Boyd Coffee Company	Salt Lake City, UT	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262U	Boyd Coffee Company	Salt Lake City, UT	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262V	Boyd Coffee Company	San Antonio, TX	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262V	Boyd Coffee Company	San Antonio, TX	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262W	Boyd Coffee Company	San Diego, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262W	Boyd Coffee Company	San Diego, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262X	Boyd Coffee Company	Santa Clarita, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262X	Boyd Coffee Company	Santa Clarita, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262Y	Boyd Coffee Company	Santa Maria, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262Y	Boyd Coffee Company	Santa Maria, CA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262Z	Boyd Coffee Company	Spokane, WA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,262Z	Boyd Coffee Company	Spokane, WA	10/27/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,330A	Technicolor Connected Home USA	El Segundo, CA	11/28/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,330B	Technicolor Connected Home USA	El Segundo, CA	11/28/2016	Worker Group Clarification.
93,619A	AES Ohio Generation (DP&L)	Manchester, OH	3/6/2017	Worker Group Clarification.
93,619B	AES Ohio Generation (DP&L)	Manchester, OH	3/6/2017	Worker Group Clarification.

Revised Determinations (After Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration)

The following revised determinations on reconsideration, certifying eligibility

to apply for TAA, have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following revised determinations on reconsideration, certifying eligibility to apply for TAA, have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A)

(Increased Imports Path) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
85,355	Chevron Mining, Inc	Questa, NM	6/4/2013
91,525	Teknetix Inc	Parkersburg, WV	2/26/2015
91,562	Halliburton Energy Services, Inc	Duncan, OK	3/7/2015
91,673	Climax Manufacturing Inc	Lowville, NY	4/6/2015
92,193	White Pine Electric Power, LLC	White Pine, MI	9/9/2015
92,256	Ball Corporation	Weirton, WV	9/30/2016
92,453	Garco Building Systems	Airway Heights, WA	11/29/2015
92,463	Brayton Point Energy, LLC	Somerset, MA	12/5/2015
92,779	3M Company	Elyria, OH	3/31/2016

The following revised determinations on reconsideration, certifying eligibility to apply for TAA, have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (Shift in Production or Services to a Foreign Country Path or Acquisition of Articles or Services from a Foreign Country Path) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
90,125	Owens-Brockway Glass Container, Inc	Oakland, CA	1/1/2014
90,324	Embarq Management Company/United Telephone of Pennsylvania	Carlisle, PA	1/1/2014
91,121	REC Silicon LLC	Moses Lake, WA	3/23/2015
91,325	Mesabi Metalics Company LLC f/k/a Essar Steel Minnesota LLC	Hibbing, MN	1/11/2015
91,380	Gardner Denver Nash, LLC	Trumbull, CT	1/22/2015
91,641	General Electric Company	Fort Edward, NY	5/30/2016
91,882	SPX FLOW, Inc	McKean, PA	6/6/2015
92,590	MUFG Union Bank, N.A.	Monterey Park, CA	1/27/2016
92,862	Symantec Corporation	Springfield, OR	12/5/2017
92,921	JPMorgan Chase & Co	Columbus, OH	5/30/2016
93,431	Optum Services Inc., United HealthGroup	Hartford, CT	2/22/2018
91,121A	REC Silicon ASA	Silver Bow, MT	3/23/2015
91,121B	Nemo IT Solutions	Moses Lake, WA	11/4/2014
91,121C	Spherion Staffing LLC	Silver Bow, MT	11/4/2014

The following revised determinations on reconsideration, certifying eligibility to apply for TAA, have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
85,956	Cameron International Corporation	Duncan, OK	4/23/2014
91,218	Mesabi Radial Tire Company	Hibbing, MN	12/11/2014
91,264	Shenango Incorporated	Pittsburgh, PA	12/28/2014
92,537	General Motors Components Holdings, LLC (GMCH)	Kokomo, IN	12/9/2015
92,629	Lone Star Tubular Service Inc	Lone Star, TX	1/8/2016
93,223	Saint-Gobain Proppants	Fort Smith, AR	10/14/2017

Negative Determinations on Reconsideration (After Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration)

In the following cases, negative determinations on reconsideration have been issued because the eligibility criteria for TAA have not been met for the reason(s) specified.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under Trade Act section 222 (a)(2)(A)(i) (decline in sales or production, or both), (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country or acquisition of articles or services from a foreign country), (b)(2) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA or

downstream producer to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA), (b)(3)(component parts supplied accounted for at least 20% of production/sales, or loss of business with firm contributed importantly to worker separation or threat of separation), and (e) (International Trade Commission) have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
91,791	Woodard & Curran, Inc	Madison, ME.
91,875	Manitowoc Cranes, LLC	Manitowoc, WI.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under Trade Act section

222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports), (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services

to a foreign country or acquisition of articles or services from a foreign

country), (b)(2) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA or downstream producer

to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA), and (e)

(International Trade Commission) have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
91,138	GrafTech International Holdings Inc	Anmoore, WV.
91,569	Vigo Coal Operating Company, LLC	Mount Carmel, IL.
91,957	Joy Global, Inc	Eighty Four, PA.
92,318	Vancouver Iron and Steel, Inc	Vancouver, WA.
92,612	Graphics Art Center	Portland, OR.
93,094	Health Care Service Corporation	Marion, IL.
91,569A	Vigo Coal Operating Company, LLC	Boonville, IN.
91,569B	Vigo Coal Operating Company, LLC	Evansville, IN.

Revised Determinations (on Remand From the Court of International Trade)

The following revised determinations on remand, certifying eligibility to apply for TAA, have been issued. The date

following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination and the reason(s) for the determination.

The following revised determinations on remand, certifying eligibility to apply for TAA, have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (Increased Imports Path) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
92,182	Gerdau Ameristeel US, Inc	Calvert City, KY	9/6/2015

The following revised determinations on remand, certifying eligibility to apply for TAA, have been issued. The

requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified

eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
92,063	Brenntag Pacific, Inc	Portland, OR	7/13/2015

Negative Determinations (on Remand From the Court of International Trade)

In the following cases, negative determinations on remand have been

issued because the eligibility criteria for TAA have not been met for the reason(s) specified.

The investigation revealed that the requirements of Trade Act Section 222

(a)(1) and (b)(1) (significant worker total/partial separation or threat of total/partial separation), or (e) (firms identified by the International Trade Commission) have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
92,826	Honeywell International, Inc	Melville, NY.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under Trade Act section 222 (a)(2)(A)(i) (decline in sales or production, or both), (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country or acquisition of articles or

services from a foreign country), (b)(2) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA or downstream producer to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA), (b)(3)(component parts

supplied accounted for at least 20% of production/sales, or loss of business with firm contributed importantly to worker separation or threat of separation), and (e) (International Trade Commission) have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
90,092	Geokinetics, Inc	Houston, TX.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under Trade Act section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports), (a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services to a foreign country or acquisition of

articles or services from a foreign country), (b)(2) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA or downstream producer to a firm whose workers are certified

eligible to apply for TAA), and (e) (International Trade Commission) have not been met.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
90,092	Geokinetics, Inc	Houston, TX.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location
92,518	Fifth Third Bank	Coral Gables, FL.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of September 2018.

Hope D. Kinglock,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2018-22915 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221 (a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this

notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing provided such request is filed in writing with the

Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, no later than November 1, 2018.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, no later than November 1, 2018.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of August 2018.

Hope D. Kinglock,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[84 TAA Petitions Instituted Between 7/14/18 and 8/17/18]

TA-W No.	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
93984	Hewlett Packard Enterprise (Workers)	Andover, MA	07/16/18	07/13/18
93985	Regal Beloit Corporation (Company)	Springfield, MO	07/16/18	07/13/18
93986	Electrolux Major Appliances—Freezer Division (State/One-Stop)	Saint Cloud, MN	07/17/18	07/16/18
93987	Essity Operations Wausau LLC (Union)	Middletown, OH	07/18/18	07/17/18
93988	Kraco Enterprises (State/One-Stop)	Compton, CA	07/18/18	07/17/18
93989	Silcotech Carolina Inc. (State/One-Stop)	York, SC	07/18/18	07/17/18
93990	AVM Industries (State/One-Stop)	Marion, SC	07/19/18	07/18/18
93991	Bank of America, National Association (State/One-Stop)	Westlake, CA	07/19/18	07/18/18
93992	Imax Corp at Eastman Business Park (State/One-Stop)	Rochester, NY	07/19/18	07/18/18
93993	MellanoX Technologies (State/One-Stop)	Monterey Park, CA	07/19/18	07/18/18
93994	Fargo Assembly Company (State/One-Stop)	Edgeley, ND	07/20/18	07/19/18
93994B	Fargo Assembly Company (State/One-Stop)	Lehr, ND	07/20/18	07/19/18
93994A	Fargo Assembly Company (State/One-Stop)	Ellendale, ND	07/20/18	07/19/18
93995	MedPlast/Viant (State/One-Stop)	West Berlin, NJ	07/20/18	07/19/18
93996	Mid-West Mfg. LLC (State/One-Stop)	Chicago Heights, IL	07/20/18	07/19/18
93997	PTC Tubular Products LLC (State/One-Stop)	Fairbury, IL	07/20/18	07/19/18
93998	Toys R Us Corporate Office & Headquarters (State/One-Stop)	Wayne, NJ	07/20/18	07/19/18
93999	Ministry Health Care Inc. (Workers)	Merrill, WI	07/23/18	07/20/18
94000	Pranda North America, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Cranston, RI	07/23/18	07/20/18
94001	Tempur Sealy International Inc. (State/One-Stop)	St Paul, MN	07/23/18	07/20/18
94002	Auburn Leather Company (Workers)	Auburn, KY	07/24/18	06/12/18
94002A	Auburn Leather Company DBA Old Kentucky Leather (Workers)	Franklin, KY	07/24/18	06/12/18
94003	Coty (Workers)	Blue Ash, OH	07/24/18	07/23/18
94004	Ericsson (State/One-Stop)	Overland Park, KS	07/24/18	07/23/18
94005	Ernst & Young (State/One-Stop)	Overland Park, KS	07/24/18	07/23/18
94006	Nuance Transcription Services, Inc. (Workers)	Atlanta, GA	07/24/18	07/23/18
94007	Centralia Knitting Mills, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Centralia, WA	07/25/18	07/23/18
94008	Advanced Motors and Drives—A Nidec Kinetek Company (State/One-Stop)	East Syracuse, NY	07/26/18	07/25/18
94009	DST Pharmacy Solutions (State/One-Stop)	Kansas City, MO	07/26/18	07/25/18
94010	GCL Solar Materials, US I, LLC (State/One-Stop)	St. Charles, MO	07/26/18	07/25/18
94011	Rivulis Irrigation (Workers)	Valdosta, GA	07/26/18	07/25/18
94012	Aspen Insurance U.S. Services Inc. (Company)	Miami, FL	07/27/18	07/13/18
94013	Guynes Packaging and Printing dba Boutwell, Owens & Co. Inc. (State/One-Stop)	El Paso, TX	07/27/18	07/26/18
94014	Hewlett Packard Enterprise (State/One-Stop)	Fort Collins, CO	07/27/18	07/26/18

APPENDIX—Continued

[84 TAA Petitions Instituted Between 7/14/18 and 8/17/18]

TA-W No.	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
94015	IAC Strasburg (State/One-Stop)	Strasburg, VA	07/27/18	05/26/18
94016	Kelly Foundry and Machine Company (Union)	Elkins, WV	07/27/18	07/25/18
94017	Aspen Insurance U.S. Services, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	New York, NY	07/30/18	07/27/18
94018	AT&T Mobility Services LLC (Union)	Harrisburg, PA	07/30/18	07/27/18
94019	Chain IQ US Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Jersey City, NJ	07/30/18	07/30/18
94020	Columbia Forest Products (State/One-Stop)	Boardman, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94021	Columbia Forest Products (State/One-Stop)	Klamath Falls, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94022	CURT Manufacturing, LLC (Company)	Eau Claire, WI	07/30/18	07/27/18
94023	Murphy Plywood (State/One-Stop)	Eugene, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94024	States Industries (State/One-Stop)	Eugene, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94025	Timber Products (State/One-Stop)	Grants Pass, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94026	Timber Products (State/One-Stop)	Medford, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94027	Timber Products (State/One-Stop)	White City, OR	07/30/18	07/27/18
94028	Biosense Webster (State/One-Stop)	Baldwin Park, CA	07/31/18	07/30/18
94029	Citigroup Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Urbandale, IA	07/31/18	07/30/18
94030	The Hartz Mountain Corporation (Workers)	Logansport, IN	07/31/18	07/27/18
94031	iQor Holdings US LLC (State/One-Stop)	Simi Valley, CA	07/31/18	07/30/18
94032	Nu-World Foods, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Dyersville, IA	07/31/18	07/30/18
94033	AF Gloenco (Company)	Newport, NH	08/01/18	07/23/18
94034	Boeing (State/One-Stop)	El Segundo, CA	08/01/18	07/31/18
94035	NECCO (State/One-Stop)	Revere, MA	08/01/18	08/01/18
94036	Vitro/PGW, LLC (Workers)	Pittsburgh, PA	08/01/18	07/26/18
94037	Bristol Compressors International, LLC (State/One-Stop)	Bristol, VA	08/02/18	08/01/18
94038	CDK Global (State/One-Stop)	Portland, OR	08/02/18	08/01/18
94039	Roseburg Forest Products (State/One-Stop)	Dillard, OR	08/02/18	08/01/18
94040	DWK (Workers)	Millville, NJ	08/03/18	07/25/18
94041	Integra Connect (formerly Centrex Revenue Solutions) (State/One-Stop).	Akron, OH	08/03/18	08/02/18
94042	Honeywell Safety Products (Workers)	Smithfield, RI	08/06/18	08/03/18
94043	Afni, Inc. (Workers)	Bloomington, IL	08/07/18	08/06/18
94044	Amphenol Corporation (State/One-Stop)	Hamden, CT	08/07/18	08/06/18
94045	Roaring Spring Paper Products (Union)	Roaring Spring, PA	08/07/18	08/06/18
94046	Teter's Floral Products, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Bolivar, MO	08/07/18	08/06/18
94047	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Co. (State/One-Stop)	Enfield, CT	08/08/18	08/07/18
94048	PAREXEL International (State/One-Stop)	Billerica, MA	08/08/18	08/03/18
94049	C & D Zodiac Aerospace (State/One-Stop)	Santa Maria, CA	08/09/18	08/08/18
94050	Conmed Corporation (State/One-Stop)	Milford, CT	08/09/18	08/08/18
94051	Enrichment Technology US, Inc. (Company)	Eunice, NM	08/09/18	08/08/18
94052	General Electric (State/One-Stop)	Schenectady, NY	08/09/18	08/08/18
94053	Masterbrand Cabinets (Workers)	Auburn, AL	08/09/18	08/08/18
94054	UTAS (United Technologies Aerospace Systems) formerly Hamilton Sundstrand (Union).	Windsor Locks, CT	08/09/18	08/08/18
94055	Ginsu Brands (Workers)	Walnut Ridge, AR	08/10/18	08/09/18
94056	IBM (State/One-Stop)	San Jose, CA	08/10/18	08/09/18
94057	Great Lakes Polymer Technologies (State/One-Stop)	Albert Lea, MN	08/13/18	08/10/18
94058	Pacific Stainless Products Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Saint Helens, OR	08/13/18	08/10/18
94059	West Virginia University Research Corporation (Workers)	Morgantown, WV	08/13/18	08/10/18
94060	AES Ohio Generation (State/One-Stop)	Aberdeen, OH	08/14/18	08/13/18
94061	US Foods, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Sioux City, IA	08/14/18	08/13/18
94062	Xerox Corp (State/One-Stop)	Webster, NY	08/14/18	08/13/18
94063	Triumph Aerostructures, Vought Aircraft (State/One-Stop)	Grand Prairie, TX	08/16/18	08/15/18
94064	Triumph Aerostructures, Vought Aircraft Division (State/One-Stop).	Red Oak, TX	08/16/18	08/15/18

[FR Doc. 2018-22918 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Announcement of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control Numbers Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice; announcement of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval of information collection requirements.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration announces that OMB extended its approval for a number of information collection requirements found in a number of OSHA's standards and regulations. OSHA sought approval of these

requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), and, as required by that Act, is announcing the approval numbers and expiration dates for these requirements and regulations.

DATES: This notice is applicable October 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Seleda Perryman or Theda Kenney, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, telephone (202) 693-2222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a series of **Federal Register** notices, the various information collection (paperwork) requirements in its safety and health standards pertaining to general industry, construction, shipyard employment, regulations pertaining to Voluntary Protection Programs, and Safe + Sound Programs (*i.e.*, 29 CFR parts 1904, 1910, 1915, and 1926). In these **Federal Register** announcements, the agency provided 60-day comment periods for

the public to respond to OSHA's burden hour and cost estimates.

In accordance with the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), OMB approved these information collection requirements. The table below provides the following information for each of these requirements approved by OMB: the title of the **Federal Register** notice; the **Federal Register** reference (date, volume, and leading page); OMB's Control Number; and the new expiration date.

Title of the information collection request	Date of Federal Register publication, Federal Register reference, and OSHA Docket No.	OMB control No.	Expiration date
Acrylonitrile (29 CFR 1910.1045)	March 8, 2018, 83 FR 9868, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0195.	1218-0126	08/31/2021
Coke Oven Emissions (29 CFR 1910.1029)	January 17, 2018, 83 FR 2465, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0181.	1218-0128	07/31/2021
Confined Spaces in Construction (29 CFR part 1926, subpart AA).	February 22, 2018, 83 FR 7782, Docket No. OSHA-2017-0014.	1218-0258	08/31/2021
Construction Standards on Posting Emergency Telephone Numbers and Floor Load Limits (29 CFR 1926.50 and 1926.250).	October 18, 2017, 82 FR 48531, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0032.	1218-0093	02/28/2021
Excavations (Design of Cave-In Protection Systems) (29 CFR part 1926, subpart P).	December 12, 2017, 82 FR 58450, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0057.	1218-0137	04/30/2021
Fire Brigades (29 CFR 1910.156)	August 8, 2017, 82 FR 37118, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0009.	1218-0075	12/31/2020
Fire Protection in Shipyard Employment (29 CFR part 1915, subpart P).	May 16, 2017, 82 FR 22563, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0010.	1218-0248	02/28/2021
Forging Machines (29 CFR 1910.218)	October 16, 2017, 82 FR 48119, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0064.	1218-0228	05/31/2021
General Provisions and Confined and Enclosed Spaces and Other Dangerous Atmospheres in Shipyard Employment Standards (29 CFR part 1915).	October 16, 2017, 82 FR 48121, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0034.	1218-0011	05/31/2021
Grain Handling Facilities (29 CFR 1910.272)	August 18, 2017, 82 FR 39459, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0028.	1218-0206	02/28/2021
Hazardous Energy Control (Lockout/Tagout) (29 CFR 1910.147).	November 1, 2017, 82 FR 50689, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0033.	1218-0150	04/30/2021
Ionizing Radiation (29 CFR 1910.1096)	August 8, 2017, 82 FR 37117, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0030.	1218-0103	12/31/2020
Material Hoists, Personnel Hoists, and Elevators (29 CFR 1926.552).	August 8, 2017, 82 FR 37120, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0052.	1218-0231	04/30/2021
Mechanical Power Presses (29 CFR 1910.217(e)(1)).	August 10, 2017, 82 FR 37467, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0026.	1218-0229	02/28/2021
Powered Industrial Trucks (29 CFR 1910.178)	September 28, 2017, 82 FR 45317, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0062.	1218-0242	04/30/2021
Powered Platforms for Building Maintenance (29 CFR 1910.66).	May 22, 2017, 82 FR 23312, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0048.	1218-0121	11/30/2020
Recordkeeping and Reporting Occupational Injuries and Illnesses (29 CFR part 1904).	September 14, 2017, 82 FR 43255, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0055.	1218-0176	06/30/2021
Respiratory Protection Standard (29 CFR 1910.134).	January 18, 2018, 83 FR 2676, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0027.	1218-0099	08/31/2021
Safe + Sound Campaign	December 12, 2017, 82 FR 58448, Docket No. OSHA-2017-0013.	1218-0269	05/31/2021
Servicing Multi-Piece and Single Piece Rim Wheels (29 CFR 1910.177).	December 26, 2017, 82 FR 61035, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0189.	1218-0219	07/31/2021
Steel Erection (29 CFR part 1926, subpart R)	September 28, 2017, 82 FR 45314, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0055.	1218-0241	02/28/2021
Voluntary Protection Program	August 30, 2017, 82 FR 41294, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0056.	1218-0239	04/30/2021

In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an agency cannot conduct, sponsor, or require a response to a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number and the agency informs respondents that

they need not respond to the collection of information.

Authority and Signature

Loren Sweatt, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational

Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1-2012 (77 FR 3912).

Signed at Washington, DC, on October 15, 2018.

Loren Sweatt,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2018–22917 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[18–081]

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections.

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Gatrie Johnson, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 300 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20546–0001 or email Gatrie.Johnson@NASA.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Gatrie Johnson, NASA Clearance Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW, JF0000, Washington, DC 20546 or email Gatrie.Johnson@NASA.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

A federal grant is an award of financial assistance from a federal agency to a recipient to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States. The NASA Procurement Office supports NASA research, science, and education communities through the award of research/education/and training grants in the science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) fields. NASA has a continuing commitment to identify and address inequities associated with its grant review and awards processes. To support that commitment, NASA implemented a process to collect demographic data from grant applicants for the purpose of analyzing

demographic differences associated with its award processes. Information collected includes the name, gender, race, ethnicity, disability status, citizenship status, education, and career data of the respondents.

Submission of the information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. However, if the information is not submitted, it will undermine the usefulness of information received from other respondents.

II. Methods of Collection

Electronic.

III. Data

Title: Research and Related Personal Data.

OMB Number: 2700–0161.

Type of review: Existing information collection.

Affected Public: Not-For-Profit Institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5000.

Estimated Time per Response: 5 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Public Burden Hours: 416.7.

Estimated Total Annual Government Cost: \$37,500.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Gatrie Johnson,

NASA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–22895 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52–025 and 52–026; NRC–2008–0252]

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4; Containment Sump Level Instrumentation

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Exemption and combined license amendment; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is granting an exemption to allow a departure from the certification information of Tier 1 of the generic design control document (DCD) and is issuing License Amendment Nos. 140 and 139 to Combined Licenses (COLs), NPF–91 and NPF–92, respectively. The COLs were issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., and Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, MEAG Power SPVM, LLC, MEAG Power SPVJ, LLC, MEAG Power SPVP, LLC, and the City of Dalton, Georgia (collectively SNC); for construction and operation of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Units 3 and 4, located in Burke County, Georgia.

The granting of the exemption allows the changes to Tier 1 information asked for in the amendment. Because the acceptability of the exemption was determined in part by the acceptability of the amendment, the exemption and amendment are being issued concurrently.

DATES: The exemption and amendment were issued on August 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2008–0252 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2008–0252. Address questions about Docket IDs in [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301–287–9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select

“*Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.*” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. The request for the amendment and exemption was submitted by letter dated April 26, 2018, and available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18116A138.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chandu Patel, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–3025; email: Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is granting an exemption from paragraph B of section III, “Scope and Contents,” of appendix D, “Design Certification Rule for the AP1000,” to part 52 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), and issuing License Amendment Nos. 140 and 139 to COLs, NPF–91 and NPF–92, respectively, to SNC. The exemption is required by paragraph A.4 of section VIII, “Processes for Changes and Departures,” appendix D, to 10 CFR part 52 to allow SNC to depart from Tier 1 information. With the requested amendment, SNC sought proposed changes that would revise the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report in the form of departures from the plant-specific Design Control Document (DCD) Tier 2 information. The proposed amendment also involves changes to plant-specific Tier 1 information with corresponding changes to the associated COL Appendix C information. Specifically, the amendment proposes changes to plant-specific Tier 1 (and COL Appendix C) to reflect a new design of containment sump level sensors, which affects the acceptance criterion for the detected containment sump level change test and the associated minimum detectable unidentified leakage rate in plant-specific DCD Tier 2 information.

Part of the justification for granting the exemption was provided by the review of the amendment. Because the exemption is necessary in order to issue the requested license amendment, the NRC granted the exemption and issued the amendment concurrently, rather

than in sequence. This included issuing a combined safety evaluation containing the NRC staff’s review of both the exemption request and the license amendment. The exemption met all applicable regulatory criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.12, 52.7, and section VIII.A.4 of appendix D to 10 CFR part 52. The license amendment was found to be acceptable as well. The combined safety evaluation is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18220B104.

Identical exemption documents (except for referenced unit numbers and license numbers) were issued to SNC for VEGP Units 3 and 4 (COL Nos. NPF–91 and NPF–92). The exemption documents for VEGP Units 3 and 4 can be found in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML18220B097 and ML18220B098, respectively. The exemption is reproduced (with the exception of abbreviated titles and additional citations) in Section II of this document. The amendment documents for COL Nos. NPF–91 and NPF–92 are available in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML18220B099 and ML18220B100, respectively. A summary of the amendment documents is provided in Section III of this document.

II. Exemption

Reproduced below is the exemption document issued to VEGP Units 3 and 4. It makes reference to the combined safety evaluation that provides the reasoning for the findings made by the NRC (and listed under Item 1) in order to grant the exemption:

1. In a letter dated April 26, 2018, SNC requested from the Commission an exemption to allow departures from Tier 1 information in the certified DCD incorporated by reference in 10 CFR part 52, appendix D, as part of license amendment request 18–014, “Containment Sump Level Instrumentation.”

For the reasons set forth in Section 3.1 [sic] of the NRC staff’s Safety Evaluation, which can be found in ADAMS under Accession No. ML18220B104, the Commission finds that:

- A. The exemption is authorized by law;
- B. the exemption presents no undue risk to public health and safety;
- C. the exemption is consistent with the common defense and security;
- D. special circumstances are present in that the application of the rule in this circumstance is not necessary to serve the underlying purpose of the rule;
- E. the special circumstances outweigh any decrease in safety that may result from the reduction in standardization caused by the exemption; and

F. the exemption will not result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.

2. Accordingly, the licensee is granted an exemption from the certified DCD Tier 1 information, with corresponding changes to Appendix C of the Facility Combined Licenses as described in the licensee’s request dated April 26, 2018. This exemption is related to, and necessary for the granting of License Amendment No. 140 [for Unit 3 and No. 139 for Unit 4], which is being issued concurrently with this exemption.

3. As explained in Section 5.0 of the NRC staff’s Safety Evaluation (ADAMS Accession No. ML18220B104), this exemption meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment needs to be prepared in connection with the issuance of the exemption.

4. This exemption is effective as of the date of its issuance.

III. License Amendment Request

By letter dated April 26, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18116A138), SNC requested that the NRC amend the COLs for VEGP, Units 3 and 4, COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92. The proposed amendment is described in Section I of this **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission has determined for these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission’s rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission’s rules and regulations in 10 CFR chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

A notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to facility operating license or COL, as applicable, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a hearing in connection with these actions, was published in the **Federal Register** on June 19, 2018 (83 FR 28463). No comments were received during the 30-day comment period.

The Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments.

IV. Conclusion

Using the reasons set forth in the combined safety evaluation, the staff

granted the exemptions and issued the amendments that SNC requested on April 26, 2018. The exemption and amendment were issued on August 24, 2018, as part of a combined package to SNC (ADAMS Accession No. ML18220B095).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of October 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jennifer L. Dixon-Herrity,

Chief, Licensing Branch 4, Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2018-22983 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-219; NRC-2018-0167]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Request for Exemptions Regarding Emergency Planning Requirements

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Exemption; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued exemptions in response to a request from Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon or the licensee) regarding

certain emergency planning (EP) requirements. The exemptions eliminate the requirements to maintain an offsite radiological emergency preparedness plan and reduce the scope of onsite EP activities at the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek), based on the reduced risks of accidents that could result in an offsite radiological release at a decommissioning nuclear power reactor.

DATES: The exemption was issued on October 16, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0167 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking Website:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0167. Address questions about NRC dockets to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/>

[adams.html](#). To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. In addition, for the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" section of this document.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John G. Lamb, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-3100; email: John.Lamb@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the exemption is attached.

I. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available for public inspection through ADAMS, a public web page, or by using one of the methods discussed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

Title	Date	ADAMS accession no. or public web page
Exelon Generation Company, LLC, letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Certification of Permanent Cessation of Power Operations for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station".	February 14, 2018	ML18045A084.
Exelon Generation Company, LLC, letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Request for Exemptions from Portions of 10 CFR 50.47 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E".	August 22, 2017	ML17234A082.
Exelon Generation Company, LLC, letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Response to Request for Additional Information (RAI) Regarding Request for Exemption from Portions of 10 CFR 50.47 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E".	December 6, 2017	ML17340A708.
Exelon Generation Company, LLC, letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Supplement to Request for Exemption from Portions of 10 CFR 50.47 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E".	January 23, 2018	ML18023A138.
Exelon Generation Company, LLC, letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Supplement to Request for Exemption from Portions of 10 CFR 50.47 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E".	March 8, 2018	ML18067A087.
Exelon Generation Company, LLC, letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Response to Request for Additional Information (RAI) Related to Exemption Request from Portions of 10 CFR 50.47 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E".	March 19, 2018	ML18078A146.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG/CR-6451, "A Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants".	August 1997	ML082260098.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1738, "Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants".	February 2001	ML010430066.
Federal Emergency Management Agency Comprehensive Preparedness Guide 101, "Developing and Maintaining Emergency Operations Plans," Version 2.0.	November 2010	http://www.fema.gov/pdf/about/divisions/npd/CPG_101_V2.pdf .
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-2161, "Consequence Study of a Beyond-Design-Basis Earthquake Affecting the Spent Fuel Pool for a U.S. Mark I Boiling Water Reactor".	September 2014	ML14255A365.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, COMSECY-13-0030, "Staff Evaluation and Recommendation for Japan Lessons-Learned Tier 3 Issue on Expedited Transfer of Spent Fuel".	November 12, 2013	ML13329A918.

Title	Date	ADAMS accession no. or public web page
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, SECY-18-0062, "Request by the Exelon Generation Company, LLC for Exemptions from Certain Emergency Planning Requirements for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station".	May 31, 2018	ML18030B359.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Staff Requirements—SECY-18-0062, Request by the Exelon Generation Company, LLC for Exemptions from Certain Emergency Planning Requirements for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station".	July 17, 2018	ML18198A449.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, letter to Exelon Generation Company, LLC "Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station—Exemptions from Certain Emergency Planning Requirements and Related Safety Evaluation".	October 16, 2018	ML18220A980.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, on October 17, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John G. Lamb,

Senior Project Manager, Special Projects and Process Branch, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

Attachment—Exemption

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. 50-219

Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Exemption

I. Background.

Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon or the licensee) is the holder of Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-16 for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) now or hereafter in effect. The facility consists of a boiling-water reactor (BWR) located in Ocean County, New Jersey.

By letter dated February 14, 2018 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML18045A084), Exelon submitted a certification to the NRC that it would permanently cease power operations at Oyster Creek no later than October 31, 2018. On September 17, 2018, Exelon permanently ceased power operations at Oyster Creek. By letter dated September 25, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18268A258), Exelon certified the permanent removal of fuel from the Oyster Creek reactor vessel.

In accordance with § 50.82(a)(2) of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), the license for a power reactor facility no longer authorizes operation of the reactor or emplacement or retention of fuel into the reactor vessel upon the docketing of the certifications for permanent cessation of operations and permanent removal of fuel from the reactor vessel. The facility is still authorized to possess and store irradiated (i.e., spent) nuclear fuel. Spent fuel is currently stored onsite in the Oyster Creek spent fuel pool (SFP) and a dry cask independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) at the Oyster Creek facility.

During normal power reactor operations, the forced flow of water through the reactor coolant system removes heat generated by the

reactor. The reactor coolant system, operating at high temperatures and pressures, transfers this heat through the steam generator tubes converting non-radioactive feedwater to steam, which then flows to the main turbine generator to produce electricity. Many of the accident scenarios postulated in the updated safety analysis reports (USARs) for operating power reactors involve failures or malfunctions of systems, which could affect the fuel in the reactor core and, in the most severe postulated accidents, would involve the release of large quantities of fission products. With the permanent cessation of operations at Oyster Creek and the permanent removal of the fuel from the reactor vessel, such accidents are no longer possible. The reactor, reactor coolant system, and supporting systems are no longer in operation and have no function related to the storage of the spent fuel. Therefore, emergency planning (EP) provisions for postulated accidents involving failure or malfunction of the reactor, reactor coolant system, or supporting systems are no longer applicable.

The EP requirements of 10 CFR 50.47, "Emergency plans," and Appendix E to 10 CFR part 50, "Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Production and Utilization Facilities," continue to apply to nuclear power reactors that have permanently ceased operation and have permanently removed all fuel from the reactor vessel. There are no explicit regulatory provisions distinguishing EP requirements for a power reactor that is permanently shut down and defueled from those for a reactor that is authorized to operate. To reduce or eliminate EP requirements that are no longer necessary due to the decommissioning status of the facility, Exelon must obtain exemptions from those EP regulations. Only then can Exelon modify the Oyster Creek emergency plan to reflect the reduced risk associated with the permanently shutdown and defueled condition of Oyster Creek.

II. Request/Action.

By letter dated August 22, 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17234A082), Exelon requested exemptions from certain EP requirements of 10 CFR part 50 for Oyster Creek. Specifically, Exelon requested exemptions from certain planning standards in 10 CFR 50.47(b) regarding onsite and offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans for nuclear power reactors, from certain requirements in 10 CFR 50.47(c)(2) for establishment of plume exposure and ingestion pathway emergency planning zones for nuclear power reactors; and from certain

requirements in 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, Section IV, which establishes the elements that make up the content of emergency plans. In letters dated December 6, 2017, and January 23, March 8, and March 19, 2018 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML17340A708, ML18023A138, ML18067A087, and ML18078A146, respectively), Exelon provided supplemental information and responses to the NRC staff's requests for additional information concerning the proposed exemptions.

The information provided by Exelon included justifications for each exemption requested. The exemptions requested by Exelon would eliminate the requirements to maintain formal offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans reviewed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under the requirements of 44 CFR part 350 and would reduce the scope of onsite EP activities at Oyster Creek. The licensee stated that the application of all of the standards and requirements in 10 CFR 50.47(b), 10 CFR 50.47(c), and 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E is not needed for adequate emergency response capability, based on the substantially lower onsite and offsite radiological consequences of accidents still possible at the permanently shutdown and defueled facility, as compared to an operating facility. If offsite protective actions were needed for a highly unlikely beyond-design-basis accident that could challenge the safe storage of spent fuel at Oyster Creek, provisions exist for offsite agencies to take protective actions using a comprehensive emergency management plan (CEMP) under the National Preparedness System to protect the health and safety of the public. A CEMP in this context, also referred to as an emergency operations plan, is addressed in FEMA's Comprehensive Preparedness Guide 101, "Developing and Maintaining Emergency Operations Plans," which is publicly available at http://www.fema.gov/pdf/about/divisions/npd/CPG_101_V2.pdf. Comprehensive Preparedness Guide 101 is the foundation for State, territorial, Tribal, and local EP in the United States. It promotes a common understanding of the fundamentals of risk-informed planning and decision-making and helps planners at all levels of government in their efforts to develop and maintain viable, all-hazards, all-threats emergency plans. An emergency operations plan is flexible enough for use in all emergencies. It describes how people and property will be protected; details who is responsible for carrying out specific actions; identifies the personnel, equipment, facilities, supplies and other resources

available; and outlines how all actions will be coordinated. A CEMP is often referred to as a synonym for “all-hazards planning.”

III. Discussion.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.12, “Specific exemptions,” the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 when: (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) any of the special circumstances listed in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2) are present. These special circumstances include, among other things, that the application of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

As noted previously, the EP regulations contained in 10 CFR 50.47(b) and Appendix E to 10 CFR part 50 apply to both operating and shutdown power reactors. The NRC has consistently acknowledged that the risk of an offsite radiological release at a power reactor that has permanently ceased operations and permanently removed fuel from the reactor vessel is significantly lower, and the types of possible accidents are significantly fewer, than at an operating power reactor. However, the EP regulations do not recognize that once a power reactor permanently ceases operation, the risk of a large radiological release from credible emergency accident scenarios is significantly reduced. The reduced risk for any significant offsite radiological release is based on two factors. One factor is the elimination of accidents applicable only to an operating power reactor, resulting in fewer credible accident scenarios. The second factor is the reduced short-lived radionuclide inventory and decay heat production due to radioactive decay. Due to the permanently defueled status of the reactor, no new spent fuel will be added to the SFP and the radionuclides in the current spent fuel will continue to decay as the spent fuel ages. The irradiated fuel will produce less heat due to radioactive decay, increasing the available time to mitigate a loss of water inventory from the SFP. The NRC’s NUREG/CR-6451, “A Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR [Boiling Water Reactor] and PWR [Pressurized Water Reactor] Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants,” dated August 1997 (ADAMS Accession No. ML082260098), and the NRC’s NUREG-1738, “Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants,” dated February 2001 (ADAMS Accession No. ML010430066), confirmed that for permanently shutdown and defueled power reactors that are bounded by the assumptions and conditions in the report, the risk of offsite radiological release is significantly less than for an operating power reactor.

In the past, EP exemptions similar to those requested for Oyster Creek, have been granted to permanently shutdown and defueled power reactor licensees. However, the exemptions did not relieve the licensees of all EP requirements. Rather, the

exemptions allowed the licensees to modify their emergency plans commensurate with the credible site-specific risks that were consistent with a permanently shutdown and defueled status. Specifically, the NRC’s approval of these prior exemptions was based on the licensee’s demonstration that: (1) the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents would not exceed the limits of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) early phase Protective Action Guides (PAGs) of one roentgen equivalent man (rem) at the exclusion area boundary; and (2) in the highly unlikely event of a beyond-design-basis accident resulting in a loss of all modes of heat transfer from the fuel stored in the SFP, there is sufficient time to initiate appropriate mitigating actions, and if needed, for offsite authorities to implement offsite protective actions using a CEMP approach to protect the health and safety of the public.

With respect to design-basis accidents at Oyster Creek, the licensee provided an analysis demonstrating that 12 months (365 days) following permanent cessation of power operations, the radiological consequences of the only remaining design-basis accident with potential for offsite radiological release (the fuel handling accident in the Auxiliary Building, where the SFP is located) will not exceed the limits of the EPA PAGs at the exclusion area boundary.

With respect to beyond-design-basis accidents at Oyster Creek, the licensee analyzed a drain down of the SFP water that would effectively impede any decay heat removal. The analysis demonstrates that at 12 months (365 days) after permanent cessation of power operations, there would be 10 hours after the assemblies have been uncovered until the limiting fuel assembly (for decay heat and adiabatic heatup analysis) reaches 900 degrees Celsius (°C), the temperature used to assess the potential onset of fission product release. The analysis conservatively assumed that the heat up time starts when the SFP has been completely drained, although it is likely that site personnel will start to respond to an incident when drain down starts. The analysis also does not consider the period of time from the initiating event causing loss of SFP water inventory until cooling is lost.

The NRC reviewed the licensee’s justification for the requested exemptions against the criteria in 10 CFR 50.12(a) and determined, as described below, that the criteria in 10 CFR 50.12(a) will be met, and that the exemptions should be granted 365 days after Oyster Creek has permanently defueled. An assessment of the Exelon EP exemptions is described in SECY-18-0062, “Request by the Exelon Generation Company, LLC for Exemptions from Certain Emergency Planning Requirements for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station,” dated May 31, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18030B340). The Commission approved the NRC staff’s recommendation to grant the exemptions in the staff requirements memorandum to SECY-18-0062, dated July 17, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18198A449). Descriptions of the specific exemptions requested by Exelon and the NRC staff’s basis for granting each exemption are

provided in SECY-18-0062. The NRC staff’s detailed review and technical basis for the approval of the specific EP exemptions requested by Exelon, are provided in the NRC staff’s safety evaluation associated with this exemption (ADAMS Accession No. ML18220A980).

A. The Exemption is Authorized by Law.

The licensee has proposed exemptions from certain EP requirements in 10 CFR 50.47(b), 10 CFR 50.47(c)(2), and 10 CFR 50, Appendix E, Section IV, that would allow Exelon to revise the Oyster Creek Emergency Plan to reflect the permanently shutdown and defueled condition of the facility. As stated above, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50. The NRC staff has determined that granting of the licensee’s proposed exemptions will not result in a violation of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the NRC’s regulations. Therefore, the exemptions are authorized by law.

B. The Exemption Presents No Undue Risk to Public Health and Safety.

As stated previously, Exelon provided an analysis that show that the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents will not exceed the limits of the EPA early phase PAGs at the exclusion area boundary. Therefore, formal offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans required under 10 CFR part 50 will no longer be needed for protection of the public beyond the exclusion area boundary, based on the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents still possible at Oyster Creek 365 days after the plant has permanently ceased power operations.

Although highly unlikely, there is one postulated beyond-design-basis accident that might result in significant offsite radiological releases. However, NUREG-1738 confirms that the risk of beyond-design-basis accidents is greatly reduced at permanently shutdown and defueled reactors. The NRC staff’s analyses in NUREG-1738 conclude that the event sequences important to risk at permanently shutdown and defueled power reactors are limited to large earthquakes and cask drop events. For EP assessments, this is an important difference relative to operating power reactors, where typically a large number of different sequences make significant contributions to risk. As described in NUREG-1738, relaxation of offsite EP requirements in 10 CFR part 50 beyond a few months after shutdown resulted in only a small change in risk. The report further concludes that the change in risk due to relaxation of offsite EP requirements is small because the overall risk is low, and because even under current EP requirements for operating power reactors, EP was judged to have marginal impact on evacuation effectiveness for the severe earthquakes that dominate SFP risk. All other sequences including cask drops (for which offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans are expected to be more effective) are too low in likelihood to have a significant impact on risk.

Therefore, granting exemptions to eliminate the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 to maintain offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans and to reduce the scope of onsite EP activities will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety.

C. The Exemption Is Consistent With the Common Defense and Security.

The requested exemptions only involve EP requirements under 10 CFR part 50 and will allow Exelon to revise the Oyster Creek Emergency Plan to reflect the permanently shutdown and defueled condition of the facility. Physical security measures at Oyster Creek are not affected by the requested EP exemptions. The discontinuation of formal offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans and the reduction in scope of the onsite EP activities at Oyster Creek will not adversely affect Exelon's ability to physically secure the site or protect special nuclear material. Therefore, the proposed exemptions are consistent with common defense and security.

D. Special Circumstances.

Special circumstances, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), are present whenever application of the regulation in the particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.47(b), 10 CFR 50.47(c)(2), and 10 CFR part 50, Appendix E, Section IV, is to provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency, to establish plume exposure and ingestion pathway emergency planning zones for nuclear power plants, and to ensure that licensees maintain effective offsite and onsite radiological emergency preparedness plans. The standards and requirements in these regulations were developed by considering the risks associated with operation of a power reactor at its licensed full-power level. These risks include the potential for a reactor accident with offsite radiological dose consequences.

As discussed previously in Section III, because Oyster Creek will be permanently shut down and defueled, there will no longer be a risk of a significant offsite radiological release from a design-basis accident exceeding EPA early phase PAG at the exclusion area boundary and the risk of a significant offsite radiological release from a beyond-design-basis accident is greatly reduced when compared to an operating power reactor. The NRC staff has confirmed the reduced risks at Oyster Creek by comparing the generic risk assumptions in the analyses in NUREG-1738 to site-specific conditions at Oyster Creek and determined that the risk values in NUREG-1738 bound the risks presented at Oyster Creek. As indicated by the results of the research conducted for NUREG-1738, and more recently for NUREG-2161, "Consequence Study of a Beyond-Design-Basis Earthquake Affecting the Spent Fuel Pool for a U.S. Mark I Boiling Water Reactor," dated September 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14255A365), while other consequences can be extensive, accidents from SFPs with

significant decay time have little potential to cause offsite early fatalities, even if the formal offsite radiological EP requirements were relaxed. The licensee's analysis of a beyond-design-basis accident involving a complete loss of SFP water inventory, based on an adiabatic heatup analysis of the limiting fuel assembly for decay heat, shows that within 12 months (365 days) after permanent cessation of power operations, the time for the limiting fuel assembly to reach 900 °C is at least 10 hours after the assemblies have been uncovered assuming a loss of all cooling means.

The only analyzed beyond-design-basis accident scenario that progresses to a condition where a significant offsite release might occur, involves the highly unlikely event where the SFP drains in such a way that all modes of cooling or heat transfer are assumed to be unavailable, which is referred to as an adiabatic heatup of the spent fuel. The licensee's analysis of this beyond-design-basis accident shows that within 12 months (365 days) after permanent cessation of power operations, at least 10 hours would be available between the time that all cooling means are lost to the fuel (at which time adiabatic heatup is conservatively assumed to begin), until the fuel cladding reaches a temperature of 1652 degrees Fahrenheit (900 °C), which is the temperature associated with rapid cladding oxidation and the potential for a significant radiological release. This analysis conservatively does not include the period of time from the initiating event causing a loss of SFP water inventory until all cooling means are lost.

The NRC staff has verified Exelon's analyses and its calculations. The analyses provide reasonable assurance that in granting the requested exemptions to Exelon, there is no design-basis accident that will result in an offsite radiological release exceeding the EPA early phase PAGs at the exclusion area boundary. In the highly unlikely event of a beyond-design-basis accident affecting the SFP that results in a complete loss of heat removal via all modes of heat transfer, there will be over 10 hours available before an offsite release might occur and, therefore, at least 10 hours to initiate appropriate mitigating actions to restore a means of heat removal to the spent fuel. If a radiological release were projected to occur under this highly unlikely scenario, a minimum of 10 hours is considered sufficient time for offsite authorities to implement protective actions using a CEMP approach to protect the health and safety of the public.

Exemptions from the offsite EP requirements in 10 CFR Part 50 have previously been approved by the NRC when the site-specific analyses show that at least 10 hours is available following a loss of SFP coolant inventory accident with no air cooling (or other methods of removing decay heat) until cladding of the hottest fuel assembly reaches the rapid oxidation temperature. The NRC staff concluded in its previously granted exemptions, as it does with Exelon's requested EP exemptions, that if a minimum of 10 hours is available to initiate mitigating actions consistent with plant conditions, or if needed, for offsite authorities to implement protective actions

using a CEMP approach, then formal offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans, required under 10 CFR Part 50, are not necessary at permanently shutdown and defueled facilities.

Additionally, Oyster Creek committed to maintaining SFP makeup strategies in its letters to the NRC dated March 8 and 19, 2018. The multiple strategies for providing makeup to the SFP include: using existing plant systems for inventory makeup; an internal strategy that relies on the fire protection system with redundant pumps (one diesel-driven and electric motor-driven); and onsite diesel fire truck that can take suction from the Barnegat Bay. These strategies will continue to be required as condition 2.C.(8), "Mitigation Strategy License Condition," of renewed facility operating license DPR-16 for Oyster Creek. Considering the very low probability of beyond-design-basis accidents affecting the SFP, these diverse strategies provide multiple methods to obtain additional makeup or spray to the SFP before the onset of any postulated offsite radiological release.

For all of the reasons stated above, the NRC staff finds that the licensee's requested exemptions meet the underlying purpose of all of the standards in 10 CFR 50.47(b), and requirements in 10 CFR 50.47(c)(2) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, and satisfy the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) in view of the greatly reduced risk of offsite radiological consequences associated with the permanently shutdown and defueled state of the Oyster Creek facility 12 months (365 days) after permanent cessation of power operations.

The NRC staff has concluded that the exemptions being granted by this action will maintain an acceptable level of emergency preparedness at Oyster Creek and, if needed, that there is reasonable assurance that adequate offsite protective measures can and will be taken by State and local government agencies using a CEMP approach in the unlikely event of a radiological emergency at Oyster Creek. Since the underlying purposes of the rules, as exempted, would continue to be achieved, even with the elimination of the requirements under 10 CFR Part 50 to maintain formal offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans and the reduction in the scope of the onsite emergency planning activities at Oyster Creek, the special circumstances required by 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) exist.

E. Environmental Considerations.

In accordance with 10 CFR 51.31(a), the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment as discussed in the NRC staff's Finding of No Significant Impact and associated Environmental Assessment published in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 2018 (83 FR 40092).

IV. Conclusions.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, Exelon's request for exemptions from certain EP requirements in 10 CFR 50.47(b), 10 CFR 50.47(c)(2), and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,

Section IV, and as summarized in Enclosure 2 to SECY-18-0062, are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants Exelon's exemptions from certain EP requirements in 10 CFR 50.47(b), 10 CFR 50.47(c)(2), and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV, as discussed and evaluated in detail in the NRC staff's safety evaluation associated with this exemption. The exemptions are effective as of 12 months (365 days) after permanent cessation of power operations.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of October 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
/RA/

Kathryn M. Brock,
Deputy Director, Division of Operating
Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor
Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2018-22986 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52-025 and 52-026; NRC-
2008-0252]

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4; Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory
Commission.

ACTION: Determination of the successful
completion of inspections, tests, and
analyses.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (NRC) staff has determined
that the inspections, tests, and analyses
have been successfully completed, and
that the specified acceptance criteria are
met for the Vogtle Electric Generating
Plant (VEGP), Units 3 and 4.

DATES: The determination of the
successful completion of inspections,
tests, and analyses for VEGP Units 3 and
4 is effective October 22, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID
NRC-2008-0252 when contacting the
NRC about the availability of
information regarding this document.
You may obtain publicly-available
information related to this document
using any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking website:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2008-0252. Address questions regarding Docket IDs in [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed

in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION
CONTACT** section of this document.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chandu Patel, Office of New Reactors,
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone:
301-415-3025; email: Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Licensee Notification of Completion of ITAAC

Southern Nuclear Operating
Company, Inc. (SNC), Georgia Power
Company, Oglethorpe Power
Corporation, MEAG Power SPVM, LLC.,
MEAG Power SPVJ, LLC., MEAG Power
SPVP, LLC., and the City of Dalton,
Georgia, (hereafter called the licensee)
have submitted Inspections, Tests,
Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria
(ITAAC) closure notifications (ICNs)
under paragraph 52.99(c)(1) of title 10 of
the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10
CFR), informing the NRC that the
licensee has successfully performed the
required inspections, tests, and
analyses, and that the acceptance
criteria are met for:

VEGP Unit 3 ITAAC: 2.2.03.08b.02
(176), 2.2.03.08c.iv.04 (186),
2.2.05.07a.ii (266), 2.3.05.03a.i (343),
2.3.09.03.iii (425), 3.2.00.01c.ii (742),
and 3.2.00.01d (743).

VEGP Unit 4 ITAAC: 2.2.05.07a.ii
(266), 3.2.00.01c.ii (742), and 3.2.00.01d
(743).

The ITAAC for VEGP Unit 3 are in
Appendix C of the VEGP Unit 3
combined license (ADAMS Accession
No. ML14100A106). The ITAAC for
VEGP Unit 4 are in Appendix C of VEGP
Unit 4 combined license (ADAMS
Accession No. ML14100A135).

II. NRC Staff Determination of Completion of ITAAC

The NRC staff has determined that the
specified inspections, tests, and
analyses have been successfully
completed, and that the specified
acceptance criteria are met. The
documentation of the NRC staff's
determination is in the ITAAC Closure
Verification Evaluation Form (VEF) for
each ITAAC. The VEF is a form that
represents the NRC staff's structured
process for reviewing ICNs. Each ICN
presents a narrative description of how
the ITAAC was completed. The NRC's
ICN review process involves a
determination on whether, among other
things: (1) Each ICN provides sufficient
information, including a summary of the
methodology used to perform the
ITAAC, to demonstrate that the
inspections, tests, and analyses have
been successfully completed; (2) each
ICN provides sufficient information to
demonstrate that the acceptance criteria
of the ITAAC are met; and (3) any NRC
inspections for the ITAAC have been
completed and any ITAAC findings
associated with that ITAAC have been
closed.

The NRC staff's determination of the
successful completion of these ITAAC is
based on information available at this
time and is subject to the licensee's
ability to maintain the condition that
the acceptance criteria are met. If the
staff receives new information that
suggests the staff's determination on any
of these ITAAC is incorrect, then the
staff will determine whether to reopen
that ITAAC (including withdrawing the
staff's determination on that ITAAC).
The NRC staff's determination will be
used to support a subsequent finding,
pursuant to 10 CFR 52.103(g), at the end
of construction that all acceptance
criteria in the combined license are met.
The ITAAC closure process is not
finalized for these ITAAC until the NRC
makes an affirmative finding under 10
CFR 52.103(g). Any future updates to
the status of these ITAAC will be
reflected on the NRC's website at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/oversight/itaac.html>.

This notice fulfills the staff's
obligations under 10 CFR 52.99(e)(1) to
publish a notice in the **Federal Register**
of the NRC staff's determination of the
successful completion of inspections,
tests, and analyses.

*Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 3,
Docket No. 5200025*

A complete list of the review status
for VEGP Unit 3 ITAAC, including the
submission date and ADAMS Accession
Number for each ICN received, the

ADAMS Accession Number for each VEF, and the ADAMS Accession Numbers for the inspection reports associated with these specific ITAAC, can be found on the NRC's website at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/new-licensing-files/vog3-icnsr.pdf>.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 4, Docket No. 5200026

A complete list of the review status for VEGP Unit 4 ITAAC, including the submission date and ADAMS Accession Number for each ICN received, the ADAMS Accession Number for each VEF, and the ADAMS Accession Numbers for the inspection reports associated with these specific ITAAC, can be found on the NRC's website at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/new-licensing-files/vog4-icnsr.pdf>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of October, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Jennifer L. Dixon-Herrity,
Chief, Licensing Branch 4, Division of Licensing, Siting, and Environmental Analysis, Office of New Reactors.
[FR Doc. 2018-22985 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Excepted Service

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice identifies Schedule A, B, and C appointing authorities applicable to a single agency that were established or revoked from May 1, 2018 to May 31, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Senior Executive Resources Services, Senior Executive Services and Performance Management, Employee Services, Julia Alford, 202-606-2246, SERS@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 5 CFR 213.103,

Schedule A, B, and C appointing authorities available for use by all agencies are codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Schedule A, B, and C appointing authorities applicable to a single agency are not codified in the CFR, but the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) publishes a notice of agency-specific authorities established or revoked each month in the **Federal Register** at www.gpo.gov/fdsys/. OPM also publishes an annual notice of the consolidated listing of all Schedule A, B, and C appointing authorities, current as of June 30, in the **Federal Register**.

Schedule A

No Schedule A Authorities to report during May 2018.

Schedule B

No Schedule B Authorities to report during May 2018.

Schedule C

The following Schedule C appointing authorities were approved during May 2018.

Agency name	Organization name	Position title	Authorization number	Effective date
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations.	Director, Intergovernmental Affairs	DA180174	05/08/2018
	Office of the Secretary	Director of Policy Coordination	DA180158	05/08/2018
	Office of the Under Secretary for Farm Production and Conservation.	Staff Assistant	DA180181	05/16/2018
	Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety.	Confidential Assistant	DA180148	05/24/2018
	Office of Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment.	Staff Assistant	DA180169	05/24/2018
	Rural Housing Service	State Director	DA180146	05/16/2018
		State Director—Louisiana	DA180126	05/30/2018
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION.	Appalachian Regional Commission	Strategic Program Advisor	DA180185	05/08/2018
		Program Analyst	AP180001	05/25/2018
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ..	Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary.	Special Advisor	DC180123	05/08/2018
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	International Trade Administration	Special Assistant	DC180142	05/25/2018
	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics).	Special Assistant (Logistics and Materiel Readiness).	DD180086	05/08/2018
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY	Office Assistant Secretary Army (Civil Works).	Special Assistant (Strategy and Acquisition Reform).	DW180031	05/16/2018
		Special Assistant (Civil Works)	DW180032	05/24/2018
	Office Assistant Secretary Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs).	Special Assistant (Manpower and Reserve Affairs).	DW180033	05/16/2018
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ..	Office of Communications and Outreach.	Confidential Assistant	DB180046	05/24/2018
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	Office of Public Affairs	Special Assistant	DE180077	05/16/2018
	Advanced Research Projects Agency—Energy.	Executive Support Specialist	DE180090	05/17/2018
	Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management.	Senior Advisor	DE180105	05/23/2018
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.	Region 9—San Francisco, California.	Senior Advisor	EP180061	05/10/2018
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	Office of the Chairman	Economist	FT180004	05/02/2018

Agency name	Organization name	Position title	Authorization number	Effective date
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.	Office of Strategic Communications.	Press Secretary and Deputy Associate Administrator for Media Affairs.	GS180031	05/09/2018
	Office of Administrative Services ...	Director, Office of Accountability and Transparency.	GS180033	05/24/2018
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.	Administration for Children and Families.	Advisor (2)	DH180134	05/09/2018
	Food and Drug Administration	Senior Advisor	DH180145	05/24/2018
	Office of Global Affairs	Senior Policy Advisor	DH180144	05/24/2018
	Office of Intergovernmental and External Affairs.	Regional Director, San Francisco, California, Region IX.	DH180133	05/08/2018
	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Legislation.	Advisor	DH180131	05/14/2018
	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs.	Director, Speechwriting and Editorial Services.	DH180141	05/25/2018
	Office of the General Counsel	Press Secretary	DH180153	05/23/2018
	Office of the Secretary	Associate Deputy General Counsel	DH180154	05/24/2018
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.	Office of the Under Secretary for National Protection and Programs Directorate.	Senior Advance Representative ...	DH180049	05/08/2018
	Office of the Executive Secretariat	Legislative Advisor	DH180150	05/24/2018
	Office of Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs.	DM180172	05/08/2018	
	Office of the Secretary	Senior Advisor	DM180200	05/09/2018
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT.	Office of the Secretary	Confidential Assistant for Legislative Affairs.	DM180195	05/14/2018
	Office of the Secretary	Policy and Programs Officer	DU180068	05/17/2018
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.	Assistant Secretary—Insular Areas	Senior Advisor	DI180049	05/25/2018
	Office of the Attorney General	Special Assistant	DJ180100	05/25/2018
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	Secretary's Immediate Office	Special Assistant	DI180054	05/02/2018
	Veterans Employment and Training Service.	Advisor	DI180056	05/09/2018
	Office of the Secretary	Deputy Director, External Affairs ...	DI180046	05/30/2018
	Occupational Safety and Health Administration.	Chief of Staff	DL180081	05/08/2018
	Women's Bureau	Special Assistant	DL180088	05/08/2018
	Employment and Training Administration.	Special Assistant	DL180087	05/14/2018
	Education, Income Maintenance and Labor Programs.	Chief of Staff	DL180092	05/14/2018
	Legislative Affairs	Counsel	DL180097	05/14/2018
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET.	Confidential Assistant	BO180020	05/14/2018	
	Deputy for Legislative Affairs	BO180016	05/01/2018	
	Deputy for Legislative Affairs (House).	BO180017	05/08/2018	
	Project Coordinator	BO180012	05/02/2018	
	Press Secretary	BO180022	05/14/2018	
	Deputy Associate Director for Communications.	BO180023	05/14/2018	
	Deputy Press Secretary	BO180024	05/14/2018	
	Confidential Assistant	BO180018	05/25/2018	
OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.	Special Assistant for Advance	PM180028	05/16/2018	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.	Office of the Chairman	Writer-Editor	SE180004	05/17/2018
DEPARTMENT OF STATE	Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor.	Senior Advisor	DS180043	05/08/2018
	Office of the Secretary	Advisor	DS180049	05/14/2018
	Bureau of European and Eurasian Affairs.	Strategic Advisor	DS180048	05/24/2018
	Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs.	Senior Advisor	DS180044	05/25/2018
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY.	Assistant Secretary for International Markets and Development.	Special Assistant	DY180069	05/14/2018
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.	Office of the Secretary and Deputy	Special Assistant	DV180033	05/09/2018

The following Schedule C appointing authorities were revoked during May 2018.

Agency name	Organization name	Position title	Request number	Date vacated
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ..	Office of Public Affairs	Deputy Director of Speechwriting ..	DC170075	05/12/2018
		Press Assistant	DC170057	05/15/2018
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.	Office of the Secretary of Defense	Speechwriter	DD180034	05/26/2018
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ..	Office of Elementary and Secondary Education.	Confidential Assistant (2)	DB180026	05/14/2018
			DB170102	05/26/2018
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	Office of Science	Physical Scientist (Senior Advisor)	DE180016	05/26/2018
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.	Office of Refugee Resettlement/Office of the Director.	Policy Advisor	DH170339	05/06/2018
	Administration for Children and Families.	Confidential Assistant	DH170086	05/12/2018
	Office of Global Affairs	Senior Advisor	DH170103	05/12/2018
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT.	Office of Public and Indian Housing.	Special Policy Advisor	DU170113	05/12/2018
	Office of Public Affairs	Director of Communications	DU170141	05/12/2018
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	Office of Legal Policy	Counsel	DJ170048	05/03/2018
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	Office of Public Liaison	Special Assistant	DL180053	05/26/2018
	Office of the Secretary	Counselor to the Secretary	DL170052	05/26/2018
DEPARTMENT OF STATE	Office of the Secretary	Special Assistant (Scheduler)	DS180027	05/12/2018
		Special Advisor	DS170147	05/26/2018
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY	Office of the Under Secretary of the Navy.	Special Assistant	DN170022	05/26/2018
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY.	Department of the Treasury	Special Assistant	DY170113	05/04/2018
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.	Secretary	Special Assistant for Scheduling and Advance.	DT170137	05/11/2018
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.	Office of Public Affairs	Deputy Associate Administrator for State and Regional Affairs.	EP170085	05/13/2018
SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION.	Office of Capital Access	Special Advisor	SB170021	05/05/2018
	Office of Congressional and Legislative Affairs.	Special Advisor	SB180021	05/12/2018

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 3301 and 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR, 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.
Alexys Stanley,
Regulatory Affairs Analyst,
 [FR Doc. 2018–22685 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–84434; File No. SR–NYSE–2018–48]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change of Non-Substantive Amendments to Rules 1.1, 7.31, and 7.46

October 16, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that on October

3, 2018, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes non-substantive amendments to Rules 1.1, 7.31, and 7.46. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of,

and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to reorganize the terms defined in Rule 1.1, Definitions, to place them in alphabetical order. The Exchange does not propose to amend the definition of any term that is currently defined in Rule 1.1. The Exchange also proposes to adopt a definition of the term “Exchange Act” under Rule 1.1(h), which would be defined as “the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.” This definition is identical

¹ 15 U.S.C.78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

to the same definition in the rules of the Exchange's affiliate, NYSE Arca.⁴

The Exchange also proposes to amend Rules 7.31 and 7.46 to re-name "Limit Non-Routable Order" as "Non-Routable Limit Order" and "Limit Non-Displayed Order" as "Non-Displayed Limit Order." These proposed rule changes are based on the terms used by the Exchange's affiliates, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American") and NYSE Arca, for the same order types.⁵ In both cases, the Exchange believes that it promotes clarity and consistency in its rules to move the respective modifier for each of these rules before the term "Limit Order." The Exchange does not propose to amend the operation of either order type.

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),⁶ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5),⁷ in particular, because it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that alphabetizing the defined terms under Rule 1.1 would remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system because it add clarity to the Exchange's rules and make the defined terms easier to navigate. The Exchange does not propose to amend the definition of any term that is currently defined in Rule 1.1. In addition, the proposed definition of the term "Exchange Act" under Rule 1.1(h), is identical to the same definition in the rules of the Exchange's affiliate, NYSE Arca.⁸

The Exchange also believes that renaming "Limit Non-Displayed Order" as "Non-Displayed Limit Order" and "Limit Non-Routable Order" as "Non-Routable Limit Order" would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market

and a national market system because the proposed changes are designed to promote clarity and consistency in Exchange rules by moving the modifier describing the function of the order type before the term "Limit Order." The Exchange does not propose any changes to the operation of either order type. These proposed rule changes are also based on the terms used by the Exchange's affiliates, NYSE American and NYSE Arca, for the same order types,⁹ and would, therefore, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system by ensuring consistent terms are use amongst the Exchange and its affiliates for the same order types.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change will not have any impact on competition because it simply alphabetize definitions and renames two order types and does not make any changes to the operation of those order types or to alter any existing definition. In addition, the proposed definition of "Exchange Act" will not have a competitive impact because the new definition is intended to simply add clarity to the Exchange's rules and is identical to the same definition in the rules of the Exchange's affiliate, NYSE Arca.¹⁰

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹² Because the foregoing proposed rule does not (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative

for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, provided that the self-regulatory organization has given the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change or such shorter time as designated by the Commission,¹³ the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁴ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹⁵

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSE-2018-48 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2018-48. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent

⁴ See NYSE Arca Rule 1.1(q).

⁵ See NYSE American Rules 7.31E(d)(2), 7.31E(e)(1)(E), 7.46E(f)(5)(E), and 7.46-E(f)(5)(F). See also NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(d)(2), 7.31-E(e)(1), 7.46-E(f)(5)(E), and 7.46-E(f)(5)(F).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ See NYSE Arca Rule 1.1(q).

⁹ See NYSE American Rules 7.31E(d)(2), 7.31E(e)(1)(E), 7.46E(f)(5)(E), and 7.46-E(f)(5)(F). See also NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(d)(2), 7.31-E(e)(1), 7.46-E(f)(5)(E), and 7.46-E(f)(5)(F).

¹⁰ See NYSE Arca Rule 1.1(q).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹³ The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2018-48 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22905 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Securities Exchange Act of 1934; Release No. 34-84440/October 16, 2018; Investment Company Act of 1940; Release No. IC-33272/October 16, 2018; Order Under Section 15b, Section 17a and Section 36 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Granting Exemptions From Specified Provisions of the Exchange Act and Certain Rules Thereunder; Order Under Section 6(C) and Section 38(A) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 Granting Exemptions From Specified Provisions of the Investment Company Act and Certain Rules Thereunder

On October 10, 2018, Hurricane Michael made landfall on the Florida Panhandle. The storm and subsequent flooding has displaced individuals and businesses and disrupted communications and transportation across the affected region. We are issuing this Order to address the needs

of companies and individuals with obligations under the federal securities laws who have been directly or indirectly affected by Hurricane Michael and its aftermath.

Section 15B(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") provides that the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), by rule or order, upon its own motion or upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer or municipal advisor, or class of brokers, dealers, municipal securities dealers, or municipal advisors from any provision of Section 15B or the rules or regulations thereunder, if the Commission finds that such exemption is consistent with the public interest, the protection of investors and the purposes of Section 15B.

Section 36 of the Exchange Act authorizes the Commission, by rule, regulation or order, to exempt, either conditionally or unconditionally, any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions, from any provision or provisions of the Exchange Act or any rule or regulation thereunder, to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, and is consistent with the protection of investors.

Section 17A(c)(1) of the Exchange Act provides that the appropriate regulatory agency, by rule or by order, upon its own motion or upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person or security or class of persons or securities from any provision of Section 17A or any rule or regulation prescribed under Section 17A, if the appropriate regulatory agency¹ finds that such exemption is in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes of Section 17A, including the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and the safeguarding of securities and funds. Section 17A(c)(1) also requires that the Commission not object to the use of exemptive authority in instances where an appropriate regulatory authority other than the Commission is providing exemptive relief.

Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Company Act") provides that the Commission may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions, from any provision or provisions of the

Company Act, or any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Company Act. Section 38(a) of the Company Act provides that the Commission may make, issue, amend and rescind such rules and regulations and such orders as are necessary or appropriate to the exercise of the powers conferred upon the Commission under the Company Act.

The necessity for prompt action of the Commission does not permit prior notice of the Commission's action.

I. Time Period for the Relief

The time period for the relief specified in Sections II and VI of this Order is as follows:

- With respect to those persons or entities affected by Hurricane Michael, for the period from and including October 10, 2018 to November 21, 2018, all reports, schedules or forms must be filed on or before November 23, 2018.

II. Filing Requirements for Registrants and Other Persons

The lack of communications, transportation, electricity, facilities and available staff and professional advisors as a result of Hurricane Michael could hamper the efforts of public companies and other persons with filing obligations to meet their filing deadlines. At the same time, investors have an interest in the timely availability of required information about these companies and the activities of persons required to file schedules and reports with respect to these companies. While the Commission believes that the relief from filing requirements provided by the exemption below is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors, we remind public companies and other persons who are the subjects of this Order to continue to evaluate their obligations to make materially accurate and complete disclosures in accordance with the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to Section 36 of the Exchange Act, that a registrant (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) subject to the reporting requirements of Exchange Act Section 13(a) or 15(d), and any person required to make any filings with respect to such a registrant, is exempt from any requirement to file or furnish materials with the Commission under Exchange Act Sections 13(a), 13(d), 13(f), 13(g), 14(a), 14(c), 14(f), 15(d) and 16(a),

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ Section 3(a)(34)(B) of the Exchange Act defines "appropriate regulatory authority."

Regulations 13A, 13D–G, 14A, 14C and 15D, and Exchange Act Rules 13f–1, 14f–1 and 16a–3, as applicable, where the conditions below are satisfied.

Conditions

(a) The registrant or person other than a registrant is not able to meet a filing deadline due to Hurricane Michael and its aftermath;

(b) The registrant or person other than a registrant files with the Commission any report, schedule or form required to be filed during the applicable period of relief on or before the applicable deadline set forth in Section I; and

(c) In any such report, schedule or form filed pursuant to this Order, the registrant or person other than a registrant must disclose that it is relying on this Order and state the reasons why, in good faith, it could not file such report, schedule or form on a timely basis.

III. Furnishing of Proxy and Information Statements

The conditions in the areas affected by Hurricane Michael, including displacement of thousands of individuals and the destruction of property, have prevented and will continue to prevent the delivery of mail to the affected areas. In light of these conditions, we believe that relief is warranted for those seeking to comply with our rules imposing requirements to furnish materials to security holders when mail delivery is not possible and that the following exemption is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to Section 36 of the Exchange Act, that a registrant or any other person is exempt from the requirements to furnish proxy statements, annual reports and other soliciting materials, as applicable (the “Soliciting Materials”), and the requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules thereunder to furnish information statements and annual reports, as applicable (the “Information Materials”), where the conditions below are satisfied.

Conditions

(a) The registrant’s security holder has a mailing address located within a zip code where, as a result of Hurricane Michael, the registrant’s common carrier has suspended delivery service of the type or class customarily used by the registrant;

(b) The registrant or other person making a solicitation has followed normal procedure when furnishing the Soliciting Materials to the security

holder in order to ensure that the Soliciting Materials preceded or accompanied the proxy, as required by the rules applicable to the particular form of Soliciting Materials, or, in the case of Information Materials, the registrant has followed normal procedure when furnishing the Information Materials to the security holder in accordance with the rules applicable to Information Materials; and

(c) If requested by the security holder, the registrant or other person provides the Soliciting Materials or Information Materials by a means reasonably designed to furnish the Soliciting Materials or Information Materials to the security holder.

Any registrant or other person in need of additional assistance related to deadlines, delivery obligations or their public filings, should contact the Division of Corporation Finance at (202) 551–3500 or at https://tts.sec.gov/cgi-bin/corp_fin_interpretive.

IV. Transmittal of Annual and Semi-Annual Reports to Investors Required by the Company Act and the Rules Thereunder

For reasons similar to those cited in Section III, we believe that relief is warranted for the transmittal by registered management investment companies and registered unit investment trusts (collectively, “registered investment companies”) of annual and semi-annual reports to investors and that the following exemption is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 38(a) of the Company Act that for the period from and including October 10, 2018 to November 21, 2018, a registered investment company is exempt from the requirements of Section 30(e) of the Company Act and Rule 30e–1 thereunder to transmit annual and semi-annual reports to investors affected by Hurricane Michael; and

For the period from and including October 10, 2018 to November 21, 2018, a registered unit investment trust is exempt from the requirements of Section 30(e) of the Company Act and Rule 30e–2 thereunder to transmit annual and semi-annual reports to unitholders affected by Hurricane Michael,

Provided that:

(a) The affected investor’s mailing address for transmittal as listed in the records of the registered investment company has a zip code for which the registered investment company’s common carrier has suspended mail

service, as a result of Hurricane Michael, of the type or class customarily used by the registered investment company for transmittal of reports; and

(b) The registered investment company or other person promptly transmits the reports to affected investors: Either (a) if requested by the investor; or (b) at the earlier of (i) November 23, 2018 or (ii) the resumption of the applicable mail service.

Registered investment companies who are unable to meet a deadline as extended by this relief, or in need of additional assistance regarding issues under the Company Act, should contact the Division of Investment Management, Office of Chief Counsel, at (202) 551–6825 or IMOCC@sec.gov.

Registered investment advisers in need of additional assistance regarding issues under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 should contact the Division of Investment Management, Investment Adviser Regulation Office, at (202) 551–6999 or IARDLive@sec.gov.

V. Transfer Agent Compliance With Sections 17A and 17(f) of the Exchange Act

Exchange Act Section 17A and Section 17(f), as well as the rules promulgated under Sections 17A and 17(f), contain requirements for registered transfer agents relating to, among other things, processing securities transfers, safekeeping of investor and issuer funds and securities and maintaining records of investor ownership. Following the events of Hurricane Michael, registered transfer agents located in the affected regions may have difficulty complying with some or all of their obligations as registered transfer agents. In addition, registered transfer agents located outside the affected regions may be unable to conduct business with entities or security holders inside the regions, thereby making it difficult to process securities transactions and corporate actions in conformance with Section 17A, Section 17(f) and the rules thereunder.

While the national clearance and settlement system continues to operate well in light of these emergencies, the Commission recognizes that the need to effect securities transfers and payments to and from security holders in the affected regions may present compliance issues for affected transfer agents. Therefore, the Commission is using its authority under Section 17A and Section 36 of the Exchange Act to provide temporary relief from certain regulatory provisions. This Order temporarily exempts transfer agents

from the requirements of: (1) Section 17A of the Exchange Act and Rules 17Ad-1 through 17Ad-20 thereunder; and (2) Section 17(f) of the Exchange Act and Rules 17f-1 and 17f-2 thereunder. The Commission finds the following exemption to be in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purpose of Section 17A of the Exchange Act, including the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and the safeguarding of securities and funds.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to Sections 17A and 36 of the Exchange Act, that any registered transfer agent that is unable to comply with Section 17A and Section 17(f) of the Exchange Act and the rules promulgated thereunder, as applicable, due to Hurricane Michael and its aftermath is hereby temporarily exempted from complying with such provisions for the period from and including October 10, 2018 to November 21, 2018 where the conditions below are satisfied.

Conditions

(a) A registered transfer agent relying on this Order must notify the Commission in writing by November 21, 2018 of the following:

(1) The transfer agent is relying on this Order;

(2) A statement of the reasons why, in good faith, the transfer agent is unable to comply with Section 17A and Section 17(f) of the Exchange Act and the rules promulgated thereunder, as applicable;

(3) If the transfer agent knows or believes that the books and records it is required to maintain pursuant to Section 17A and the rules thereunder were lost, destroyed or materially damaged, information, to the extent reasonably available, as to the type of books and records that were maintained, the names of the issuers for whom such books and records were maintained, the extent of the loss of, or damage to, such books and records and the steps taken to ameliorate any such loss or damage; and

(4) If the transfer agent knows or believes that funds or securities belonging to either issuers or security holders and within its possession were, for any reason, lost, destroyed, stolen or unaccounted for, information, to the extent reasonably available, regarding the dollar amount of any such funds and the number of such securities and the steps taken to ameliorate any such loss; and

(b) Transfer agents that have custody or possession of any security holder or issuer funds or securities shall use all reasonable means available to ensure

that all such securities are held in safekeeping and are handled, in light of all facts and circumstances, in a manner reasonably free from risk of theft, loss or destruction and that all funds are protected against misuse. To the extent possible, all security holder or issuer funds that remain in the custody of the transfer agent shall be maintained in a separate bank account held for the exclusive benefit of security holders until such funds are properly remitted.

The notification required under (a) above shall be sent to:

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission,
Division of Trading and Markets, Office of
Clearance and Settlement, 100 F Street NE,
Washington, DC 20549-7010

The Commission encourages registered transfer agents and the issuers for whom they act to inform affected security holders whom they should contact concerning their accounts, their access to funds or securities and other shareholder concerns. If feasible, issuers and their transfer agents should place a notice on their websites or providing toll free numbers to respond to inquiries.

Transfer agents who are unable to meet a deadline as extended by this relief, or in need of additional assistance, should contact the Division of Trading and Markets at (202) 551-5777 or tradingandmarkets@sec.gov.

VI. Filing of Annual Update to Form MA as Required by the Exchange Act and the Rules Thereunder

Section 15B of the Exchange Act and Rule 15Ba1-5(a)(1) thereunder requires each registered municipal advisor to file with the Commission an annual update to its Form MA. For reasons similar to those cited in Section II, the Commission believes that relief is warranted for the filing with the Commission of annual updates to Form MA by registered municipal advisors and that such relief is consistent with the public interest, the protection of investors and the purposes of Section 15B of the Exchange Act.

Accordingly, *it is so ordered*, pursuant to Section 15B(a)(4) of the Exchange Act, that any registered municipal advisor is exempt from the requirement to file an annual update to Form MA with the Commission, as required by Section 15B of the Exchange Act and Rule 15Ba1-5(a)(1) thereunder, where the conditions below are satisfied.

Conditions

(a) The registered municipal advisor is not able to fulfill its obligation to file an annual update to the registered municipal advisor's Form MA within 90

days of the end of the registered municipal advisor's fiscal year due to Hurricane Michael;

(b) The registered municipal advisor files with the Commission its annual update to Form MA required to be filed during the applicable period of relief on or before the applicable deadline set forth in Section I; and

(c) In any such annual update to its Form MA filing, the registered municipal advisor must disclose that it is relying on this Order and state the reasons why, in good faith, it could not file such annual update to Form MA on a timely basis.

Registered municipal advisors who are unable to meet a deadline as extended by this relief or in need of additional assistance, should contact the Office of Municipal Securities at (202) 551-5680 or munis@sec.gov.

VII. Independence—Bookkeeping or Other Services Related to the Accounting Records or Financial Statements of the Audit Client

The conditions in the areas affected by Hurricane Michael, including displacement of individuals, the destruction of property and loss or destruction of corporate records, may require extraordinary efforts to reconstruct lost or destroyed accounting records. The Commission understands that in these particularly challenging situations an audit client may look to its auditor for assistance in reconstruction of its accounting records because of the auditor's knowledge of the client's financial systems and records. Under Section 10A(g)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 2-01(c)(4)(i) of Regulation S-X, auditors are prohibited from providing bookkeeping or other services relating to the accounting records of the audit client, and in Rule 2-01(c)(4)(i) of Regulation S-X, these prohibited services are described as including "maintaining or preparing the audit client's accounting records" or "preparing or originating source data underlying the audit client's financial statements." In light of the conditions in areas affected by Hurricane Michael, however, we believe that limited relief from these prohibitions is warranted for those registrants and other persons that are required to comply with the independence requirements of the federal securities laws and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder and that are affected by those conditions. The Commission finds the following exemption to be necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to Section 36 of the Exchange Act, that independent certified public accountants engaged to provide audit services to registrants and other persons required to comply with the independence requirements of the federal securities laws and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder are exempt from the requirements of Section 10A(g)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 2-01(c)(4)(i) of Regulation S-X, where the conditions below are satisfied.

Conditions

(a) Services provided by the auditor are limited to reconstruction of previously existing accounting records that were lost or destroyed as a result of Hurricane Michael and such services cease as soon as the audit client's lost or destroyed records are reconstructed, its financial systems are fully operational and the client can effect an orderly and efficient transition to management or other service provider; and

(b) Services provided by the auditor to its audit client pursuant to this Order are subject to pre-approval by the audit client's audit committee as required by Rule 2-01(c)(7) of Regulation S-X.

Auditors or audit clients who are in need of additional assistance or have other questions relating to auditor independence, should contact the Office of the Chief Accountant at (202) 551-5300 or OCARequest@sec.gov.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22931 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 33271; 812-14931]

Blackstone Real Estate Income Fund, et al.

October 16, 2018.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of application for an order under sections 17(d) and 57(i) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by sections 17(d) and 57(a)(4) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act.

Summary of Application: Applicants request an order to permit business

development companies ("BDCs") and closed-end management investment companies to co-invest in portfolio companies with each other and with certain affiliated investment funds and accounts.

Applicants: Blackstone Real Estate Income Fund ("BREIF"); Blackstone Real Estate Income Fund ("BREIF II"); Blackstone Real Estate Income Master Fund ("BREI Master Fund," and, together with BREIF and BREIF II, the "BREI Regulated Funds"); Blackstone Real Estate Income Advisors L.L.C. ("BREIA"), the investment adviser to the BREI Regulated Funds; the investment advisers set forth in Schedule A to the application (together with BREIA, the "Blackstone RE Advisers"); and the Existing Affiliated Funds set forth on Schedule A to the application.¹

Filing Dates: The application was filed on July 20, 2018.

Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in this notice.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 12, 2018, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0-5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary. **ADDRESSES:** Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F St, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. Applicants: Leon Volchok, Esq., 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10154.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Asen Parachkevov, Senior Counsel, or David J. Marcinkus, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Chief Counsel's Office, Division of Investment Management).

¹ The Existing Affiliated Funds, together with their direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, are entities (i) whose primary investment adviser is a Blackstone RE Adviser and (ii) that either (A) would be an investment company but for section 3(c)(1), 3(c)(5)(C) or 3(c)(7) of the Act or (B) rely on the rule 3a-7 exemption thereunder from investment company status.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's website by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551-8090.

Applicants' Representations:

1. Each BREI Regulated Fund is a Delaware statutory trust and is structured as an externally managed, non-diversified, closed-end management investment company. Each BREI Regulated Fund's investment objective is to seek long-term total return, with an emphasis on current income, by primarily investing in a broad range of real estate-related debt investments. BREIF and BREIF II are "feeder" funds in a "master-feeder" structure and pursue their respective investment objective by investing substantially all of their assets in the BREI Master Fund. Each BREI Regulated Fund has a five-member Board, of which four members are Non-Interested Trustees.²

2. Each Adviser³ is a subsidiary of The Blackstone Group, L.P. ("Blackstone"). Blackstone is a leading global alternative asset manager, whose alternative asset management businesses include investment vehicles focused on private equity, real estate, hedge fund solutions, non-investment grade credit, secondary private equity funds of funds and multi-asset class strategies. Blackstone's four business segments are (1) private equity, (2) real estate, (3) hedge fund solutions and (4) credit.

3. The Blackstone RE Advisers operate as a self-contained advisory business within Blackstone's real estate.

² "Board" means the board of trustees (or equivalent) of the BREI Regulated Funds and any other Regulated Fund (as defined below).

"Non-Interested Trustees" means the Non-Interested Trustees of the BREI Regulated Funds and any other Regulated Fund who are not "interested persons" within the meaning of section 2(a)(19) of the Act.

³ The term "Adviser" means (i) the Blackstone RE Advisers and (ii) any future investment adviser that controls, is controlled by or is under common control with a Blackstone RE Adviser and is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the "Advisers Act") that intends to participate in the Co-Investment Program (as defined below).

The term "Primary Adviser" means any future investment adviser that (i) controls, is controlled by or is under common control with an Adviser, (ii) is registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act, and (iii) is not an Adviser. For the avoidance of doubt, a Primary Adviser will not be treated as an Adviser under the requested Order, but will be subject to conditions 2(c)(iv) and 13 of the requested Order. A Primary Adviser will not rely on the requested Order with respect to any investment vehicles it manages other than to the extent those vehicles are sub-advised by an Adviser.

Each Blackstone RE Adviser is under common control with BREIA, the Adviser to each of the BREI Regulated Funds, and collectively they conduct a single advisory business for purposes of the requested Order. The Blackstone RE Advisers are each either separately registered as investment advisers with the Commission, or are relying advisers that rely on the registration of another Blackstone RE Adviser. No Blackstone RE Adviser is a relying adviser of any Blackstone-affiliated investment adviser from outside of the self-contained group.

4. Applicants seek an order to permit one or more Regulated Funds⁴ to be able to participate with one or more other Regulated Funds and/or one or more Affiliated Investors⁵ in the same investment opportunities through a proposed co-investment program where such participation would otherwise be prohibited under sections 17(d) and 57(a)(4) of the Act and rule 17d-1 thereunder (the “Co-Investment Program”).

5. For purposes of the requested Order, “Co-Investment Transaction” means any transaction in which one or more Regulated Funds (or one or more Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiaries, as defined below) participates together with one or more other Regulated Funds (or one or more Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiaries, as defined

below) and/or one or more Affiliated Investors in reliance on the requested Order. “Potential Co-Investment Transaction” means any investment opportunity in which a Regulated Fund (or its Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary, as defined below) could not participate together with one or more Affiliated Investors and/or one or more other Regulated Funds without obtaining and relying on the requested Order.⁶ Funds that are advised or sub-advised by affiliates of Blackstone other than an Adviser or Primary Adviser will not participate in the Co-Investment Program. No Primary Adviser will be the source of any Potential Co-Investment Transactions under the requested Order. Potential Co-Investment Transactions will not be shared outside of the Co-Investment Program.

6. Applicants state that a Regulated Fund may, from time to time, form a special purpose subsidiary (a “Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary”).⁷ A Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary would be prohibited from investing in a Co-Investment Transaction with another Regulated Fund or any Affiliated Investor because it would be a company controlled by its parent Regulated Fund for purposes of sections 17(d) and 57(a)(4) of the Act and rule 17d-1 thereunder. Applicants request that a Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary be permitted to participate in Co-Investment Transactions in lieu of the applicable Regulated Fund and that the Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary’s participation in any such transaction be treated, for purposes of the requested

Order, as though the parent Regulated Fund were participating directly.

7. When considering Potential Co-Investment Transactions for any Regulated Fund, an Adviser will consider only the Objectives and Strategies,⁸ Board-Established Criteria,⁹ investment policies, investment positions, capital available for investment, and other pertinent factors applicable to that Regulated Fund. The participation of a Regulated Fund in a Potential Co-Investment Transaction may only be approved by a Required Majority, as defined in section 57(o) of the Act (a “Required Majority”), of the trustees of the Board eligible to vote on that Co-Investment Transaction under section 57(o) of the Act (the “Eligible Trustees”).¹⁰ When selecting investments for the Affiliated Investors, an Adviser will select investments separately for each Affiliated Investor, considering, in each case, only the investment objective, investment policies, investment position, capital available for investment, and other pertinent factors applicable to that particular Affiliated Investor.

8. With respect to participation in a Potential Co-Investment Transaction by a Regulated Fund, the application Adviser will present each Potential Co-

⁸ The term “Objectives and Strategies” means a Regulated Fund’s investment objectives and strategies, as described in the filings made with the Commission by the Regulated Fund under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “1933 Act”) and the Act, and the Regulated Fund’s reports to shareholders.

⁹ The term “Board-Established Criteria” means criteria that the Board of the applicable Regulated Fund may establish from time to time to describe the characteristics of Potential Co-Investment Transactions regarding which an Adviser to the Regulated Fund should be notified under condition 1 of the requested Order. The Board-Established Criteria will be consistent with the Regulated Fund’s then-current Objectives and Strategies. If no Board-Established Criteria are in effect, then the Regulated Fund’s Adviser will be notified of all Potential Co-Investment Transactions that fall within the Regulated Fund’s then current Objectives and Strategies. Board-Established Criteria will be objective and testable, meaning that they will be based on observable information, such as industry/sector of the issuer, minimum earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization of the issuer, asset class of the investment opportunity or required commitment size, and not on characteristics that involve discretionary assessment. The Adviser to the Regulated Fund may from time to time recommend criteria for the applicable Board’s consideration, but Board-Established Criteria will only become effective if approved by a majority of the Non-Interested Trustees. The Non-Interested Trustees of a Regulated Fund may at any time rescind, suspend or qualify its approval of any Board-Established Criteria, though Applicants anticipate that, under normal circumstances, the Board would not modify these criteria more often than quarterly.

¹⁰ The defined terms Eligible Trustees and Required Majority apply as if each Regulated Fund were a BDC subject to section 57(o) of the Act.

⁴ “Regulated Fund” means any of the BREI Regulated Funds and any future closed-end management investment company (i) that has elected to be regulated as a business development company (“BDC”) or is registered under the Act, (ii) whose investment adviser is an Adviser and (iii) who intends to participate in the Co-Investment Program.

Section 2(a)(48) of the Act defines a BDC to be any closed-end investment company that operates for the purpose of making investments in securities described in sections 55(a)(1) through 55(a)(3) of the Act and makes available significant managerial assistance with respect to the issuers of such securities.

⁵ “Affiliated Investor” means (i) the Existing Affiliated Funds, (ii) any Affiliated Proprietary Account and (iii) any Future Affiliated Fund.

“Future Affiliated Fund” means an entity (i)(A) whose investment adviser is an Adviser or (B) whose investment adviser is a Primary Adviser and whose sub-adviser is an Adviser (a “Sub-Advised Affiliated Fund”), and (ii) that either (A) would be an investment company but for an exemption in section 3(c)(1), 3(c)(5)(C) or 3(c)(7) of the Act or (B) relies on the rule 3a-7 exemption thereunder from investment company status, and (iii) that intends to participate in the Co-Investment Program.

“Affiliated Proprietary Account” means any account of an Adviser or its affiliates or any company that is an indirect, wholly- or majority-owned subsidiary of an Adviser or its affiliates, which, from time to time, may hold various financial assets in a principal capacity. For the avoidance of doubt, neither the Regulated Funds, the Existing Affiliated Funds nor any Future Affiliated Funds shall be deemed to be Affiliated Proprietary Accounts for purposes of the requested Order.

⁶ All existing entities that currently intend to rely upon the requested Order have been named as applicants. Any other existing or future entity that subsequently relies on the Order will comply with the terms and conditions of the application.

⁷ “Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary” means an (i) whose sole business purpose is to hold one or more investments on behalf of a Regulated Fund (and, in the case of an SBIC Subsidiary (as defined below), maintain a license under the SBA Act (as defined below) and issue debentures guaranteed by the SBA (as defined below)); (ii) that is wholly-owned by a Regulated Fund (with such Regulated Fund at all times holding, beneficially and of record, 100% of the voting and economic interests); (iii) with respect to which the Board of the Regulated Fund has the sole authority to make all determinations with respect to the Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary’s participation under the conditions of the requested Order; and (iv) that is an entity that would be an investment company but for an exemption in section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Act.

The term “SBIC Subsidiary” means a Wholly-Owned Investment Subsidiary that is licensed by the Small Business Administration (the “SBA”) to operate under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, (the “SBA Act”) as a small business investment company (a “SBIC”).

Investment Transaction and the proposed allocation of each investment opportunity to the Eligible Trustees. The Required Majority of a Regulated Fund will approve each Co-Investment Transaction prior to any investment by the Regulated Fund.

9. Applicants state that the majority of the Blackstone RE Advisers' employees work on matters for Close Affiliates¹¹ and information about potential investment opportunities is routinely disseminated among such Adviser's employees. Other than to satisfy compliance obligations, information regarding Potential Co-Investment Transactions will not be shared with Remote Affiliates,¹² which would include other investment advisers that operate in other Blackstone business groups, except in unusual circumstances, as the Blackstone business groups each generally target different investment strategies or asset classes and there are information barrier policies in place between the Blackstone business groups. Applicants further note within the Blackstone RE Advisers, the personnel overlap and coordination among portfolio management teams ensures that all relevant investment opportunities will be brought to the attention of each Regulated Fund (as defined below) managed by the respective Adviser. Applicants submit that the Blackstone RE Advisers will receive all information regarding all investment opportunities that fall within the then-current Objectives and Strategies and Board-Established Criteria of each Regulated Fund managed by the respective Adviser.

10. Applicants submit that, in the event that a Potential Co-Investment Transaction would be within the investment objectives and strategies of the Sub-Advised Affiliated Fund, the respective Adviser shall have the primary responsibility for the investment, including making the initial investment recommendation, and day-to-day monitoring of the investment. Applicants further note that the Adviser will be responsible for complying with

the conditions of the requested Order. Applicants state that if the Adviser and Primary Adviser agree that the Sub-Advised Affiliated Fund should invest in the Potential Co-Investment Transaction and at what size of investment, then the Adviser would, consistent with the conditions of the requested Order, determine an allocation for the Regulated Funds and Affiliated Investors, including such Sub-Advised Affiliated Fund.

11. Applicants acknowledge that some of the Affiliated Investors may not be funds advised by an Adviser because they are Affiliated Proprietary Accounts. Applicants do not believe the participation of these Affiliated Proprietary Accounts in Co-Investment Transactions should raise issues under the conditions of the requested Order because allocation policies and procedures of the account owners provide that investment opportunities are offered to client accounts before they are offered to Affiliated Proprietary Accounts.

12. Under condition 14, if an Adviser or its principals, or any person controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Adviser or its principals, and any Affiliated Investor (collectively, the "Holders") own in the aggregate more than 25 percent of the outstanding voting shares of a Regulated Fund ("Shares"), then the Holders will vote such Shares as directed by an independent third party when voting on (1) the election of directors; (2) the removal of one or more directors; or (3) all other matters under either the Act or applicable state law affecting the Board's composition, size or manner of election.

13. No Non-Interested Trustee of a Regulated Fund will have a financial interest in any Co-Investment Transaction, other than indirectly through share ownership in one of the Regulated Funds.

Applicants' Legal Analysis:

1. Section 57(a)(4) of the Act prohibits certain affiliated persons of a BDC from participating in joint transactions with the BDC or a company controlled by a BDC in contravention of rules as prescribed by the Commission. Under section 57(b)(2) of the Act, any person who is directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a BDC is subject to section 57(a)(4) of the Act. Section 57(i) of the Act provides that, until the Commission prescribes rules under section 57(a)(4) of the Act, the Commission's rules under section 17(d) of the Act applicable to registered closed-end investment companies will be deemed to apply to transactions subject to

section 57(a)(4) of the Act. Because the Commission has not adopted any rules under section 57(a)(4) of the Act, rule 17d-1 thereunder applies.

2. Section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act prohibit affiliated persons of a registered investment company from participating in joint transactions with the company unless the Commission has granted an order permitting such transactions. In passing upon applications under rule 17d-1, the Commission considers whether the company's participation in the joint transaction is consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

3. Applicants state that certain transactions effected as part of the Co-Investment Program may be prohibited by sections 17(d) and 57(a)(4) of the Act and rule 17d-1 thereunder without a prior exemptive order of the Commission to the extent that the Affiliated Investors fall within the category of persons described by section 17(d) or section 57(b) of the Act, as modified by rule 57b-1 thereunder with respect to a Regulated Fund. Applicants believe that the proposed terms and conditions will ensure would ensure that the conflicts of interest that section 17(d) and section 57(a)(4) of the Act were designed to prevent would be addressed and the standards for an order under rule 17d-1 under the Act are met.

Applicants' Conditions:

Applicants agree that any Order granting the requested relief shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. (a) Each Adviser will establish, maintain and implement policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that each Adviser is promptly notified, for each Regulated Fund the Adviser manages, of all Potential Co-Investment Transactions¹³ that (i) an Adviser considers for any other Regulated Fund or Affiliated Investor and (ii) fall within the Regulated Fund's then-current Objectives and Strategies and Board-Established Criteria.

(b) When an Adviser to a Regulated Fund is notified of a Potential Co-Investment Transaction under condition 1(a), such Adviser will make an independent determination of the appropriateness of the investment for the Regulated Fund in light of the Regulated Fund's then-current circumstances.

¹³ No Primary Adviser will be the source of any Potential Co-Investment Transactions under the requested Order.

¹¹ The term "Close Affiliate" means the Advisers, the Regulated Funds, the Affiliated Investors and any other person described in section 57(b) of the Act (after giving effect to rule 57b-1 thereunder) in respect of any Regulated Fund (treating any registered investment company or series thereof as a BDC for this purpose) except for limited partners included solely by reason of the reference in section 57(b) to section 2(a)(3)(D) of the Act.

¹² The term "Remote Affiliate" means any person described in section 57(e) of the Act in respect of any Regulated Fund (treating any registered investment company or series thereof as a BDC for this purpose) and any limited partner holding 5% or more of the relevant limited partner interests that would be a Close Affiliate but for the exclusion in that definition.

2. (a) If the Adviser deems a Regulated Fund's participation in any Potential Co-Investment Transaction to be appropriate for the Regulated Fund, it will then determine an appropriate level of investment for the Regulated Fund.

(b) If the aggregate amount recommended by the applicable Adviser to be invested by the applicable Regulated Fund in the Potential Co-Investment Transaction, together with the amount proposed to be invested by the other participating Regulated Funds and Affiliated Investors, collectively, in the same transaction, exceeds the amount of the investment opportunity, then the investment opportunity will be allocated among them pro rata based on each participant's Available Capital up ¹⁴ to the amount proposed to be invested by each. The applicable Adviser will provide the Eligible Trustees of each participating Regulated Fund with information concerning each participating party's Available Capital to assist the Eligible Trustees with their review of the Regulated Fund's investments for compliance with these allocation procedures.

(c) After making the determinations required in conditions 1 and 2(a), the applicable Adviser will distribute written information concerning the Potential Co-Investment Transaction (including the amount proposed to be invested by each participating Regulated Fund and Affiliated Investor) to the Eligible Trustees of each participating Regulated Fund for their consideration. A Regulated Fund will co-invest with one or more other Regulated Funds and/or one or more Affiliated Investors only if, prior to the Regulated Fund's participation in the Potential Co-Investment Transaction, a Required Majority concludes that:

(i) the terms of the Potential Co-Investment Transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable

and fair to the Regulated Fund and its shareholders and do not involve overreaching in respect of the Regulated Fund or its shareholders on the part of any person concerned;

(ii) the Potential Co-Investment Transaction is consistent with:

(A) the interests of the shareholders of the Regulated Fund; and

(B) the Regulated Fund's then-current Objectives and Strategies;

(iii) the investment by any other Regulated Funds or Affiliated Investors would not disadvantage the Regulated Fund, and participation by the Regulated Fund would not be on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other Regulated Funds or Affiliated Investors; provided that, if any other Regulated Fund or Affiliated Investor, but not the Regulated Fund itself, gains the right to nominate a director for election to a portfolio company's board of directors or the right to have a board observer or any similar right to participate in the governance or management of the portfolio company, such event shall not be interpreted to prohibit the Required Majority from reaching the conclusions required by this condition (2)(c)(iii), if:

(A) the Eligible Trustees will have the right to ratify the selection of such director or board observer, if any;

(B) the applicable Adviser agrees to, and does, provide periodic reports to the Regulated Fund's Board with respect to the actions of such director or the information received by such board observer or obtained through the exercise of any similar right to participate in the governance or management of the portfolio company; and

(C) any fees or other compensation that any Affiliated Investor or any Regulated Fund or any affiliated person of any Affiliated Investor or any Regulated Fund receives in connection with the right of an Affiliated Investor or a Regulated Fund to nominate a director or appoint a board observer or otherwise to participate in the governance or management of the portfolio company will be shared proportionately among the participating Affiliated Investors (who each may, in turn, share its portion with its affiliated persons), and the participating Regulated Funds in accordance with the amount of each party's investment; and

(iv) the proposed investment by the Regulated Fund will not benefit the Advisers, the Affiliated Investors, the other Regulated Funds or any Primary Adviser or any affiliated person of any of them (other than the parties to the Co-Investment Transaction), except

(A) to the extent permitted by condition 13;

(B) to the extent permitted by section 17(e) or 57(k) of the Act, as applicable;

(C) indirectly, as a result of an interest in the securities issued by one of the parties to the Co-Investment Transaction; or

(D) in the case of fees or other compensation described in condition 2(c)(iii)(C).

3. Each Regulated Fund has the right to decline to participate in any Potential Co-Investment Transaction or to invest less than the amount proposed.

4. The applicable Adviser will present to the Board of each Regulated Fund, on a quarterly basis, a record of all investments in Potential Co-Investment Transactions made by any of the other Regulated Funds or Affiliated Investors during the preceding quarter that fell within the Regulated Fund's then-current Objectives and Strategies and Board Established Criteria that were not made available to the Regulated Fund, and an explanation of why the investment opportunities were not offered to the Regulated Fund. All information presented to the Board pursuant to this condition will be kept for the life of the Regulated Fund and at least two years thereafter, and will be subject to examination by the Commission and its staff.

5. Except for Follow-On Investments made in accordance with Condition 8,¹⁵ a Regulated Fund will not invest in reliance on the Order in any issuer in which a Related Party¹⁶ has an investment. The Adviser will maintain books and records that demonstrate compliance with this condition for each Regulated Fund.

6. A Regulated Fund will not participate in any Potential Co-Investment Transaction unless the terms, conditions, price, class of securities to be purchased, settlement date, and registration rights will be the same for each participating Regulated Fund and Affiliated Investor. The grant to an Affiliated Investor or another Regulated Fund, but not the Regulated Fund, of the right to nominate a director for election to a portfolio company's board of directors, the right to have an observer on the board of directors or similar rights to participate in the governance or management of the portfolio company will not be interpreted so as to violate this

¹⁵ This exception applies only to Follow-On Investments by a Regulated Fund in issuers in which that Regulated Fund already holds investments.

¹⁶ The term "Related Party" means (i) any Close Affiliate and (ii) in respect of matters as to which any Adviser has knowledge, any Remote Affiliate.

¹⁴ "Available Capital" means (a) for each Regulated Entity, the amount of capital available for investment determined based on the amount of cash on hand, liquidity considerations, existing commitments and reserves, if any, the targeted leverage level, targeted asset mix, risk return and target-return profile, tax implications, regulatory or contractual restrictions or consequences and other investment policies and restrictions set from time to time by the Board of the applicable Regulated Entity or imposed by applicable laws, rules, regulations or interpretations, and (b) for each Affiliated Investor, the amount of capital available for investment determined based on the amount of cash on hand, liquidity considerations, existing commitments and reserves, if any, the targeted leverage level, targeted asset mix, risk return and target-return profile, tax implications, regulatory or contractual restrictions or consequences and other investment policies and restrictions set from time to time by the Affiliated Investors' directors, general partners, or adviser or imposed by applicable laws, rules, regulations or interpretations.

condition 6, if conditions 2(c)(iii)(A), (B) and (C) are met.

7. (a) If any Affiliated Investor or any Regulated Fund elects to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of an interest in a security that was acquired in a Co-Investment Transaction, the applicable Adviser will ¹⁷:

(i) notify each Regulated Fund that participated in the Co-Investment Transaction of the proposed disposition at the earliest practical time; and

(ii) formulate a recommendation as to participation by each Regulated Fund in the disposition.

(b) Each Regulated Fund will have the right to participate in such disposition on a proportionate basis, at the same price and on the same terms and conditions as those applicable to the participating Affiliated Investors and Regulated Funds.

(c) A Regulated Fund may participate in such disposition without obtaining prior approval of the Required Majority if: (i) the proposed participation of each Regulated Fund and each Affiliated Investor in such disposition is proportionate to its outstanding investments in the issuer immediately preceding the disposition; (ii) the Board of the Regulated Fund has approved as being in the best interests of the Regulated Fund the ability to participate in such dispositions on a pro rata basis (as described in greater detail in the application); and (iii) the Board of the Regulated Fund is provided on a quarterly basis with a list of all dispositions made in accordance with this condition. In all other cases, the Adviser will provide its written recommendation as to the Regulated Fund's participation to the Eligible Trustees, and the Regulated Fund will participate in such disposition solely to the extent that a Required Majority determines that it is in the Regulated Fund's best interests.

(d) Each Affiliated Investor and each Regulated Fund will bear its own expenses in connection with any such disposition.

8. (a) If any Affiliated Investor or any Regulated Fund desires to make a Follow-On Investment in a portfolio company whose securities were acquired in a Co-Investment Transaction, the applicable Advisers will:

(i) notify each Regulated Fund that participated in the Co-Investment Transaction of the proposed transaction at the earliest practicable time; and

(ii) formulate a recommendation as to the proposed participation, including the amount of the proposed Follow-On Investment, by each Regulated Fund.

(b) A Regulated Fund may participate in such Follow-On Investment without obtaining prior approval of the Required Majority if: (i) the proposed participation of each Regulated Fund and each Affiliated Investor in such investment is proportionate to its outstanding investments in the issuer immediately preceding the Follow-On Investment; and (ii) the Board of the Regulated Fund has approved as being in the best interests of the Regulated Fund the ability to participate in Follow-On Investments on a pro rata basis (as described in greater detail in the application). In all other cases, the Adviser will provide its written recommendation as to the Regulated Fund's participation to the Eligible Trustees, and the Regulated Fund will participate in such Follow-On Investment solely to the extent that a Required Majority determines that it is in the Regulated Fund's best interests.

(c) If, with respect to any Follow-On Investment:

(i) the amount of the opportunity is not based on the Regulated Funds' and the Affiliated Investors' outstanding investments immediately preceding the Follow-On Investment; and

(ii) the aggregate amount recommended by the applicable Adviser to be invested by the applicable Regulated Fund in the Follow-On Investment, together with the amount proposed to be invested by the other participating Regulated Funds and Affiliated Investors, collectively, in the same transaction, exceeds the amount of the investment opportunity; then the amount invested by each such party will be allocated among them pro rata based on each party's Available Capital, up to the amount proposed to be invested by each.

(d) The acquisition of Follow-On Investments as permitted by this condition will be considered a Co-Investment Transaction for all purposes and subject to the other conditions set forth in the application.

9. The Non-Interested Trustees of each Regulated Fund will be provided quarterly for review all information concerning Potential Co-Investment Transactions that fell within the Regulated Fund's then-current Objectives and Strategies and Board-Established Criteria, including investments in Potential Co-Investment Transactions made by other Regulated Funds or Affiliated Investors that the Regulated Fund considered but declined to participate in, and concerning Co-

Investment Transactions in which the Regulated Fund participated, so that the Non-Interested Trustees may determine whether all Potential Co-Investment Transactions and Co-Investment Transactions during the preceding quarter, including those Potential Co-Investment Transactions which the Regulated Fund considered but declined to participate in, comply with the conditions of the Order. In addition, the Non-Interested Trustees will consider at least annually: (a) the continued appropriateness for the Regulated Fund of participating in new and existing Co-Investment Transactions, and (b) the continued appropriateness of any Board-Established Criteria.

10. Each Regulated Fund will maintain the records required by section 57(f)(3) of the Act as if each of the Regulated Funds were a BDC and each of the investments permitted under these conditions were approved by the Required Majority under section 57(f) of the Act.

11. No Non-Interested Trustee of a Regulated Fund will also be a director, general partner, managing member or principal, or otherwise an "affiliated person" (as defined in the Act) of any of the Affiliated Investors.

12. The expenses, if any, associated with acquiring, holding or disposing of any securities acquired in a Co-Investment Transaction (including, without limitation, the expenses of the distribution of any such securities registered for sale under the 1933 Act) will, to the extent not payable by the Advisers under their respective investment advisory agreements with Affiliated Investors and the Regulated Funds, be shared by the Regulated Funds and the Affiliated Investors in proportion to the relative amounts of the securities held or to be acquired or disposed of, as the case may be.

13. Any transaction fee ¹⁸ (including break-up, structuring, monitoring or commitment fees but excluding broker's fees contemplated by section 17(e) or 57(k) of the Act, as applicable), received in connection with a Co-Investment Transaction will be distributed to the participating Regulated Funds and Affiliated Investors on a pro rata basis based on the amounts they invested or committed, as the case may be, in such Co-Investment Transaction. If any transaction fee is to be held by an Adviser pending consummation of the transaction, the fee will be deposited into an account maintained by the

¹⁷ Any Affiliated Proprietary Account that is not advised by an Adviser is itself deemed to be an Adviser for purposes of Conditions 7(a)(i) and 8(a)(i).

¹⁸ Applicants are not requesting and the staff is not providing any relief for transaction fees received in connection with any Co-Investment Transaction.

Adviser at a bank or banks having the qualifications prescribed in section 26(a)(1) of the Act, and the account will earn a competitive rate of interest that will also be divided pro rata among the participating Regulated Funds and Affiliated Investors based on the amount they invest in such Co-Investment Transaction. None of the Advisers, the Primary Advisers, the Affiliated Investors, the other Regulated Funds nor any affiliated person of the Regulated Funds or Affiliated Investors will receive additional compensation or remuneration of any kind as a result of or in connection with a Co-Investment Transaction (other than (a) in the case of the Regulated Funds and the Affiliated Investors, the pro rata transaction fees described above and fees or other compensation described in condition 2(c)(iii)(C), and (b) in the case of an Adviser or Primary Adviser, investment advisory fees paid in accordance with their respective agreements between the Advisers and the Regulated Fund or Affiliated Investor).

14. If the Holders own in the aggregate more than 25% of the Shares, then the Holders will vote such Shares as directed by an independent third party when voting on (1) the election of trustees; (2) the removal of one or more trustees; or (3) all other matters under either the Act or applicable state law affecting the Board's composition, size or manner of election.

15. Each Regulated Fund's chief compliance officer, as defined in rule 38a-1(a)(4) under the Act, will prepare an annual report for its Board each year that evaluates (and documents the basis of that evaluation) the Regulated Fund's compliance with the terms and conditions of the application and the procedures established to achieve such compliance.

16. The Affiliated Proprietary Accounts will not be permitted to invest in a Potential Co-Investment Transaction except to the extent the aggregate demand from the Regulated Funds and the other Affiliated Investors is less than the total investment opportunity.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22894 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 33274; 812-14771]

Procure ETF Trust I, et al.

October 17, 2018.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of an application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from sections 2(a)(32), 5(a)(1), 22(d), and 22(e) of the Act and rule 22c-1 under the Act, under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(2) of the Act, and under section 12(d)(1)(J) for an exemption from sections 12(d)(1)(A) and 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act. The requested order would permit (a) index-based series of certain open-end management investment companies ("Funds") to issue shares redeemable in large aggregations ("Creation Units"); (b) secondary market transactions in Fund shares to occur at negotiated market prices rather than at net asset value ("NAV"); (c) certain Funds to pay redemption proceeds, under certain circumstances, more than seven days after the tender of shares for redemption; (d) certain affiliated persons of a Fund to deposit securities into, and receive securities from, the Fund in connection with the purchase and redemption of Creation Units; (e) certain registered management investment companies and unit investment trusts outside of the same group of investment companies as the Funds ("Funds of Funds") to acquire shares of the Funds; and (f) certain Funds ("Feeder Funds") to create and redeem Creation Units in-kind in a master-feeder structure.

Applicants: Procure ETF Trust I (the "Trust"), a Delaware statutory trust, which will register under the Act as an open-end management investment company with multiple series, ProcureAM, LLC (the "Initial Adviser"), a Delaware limited liability company that will be registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and Quasar Distributors LLC (the "Distributor"), a Delaware limited liability company and broker-dealer registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act").

Filing Dates: The application was filed on May 9, 2017 and amended on February 15, 2018, May 29, 2018, August 2, 2018, and October 15, 2018.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the requested relief will

be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 6, 2018 and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0-5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090; Applicants: Robert Tull, ProcureAM, LLC, 16 Firebush Road, Levittown, PA 19056.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deepak T. Pai, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6876, or Andrea Ottomanelli Magovern, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's website by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551-8090.

Summary of the Application

1. Applicants request an order that would allow Funds to operate as index exchange traded funds ("ETFs").¹ Fund shares will be purchased and redeemed at their NAV in Creation Units, as described in the application. All orders to purchase Creation Units and all redemption requests will be placed by or through an "Authorized Participant," which will have signed a participant agreement with the Distributor. Shares will be listed and traded individually on a national securities exchange, where

¹ Applicants request that the order apply to the initial fund and any additional series of the Trust, and any other existing or future open-end management investment company or existing or future series thereof (each, included in the term "Fund"), each of which will operate as an ETF and will track a specified index comprised of domestic and/or foreign equity securities and/or domestic and/or foreign fixed income securities (each, an "Underlying Index"). Any Fund will (a) be advised by the Initial Adviser or an entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Initial Adviser (each, an "Adviser") and (b) comply with the terms and conditions of the application.

share prices will be based on the current bid/offer market. Certain Funds may operate as Feeder Funds in a master-feeder structure. Any order granting the requested relief would be subject to the terms and conditions stated in the application.

2. Each Fund will hold investment positions selected to correspond closely to the performance of an Underlying Index. In the case of Self-Indexing Funds, an affiliated person, as defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act ("Affiliated Person"), or an affiliated person of an Affiliated Person ("Second-Tier Affiliate"), of the Trust or a Fund, of the Adviser, of any sub-adviser to or promoter of a Fund, or of the Distributor will compile, create, sponsor or maintain the Underlying Index.²

3. Shares will be purchased and redeemed in Creation Units and generally on an in-kind basis. Except where the purchase or redemption will include cash under the limited circumstances specified in the application, purchasers will be required to purchase Creation Units by depositing specified instruments ("Deposit Instruments"), and shareholders redeeming their shares will receive specified instruments ("Redemption Instruments"). The Deposit Instruments and the Redemption Instruments will each correspond pro rata to the positions in the Fund's portfolio (including cash positions) except as specified in the application.

4. Because shares will not be individually redeemable, applicants request an exemption from section 5(a)(1) and section 2(a)(32) of the Act that would permit the Funds to register as open-end management investment companies and issue shares that are redeemable in Creation Units.

5. Applicants also request an exemption from section 22(d) of the Act and rule 22c-1 under the Act as secondary market trading in shares will take place at negotiated prices, not at a current offering price described in a Fund's prospectus, and not at a price based on NAV. Applicants state that (a) secondary market trading in shares does not involve a Fund as a party and will not result in dilution of an investment in shares, and (b) to the extent different prices exist during a given trading day, or from day to day, such variances occur

as a result of third-party market forces, such as supply and demand. Therefore, applicants assert that secondary market transactions in shares will not lead to discrimination or preferential treatment among purchasers. Finally, applicants represent that share market prices will be disciplined by arbitrage opportunities, which should prevent shares from trading at a material discount or premium from NAV.

6. With respect to Funds that effect creations and redemptions of Creation Units in kind and that are based on certain Underlying Indexes that include foreign securities, applicants request relief from the requirement imposed by section 22(e) in order to allow such Funds to pay redemption proceeds within fifteen calendar days following the tender of Creation Units for redemption. Applicants assert that the requested relief would not be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of section 22(e) to prevent unreasonable, undisclosed or unforeseen delays in the actual payment of redemption proceeds.

7. Applicants request an exemption to permit Funds of Funds to acquire Fund shares beyond the limits of section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act; and the Funds, and any principal underwriter for the Funds, and/or any broker or dealer registered under the Exchange Act, to sell shares to Funds of Funds beyond the limits of section 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act. The application's terms and conditions are designed to, among other things, help prevent any potential (i) undue influence over a Fund through control or voting power, or in connection with certain services, transactions, and underwritings, (ii) excessive layering of fees, and (iii) overly complex fund structures, which are the concerns underlying the limits in sections 12(d)(1)(A) and (B) of the Act.

8. Applicants request an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(2) of the Act to permit persons that are Affiliated Persons, or Second Tier Affiliates, of the Funds, solely by virtue of certain ownership interests, to effectuate purchases and redemptions in-kind. The deposit procedures for in-kind purchases of Creation Units and the redemption procedures for in-kind redemptions of Creation Units will be the same for all purchases and redemptions and Deposit Instruments and Redemption Instruments will be valued in the same manner as those investment positions currently held by the Funds. Applicants also seek relief from the prohibitions on affiliated transactions in section 17(a) to permit a Fund to sell its shares to and redeem its shares from a Fund of Funds, and to

engage in the accompanying in-kind transactions with the Fund of Funds.³ The purchase of Creation Units by a Fund of Funds directly from a Fund will be accomplished in accordance with the policies of the Fund of Funds and will be based on the NAVs of the Funds.

9. Applicants also request relief to permit a Feeder Fund to acquire shares of another registered investment company managed by the Adviser having substantially the same investment objectives as the Feeder Fund ("Master Fund") beyond the limitations in section 12(d)(1)(A) and permit the Master Fund, and any principal underwriter for the Master Fund, to sell shares of the Master Fund to the Feeder Fund beyond the limitations in section 12(d)(1)(B).

10. Section 6(c) of the Act permits the Commission to exempt any persons or transactions from any provision of the Act if such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from any provision of section 12(d)(1) if the exemption is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors. Section 17(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to grant an order permitting a transaction otherwise prohibited by section 17(a) if it finds that (a) the terms of the proposed transaction are fair and reasonable and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned; (b) the proposed transaction is consistent with the policies of each registered investment company involved; and (c) the proposed transaction is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22994 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

² Each Self-Indexing Fund will post on its website the identities and quantities of the investment positions that will form the basis for the Fund's calculation of its NAV at the end of the day. Applicants believe that requiring Self-Indexing Funds to maintain full portfolio transparency will help address, together with other protections, conflicts of interest with respect to such Funds.

³ The requested relief would apply to direct sales of shares in Creation Units by a Fund to a Fund of Funds and redemptions of those shares. Applicants, moreover, are not seeking relief from section 17(a) for, and the requested relief will not apply to, transactions where a Fund could be deemed an Affiliated Person, or a Second-Tier Affiliate, of a Fund of Funds because an Adviser or an entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with an Adviser provides investment advisory services to that Fund of Funds.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84437; File No. SR-CBOE-2018-060]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rule 6.49A, Transfer of Positions

October 16, 2018.

I. Introduction

On August 16, 2018, the Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² a proposal to amend Rule 6.49A (Transfer of Positions). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on September 4, 2018. ³ The Commission did not receive any comment letters on the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe Rule 6.49 generally requires a Trading Permit Holder (“TPH”) to effect transactions in listed options on an exchange. ⁴ Notwithstanding that provision, Cboe Rule 6.49A permits certain types of transfers involving a TPH’s positions to be effected off the floor. ⁵ In addition, Cboe Rule 6.49A provides a procedure for an “on-floor” transfer of positions. ⁶ As described in more detail in the Notice, ⁷ the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6.49A to eliminate the on-floor position transfer process, ⁸ amend the criteria and procedures related to off-floor position transfers, amend the exemption provision, and make other non-substantive changes.

With respect to off-floor transfers, Cboe Rule 6.49A(a) currently sets forth specific events under which off-floor transfers are permitted. The Exchange proposes to delineate several additional

events and add them to the list of permitted off-floor transfers in proposed Rule 6.49A. ⁹ For example, the additional events address correcting bona fide errors in trade recording, consolidating accounts without a change in beneficial ownership, and transfers by operation of law. ¹⁰

In addition, proposed Rule 6.49A(b) codifies prior Exchange guidance regarding certain restrictions on permissible off-floor transfers related to the netting of open positions and to margin and haircut treatment. ¹¹ Among other things, proposed Rule 6.49A(b)(2) will only permit netting for off-floor transfers between separate exchange-specific Market Maker accounts in the limited circumstances set forth in the Rule. ¹²

Further, proposed Rule 6.49A(d) will require a TPH and its Clearing Trading Permit Holder (to the extent the TPH is not self-clearing) to submit written notice to the Exchange prior to effecting an off-floor transfer from or to the account of a TPH(s). ¹³ Proposed Rule 6.49A(e) will require that each TPH and Clearing Trading Permit Holder that is a party to an off-floor transfer must make and retain records of the information provided in the written notice, as well as information on the Exchange-listed options that are ultimately transferred, the transfer date, transfer price, and any other information the Exchange may request. ¹⁴

In addition, the Exchange is clarifying and updating Proposed Rule 6.49A(f), which allows the Exchange to grant an exemption from Rule 6.49(a) in cases where allowing an off-floor transfer would be necessary or appropriate for the maintenance of a fair and orderly market and the protection of investors and is in the public interest. ¹⁵

Finally, the Exchange is adding new proposed Rule 6.49A(g) to state that the off-floor position transfer process described in the Rule is “intended to

facilitate non-routine, non-recurring movements of positions” and is “not to be used repeatedly or routinely in circumvention of the normal auction market process.” ¹⁶

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, ¹⁷ and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. ¹⁸ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, ¹⁹ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest and that the rules are not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The proposed rule change is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system by providing a mechanism to permit off-floor position transfers in specified, limited, and narrowly-tailored circumstances. Thus, Rule 6.49A makes clear that off-floor positions transfers are not to be used repeatedly or routinely in circumvention of the normal auction market process. ²⁰ While the amended Rule will continue to allow the Exchange to grant an exemption from Cboe Rule 6.49(a), the revised rule text makes it clear that exemptions may only be granted on rare occasions when necessary or appropriate for the maintenance of a fair and orderly market and the protection of investors and where the exemption is in the public interest, including due to unusual or extraordinary circumstances.

The Commission further notes that the proposal adds transparency to the off-floor transfer process by codifying certain long-standing Cboe guidance

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83968 (August 28, 2018), 83 FR 44938 (September 4, 2018) (“Notice”).

⁴ See Cboe Rule 6.49(a).

⁵ See Cboe Rule 6.49A(a).

⁶ See Cboe Rule 6.49A(b)–(c).

⁷ See Notice, *supra* note 3.

⁸ The Exchange represents that it no longer wants to make available the on-floor transfers of positions because it is administratively burdensome on the Exchange, used by TPHs on a limited basis, and no longer serves the uses for which it was originally adopted. *Id.* at 44938.

⁹ *Id.* at 44939. The proposed amendments to Rule 6.49A(a) also add clarification that Rule 6.49A does not apply to products other than options listed on the Exchange consistent with the Exchange’s other trading rules, a TPH must be on one side of the transfer, and that positions a TPH are transferring or receiving are held in the account of the Clearing Trading Permit Holder. *Id.*

¹⁰ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(a).

¹¹ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(b).

¹² See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(b)(2). See also Notice, *supra* note 3, at 44939–40. In such instances, all Market Maker positions in the exchange-specific accounts for the multiply listed class may be automatically transferred on their trade date into one universal Market Maker account at the Clearing Corporation. See *id.*

¹³ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(d).

¹⁴ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(e).

¹⁵ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(f).

¹⁶ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(g). In addition, proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(h) is being added to clarify that the off-floor transfer procedure is only applicable to positions in options listed on the Exchange, and that off-floor transfers of positions in Exchange-listed options may be subject to applicable laws, rules and regulations, including rules of other self-regulatory organizations. See proposed Cboe Rule 6.74A(h).

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹⁸ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²⁰ See proposed Cboe Rule 6.49A(g).

regarding when off-floor transfers are permissible, whether netting is permitted, and the transfer price of an off-floor transfer. The Commission believes that those additional provisions are designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. In addition, the Commission believes that the requirement for the parties to provide written notice to the Exchange and maintain detailed records of each transfer will ensure that the Exchange is made aware of off-floor transfers and is able to review them for compliance with applicable rules.

With respect to the elimination of the on-floor transfer package procedure, the Commission notes that TPHs will continue to be able to transact on the Exchange using the regular auction market process.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²¹ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2018-060) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²²

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22908 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release Nos. 33-10568; 34-84441; File No. 265-28]

Investor Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Notice of telephonic meeting of Securities and Exchange Commission Dodd-Frank Investor Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: The Securities and Exchange Commission Investor Advisory Committee, established pursuant to Section 911 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, is providing notice that it will hold a telephonic public meeting. The public is invited to submit written statements to the Committee.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Wednesday, November 7, 2018 from 2:00 p.m. until 3:30 p.m. (ET) and will be open to the public *via* telephone at 1-800-260-0702, participant code

455778. Written statements should be received on or before November 7, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Written statements may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Statements

- Use the Commission's internet submission form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/other.shtml>); or
- Send an email message to rules-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. 265-28 on the subject line; or

Paper Statements

- Send paper statements to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. 265-28. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help us process and review your statement more efficiently, please use only one method.

Statements also will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Room 1503, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. All statements received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc Oorloff Sharma, Chief Counsel, Office of the Investor Advocate, at (202) 551-3302, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will be open to the public *via* telephone. Persons needing special accommodations to take part because of a disability should notify the contact person listed in the section above entitled **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

The agenda for the meeting includes: Welcome remarks; a discussion of the Commission's Proposed Regulation Best Interest and Proposed Form CRS Relationship Summary (which may include a recommendation of the Investor as Purchaser subcommittee).

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22943 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84436; File No. SR-CBOE-2018-062]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01, Concerning Strategy Orders

October 16, 2018.

I. Introduction

On August 24, 2018, Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposal to amend Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01, concerning strategy orders. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on September 12, 2018.³ The Commission received no comment letters regarding the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01 sets forth the modified Hybrid Opening System ("HOSS") procedure for the option series used to calculate the exercise or final settlement value for expiring volatility index derivatives.⁴ As described in the Notice,⁵ the Exchange notes that market participants seeking to replicate the exposure of their expiring VIX derivatives generally do so with portfolios of constituent SPX options referred to as "strategy orders," which they submit for execution in the modified HOSS opening procedure on VIX exercise settlement value determination days.⁶ As with any

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84045 (September 12, 2018), 83 FR 46230 ("Notice").

⁴ See proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(a). These volatility indexes include the Cboe Volatility Index ("VIX") and the Russell 2000 Volatility Index. See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46232, n.3.

⁵ See note 3, *supra*.

⁶ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46232-3. The exercise settlement value determination day is a day on which the Exchange determines the exercise or final settlement value, as applicable, of expiring volatility index derivatives. See proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(a). The Exchange notes that because market participants use strategy orders to convert vega (volatility) exposure from one instrument (expiring VIX derivative) to another (portfolio of SPX options

Continued

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

opening auction, the entry of such strategy orders may lead to order imbalances in the constituent option series, which is noteworthy because such series are used to determine the exercise settlement value of the expiring VIX derivatives.⁷ Consequently, the Exchange currently imposes a cut-off time by which such strategy orders, or changes to such orders, must be received.

The Exchange believes that, in the past, some market participants that have submitted strategy orders prior to the strategy order cut-off time⁸ may have refrained from entering orders to offset imbalances after the strategy order cut-off time because of the perceived risk that their orders may be deemed to be a new strategy order or a change to an existing strategy order, which are not permitted under the Exchange's rules after the strategy order cut-off time.⁹ As a result, the Exchange believes that the possible non-participation in the opening auction of firms that have submitted strategy orders could impact liquidity at the opening on exercise settlement value determination days and increase the risk that some series do not open because of an imbalance.¹⁰ To address these concerns, the proposal modifies the definitions of strategy order and non-strategy order to provide more guidance to market participants.¹¹

expiring in 30 days), the market participant is likely to be indifferent to the settlement price received for the expiring VIX derivatives. *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46233. The Exchange further explained that "[s]ince VIX derivatives expire 30 days prior to the SPX options used to calculate their settlement value, a market participant may have a vega risk from its portfolio of index positions that the participant wants to continue to hedge after the participant's VIX derivatives expire. To continue that vega coverage following expiration of a VIX derivative, a market participant may determine to trade the portfolio of SPX options used to calculate the exercise settlement value of an expiring VIX derivative, since those SPX options still have 30 more days to expiration. This trade essentially replaces the uncovered vega exposure "hole" created by an expiring VIX derivative.").

⁷ *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46233. Generally, if a series (1) has a market order imbalance, or (2) is at a price that is outside the Exchange prescribed opening width (as described in Exchange Rule 6.2(d)), the series will not open for trading. *See id.*

⁸ The strategy order cut-off time is currently set as 8:20 a.m. Chicago time. *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46236.

⁹ *See id.* at 46235.

¹⁰ *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46235.

¹¹ The proposal retains the existing requirement that market participants submit strategy orders prior to the strategy order cut-off time and continues to prohibit a change to or cancellation of a strategy order after the strategy order cut-off time, except as provided in proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(c)(2). *See* proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(c). The proposal also adds the new defined terms volatility index derivatives, exercise settlement value determination day, and constituent option series; places all of the defined terms used in

The proposal revises the definition of strategy order to provide that individual orders (considered collectively) that a market participant submits for participation in the modified opening procedure on exercise settlement value determination days generally are considered to be a strategy order if they: (1) Relate to the market participant's positions in expiring volatility index derivatives; (2) are for option series with the expiration that the Exchange will use to calculate the exercise or final settlement value, as applicable, of the applicable volatility index derivative; (3) are for option series with strike prices approximating the range of series that are later determined to constitute the constituent option series¹² for the applicable expiration; (4) are for put (call) options with strike prices equal to or less (greater) than the "at-the-money" strike price; and (5) have quantities approximating the weighting formula used to determine the exercise or final settlement value, as applicable, in accordance with the applicable volatility index methodology.¹³

Conversely, the proposal defines a non-strategy order to mean any order (including an order in a constituent option series) a market participant submits for participation in the modified opening procedure on exercise settlement value determination days that is not a strategy order (or a change to or cancellation of a strategy order).¹⁴ In its filing, the Exchange provided examples of non-strategy orders, including: (1) A buy (sell) order in a constituent options series if an expected opening information message ("EOI") is disseminated no more than two minutes prior to the time a market participant submitted the order included a sell (buy) imbalance and the size of the order is no larger than the size of the imbalance in the EOI, regardless of whether the market participant previously submitted a strategy order or has positions in expiring volatility index derivatives; or (2) a Market-Maker bid or offer in a constituent option series, as set forth in Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(e).¹⁵ The

Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01 in paragraph (a) of that rule; and makes several non-substantive changes to Interpretation and Policy .01.

¹² The constituent option series are all of the options series that are used to calculate the exercise or final settlement value, as applicable, of expiring volatility index derivatives. *See* proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(a).

¹³ *See* proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(a).

¹⁴ *See id.*

¹⁵ *See id.* The proposal rennumbers current Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(c) as .01(e).

Exchange stated that its definition of non-strategy order is designed to encourage all market participants to enter orders following the strategy order cut-off time for the purpose of offsetting disseminated imbalances in the constituent option series, regardless of whether the market participant previously submitted a strategy order.¹⁶

The Exchange notes the proposed rule change would not impact a Trading Permit Holder's ("TPH") requirements to abide by Exchange Rules 4.1 (Just and Equitable Principles of Trade), 4.7 (Manipulation), and 4.18 (Prevention of the Misuse of Material, Nonpublic Information).¹⁷ In addition, the Exchange will continue to conduct surveillance to monitor trading in the constituent option series, including but not limited to compliance with the strategy order cut-off time.¹⁸

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act,¹⁹ and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.²⁰ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,²¹ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and that the rules are not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission believes that by more clearly identifying what constitutes a strategy order, and by defining a non-strategy order to include, among other things, orders in a constituent option series that offset an imbalance identified in an EOI, as described above, the proposed rule

¹⁶ *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46235. The Exchange determines the non-strategy order cut-off time on a class-by-class basis, which may be no earlier than 8:25 a.m. and no later than the opening of trading in a series. The Exchange will announce any changes to the non-strategy order cut-off time at least one day prior to implementation. *See* proposed Exchange Rule 6.2, Interpretation and Policy .01(d). The Exchange has set the non-strategy order cut-off time to be the opening of trading. *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46236.

¹⁷ *See id.*

¹⁸ *See id.*

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

²⁰ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

change could encourage market participants to submit orders that offset imbalances in constituent option series, thereby reducing the likelihood that a constituent option series will fail to open due to an order imbalance. By reducing the likelihood that constituent option series will fail to open, the proposal is reasonably designed to facilitate an orderly opening for volatility index derivatives.

Nevertheless, the Commission remains mindful of the potential for disruptive or manipulative trading to occur in connection with the opening process in constituent options series on exercise settlement value determination days for volatility index options. The Commission believes that the proposal provides narrowly tailored guidance to market participants to promote participation in the modified HOSS opening procedure on exercise settlement value determination days in a manner that is reasonably designed to support orderly trading in a free and open market, which can benefit investors in those constituent options series and the volatility index derivatives.

Further, the Commission notes that TPHs will continue to be subject to Exchange Rules 4.1 (Just and Equitable Principles of Trade), 4.7 (Manipulation), and 4.18 (Prevention of the Misuse of Material, Nonpublic Information).²² In addition, the Exchange will continue to conduct surveillance to monitor trading in the constituent option series,²³ which the Commission believes is essential to protect investors and the public interest.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²⁴ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2018-062) is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁵

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22907 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84439; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2018-070]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on Proposed Rule Change To List and Trade Corporate Non-Convertible Bonds on Nasdaq

October 16, 2018.

On August 27, 2018, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to list and trade corporate non-convertible bonds on Nasdaq. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on September 6, 2018.³ On October 12, 2018, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.⁴ The Commission has received no comments on the proposal.

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act ⁵ provides that within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is October 21, 2018. The Commission is extending the 45-day time period for Commission action on the proposed rule change.

The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider this proposed rule change and Amendment No. 1 thereto. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁶ designates December 5, 2018, as the date by which the Commission shall either

approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR-NASDAQ-2018-070).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22910 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84435; File No. SR-FICC-2018-011]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Allow CCIT Members To Elect To Pay Their Funds-Only Settlement Amount Debits Using a Different Process

October 16, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on October 15, 2018, Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. FICC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act ³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(4) thereunder⁴ so that the proposal was effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of proposed modifications to the FICC Government Securities Division (“GSD”) Rulebook (“Rules”) ⁵ that would allow CCIT Members to elect to pay their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits using a process for debit payments that is different than the current required process described in Section 5 of Rule 13. Under this

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84001 (August 30, 2018), 83 FR 45289.

⁴ Amendment No. 1 is available at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nasdaq-2018-070/srnasdaq2018070-4514560-176013.pdf>.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(31).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(4).

⁵ Capitalized terms not defined herein are defined in the Rules, available at <http://dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures>.

²² See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 46236.

²³ See *id.*

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

proposal, CCIT Members' Funds-Only Settlement Amount credits would continue to be processed pursuant to Section 5 of Rule 13.

II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

(i) Background on the CCIT Service

FICC received approval from the Commission to implement its CCIT Service in May 2017.⁶ The CCIT Service enables tri-party repurchase agreement transactions in GCF Repo Securities between Netting Members that participate in the GCF Repo Service and institutional cash lenders (other than investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) (called "CCIT Members").⁷ The CCIT Service is governed by Rule 3B, which includes provisions on the processing of Funds-Only Settlement Amount obligations of CCIT Members, which are the subject of the present filing.⁸ Under Section 13 of Rule 3B, CCIT Members are subject to the Invoice Amount,⁹ the Miscellaneous Adjustment Amount,¹⁰ and CCIT Repo Daily Interest.¹¹

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 80574 (May 2, 2017), 82 FR 21439 (May 8, 2017) (SR-FICC-2017-005) ("CCIT Approval Order"). See Rule 3B, Section 13(a), *supra* note 5.

⁷ See CCIT Approval Order, *supra* note 2. See Rule 3B, Section 13(a), *supra* note 5.

⁸ See Rule 3B, *supra* note 5.

⁹ See *id.* "Invoice Amount" means all fee amounts due and owing from a Netting Member or CCIT Member, as applicable, to FICC on a particular Business Day. See Rule 1, *supra* note 5.

¹⁰ See Rule 3B, *supra* note 5. "Miscellaneous Adjustment Amount" means the net total of all miscellaneous funds-only amounts that, on a particular Business Day, are required to be paid by a Netting Member or CCIT Member, as applicable, to FICC and/or are entitled to be collected by a Member (including a CCIT Member, as applicable) from FICC. See Rule 1, *supra* note 5.

¹¹ See Rule 3B, *supra* note 5. The term "CCIT Daily Repo Interest" means the interest amount that is collected from or paid to a Netting Member, as applicable, and collected from or paid to a CCIT Member, as applicable, on a daily basis resulting from a CCIT Transaction. See Rule 1, *supra* note 5.

(ii) Proposed Change to Rule 3B, Section 13 (Funds-Only Settlement)

Currently, CCIT Members are required to satisfy their Funds-Only Settlement Amount obligations (both debits and credits) using the same process that Netting Members are required to use for the same purpose.¹² This process is described in Rule 13.¹³ CCIT Members are required to appoint a Funds-Only Settling Bank to process their Funds-Only Settlement Amount obligations.

FICC understands that some prospective CCIT Members do not have business relationships established with Funds-Only Settling Banks that would permit the Funds-Only Settling Banks to settle such prospective CCIT Members' Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits. Therefore, such prospective CCIT Members are currently unable to participate in the CCIT Service unless an alternative mechanism for them to satisfy their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits directly with FICC, rather than through a Funds-Only Settling Bank, is permitted by FICC. Specifically, FICC is proposing to allow prospective CCIT Members to satisfy their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits with FICC through the invoicing process applicable to Comparison-Only Members under Rule 25 (the "invoicing process").¹⁴ Prospective CCIT Members would elect, as part of their onboarding process, to settle their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits through the Funds-Only Settling Banks or the invoicing process. Furthermore, CCIT Members would also be permitted to change their initial election with respect to Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits after the onboarding process is completed. The proposal would not affect the calculation of the Funds-Only Settlement Amount obligations of CCIT Members, and all other requirements set forth in Rule 13 would remain. In addition, Funds-Only Settlement Amount credits would continue to be processed through Funds-Only Settling Banks and the current process described in Rule 13.¹⁵

In order to effectuate the proposed rule change, FICC proposes to revise Rule 3B, Section 13 to describe that a CCIT Member may elect to pay its Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits using the invoicing process applicable to Comparison-Only Members under Rule 25 in lieu of the process described in Section 5 of Rule 13. In addition, Rule 3B, Section 13 would be revised to state that, if the CCIT Member elects the

invoicing process, the CCIT Member's Funds-Only Settling Bank shall no longer be responsible for processing Funds-Only Settlement Amounts that are debits for such CCIT Member.

2. Statutory Basis

FICC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a registered clearing agency. In particular, FICC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹⁶ Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, in part, that the Rules be designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.¹⁷ FICC believes that the proposed rule change described above to allow CCIT Members to elect to use the invoicing process to pay their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits would remove an impediment for prospective CCIT Members to join the CCIT Service. Specifically, some prospective CCIT Members cannot join because they are unable to settle Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits under the current process because they do not have business relationships established with Funds-Only Settling Banks that would permit the Funds-Only Settling Banks to settle such prospective CCIT Members' Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits. The proposed rule change would remove this impediment by allowing CCIT Members to elect the invoicing process for settling their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits and thereby would enable more entities to become CCIT Members and use the CCIT Service. As such, the proposed rule change would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹⁸

(B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

FICC believes this proposed rule change to enable CCIT Members to elect the invoicing process to pay their Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits in connection with their CCIT Transactions could have an impact on competition. FICC believes that the proposed rule change could promote competition. At this time, there are entities that have expressed an interest

¹² See Rule 3B, Section 13, *supra* note 5.

¹³ See Rule 13, *supra* note 5.

¹⁴ See Rule 25, *supra* note 5.

¹⁵ See Rules 3B and 13, *supra* note 5.

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ *Id.*

in becoming CCIT Members but cannot do so, as discussed above. The proposed rule change would allow such entities to join the CCIT Service. By enabling more entities to join the CCIT Service, the proposed rule change would promote competition by having more entities in central clearing.

FICC does not believe that not providing the alternative mechanism to Netting Members would create a burden on competition. Netting Members, as full-service Members, are able to avail themselves of the existing Funds-Only Settling Bank process for Funds-Only Settlement Amount debits and credits. This existing process is automated and efficient, and FICC does not believe that Netting Members would desire to switch to an invoicing process nor would FICC allow them to do so given their ability to participate in the current Funds-Only Settling Bank process.

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments relating to this proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. FICC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by FICC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁹ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²⁰ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-FICC-2018-011 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2018-011. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FICC and on FICC's website (<http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx>). All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2018-011 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22906 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 33273; File No. 812-14772]

Procure Active ETF Trust, et al.

October 17, 2018.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of an application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from sections 2(a)(32), 5(a)(1), 22(d), and 22(e) of the Act and rule 22c-1 under the Act, under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(2) of the Act, and under section 12(d)(1)(J) for an exemption from sections 12(d)(1)(A) and 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act. The requested order would permit (a) actively-managed series of certain open-end management investment companies ("Funds") to issue shares redeemable in large aggregations only ("Creation Units"); (b) secondary market transactions in Fund shares to occur at negotiated market prices rather than at net asset value ("NAV"); (c) certain Funds to pay redemption proceeds, under certain circumstances, more than seven days after the tender of shares for redemption; (d) certain affiliated persons of a Fund to deposit securities into, and receive securities from, the Fund in connection with the purchase and redemption of Creation Units; (e) certain registered management investment companies and unit investment trusts outside of the same group of investment companies as the Funds ("Funds of Funds") to acquire shares of the Funds; and (f) certain Funds ("Feeder Funds") to create and redeem Creation Units in-kind in a master-feeder structure.

Applicants: Procure Active ETF Trust (the "Trust"), a Delaware statutory trust that will register under the Act as an open-end management investment company with multiple series, ProcureAM, LLC (the "Initial Adviser"), a Delaware limited liability company that will be registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and Quasar Distributors LLC (the "Distributor"), a Delaware limited liability company and broker-dealer registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act").

Filing Dates: The application was filed on May 10, 2017 and amended on February 15, 2018, May 29, 2018, August 2, 2018, and October 15, 2018.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 6, 2018, and should be accompanied by proof of

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

²¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090;

Applicants: Robert Tull, ProcureAM, LLC, 16 Firebush Road, Levittown, PA 19056.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deepak T. Pai, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6876, or Andrea Ottomanelli Magovern, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's website by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Summary of the Application

1. Applicants request an order that would allow Funds to operate as actively-managed exchange traded funds ("ETFs").¹ Fund shares will be purchased and redeemed at their NAV in Creation Units only. All orders to purchase Creation Units and all redemption requests will be placed by or through an "Authorized Participant," which will have signed a participant agreement with the Distributor. Shares will be listed and traded individually on a national securities exchange, where share prices will be based on the current bid/offer market. Certain Funds may operate as Feeder Funds in a master-feeder structure. Any order granting the requested relief would be subject to the terms and conditions stated in the application.

2. Each Fund will consist of a portfolio of securities and other assets and investment positions ("Portfolio Instruments"). Each Fund will disclose

on its website the identities and quantities of the Portfolio Instruments that will form the basis for the Fund's calculation of NAV at the end of the day.

3. Shares will be purchased and redeemed in Creation Units and generally on an in-kind basis. Except where the purchase or redemption will include cash under the limited circumstances specified in the application, purchasers will be required to purchase Creation Units by depositing specified instruments ("Deposit Instruments"), and shareholders redeeming their shares will receive specified instruments ("Redemption Instruments"). The Deposit Instruments and the Redemption Instruments will each correspond pro rata to the positions in the Fund's portfolio (including cash positions) except as specified in the application.

4. Because shares will not be individually redeemable, applicants request an exemption from section 5(a)(1) and section 2(a)(32) of the Act that would permit the Funds to register as open-end management investment companies and issue shares that are redeemable in Creation Units only.

5. Applicants also request an exemption from section 22(d) of the Act and rule 22c–1 under the Act as secondary market trading in shares will take place at negotiated prices, not at a current offering price described in a Fund's prospectus, and not at a price based on NAV. Applicants state that (a) secondary market trading in shares does not involve a Fund as a party and will not result in dilution of an investment in shares, and (b) to the extent different prices exist during a given trading day, or from day to day, such variances occur as a result of third-party market forces, such as supply and demand. Therefore, applicants assert that secondary market transactions in shares will not lead to discrimination or preferential treatment among purchasers. Finally, applicants represent that share market prices will be disciplined by arbitrage opportunities, which should prevent shares from trading at a material discount or premium from NAV.

6. With respect to Funds that hold non-U.S. Portfolio Instruments and that effect creations and redemptions of Creation Units in kind, applicants request relief from the requirement imposed by section 22(e) in order to allow such Funds to pay redemption proceeds within fifteen calendar days following the tender of Creation Units for redemption. Applicants assert that the requested relief would not be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of

section 22(e) to prevent unreasonable, undisclosed or unforeseen delays in the actual payment of redemption proceeds.

7. Applicants request an exemption to permit Funds of Funds to acquire Fund shares beyond the limits of section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act; and the Funds, and any principal underwriter for the Funds, and/or any broker or dealer registered under the Exchange Act, to sell shares to Funds of Funds beyond the limits of section 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act. The application's terms and conditions are designed to, among other things, help prevent any potential (i) undue influence over a Fund through control or voting power, or in connection with certain services, transactions, and underwritings, (ii) excessive layering of fees, and (iii) overly complex fund structures, which are the concerns underlying the limits in sections 12(d)(1)(A) and (B) of the Act.

8. Applicants request an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(2) of the Act to permit persons that are Affiliated Persons, or Second-Tier Affiliates, of the Funds, solely by virtue of certain ownership interests, to effectuate purchases and redemptions in-kind. The deposit procedures for in-kind purchases of Creation Units and the redemption procedures for in-kind redemptions of Creation Units will be the same for all purchases and redemptions and Deposit Instruments and Redemption Instruments will be valued in the same manner as those Portfolio Instruments currently held by the Funds. Applicants also seek relief from the prohibitions on affiliated transactions in section 17(a) to permit a Fund to sell its shares to and redeem its shares from a Fund of Funds, and to engage in the accompanying in-kind transactions with the Fund of Funds.² The purchase of Creation Units by a Fund of Funds directly from a Fund will be accomplished in accordance with the policies of the Fund of Funds and will be based on the NAVs of the Funds.

9. Applicants also request relief to permit a Feeder Fund to acquire shares of another registered investment company managed by the Adviser having substantially the same investment objectives as the Feeder Fund ("Master Fund") beyond the

¹ Applicants request that the order apply to the initial Fund, as well as to future series of the Trust and any existing or future open-end management investment companies or series thereof (each, included in the term "Fund"), each of which will operate as an actively-managed ETF. Any Fund will (a) be advised by the Initial Adviser or an entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Initial Adviser (each, an "Adviser") and (b) comply with the terms and conditions of the application.

² The requested relief would apply to direct sales of shares in Creation Units by a Fund to a Fund of Funds and redemptions of those shares. Applicants, moreover, are not seeking relief from section 17(a) for, and the requested relief will not apply to, transactions where a Fund could be deemed an Affiliated Person, or a Second-Tier Affiliate, of a Fund of Funds because an Adviser or an entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with an Adviser provides investment advisory services to that Fund of Funds.

limitations in section 12(d)(1)(A) and permit the Master Fund, and any principal underwriter for the Master Fund, to sell shares of the Master Fund to the Feeder Fund beyond the limitations in section 12(d)(1)(B).

10. Section 6(c) of the Act permits the Commission to exempt any persons or transactions from any provision of the Act if such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from any provision of section 12(d)(1) if the exemption is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors. Section 17(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to grant an order permitting a transaction otherwise prohibited by section 17(a) if it finds that (a) the terms of the proposed transaction are fair and reasonable and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned; (b) the proposed transaction is consistent with the policies of each registered investment company involved; and (c) the proposed transaction is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–22996 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–84438; File No. SR–CboeBZX–2018–076]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change To List and Trade Shares of the FormulaFolios Sector Rotation ETF, a Series of the Northern Lights Fund Trust IV, Under Rule 14.11(i), Managed Fund Shares

October 16, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on October 2, 2018, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule

change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to list and trade shares of the FormulaFolios Sector Rotation ETF (the “Fund”), a series of the Northern Lights Fund Trust IV (the “Trust”), under Rule 14.11(i) (“Managed Fund Shares”). The shares of the Fund are referred to herein as the “Shares.”

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s website at www.markets.cboe.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the Shares under Rule 14.11(i), which governs the listing and trading of Managed Fund Shares on the Exchange.³ The Fund will be an actively managed exchange-traded fund that seeks to provide a long-term total return which exceeds the total return of its Primary Benchmark Index, as further described below. The Exchange submits this proposal in order to allow the Fund to hold over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivatives, in a manner that may not

comply with Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(v),⁴ as further described below. Otherwise, the Fund will comply with all other listing requirements on an initial and continued listing basis under Rule 14.11(i).

The Shares will be offered by the Trust, which was established as a Delaware statutory trust on June 2, 2015. FormulaFolio Investments, LLC (the “Adviser”) is the investment adviser to the Fund. The Trust is registered with the Commission as an open-end investment company and has filed a registration statement on behalf of the Fund on Form N–1A (“Registration Statement”) with the Commission.⁵

Rule 14.11(i)(7) provides that, if the investment adviser to the investment company issuing Managed Fund Shares is affiliated with a broker-dealer, such investment adviser shall erect and maintain a “fire wall” between the investment adviser and the broker-dealer with respect to access to information concerning the composition and/or changes to such investment company portfolio.⁶ In addition, Rule

⁴ Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(v) provides that “the portfolio may, on both an initial and continuing basis, hold OTC derivatives, including forwards, options, and swaps on commodities, currencies and financial instruments (e.g., stocks, fixed income, interest rates, and volatility) or a basket or index of any of the foregoing, however the aggregate gross notional value of OTC Derivatives shall not exceed 20% of the weight of the portfolio (including gross notional exposures).” The Exchange is proposing that the Fund be exempt from this requirement only as it relates to the Fund’s holdings in OTC derivatives, which include total return swaps and certain Inflation Swaps and interest rate swaps, as further described below.

⁵ See Registration Statement on Form N–1A for the Trust, dated July 27, 2018 (File Nos. 333–204808 and 811–23066). The descriptions of the Fund and the Shares contained herein are based, in part, on information in the Registration Statement. The Commission has issued an order granting certain exemptive relief to the Trust under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–1) (“1940 Act”) (the “Exemptive Order”). See Investment Company Act Release No. 29571 (May 16, 2017) (File No. 812–32367).

⁶ An investment adviser to an open-end fund is required to be registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”). As a result, the Adviser and its related personnel are subject to the provisions of Rule 204A–1 under the Advisers Act relating to codes of ethics. This Rule requires investment advisers to adopt a code of ethics that reflects the fiduciary nature of the relationship to clients as well as compliance with other applicable securities laws. Accordingly, procedures designed to prevent the communication and misuse of non-public information by an investment adviser must be consistent with Rule 204A–1 under the Advisers Act. In addition, Rule 206(4)–7 under the Advisers Act makes it unlawful for an investment adviser to provide investment advice to clients unless such investment adviser has (i) adopted and implemented written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violation, by the investment adviser and its supervised persons, of the Advisers Act and the Commission rules adopted thereunder; (ii)

Continued

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ The Commission originally approved BZX Rule 14.11(i) in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65225 (August 30, 2011), 76 FR 55148 (September 6, 2011) (SR–BATS–2011–018) and subsequently approved generic listing standards for Managed Fund Shares under Rule 14.11(i) in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78396 (July 22, 2016), 81 FR 49698 (July 28, 2016) (SR–BATS–2015–100).

14.11(i)(7) further requires that personnel who make decisions on the investment company's portfolio composition must be subject to procedures designed to prevent the use and dissemination of material nonpublic information regarding the applicable investment company portfolio. Rule 14.11(i)(7) is similar to Rule 14.11(b)(5)(A)(i), however, Rule 14.11(i)(7) in connection with the establishment of a "fire wall" between the investment adviser and the broker-dealer reflects the applicable open-end fund's portfolio, not an underlying benchmark index, as is the case with index-based funds. The Adviser is not a registered broker-dealer and is not currently affiliated with any broker-dealers. In addition, Adviser personnel who make decisions regarding the Fund's portfolio are subject to procedures designed to prevent the use and dissemination of material nonpublic information regarding the Fund's portfolio. In the event that (a) the Adviser becomes registered as a broker-dealer or newly affiliated with a broker-dealer, or (b) any new adviser or sub-adviser is a registered broker-dealer or becomes affiliated with a broker-dealer, it will implement and maintain a fire wall with respect to its relevant personnel or such broker-dealer affiliate, as applicable, regarding access to information concerning the composition and/or changes to the portfolio, and will be subject to procedures designed to prevent the use and dissemination of material non-public information regarding such portfolio.

The Fund intends to qualify each year as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

FormulaFolios Sector Rotation ETF

According to the Registration Statement, the Fund will be an actively managed exchange-traded fund that will seek to provide a long-term total return which exceeds the total return of its Primary Benchmark Index.⁷ The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective, under Normal Market Conditions,⁸ by utilizing derivatives, or

implemented, at a minimum, an annual review regarding the adequacy of the policies and procedures established pursuant to subparagraph (i) above and the effectiveness of their implementation; and (iii) designated an individual (who is a supervised person) responsible for administering the policies and procedures adopted under subparagraph (i) above.

⁷ The Fund's Primary Benchmark Index is the S&P 500 Index.

⁸ As defined in Rule 14.11(i)(3)(E), the term "Normal Market Conditions" includes, but is not limited to, the absence of trading halts in the applicable financial markets generally; operational

a combination of derivatives and direct investments, to gain 100% equity exposure.

The Adviser allocates the Fund's assets based on two proprietary investment models. The Adviser's first investment model identifies trends for the individual sectors within its Primary Benchmark Index. Each month, the model analyzes the strength of the U.S. economy and ranks the sectors of its Primary Benchmark Index based on a blend of various technical momentum indicators, volatility gauges, and valuation multiples. When the economy appears healthy, sectors with the highest risk-adjusted returns (lower volatility and higher price momentum) and the lowest valuations (lower price ratios) are ranked higher. When the economy appears unhealthy, sectors with more stable price movements and lower volatility are ranked higher. The Fund invests in the top four sectors in an equal weight. In order to achieve such exposure, the Fund will use OTC swap contracts that reference each applicable sector index ("Sector Swaps").⁹

In the event that such Sector Swaps are unavailable or the pricing for such contracts are unfavorable, the Fund may attempt to replicate the desired equity exposure by purchasing some or all of the equity securities that are listed on a U.S. national securities exchange, including ETFs,¹⁰ comprising the model weights at the time.¹¹ If the model

issues causing dissemination of inaccurate market information or system failures; or force majeure type events such as natural or man-made disaster, act of God, armed conflict, act of terrorism, riot or labor disruption, or any similar intervening circumstance.

⁹ The Fund will attempt to limit counterparty risk in non-cleared swap contracts by entering into such contracts only with counterparties the Adviser believes are creditworthy and by limiting the Fund's exposure to each counterparty. The Adviser will monitor the creditworthiness of each counterparty and the Fund's exposure to each counterparty on an ongoing basis. The Sector Swaps will reference the individual sector indices that underlie the Primary Benchmark Index, which include S&P 500 Consumer Discretionary, S&P 500 Consumer Staples, S&P 500 Health Care, S&P 500 Industrials, S&P 500 Information Technology, S&P 500 Materials, S&P 500 Real Estate, S&P 500 Telecommunication Services, S&P 500 Utilities, S&P 500 Financials, and S&P 500 Energy (each a "Primary Benchmark Sector Index" and, collectively, the "Primary Benchmark Sector Indexes").

¹⁰ For purposes of this proposal, the term ETF includes Portfolio Depositary Receipts, Index Fund Shares, and Managed Fund Shares as defined in Rule 14.11(b), (c), and (i), respectively, and their equivalents on other national securities exchanges.

¹¹ Such equity securities may include either component securities of the Primary Benchmark Index, ETFs based on the Primary Benchmark Index, or ETFs based on the sectors underlying the Primary Benchmark Index. Any such holdings will meet the listing requirements for U.S. Component Stocks as provided in Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(i)(a).

indicates the market is doing poorly, and if not enough sectors pass the screening criteria, the Fund can invest a portion or all of its assets in cash or Cash Equivalents.¹² The Exchange is proposing to allow the Fund to hold up to 75% of the weight of its portfolio (including gross notional exposure) in Sector Swaps, collectively, in a manner that may not comply with 14.11(i)(4)(C)(v),¹³ as discussed above.

The Adviser's second investment model is used to manage an active bond allocation exclusively through holding fixed income ETFs. This model analyzes various major fixed income asset classes (U.S. treasuries, investment grade U.S. bonds, high-yield U.S. bonds, high-yield municipal bonds, and floating rate bonds) based on a blend of yield spreads, interest rates, and price momentum. Following the ranking process, the Fund will invest in ETFs based on the highest-ranked asset classes, with the lowest ranked asset classes left out of the Fund.¹⁴ When not enough of the asset classes meet the model's criteria, the Fund may invest heavily in cash or Cash Equivalents until more asset classes become favorable for investing.

The Fund's investments, including derivatives, will be consistent with the 1940 Act and the Fund's investment objective and policies and will not be used to enhance leverage (although certain derivatives and other investments may result in leverage).¹⁵

¹² As defined in Exchange Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(iii)(b), Cash Equivalents are short-term instruments with maturities of less than three months, which includes only the following: (i) U.S. Government securities, including bills, notes, and bonds differing as to maturity and rates of interest, which are either issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Treasury or by U.S. Government agencies or instrumentalities; (ii) certificates of deposit issued against funds deposited in a bank or savings and loan association; (iii) bankers acceptances, which are short-term credit instruments used to finance commercial transactions; (iv) repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements; (v) bank time deposits, which are monies kept on deposit with banks or savings and loan associations for a stated period of time at a fixed rate of interest; (vi) commercial paper, which are short-term unsecured promissory notes; and (vii) money market funds.

¹³ See *supra* note 4.

¹⁴ All of the Fund's investments made pursuant to this second investment model will meet the listing requirements for U.S. equity securities as provided in Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(i)(a).

¹⁵ The Fund will include appropriate risk disclosure in its offering documents, including leveraging risk. Leveraging risk is the risk that certain transactions of a fund, including a fund's use of derivatives, may give rise to leverage, causing a fund to be more volatile than if it had not been leveraged. The Fund's investments in derivative instruments will be made in accordance with the 1940 Act and consistent with the Fund's investment objective and policies. To mitigate leveraging risk, the Fund will segregate or earmark liquid assets

That is, while the Fund will be permitted to borrow as permitted under the 1940 Act, the Fund's investments will not be used to seek performance that is the multiple or inverse multiple (*i.e.*, 2Xs and 3Xs) of the Fund's primary broad-based securities benchmark index (as defined in Form N-1A). The Fund will only use those derivatives included in the defined term Sector Swaps. The Fund's use of derivative instruments will be collateralized.

As noted above, the Fund may also hold certain equity securities and cash and Cash Equivalents in compliance with Rules 14.11(i)(4)(C)(i)(a) and 14.11(i)(4)(C)(iii).

The Exchange represents that, except for the exception to BZX Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(v) described above, the Fund's proposed investments will satisfy, on an initial and continued listing basis, all of the generic listing standards under BZX Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C) and all other applicable requirements for Managed Fund Shares under Rule 14.11(i). The Trust is required to comply with Rule 10A-3 under the Act for the initial and continued listing of the Shares of the Fund. In addition, the Exchange represents that the Shares of the Fund will comply with all other requirements applicable to Managed Fund Shares including, but not limited to, requirements relating to the dissemination of key information such as the Disclosed Portfolio, Net Asset Value, and the Intraday Indicative Value, rules governing the trading of equity securities, trading hours, trading halts, surveillance, firewalls, and the information circular, as set forth in Exchange rules applicable to Managed Fund Shares and the orders approving such rules. At least 100,000 Shares will be outstanding upon the commencement of trading.

Moreover, all of the equity securities held by the Fund will trade on markets that are a member of Intermarket Surveillance Group ("ISG") or affiliated with a member of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement.¹⁶

determined to be liquid by the Adviser in accordance with procedures established by the Trust's Board and in accordance with the 1940 Act (or, as permitted by applicable regulations, enter into certain offsetting positions) to cover its obligations under derivative instruments. These procedures have been adopted consistent with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and related Commission guidance. See 15 U.S.C. 80a-18; Investment Company Act Release No. 10666 (April 18, 1979), 44 FR 25128 (April 27, 1979); Dreyfus Strategic Investing, Commission No-Action Letter (June 22, 1987); Merrill Lynch Asset Management, L.P., Commission No-Action Letter (July 2, 1996).

¹⁶ For a list of the current members and affiliate members of ISG, see www.isgportal.com. The Exchange notes that not all components of the

Additionally, the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, are able to access, as needed, trade information for certain Cash Equivalents reported to FINRA's Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine ("TRACE"). All statements and representations made in this filing regarding the description of the portfolio or reference assets, limitations on portfolio holdings or reference assets, dissemination and availability of index, reference asset, and intraday indicative values, and the applicability of Exchange rules specified in this filing shall constitute continued listing requirements for the Fund. The issuer has represented to the Exchange that it will advise the Exchange of any failure by the Fund or the Shares to comply with the continued listing requirements, and, pursuant to its obligations under Section 19(g)(1) of the Act, the Exchange will surveil for compliance with the continued listing requirements. If the Fund or the Shares are not in compliance with the applicable listing requirements, the Exchange will commence delisting procedures under Exchange Rule 14.12.

Availability of Information

As noted above, the Fund will comply with the requirements for Managed Fund Shares related to Disclosed Portfolio, Net Asset Value, and the Intraday Indicative Value. Additionally, the intra-day, closing and settlement prices of exchange-traded portfolio assets, including equity securities, will be readily available from the securities exchanges trading such securities, automated quotation systems, published or other public sources, or online information services such as Bloomberg or Reuters. Intraday price quotations on OTC swaps and fixed income instruments are available from major broker-dealer firms and from third-parties, which may provide prices free with a time delay or in real-time for a paid fee. Price information for Cash Equivalents will be available from major market data vendors. The Disclosed Portfolio will be available on the issuer's website free of charge. The Fund's website includes a form of the prospectus for the Fund and additional information related to NAV and other applicable quantitative information. Information regarding market price and trading volume of the Shares will be continuously available throughout the day on brokers' computer screens and other electronic services. Quotation and

Disclosed Portfolio for the Fund may trade on markets that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement.

last sale information on the Shares will be available through the Consolidated Tape Association. Information regarding the previous day's closing price and trading volume for the Shares will be published daily in the financial section of newspapers.

Trading in the Shares may be halted for market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading inadvisable. The Exchange deems the Shares to be equity securities, thus rendering trading in the Shares subject to the Exchange's existing rules governing the trading of equity securities. The Exchange has appropriate rules to facilitate trading in the shares during all trading sessions.

Information Circular

Prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its members in an Information Circular of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. Specifically, the Information Circular will discuss the following: (1) The procedures for purchases and redemptions of Shares in Creation Units (and that Shares are not individually redeemable); (2) BZX Rule 3.7, which imposes suitability obligations on Exchange members with respect to recommending transactions in the Shares to customers; (3) how information regarding the Intraday Indicative Value and the Disclosed Portfolio is disseminated; (4) the risks involved in trading the Shares during the Pre-Opening¹⁷ and After Hours Trading Sessions¹⁸ when an updated Intraday Indicative Value and Underlying Index value will not be calculated or publicly disseminated; (5) the requirement that members deliver a prospectus to investors purchasing newly issued Shares prior to or concurrently with the confirmation of a transaction; and (6) trading information.

In addition, the Information Circular will advise members, prior to the commencement of trading, of the prospectus delivery requirements applicable to the Fund. Members purchasing Shares from the Fund for resale to investors will deliver a prospectus to such investors. The Information Circular will also discuss any exemptive, no-action and interpretive relief granted by the Commission from any rules under the Act.

In addition, the Information Circular will reference that the Fund is subject

¹⁷ The Pre-Opening Session is from 8:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time.

¹⁸ The After Hours Trading Session is from 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

to various fees and expenses described in the Registration Statement. The Information Circular will also disclose the trading hours of the Shares of the Fund and the applicable NAV calculation time for the Shares. The Information Circular will disclose that information about the Shares of the Fund will be publicly available on the Fund's website.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁹ in general and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act²⁰ in particular in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest in that the Shares will meet each of the initial and continued listing criteria in BZX Rule 14.11(i) except that the Fund may not comply with Rule 14.11(i)(4)(C)(v).²¹ The Exchange believes that the size and liquidity of the securities underlying the Primary Benchmark Index and each of the Primary Benchmark Index Sectors mitigates manipulation concerns relating to Sector Swaps held by the Fund.²² Further, the Fund will attempt to limit counterparty risk in Sector Swaps by entering into such contracts only with counterparties the Adviser believes are creditworthy and by limiting the Fund's exposure to each counterparty. The Adviser will monitor the creditworthiness of each counterparty and the Fund's exposure to each counterparty on an ongoing basis. The Exchange also notes that notional

principal never changes hands in such swaps transactions, and it is a theoretical value used to base the exchanged payments. A more accurate representation of the swaps value in order to monitor total counterparty risk would be the mark-to market value of the swap since inception, which the Adviser generally expects to remain at around 10% of the Fund's net assets.²³

Trading of the Shares through the Exchange will be subject to the Exchange's surveillance procedures for derivative products, including Managed Fund Shares. All of the equity securities held by the Fund will trade on markets that are a member of ISG or affiliated with a member of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement. The Exchange, FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both will communicate regarding trading in the Shares and the underlying equity securities held by the Fund with the ISG, other markets or entities who are members or affiliates of the ISG, or with which the Exchange has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement.²⁴ The Exchange, FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and the underlying equity securities held by the Fund via the ISG from other markets or entities who are members or affiliates of the ISG or with which the Exchange has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement.²⁵ Additionally, the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, may access, as needed, trade information for certain fixed income instruments reported to TRACE. The Exchange has a policy prohibiting the distribution of material non-public information by its employees.

The Exchange notes that the Fund will meet and be subject to all other requirements of the Generic Listing Rules and other applicable continued listing requirements for Managed Fund Shares under Rule 14.11(i), including those requirements regarding the Disclosed Portfolio and the requirement that the Disclosed Portfolio and the NAV will be made available to all

market participants at the same time,²⁶ Intraday Indicative Value,²⁷ suspension of trading or removal,²⁸ trading halts,²⁹ disclosure,³⁰ and firewalls.³¹ Further, at least 100,000 Shares will be outstanding upon the commencement of trading.³²

For the above reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change, rather will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional actively-managed exchange-traded product that will enhance competition among both market participants and listing venues, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) by order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

²⁶ See Rules 14.11(i)(4)(A)(ii) and 14.11(i)(4)(B)(ii).

²⁷ See Rule 14.11(i)(4)(B)(i).

²⁸ See Rule 14.11(i)(4)(B)(iii).

²⁹ See Rule 14.11(i)(4)(B)(iv).

³⁰ See Rule 14.11(i)(6).

³¹ See Rule 14.11(i)(7).

³² See Rule 14.11(i)(4)(A)(i).

²³ The Exchange notes that the Trust, on behalf of the Fund, will file a notice of eligibility for exclusion from the definition of the term "commodity pool operator" in accordance with CFTC Rule 4.5, and, therefore, the Fund would not be subject to registration or regulation as a commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA") to the extent that it complies with the requirements of the rule. To the extent that the Fund makes investments regulated by the CFTC, it will do so in accordance with Rule 4.5 under the CEA.

²⁴ See note 19 [sic], supra.

²⁵ See note 19 [sic], supra.

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²¹ See supra note 4.

²² The Exchange notes that the Primary Benchmark Index and each Primary Benchmark Sector Index separately meet the generic listing standards applicable to Index Fund Shares under Rule 14.11(c)(3)(A)(i).

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CboeBZX-2018-076 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBZX-2018-076. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of this filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBZX-2018-076 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³³

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22909 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84430; File No. SR-NYSE-2018-23]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE National, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Schedule of Fees To Adopt the Same Billing Dispute Practice as the Exchange's Affiliates and Other Exchanges

October 16, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") ² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ³ notice is hereby given that on October 3, 2018, NYSE National, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE National") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees and Rebates to adopt the same billing dispute practice as the Exchange's affiliates and other exchanges. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees and Rebates to adopt the same billing dispute practice as the Exchange's affiliates and other exchanges. As discussed below, the proposed provision would be identical to provision [sic] in the fee schedules of the Exchange's affiliates the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE"), NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca"), and NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American") as well as other equities and options exchanges.

Background

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees and Rebates to adopt a billing procedure to prevent ETP Holders from contesting their bills long after they have been sent an invoice. The procedure proposed by the Exchange is the same as that in place at the Exchange's equities and options affiliates ⁴ and substantially the same as that in place at other equities and options exchanges. ⁵

⁴ See New York Stock Exchange Price List 2018, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse/Price_List.pdf ("All fee disputes concerning fees billed by the Exchange must be submitted to the Exchange in writing and must be accompanied by supporting documentation. All fee disputes must be submitted no later than sixty (60) days after receipt of a billing invoice"); NYSE Arca Equities Fees and Charges, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf (same); NYSE Arca Options Fees and Charges, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/arca-options/NYSE_Arca_Options_Fee_Schedule.pdf (same); NYSE American Equities Price List, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-american/NYSE_American_Equities_Price_List.pdf (same); and NYSE American Options Fee Schedule, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/american-options/NYSE_American_Options_Fee_Schedule.pdf (same).

⁵ See NASDAQ Equity Rule 7007(b) (All pricing disputes concerning fees or rebates, which are listed in paragraph (a), which are billed by the Exchange must be submitted to the Exchange in writing and must be accompanied by supporting documentation and all pricing disputes must be submitted no later than sixty (60) days after receipt of a billing invoice); NASDAQ Options Rules, Chapter XV, Sect. 7 (same); NASDAQ BX Options Rules, Chapter XV (Options Pricing), Sec. 7(b)[sic] (BX Options Fee Disputes) (same); NASDAQ PHLX LLC Pricing Schedule, available at <http://nasdaqtrader.com/Micro.aspx?id=PHLXPricing> (same); NASDAQ ISE Schedule of Fees Preface, available at http://ise.cchwallstreet.com/tools/PlatformViewer.asp?selectednode=chp_1_1_1&manual=%2Fcontents%2Fise%2Fise-fee%2F (same); NASDAQ GEMX Schedule of Fees, available at http://nasdaqgemx.cchwallstreet.com/tools/PlatformViewer.asp?selectednode=chp_1_1_1&manual=%2Fcontents%2Fgemx%2Fise-fee

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Continued

³³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

As proposed, all fee disputes concerning fees billed by the Exchange would have to be submitted to the Exchange in writing and accompanied by supporting documentation. Further, all fee disputes would have to be [sic] submitted no later than sixty (60) days after receipt of a billing invoice. After sixty days, all fees assessed by the Exchange would be considered final. The Exchange believes that this requirement, which is the same as that in place at the Exchange's equities and options market affiliates,⁶ will streamline the billing dispute process.

The Exchange believes it is reasonable for ETP Holders to become aware of any potential billing errors within sixty calendar days of receiving an invoice. Requiring that ETP Holders dispute an invoice within this time period will encourage ETP Holders to review their invoices promptly so that any disputed charges can be addressed in a timely manner while the information and data underlying those charges (e.g., applicable fees and order information) is still easily and readily available. This practice will avoid issues that may arise when ETP Holders do not dispute an invoice in a timely manner, and will conserve Exchange resources that would have to be expended to resolve untimely billing disputes.⁷

In order for ETP Holders to be fully aware of this rule regarding fee disputes, the Exchange proposes to include the language proposed for the Schedule of Fees and Rebates in each customer invoice.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁸ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons

using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers, and because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes the requirement to submit all billing disputes in writing, and with supporting documentation, within sixty days from receipt of the invoice, is reasonable because the Exchange provides ample tools to properly and swiftly monitor and account for various charges incurred in a given month. Also, the proposal is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it applies equally to all ETP Holders. The proposed provision regarding fee disputes in the Schedule of Fees and Rebates promotes the protection of investors and the public interest by providing a clear and concise mechanism in Exchange Rules for ETP Holders to dispute fees and for the Exchange to review such disputes in a timely manner. In addition, the proposed 60-day limitation is fair and equitable because it will be implemented prospectively on all ETP Holders, only applying to invoices issued after the proposed rule change becomes operative. Moreover, the proposed billing dispute language, which will lower the Exchange's administrative burden, is the same as the billing dispute language adopted by the NYSE Affiliates as well as other exchanges.¹⁰

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,¹¹ the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change, which would apply equally to all ETP Holders, would establish a clear process for billing disputes, and is the same as rules adopted by the Exchange's affiliates as well as other exchanges. Because the market for order execution and routing is extremely competitive, ETP Holders may readily opt to disfavor the Exchange if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. The

Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will impair the ability of ETP Holders or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets. Moreover, because the Exchange does not propose to alter or modify specific fees or credits applicable to ETP Holders, the proposal does not impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹² and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹³ Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.¹⁴

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)¹⁵ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and

⁶ *gemx* 2F (same); NASDAQ MRX Schedule of Fees, available at http://nasdaqmrxcchwallstreet.com/tools/PlatformViewer.asp?selectednode=chp_1_1_1&manual=%2Fcontents%2Fmr%2Fise-fee-mrx%2F (same); MIAX Options Fee Schedule, available at https://www.miaxoptions.com/sites/default/files/fee_schedule-files/MIAX_Options_Fee_Schedule_08072018.pdf (same); and MIAX Pearl Fee Schedule, available at https://www.miaxoptions.com/sites/default/files/fee_schedule-files/MIAX_Options_Fee_Schedule_08072018.pdf (same).

⁷ See note 4, *supra*.

⁸ The same rationale has been advanced by the other markets that have adopted the proposed billing procedure. See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71286 [sic] (January 14, 2014), 79 FR 3442, 3442 (January 21, 2014) (SR-ISE-2014-02).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) & (5).

¹¹ See notes 4–5, *supra*.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-23 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-23. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2018-23 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22903 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84431; File No. SR-CboeEDGX-2018-046]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Fees for Use on Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.

October 16, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on October 1, 2018, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one establishing or changing a member due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the Exchange's fee schedule applicable to its equities trading platform ("EDGX Equities") to eliminate the Investor Depth Tier.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's website at www.markets.cboe.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements

concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The EDGX Equities fee schedule offers seven Add Volume Tiers that provide enhanced rebates, ranging from of \$0.0025 to \$0.0032 per share, for displayed orders that add liquidity in Tapes A, B, and C and yield fee codes B,⁵ V,⁶ Y,⁷ 3⁸ and 4.⁹ The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the EDGX Equities fee schedule to eliminate the Investor Depth Tier as this tier has not been successful in attracting the required order flow to the Exchange. Currently, under the Investor Depth Tier a Member is eligible for an enhanced rebate of \$0.0031 per share where that Member: (i) Adds an average daily volume ("ADV")¹⁰ greater than or equal to 0.12% of the total consolidated volume ("TCV");¹¹ (ii) has an "added liquidity" as a percentage of "added plus removed liquidity" greater than or equal to 85%; and (iii) adds an ADV greater than or equal to 400,000 shares as non-displayed orders that yield fee code HA,¹² HI,¹³ and/or MM.¹⁴ The Investor Depth Tier was designed to encourage Members to bring a

⁵ "B" is associated with displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX for Tape B.

⁶ "V" is associated with displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX for Tape A.

⁷ "Y" is associated with displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX for Tape C.

⁸ "3" is associated with displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX for Tape A or C during the post-market or pre-market trading sessions.

⁹ "4" is associated with displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX for Tape B during the post-market or pre-market trading sessions.

¹⁰ "ADV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of shares added to, removed from, or routed by, the Exchange, or any combination or subset thereof, per day. ADV is calculated on a monthly basis.

¹¹ "TCV" means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply.

¹² "HA" is associated with non-displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX.

¹³ "HI" is associated with non-displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX and receive price improvement.

¹⁴ "MM" is associated with non-displayed orders that add liquidity on EDGX using a Mid-Point Peg.

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

combination of displayed and non-displayed order flow to the Exchange. As the Exchange does not believe that this tier has been successful in attracting the required order flow, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the Investor Depth Tier. Members that meet the requirements of the remaining six Add Volume Tiers will continue to receive rebates pursuant to those tiers. Furthermore, Members that do not meet the requirements for any of the Add Volume Tiers will continue to be paid the standard rebate of \$0.0020 applicable to fee codes B, V, Y, 3 and 4.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6 of the Act,¹⁵ in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,¹⁶ in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes that the proposed elimination of the Investor Depth Tier is reasonable and equitable as this tier has not been successful in attracting the required order flow to the Exchange. As explained in the purpose section of this proposed rule change, the Exchange offers a range of Add Volume Tiers that provide enhanced rebates to qualifying displayed orders that add liquidity in Tape A, B, and C securities. These tiers are designed to encourage more active participation on the Exchange by providing higher rebates to Members that meet specified requirements. The Investor Depth Tier, in particular, was designed to encourage Members to bring different types of order flow to the Exchange, including both displayed and non-displayed liquidity. However, this tier has not been successful in attracting the required order flow. The Exchange therefore believes that it is appropriate to eliminate this tier at this time. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as it applies to all Members on an equal basis. With the proposed change, no Member would be eligible for an enhanced rebate based on meeting the requirements of the eliminated Investor Depth Tier. Members will continue to be able to achieve enhanced rebates for displayed orders that add liquidity by meeting the requirements of any of the six remaining Add Volume Tiers. In addition, Members that do not meet the requirements for any of the Add Volume

Tiers will continue to be paid the standard rebate applicable to fee codes B, V, Y, 3 and 4.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. The proposed elimination of the Investor Depth Tier is designed to remove an incentive that the Exchange believes was not successful, and will apply to all Members. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct their order flow to competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed fee changes reflect this competitive environment.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁷ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁸ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-

CboeEDGX-2018-046 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2018-046. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of this filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2018-046 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁹

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-22904 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

¹⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 04/04-0337]

Plexus Fund IV-C, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Plexus Fund IV-C, L.P., 4242 Six Forks Road, Suite 950, Raleigh, NC 27609, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ("the Act"), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financials which Constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration ("SBA") Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Plexus Fund IV-C, L.P. is seeking a prior written exemption from SBA to make a debt financing to Rotolo Consultants, Inc., 894 Robert Blvd., Slidell, LA 70458.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because Plexus Fund III, L.P., and Plexus Fund QP III, L.P., Associates of Plexus Fund IV-C, L.P., each own more than ten percent of Rotolo Consultants, Inc., and therefore this transaction is considered *Financing an Associate* requiring prior SBA written exemption.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on this transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

A. Joseph Shepard,
Associate Administrator for Office of
Investment and Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2018-23020 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Disaster Declaration #15748 and #15749; Virginia Disaster Number VA-00075]

Presidential Declaration of a Major Disaster for Public Assistance Only for the Commonwealth of Virginia

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This is a Notice of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for Public Assistance Only for the Commonwealth of Virginia (FEMA-4401-DR), dated 10/15/2018.

Incident: Hurricane Florence.

Incident Period: 09/08/2018 through 09/21/2018.

DATES: Issued on 10/15/2018.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 12/14/2018.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 07/15/2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW, Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that as a result of the President's major disaster declaration on 10/15/2018, Private Non-Profit organizations that provide essential services of a governmental nature may file disaster loan applications at the address listed above or other locally announced locations.

The following areas have been determined to be adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties: Henry, King and Queen, Lancaster, Nelson, Patrick, Pittsylvania, and Russell Counties and the Independent Cities of Newport News, Richmond, and Williamsburg.

The Interest Rates are:

<i>For Physical Damage:</i>	
Non-Profit Organizations with Credit Available Elsewhere ...	2.500
Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.500
<i>For Economic Injury:</i>	
Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.500

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 157488 and for economic injury is 157490.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

James Rivera,
Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2018-22982 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Disaster Declaration #15742 and #15743; FLORIDA Disaster Number FL-00140]

Presidential Declaration Amendment of a Major Disaster for the State of Florida

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Amendment 3.

SUMMARY: This is an amendment of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for the State of Florida (FEMA-4399-DR), dated 10/11/2018.

Incident: Hurricane Michael.

Incident Period: 10/07/2018 and continuing.

DATES: Issued on 10/16/2018.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 12/10/2018.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 07/11/2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW, Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of the President's major disaster declaration for the State of Florida, dated 10/11/2018, is hereby amended to include the following areas as adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties (Physical Damage and Economic Injury Loans): Leon
Contiguous Counties (Economic Injury Loans Only):

Georgia—Thomas

All other information in the original declaration remains unchanged.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

James Rivera,
Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2018-22981 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Disaster Declaration #15696 and #15697; North Carolina Disaster Number NC-00099]

Presidential Declaration Amendment of a Major Disaster for the State of North Carolina

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Amendment 5.

SUMMARY: This is an amendment of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for the State of North Carolina (FEMA-4393-DR), dated 09/14/2018. Incident: Hurricane Florence. Incident Period: 09/07/2018 through 09/29/2018.

DATES: Issued on 10/14/2018.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 11/13/2018.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 06/14/2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW, Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of the President's major disaster declaration for the State of North Carolina, dated 09/14/2018, is hereby amended to include the following areas as adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties (Physical Damage and Economic Injury Loans): Anson, Orange, Union.

Contiguous Counties (Economic Injury Loans Only):

North Carolina—Alamance, Cabarrus, Caswell, Durham, Mecklenburg, Person.

South Carolina—Lancaster.

All other information in the original declaration remains unchanged.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

James Rivera,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2018-22891 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 04/04-0335]

Plexus Fund IV-A, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Plexus Fund IV-A, L.P., 4242 Six Forks Road, Suite 950, Raleigh, NC 27609, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ("the Act"), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financials which constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration ("SBA") Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Plexus Fund IV-A, L.P. is

seeking a prior written exemption from SBA to make a debt financing to Rotolo Consultants, Inc., 894 Robert Blvd., Slidell, LA 70458.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because Plexus Fund III, L.P., and Plexus Fund QP III, L.P., Associates of Plexus Fund IV-A, L.P., each own more than ten percent of Rotolo Consultants, Inc., and therefore this transaction is considered *Financing an Associate* requiring prior SBA written exemption.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on this transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

A. Joseph Shepard,

Associate Administrator for Office of Investment and Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2018-23019 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 04/04-0336]

Plexus Fund IV-B, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Plexus Fund IV-B, L.P., 4242 Six Forks Road, Suite 950, Raleigh, NC 27609, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ("the Act"), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financials which constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration ("SBA") Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Plexus Fund IV-B, L.P. is seeking a prior written exemption from SBA to make a debt financing to Rotolo Consultants, Inc., 894 Robert Blvd., Slidell, LA 70458.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because Plexus Fund III, L.P., and Plexus Fund QP III, L.P., Associates of Plexus Fund IV-B, L.P., each own more than ten percent of Rotolo Consultants, Inc., and therefore this transaction is considered *Financing an Associate* requiring prior SBA written exemption.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on this transaction within fifteen days of the date of this

publication to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

A. Joseph Shepard,

Associate Administrator for Office of Investment and Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2018-23021 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No: SSA-2018-0057]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Request and Comment Request

The Social Security Administration (SSA) publishes a list of information collection packages requiring clearance by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with Public Law 104-13, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, effective October 1, 1995. This notice includes an extension and revisions of OMB-approved information collections, and one new information collection.

SSA is soliciting comments on the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate; the need for the information; its practical utility; ways to enhance its quality, utility, and clarity; and ways to minimize burden on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Mail, email, or fax your comments and recommendations on the information collection(s) to the OMB Desk Officer and SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the following addresses or fax numbers. (OMB), Office of Management and Budget, Attn: Desk Officer for SSA,

Fax: 202-395-6974, Email address: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov. (SSA), Social Security Administration, OLCA, Attn: Reports Clearance Director, 3100 West High Rise, 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21235, Fax: 410-966-2830, Email address: OR.Reports.Clearance@ssa.gov.

Or you may submit your comments online through www.regulations.gov, referencing Docket ID Number [SSA-2018-0057].

I. The information collections below are pending at SSA. SSA will submit them to OMB within 60 days from the date of this notice. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than December 21, 2018. Individuals can obtain copies of the collection instruments by writing to the above email address.

1. *The Department of the Treasury's Pay.gov Collection Application for Benefit Overpayments—20 CFR 404.501, 404.502, 404.521, and 404.527—0960—NEW.* The Social Security Administration will use the new internet *Pay.gov* Application for Overpayments to offer a new repayment option to beneficiaries and recipients to recover overpayments they incurred. *Pay.gov* is an online collection portal developed and maintained by the Department of the Treasury (Treasury).

The internet remittance portal will offer beneficiaries and recipients another option to repay overpayments via credit card, debit card, and automated clearing house (ACH). The SSA application located on *SocialSecurity.gov* will request the overpaid individual's Social Security Number (SSN) and dollar amount they wish to repay on his or her overpayment prior to sending them to Treasury's *Pay.gov* application which will request and process the payment information on SSA's behalf. The

information SSA will collect is used to reconcile the *Pay.gov* transactions, and update the overpaid individual's balance on his or her record. The respondents are individuals who have Title II or XVI overpayments, and who wish to voluntarily repay the overpayment online through *SocialSecurity.gov*.

Type of Request: Request for a new information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Number of responses	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
Internet Application for <i>Pay.gov</i>	424,126	12	5,089,512	10	848,252

2. *Surveys in Accordance with E.O. 12862 for the Social Security Administration—0960—0526.* Under the auspices of Executive Order 12862, Setting Customer Service Standards, SSA conducts multiple customer satisfaction surveys each year. These voluntary customer satisfaction

assessments include paper, internet, and telephone surveys; mailed questionnaires; and customer comment cards. The purpose of these questionnaires is to assess customer satisfaction with the timeliness, appropriateness, access, and overall quality of existing SSA services and

proposed modifications or new versions of services. The respondents are recipients of SSA services (including most members of the public), professionals, and individuals who work on behalf of SSA beneficiaries.

Type of Request: Extension of an OMB-approved information collection.

	Number of respondents (burden for all activities within that year)	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (burden for all activities within that year; reported in hours)
Year 1	5,843,298	1	3–30	1,004,460
Year 2	5,868,843	1	3–30	1,371,074
Year 3	5,949,054	1	3–30	1,012,482
Total	17,661,195	3,388,016

3. *The Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program—20 CFR 411—0960—0644.* SSA's Ticket to Work (TTW) Program transitions Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) recipients toward independence by allowing them to receive Social Security payments while maintaining employment under the auspices of the program. SSA uses service providers, called Employment Networks (ENs), to supervise participant progress through the stages of TTW Program participation, such as job searches and interviews; progress reviews; and

changes in ticket status. ENs can be private for-profit and nonprofit organizations, as well as state vocational rehabilitation agencies (VRs). SSA and the ENs utilize the TTW program manager to operate the TTW Program and exchange information about participants. For example, the ENs use the program manager to provide updates on tasks such as selecting a payment system, or requesting payments for helping the beneficiary achieve certain work goals. Since the ENs are not PRA-exempt, the multiple information collections within the TTW program manager require OMB approval. Most of

the categories of information are necessary for SSA to: (1) Comply with the Ticket to Work legislation; and (2) provide proper oversight of the program. SSA collects this information through several modalities, including forms, electronic exchanges, and written documentation. The respondents are the ENs or state VRs, SSDI beneficiaries, and blind or disabled SSI recipients working under the auspices of the TTW Program.

Type of Request: Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
a) 20 CFR 411.140(d)(2)—Interactive Voice Recognition Telephone	6,428	1	2.5	268
a) 20 CFR 411.140(d)(2)—Portal	25,713	1	1.25	536
a) 20 CFR 411.140(d)(3); 411.325(a); 411.150(b)(3)—SSA-1365	948	1	15	237
a) 20 CFR 411.140(d)(3); 411.325(a); 411.150(b)(3)—SSA-1365 Portal	3,792	1	11	695

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
a) 20 CFR 411.140(d)(3); 411.325(a); 411.150(b)(3)—SSA-1370	21,600	1	60	21,600
a) 20 CFR 411.140(d)(3); 411.325(a); 411.150(b)(3)—SSA-1370 Portal	5,868	1	10	978
a) 20 CFR 411.166; 411.170(b)—Electronic File Submission	40,324	1	5	3,360
b) 20 CFR 411.145; 411.325	2,494	1	15	624
b) 20 CFR 411.145; 411.325—Portal	7,481	1	11	1,372
b) 20 CFR 411.535(a)(1)(iii)—Data Sharing/Portal	8,505	1	5	709
c) 20 CFR 411.192(b)&(c)	6	1	30	3
c) 20 CFR 411.200(b)—SSA-1375	120,000	1	15	30,000
c) 20 CFR 411.200(b) —Portal	64,824	1	5	5,402
c) 20 CFR 411.210(b)	41	1	30	21
c) 20 CFR 411.200(b) Wise Webinar Registration Page	4,812	1	3	241
d) 20 CFR 411.365; 411.505; 411.515	6	1	10	1
e) 20 CFR 411.325(d); 411.415*	1	1	480	8
f) 20 CFR 411.575—SSA-1389; SSA-1391; SSA-1398; SSA-1399	2,805	1	40	1,870
f) 20 CFR 411.575—Portal	42,075	1	22	15,428
f) 20 CFR 411.560—SSA-1401	100	1	20	33
g) 20 CFR 411.325(f)	1,371	1	45	1,028
h) 20 CFR 411.435; 411.615; 411.625	2	1	120	4
i) 20 CFR 411.320—SSA-1394	52	1	10	9
i) 20 CFR 411.320—SSA-1394 Portal	158	1	5	13
Totals	359,406	84,440

* **Note:** We have not received any responses since 2011.

4. Promoting Opportunity

Demonstration—0960–0809. Section 823 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 required SSA to carry out the Promoting Opportunity Demonstration (POD) to test a new benefit offset formula for Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) beneficiaries. Therefore, SSA is undertaking POD, a demonstration to

evaluate the affect the new policy will have on SSDI beneficiaries and their families in several critical areas. We previously obtained OMB approval for this demonstration, and are in the midst of implementing the project. In this information collection request, we are seeking approval to add new questions to our follow-up surveys. Respondents

are SSDI beneficiaries, who will provide written consent before agreeing to participate in the study and before we randomly assign them to one of the study treatment groups.

Type of Request: Request for a new information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Number of responses	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
Informed Consent Form	16,500	1	16,500	10	2,750
Baseline Survey	16,500	1	16,500	20	5,500
12-Month Follow Up Survey	6,000	1	6,000	31	3,100
24-Month Follow Up Survey	12,000	1	12,000	26	5,200
Interviews with Site Staff	40	4	160	66	176
Onsite Audit of Sample of Case Files	8	2	16	20	5
Semi-Structured Interviews with Treatment Group Subjects	144	1	144	60	144
Monthly Earnings and Impairment-Related Expenses Reporting Form (paper)	1,820	12	21,840	10	3,640
Monthly Earnings and Impairment-Related Expenses Reporting Form (Internet)	780	12	9,360	5	780
End of Year Reporting Form (paper)	945	1	945	15	236
End of Year Reporting Form (Internet)	405	1	405	10	68
Totals	55,142	83,870	21,599

II. SSA submitted the information collection below to OMB for clearance. Your comments regarding this information collections would be most useful if OMB and SSA receive them 30 days from the date of this publication. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than November 21, 2018. Individuals can obtain copies of the OMB clearance

packages by writing to OR.Reports.Clearance@ssa.gov.
Certificate of Support—20 CFR 404.370, 404.750, 404.408a—0960–0001. A parent of a deceased, fully insured worker may be entitled to Social Security Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) benefits based on the earnings record of the deceased worker under certain conditions. One of the conditions is the

parent receives at least one-half support from the deceased worker. The one-half support requirement also applies to a spousal applicant in determining whether OASDI benefits are subject to Government Pension Offset (GPO). SSA uses Form SSA-760-F4 to determine if the parent of a deceased worker or a spouse applicant meets the one-half support requirement. Respondents are (1) parents of deceased workers, and (2)

spouses who may meet the GPO exception,

Type of Request: Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
SSA-760-F4	18,000	1	15	4,500

Dated: October 17, 2018.

Naomi Sipple,

Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2018-22970 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 10588]

Overseas Security Advisory Council (Osac) Meeting Notice; Closed Meeting

The Department of State announces a meeting of the U.S. State Department Overseas Security Advisory Council on November 13, 2018. Pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix), 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4), and 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(7)(E), it has been determined that the meeting will be closed to the public. The meeting will focus on an examination of corporate security policies and procedures and will involve extensive discussion of trade secrets and proprietary commercial information that is privileged and confidential, and will discuss law enforcement investigative techniques and procedures. The agenda will include updated committee reports, a global threat overview, and other matters relating to private sector security policies and protective programs and the protection of U.S. business information overseas.

For more information, contact Marsha Thurman, Overseas Security Advisory Council, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20522-2008, phone: 571-345-2214.

Thomas G. Scanlon,

Executive Director, Overseas Security Advisory Council, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2018-23014 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-43-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 10587]

Overseas Security Advisory Council (OSAC) Renewal

The Department of State has renewed the Charter of the Overseas Security

Advisory Council. This federal advisory committee will continue to interact on overseas security matters of mutual interest between the U.S. Government and the American private sector. The Council's initiatives and security publications provide a unique contribution to protecting American private sector interests abroad. The Under Secretary for Management determined that renewal of the Charter is necessary and in the public interest.

The Council consists of representatives from three (3) U.S. Government agencies and thirty-one (31) American private sector companies and organizations. The Council follows the procedures prescribed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (Pub. L. 92-463). Meetings will be open to the public unless a determination is made in accordance with Section 10(d) of the FACA and 5 U.S.C. 552b, that a meeting or a portion of the meeting should be closed to the public. Notice of each meeting will be provided in the **Federal Register** at least 15 days prior to the meeting.

For more information contact Marsha Thurman, Overseas Security Advisory Council, Bureau of Diplomatic Security, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20522-2008, phone: 571-345-2214.

Thomas G. Scanlon,

Executive Director, Overseas Security Advisory Council, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2018-23017 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-43-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 10593]

Notice of Determinations; Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition—Determinations: “The Jeweled Isle: Art From Sri Lanka” Exhibition

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: I hereby determine that certain objects to be included in the exhibition “The Jeweled Isle: Art from Sri Lanka,” imported from abroad for temporary exhibition within the United States, are of cultural significance. The objects are imported pursuant to loan agreements with the

foreign owners or custodians. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art, Los Angeles, California, from on or about December 9, 2018, until on or about June 23, 2019, and at possible additional exhibitions or venues yet to be determined, is in the national interest. I have ordered that Public Notice of these determinations be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Simpson, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202-632-6471; email: section2459@state.gov). The mailing address is U.S. Department of State, L/PD, SA-5, Suite 5H03, Washington, DC 20522-0505.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The foregoing determinations were made pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), E.O. 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, Delegation of Authority No. 236-3 of August 28, 2000, and Delegation of Authority No. 236-16 of October 12, 2018.

Jennifer Z. Galt,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2018-22948 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Release of Waybill Data

The Surface Transportation Board has received a request from Neville Peterson LLP on behalf of Trinity Industries, Inc. (WB18-33—10/16/18) for permission to use data from the Board's 2017 Masked Carload Waybill Sample. A copy of this request may be obtained from the Board's website under docket no. WB18-33.

The waybill sample contains confidential railroad and shipper data; therefore, if any parties object to these requests, they should file their objections with the Director of the

Board's Office of Economics within 14 calendar days of the date of this notice. The rules for release of waybill data are codified at 49 CFR 1244.9.

Contact: Alexander Dusenberry, (202) 245-0319.

Jeffrey Herzig,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2018-22975 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. 2018-77]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Spray Robotics

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, the FAA's exemption process. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number and must be received on or before November 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA-2018-0734 using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M-30; U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12-140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202-493-2251.

Privacy: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal

information the commenter provides, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at <http://www.dot.gov/privacy>.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to the Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jake Troutman, (202) 683-7788, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 16, 2018.

Lirio Liu,

Executive Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA-2018-0734

Petitioner: Spray Robotics

Section(s) of 14 CFR Affected: part 21; part 27; §§ 61.113(a) & (b); 91.7(a); 91.119(c); 91.151(b); 91.405(a); 91.407(a)(1); 91.409(a)(1) & (2); 91.417(a) & (b); 137.19(d); 137.19(e)(2); 137.31(a) & (b); 137.33(a); 137.42.

Description of Relief Sought: The petitioner is requesting relief to operate the AG1 tandem-ducted fan vertical take-off and landing unmanned aircraft system (UAS), with maximum take-off weight of 350 pounds. The proposed operation is for: aerial application development flight testing in the agricultural environment; flight crew training; and demonstration of the system with the intent to secure sales. The petitioner proposes that a pilot in command conducting operations under this exemption, if granted, hold a valid and current Remote Pilot Certificate, and may operate the UAS for compensation or hire, or in furtherance of a business. The petitioner is also requesting relief to operate outside of the United States for demonstration and training purposes.

[FR Doc. 2018-23010 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2018-76]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Bombardier Inc.; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The FAA published a document in the **Federal Register** on October 5, 2018, requesting comments on a petition for exemption seeking relief from specified requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations. The document contained one incorrect reference to the petitioner.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Forseth, AIR-673, Federal Aviation Administration, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198, phone and fax 206-231-3179, email Mark.Forseth@faa.gov; or Alphonso Pendergrass, ARM-200, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591, phone 202-267-4713, email Alphonso.Pendergrass@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

In Summary Notice No. PE-2018-76, published in the **Federal Register** on October 5, 2018 (83 FR 50435), in FR Doc. 2018-21656, on page 50435, in the second column, correct the "Subject Heading" as follows:

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Bombardier Inc.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 10, 2018.

Victor Wicklund,

Manager, Transport Standards Branch.

[FR Doc. 2018-22927 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. 2018-81]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Latitude Engineering, LLC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief

from specified requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, the FAA's exemption process. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number and must be received on or before November 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA-2018-0619 using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M-30; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12-140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at (202) 493-2251.

Privacy: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at <http://www.dot.gov/privacy>.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to the Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jake Troutman, (202) 683-7788, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 16, 2018.

Lirio Liu,

Executive Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA-2018-0619.

Petitioner: Latitude Engineering, LLC.

Section(s) of 14 CFR Affected:

§§ 61.113(a) & (b); 91.7(a); 91.119(c); 91.121; 91.405(a); 91.407(a)(1) & (2); 91.417(a) & (b).

Description of Relief Sought: The proposed exemption, if granted, would allow the petitioner to operate the HQ-60 and HQ-90 unmanned aircraft systems, which weigh more than 55 pounds, commercially for the purpose of training and collection of aerial data. The exemption would enable the petitioner to work at and with airports in Arizona, Virginia, and Alaska.

[FR Doc. 2018-23012 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Disposal of Aeronautical Property at Murfreesboro Municipal Airport, Murfreesboro, TN

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration is requesting public comment on a request by the City of Murfreesboro, to release approximately 1.28 acres of land at the Murfreesboro Municipal Airport from federal obligations. The request consists of 1.28 acres of parcel "1" as shown on the Approved Exhibit A property map. The parcel is situated westward from the terminal area, lying along the south side of the main access road to the airport from Memorial Boulevard (US Highway 231). Parcel "1" was acquired by the City of Murfreesboro by Deed dated May 26, 1947, which included 237.25 acres of the original airport. This request will release 1.28 acres of this property from federal obligations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this notice may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Memphis Airports District Office, Attn: Jamal Stovall, Community Planner, 2600 Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 2250, Memphis, TN 38118.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Chad L.

Gehrke, Airport Manager, Murfreesboro Municipal Airport at the following address: Murfreesboro Municipal Airport, 1930 Municipal Boulevard, Murfreesboro, TN 37129.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jamal Stovall, Community Planner, Federal Aviation Administration, Memphis Airports District Office, 2600 Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 2250, Memphis, TN 38118-2482. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location, by appointment.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the request to release property for disposal at the Murfreesboro Municipal Airport, 1930 Memorial Boulevard, Murfreesboro, TN 37129, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 47107(h)(2). The FAA determined that the request to release property at the Murfreesboro Municipal Airport, (MBT) submitted by the Sponsor meets the procedural requirements of the Federal Aviation Administration and the release of the property does not and will not impact future aviation needs at the airport. The FAA may approve the request, in whole or in part, no sooner than thirty days after the publication of this notice.

The following is a brief overview of the request:

The City of Murfreesboro is releasing approximately 1.28 acres of property fronting U.S. Highway 231 (Memorial Boulevard) and Airport Road. This request will release this property from federal obligations.

Any person may inspect the request in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the request, notice and other documents germane to the request in person at the Murfreesboro Municipal Airport, 1930 Memorial Boulevard, Murfreesboro, TN 37129.

This action is taken under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 47107(h)(2).

Issued in Memphis, Tennessee on October 16, 2018.

Phillip J. Braden,

Manager, Memphis Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 2018-23011 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****[Summary Notice No. PE–2018–82]****Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Corvus Airlines dba Ravn Alaska****AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.**ACTION:** Notice of petition for exemption received.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, the FAA's exemption process. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number and must be received on or before November 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA–2018–0897 using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30; U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at <http://www.dot.gov/privacy>.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to the Docket

Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alana Zautner, AIR–673, Federal Aviation Administration, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198, phone 206–231–3369, email Alana.Zautner@faa.gov; or Alphonso Pendergrass, ARM–200, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591, phone 202–267–4713, email Alphonso.Pendergrass@faa.gov. This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on October 4th, 2018.

Victor Wicklund,*Manager, Transport Standards Branch.***Petition for Exemption***Docket No.:* FAA–2018–0897.*Petitioner:* Corvus Airlines dba Ravn Alaska.*Section(s) of 14 CFR Affected:*

§ 25.1309(b) and special condition no. 25–660–SC, effective on Bombardier May 1, 2017.

Description of Relief Sought: Corvus is seeking a time-limited exemption to allow the installation of a Survival Type Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT) powered by non-rechargeable lithium batteries on Bombardier DHC–8–100 series airplanes in order to support extended overwater operations.

[FR Doc. 2018–22932 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 329–4147; email: terry.chasteen@faa.gov.

Correction

On October 3, 2018, the Federal Aviation Administration published Notice No. NOA–18–01 in the **Federal Register** (83 FR 49971). On page 49973, first column, the ASTM designation for “Standard Practice for Independent Audit Program for Light Aircraft Manufacturers” is changed from “F3206–17” to “F3205–17.”

Pat Mullen,*Manager, Small Airplane Standards Branch, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2018–23009 Filed 10–19–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration****[PHMSA–2018–0072]****Pipeline Safety: Information Collection Activities; Revision of the Hazardous Liquid Annual Report****AGENCY:** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: On July 13, 2018, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, PHMSA published a notice in the **Federal Register** (83 FR 32710) inviting comments on a revision to form PHMSA F 7000–1.1—Annual Report for Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Systems, which is currently collected under the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control Number 2137–0614. This information collection expires on October 31, 2018.

During the public comment period, PHMSA received two comments in response to the proposed revision to this information collection. PHMSA is publishing this notice to respond to the comments received and to announce that the Information Collection Request will be submitted to OMB for approval.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 21, 2018 to be assured of consideration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Dow by telephone at 202–366–1246, by email at angela.dow@dot.gov, by fax at 202–366–4566, or by mail at

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****Correction to Notice of Availability (NOA) for Consensus Standards, Light-Sport Aircraft, Notice No. NOA–18–01****AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.**ACTION:** Notice of correction.

SUMMARY: This action for NOA–18–01 corrects the designation for one of the consensus standards. NOA–18–01 announced the availability and requests comments on two new and two revised consensus standards relating to the provisions of the Sport Pilot and Light-Sport Aircraft rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terry Chasteen, Light-Sport Aircraft Program Manager, Programs and Procedures, AIR–694, Small Airplane Standards Branch, Aircraft Certification

U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, PHP-30, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by the docket number PHMSA-2018-0072 by any of the following methods:

- *Fax:* 1-202-395-5806.
- *Mail:* Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Records Management Center, Room 10102 NEOB, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503, ATTN: Desk Officer for the U.S. Department of Transportation\PHMSA.
- *Email:* Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, at the following email address: *OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov*.

Requests for a copy of the Information Collection should be directed to Angela Dow by telephone at 202-366-1246, by fax at 202-366-4566, by email at *angela.dow@dot.gov*, or by mail at U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, PHP-30, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Comments Received

During the 60-day comment period, PHMSA received a joint comment from American Petroleum Institute (API) and the Association of Oil Pipe Lines (AOPL) and a comment from the American Gas Association. Both comments emphasized the importance of PHMSA streamlining its data collection and entry processes.

American Petroleum Institute (API) and the Association of Oil Pipe Lines (AOPL) provided a joint comment recommending that PHMSA allow operators to submit annual report data through the National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS). However, the NPMS does not currently include all of the pipeline attributes in the annual report. Additionally, PHMSA's proposal to add attributes to the NPMS through docket PHMSA-2014-0092 is not approved by the OMB and does not include attributes for barrel-miles transported, integrity inspections, or integrity assessments. For these reasons, operators need to continue to use Parts C, F, and G of the Annual Report.

API/AOPL are proposing that PHMSA remove Part M Breakout Tanks by state, interstate/intrastate and by size. PHMSA needs to collect data on breakout tanks since the public relies on PHMSA to provide this data. The state and interstate/intrastate status of the breakout tanks is required to determine whether PHMSA or a state pipeline safety partner regulates the tanks.

API/AOPL also recommended that PHMSA allow operators to submit

annual report data to the PHMSA portal by way of Secure File Transfer Protocol (SFTP). PHMSA has invested significant effort in the data collection routine currently in use. Numerous validations have been built to ensure the consistency of data among various parts of the annual report. PHMSA lacks the resources to recreate these validations in an SFTP environment. If PHMSA were to provide an SFTP submittal option, it would be extremely difficult to incorporate future changes. If the annual report were to change in the future, PHMSA would have to modify the existing data collection routine and the SFTP process.

The American Gas Association (AGA) submitted a comment in support of the joint comment submitted by API/AOPL.

Section 1320.8(d), Title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, requires PHMSA to provide interested members of the public and affected agencies an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping requests. This notice identifies an information collection request that PHMSA will submit to OMB for revision. The following information is provided for this information collection: (1) Title of the information collection; (2) OMB control number; (3) Current expiration date; (4) Type of request; (5) Abstract of the information collection activity; (6) Description of affected public; (7) Estimate of total annual reporting and recordkeeping burden; and (8) Frequency of collection. PHMSA will request a three-year term of approval for this information collection activity. PHMSA requests comments on the following information collection:

Title: Reporting Requirements for Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Operators: Hazardous Liquid Annual Report.

OMB Control Number: 2137-0614.

Current Expiration Date: 10/31/2018.

Type of Request: Revision.

Abstract: Each operator must annually complete and submit Form PHMSA F 7000-1.1 for each type of hazardous liquid pipeline facility operated at the end of the previous year, as required by 49 CFR 195.49. This Annual Report for Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Systems is required to be filed by June 15 of each year for the preceding calendar year. On the Annual Report form, PHMSA collects data concerning the number of miles of pipeline each operator has and other characteristics of each pipeline system. PHMSA also collects information on the number of anomalies identified and repaired using various types of pipe inspection and assessment methods.

Affected Public: Hazardous liquid pipeline operators.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden:

Total Annual Responses: 447.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 8,457.

Frequency of collection: Annually.

Comments are invited on:

(a) The need for the proposed collection of information for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 17, 2018, under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.97.

John A. Gale,

Director, Office of Standards and Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 2018-22989 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been placed on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List based on OFAC's determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S. persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for effective date(s).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: OFAC: Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202-622-2420; Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202-622-2490;

Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202-622-2480; or the Department of the Treasury's Office of the General Counsel: Office of the Chief Counsel (Foreign Assets Control), tel.: 202-622-2410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's website (www.treas.gov/ofac).

Notice of OFAC Actions

On September 14, 2018, OFAC determined that the property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following person are blocked under the relevant sanctions authorities listed below.

Entity

1. MY AVIATION COMPANY LIMITED, 27th Floor, ITF Tower 140/65 Silom Road, Suriyawong, Bang Rak, Bangkok 10500, Thailand; Email Address natthapong@myaviation.biz; Additional Sanctions Information—Subject to Secondary Sanctions; Business Registration Number 0105551001856 [SDGT] [IFSR] (Linked To: MAHAN AIR).

Designated pursuant to section 1(c) of E.O. 13224 for acting for or on behalf of Iran's MAHAN AIR, a person determined to be subject to E.O. 13224.

Additionally, on September 14, 2018, OFAC updated the entry on the Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List for the following entity, whose property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction continue to be blocked under the relevant sanctions authorities listed below.

Entity

MAHAN TRAVEL AND TOURISM SDN BHD (a.k.a. MAHAN TRAVEL), No.01, Lower Ground Floor, Block C, NO:12 Megan Avenue2, Jalan Yap, Kwan Seng, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; website <http://mahantravel.com.my>; Email Address mahankualalumpur@yahoo.com; Additional Sanctions Information—Subject to Secondary Sanctions; Registration Number 875233-U (Malaysia) [SDGT] [IFSR] (Linked To: MAHAN AIR).

-to-

MAHAN TRAVEL AND TOURISM SDN BHD (a.k.a. MAHAN TRAVEL; a.k.a. MIHAN TRAVEL & TOURISM SDN BHD), No.01, Lower Ground Floor, Block C, NO:12 Megan Avenue2, Jalan Yap, Kwan Seng, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; website <http://mahantravel.com.my>; Email Address mahankualalumpur@yahoo.com; Additional Sanctions Information—Subject to Secondary Sanctions; Registration Number 875233-U (Malaysia) [SDGT] [IFSR] (Linked To: MAHAN AIR).

Designated on July 9, 2018 pursuant to section 1(c) of E.O. 13224 for acting for or on behalf of MAHAN AIR, a person determined to be subject to E.O. 13224.

Dated: October 16, 2018.

Andrea M. Gacki,

*Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control,
U.S. Department of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc. 2018-22882 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AL-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Action

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of three persons that have been placed on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List based on OFAC's determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S. persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: OFAC: Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202-622-2420; Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202-622-2490; Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202-622-2480; Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel. 202-622-4855; or the Department of the Treasury's Office of the General Counsel: Office of the Chief Counsel (Foreign Assets Control), tel.: 202-622-2410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's website (www.treasury.gov/ofac).

Notice of OFAC Action

On August 24, 2018, OFAC determined that the property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following persons are blocked under the relevant sanctions authority listed below.

Individuals

1. FAIZ, Mohammed Karim Yusop (a.k.a. FAIZ, Mohammad Yusuf Karim;

a.k.a. FAIZ, Mohammed Yusop Karin; a.k.a. FAIZ, Mohd Karim Yusop; a.k.a. SAIFUDDIN, Muhmmad; a.k.a. "AL-INDONESI, Abu Walid"; a.k.a. "AL-INDUNISI, Abu-Walid"), Syria; DOB 11 Oct 1978; POB Indonesia; nationality Indonesia; citizen Indonesia; Gender Male (individual) [SDGT] (Linked To: ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT).

Designated pursuant to section 1(c) of Executive Order 13224 of September 23, 2001, "Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions With Persons Who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism" (E.O. 13224) for acting for or on behalf of the ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT, an entity determined to be subject to E.O. 13224.

2. KIRAM, Mohammad Reza Lahaman (a.k.a. KIRAM, Mohd Reza; a.k.a. "AL-FILIPINI, Abu Abdul Rahman"), Syria; DOB 03 Mar 1990; POB Zamboanga City, Zamboanga del Sur, Philippines; nationality Philippines; citizen Philippines; Gender Male (individual) [SDGT] (Linked To: ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT).

Designated pursuant to section 1(c) of Executive Order 13224 of September 23, 2001, "Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions With Persons Who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism" (E.O. 13224) for acting for or on behalf of the ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT, an entity determined to be subject to E.O. 13224.

3. UDIN, Mohamad Rafi (a.k.a. UDIN, Mohd Rafi; a.k.a. UDIN, Rafi; a.k.a. "AL-MALISI, Abu Awn"), Syria; DOB 03 Jun 1966; POB Negri Sembilan, Malaysia; nationality Malaysia; citizen Malaysia; Gender Male (individual) [SDGT] (Linked To: ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT).

Designated pursuant to section 1(c) of Executive Order 13224 of September 23, 2001, "Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions With Persons Who Commit, Threaten to Commit, or Support Terrorism" (E.O. 13224) for acting for or on behalf of the ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT, an entity determined to be subject to E.O. 13224.

Dated: August 24, 2018.

Andrea Gacki,

Acting Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

[FR Doc. 2018-22896 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AL-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**United States Mint****Notice of Stakeholder Roundtable on Coin Circulation****ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Mint announces an invitation stakeholder roundtable for the purpose of exchanging facts and information on the circulation of coinage. The Mint seeks information and advice on an individual basis about how coin can better circulate to reduce the commercial demand for newly minted coinage. The Mint intends to issue invitations to up to 35 participants with the objective of creating a diverse cross-section of stakeholder interests including, but not limited to, those associated with armored car carriers, coin processors, national and regional coin aggregators, financial institutions, and large retailers.

Date: December 5, 2018.*Time:* 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.*Location:* United States Mint, 801 9th Street NW, Second Floor Conference Room, Washington, DC 20220.

Subject: The Mint is interested in both short-term and long-term ideas to reduce the commercial demand for newly minted coinage. In service toward this objective, the Mint will conduct a facilitated discussion about coin circulation patterns and stakeholder concerns.

This is not a public meeting. Any member of the public interested in participating in this roundtable discussion should use the contact information in this notice to request an invitation and obtain additional meeting information. Please include a description of your interest in the

subject matter and a brief description of the individual perspective that you would bring to the roundtable discussion. The Mint intends to issue invitations on a rolling basis, and thus encourages interested parties to submit requests in a timely manner and no later than close of business on Friday, November 9, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick Cuddy, Senior Advisor, Office of Coin Studies at officeofcoinstudies@usmint.treas.gov; or call 202-354-6600.

Authority: 31 U.S.C. 5136.*Dated:* October 17, 2018.**David J. Ryder,***Director, United States Mint.*

[FR Doc. 2018-22966 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS**

Enhanced-Use Lease (EUL) of U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Real Property for the Development of a Permanent Supportive Housing Facility at the Lexington VA Health Care System, Franklin R. Sousley Campus in Lexington, Kentucky

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of VA intends to enter into an EUL for the purpose of outleasing Buildings #5, 6, 7, 8 and construct six new townhomes on approximately 10.52 acres of underutilized land on the Lexington VA Health Care System, Franklin R. Sousley campus, consisting of approximately 50 housing units to provide affordable

housing for veterans. The EUL lessee, Leestown VA Housing, LLLP, will finance, design, develop, rehabilitate, construct, manage, maintain, and operate housing for eligible homeless veterans, or veterans at-risk of homelessness, and their families, as well as provide services that guide resident veterans toward attaining long-term self-sufficiency.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward L. Bradley III, Office of Asset Enterprise Management (044), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461-7778 (this is not a toll free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title 38 U.S.C. 8161, *et seq.*, authorizes the Secretary to enter into an EUL for the provision of supportive housing, if the lease would not be inconsistent with and will not adversely affect the mission of the Department. This project comports with those parameters.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Robert L. Wilkie, Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document for publication on October 12, 2018.

Approved: October 12, 2018.**Jeffrey M. Martin,**

Assistant Director, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018-22941 Filed 10-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 204

Monday, October 22, 2018

CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION

Federal Register/Code of Federal Regulations

General Information, indexes and other finding aids **202-741-6000****Laws** **741-6000**

Presidential Documents

Executive orders and proclamations **741-6000****The United States Government Manual** **741-6000**

Other Services

Electronic and on-line services (voice) **741-6020**Privacy Act Compilation **741-6050**

ELECTRONIC RESEARCH

World Wide Web

Full text of the daily Federal Register, CFR and other publications is located at: **www.govinfo.gov**.Federal Register information and research tools, including Public Inspection List and electronic text are located at: **www.federalregister.gov**.

E-mail

FEDREGTOC (Daily Federal Register Table of Contents Electronic Mailing List) is an open e-mail service that provides subscribers with a digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents. The digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents includes HTML and PDF links to the full text of each document.To join or leave, go to **https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USGPOOFR/subscriber/new**, enter your email address, then follow the instructions to join, leave, or manage your subscription.**PENS** (Public Law Electronic Notification Service) is an e-mail service that notifies subscribers of recently enacted laws.To subscribe, go to **http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html** and select *Join or leave the list (or change settings)*; then follow the instructions.**FEDREGTOC** and **PENS** are mailing lists only. We cannot respond to specific inquiries.**Reference questions.** Send questions and comments about the Federal Register system to: **fedreg.info@nara.gov**

The Federal Register staff cannot interpret specific documents or regulations.

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATE, OCTOBER

49265-49458.....	1
49459-49768.....	2
49769-49986.....	3
49987-50254.....	4
50255-50474.....	5
50475-50802.....	9
50803-51300.....	10
51301-51620.....	11
51621-51814.....	12
51815-52114.....	15
52115-52304.....	16
52305-52750.....	17
52751-52942.....	18
52943-53158.....	19
53159-53362.....	22

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING OCTOBER

At the end of each month the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

Proclamations:

9791.....	50241
9792.....	50243
9793.....	50245
9794.....	50247
9795.....	50249
9796.....	50251
9797.....	50253
9798.....	50803
9799.....	51299
9800.....	51613
9801.....	51615
9802.....	51621
9803.....	52111
9804.....	52113
9805.....	52933
9806.....	52935
9807.....	52937

Administrative Orders:

Memorandums:

Memorandum of September 10, 2018.....50237

Memorandum of October 16, 2018.....53157

Notices:

Notice of October 17, 2018.....52941

Presidential Determinations:

No. 2018-12 of September 11, 2018.....50239

No. 2019-02 of October 5, 2018.....51617

No. 2019-03 of October 5, 2018.....51619

5 CFR

9800.....49769

7 CFR

51.....	50475
318.....	49987
319.....	49987
400.....	51301
900.....	52943
906.....	52944
945.....	49776
982.....	52946
1400.....	49459
1416.....	49459

Proposed Rules:

56.....	50527
62.....	50527
70.....	50527
226.....	50038
810.....	49498
905.....	49499, 53003
920.....	49312
944.....	53003

985.....	50527
986.....	50531
1212.....	49314

8 CFR

Proposed Rules:

103.....	51114
212.....	51114
213.....	51114
214.....	51114
245.....	51114
248.....	51114

10 CFR

52.....	51304
72.....	53159

Proposed Rules

2.....	50533
72.....	53191
431.....	49501

12 CFR

45.....	50805
201.....	49472
204.....	49473
237.....	50805
349.....	50805
624.....	50805
1221.....	50805
1231.....	49987
1239.....	52950
1273.....	52950
1290.....	52115

Proposed Rules:

30.....	50046
722.....	49857
1083.....	51653

14 CFR

25.....	53163, 53166, 53168
29.....	51623, 51624
39.....	49265, 49269, 49272, 49275, 49475, 49780, 49784, 49786, 49789, 49791, 49793, 50477, 50479, 50482, 50814, 50816, 50818, 50821, 51304, 51313, 51815, 51819, 51823, 51825, 51829, 52118, 52120, 52123, 52126, 52131, 52135, 52137, 52140, 52143, 52305, 52751, 52754, 52756, 53171
71.....	49277, 49482, 49483, 50255, 50256, 50823, 51315, 51832, 51833, 51834, 52147
91.....	52954
97.....	53174, 53177

Proposed Rules:

21.....	50536
25.....	53193
39.....	49317, 50047, 50537, 50539, 50860, 50862, 51887, 51889, 52171, 52173
61.....	53195

7149506, 50050, 51895,
51897, 51898, 51900, 51901,
51903

15 CFR

90249994, 52760

16 CFR

41050484

Proposed Rules:

113050542

17 CFR

21050148

22752962

22950148

23050148, 52962

23950148

24050148

24950148

27450148

42052767

Proposed Rules:

452902

21049630

22949630

23949630

24049630, 50297, 53007

24949630

18 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

251654

3851654

20 CFR

41651836

Proposed Rules:

40451400

40851400

41651400

21 CFR

17250487, 50490

17750490

57349485

86652313

86852964

87852966, 52968, 52970

88252315, 52972

88652973

Proposed Rules:

11253196

11753197

57349508

22 CFR

550823

12150003

12350003

23 CFR

658 Appendix C49487

24 CFR

57050257

26 CFR

150258, 50864, 51072

Proposed Rules:

151904, 52726

30151906, 52726

60251904

29 CFR

400149799

402249799, 51836

404349799

404449799

Proposed Rules:

54149869

31 CFR

80051316

80151322

32 CFR

31052317

70652768

Proposed Rules:

15153020

33 CFR

10049489, 51625, 52770

11749278, 49279, 49280,

50007, 50259, 51628, 51837,

51838, 52148, 52319, 52976

16549281, 49283, 50260,

50262, 50503, 51334, 51336,

51338, 51628, 51838, 52320,

52977, 52979, 52981

Proposed Rules:

10052333

16550310, 50545, 53023,

53199

34 CFR

61152148

61452148

63652148

64952148

68052148

69352148

69552148

69652148

69752148

69852148

69952148

Proposed Rules:

Ch. VI51906

36 CFR

24250758

100750826

100850826

100950826

101150826

Proposed Rules:

24249322

37 CFR

4251340

20151840, 52150

Proposed Rules:

20152176, 52336

20252336

38 CFR

352322, 53179

3650506

Proposed Rules:

1752345

39 CFR

2052323

11151359, 52326

301052154

305049286

Proposed Rules:

2052351

11152353

305052178

40 CFR

949295, 49806, 50838,

51360

5249295, 49297, 49298,

49300, 49492, 49826, 50007,

50010, 50012, 50014, 50018,

50022, 50024, 50264, 50266,

50271, 50274, 50506, 50849,

50851, 50854, 51361, 51366,

51629, 52772, 52983

6153183,

6351842, 53183

7049300

8150024, 52157

8250026

13152163

14151636

18050284, 51857, 51863,

52986, 52991, 52996

70052694

72052694

72149295, 49806, 50838,

51360

72352694

72552694

79052694

79152694

Proposed Rules:

951910, 51911

5249330, 49509, 49870,

49872, 49894, 50052, 50312,

50314, 50548, 50551, 50865,

50867, 51403, 53201

6052056

6249897

7049509

8150556

8249332

8553204

8649344, 53204

18052787

27149900, 50869

72149903, 50872, 51910,

51911, 52179

42 CFR

41149832

41249832

41349832, 49836

42449832, 49836

49549836

Proposed Rules:

40352789

40549513

42349513

44 CFR

Ch. I49302

6450289

45 CFR

10251369

46 CFR

50250290

50350290

51550290

52050290

53050290

53550290

54050290

55050290

55550290

56050290

Proposed Rules:

40152355

40452355

47 CFR

151867

2753190

7350035

Proposed Rules:

6353026

7651911

48 CFR

80149302

81149302

83249302

85249302

87049302

Proposed Rules:

23250052

24250052

25250052

49 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

1050053

17252878

17552878

39550055

55550872

57150872, 51766

59150872

115250326

Proposed Rules:

52353204

53153204

53353204

53653204

53753204

50 CFR

1752775

10050758

30052760

62250295, 51390, 52330

63550857, 51391, 52169

66050510

66549495

67949496, 49497, 49994,

50036, 51399, 52332, 52760

Proposed Rules:

1750560, 50574, 50582,

50610, 51418, 51570

10049322

62250056, 51424

64850059

69750061

69851426

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion

in today's **List of Public Laws**.

Last List October 18, 2018

Public Laws Electronic Notification Service (PENS)

PENS is a free electronic mail notification service of newly

enacted public laws. To subscribe, go to <http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html>

Note: This service is strictly for E-mail notification of new laws. The text of laws is not available through this service. **PENS** cannot respond to specific inquiries sent to this address.