

programmatic matters as well as matters involving agency personnel or procurement. *See, e.g., Brodsky v. NRC*, No. 09–Civ–10594 (LAP), 2015 WL 1623824 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 26, 2015); *Khoury v. Meserve*, 268 F. Supp. 2d 600 (D. Md. 2003). Consequently, the DOJ was well aware of the NRC's filings in the *Aiken County* case specifically and is deeply involved in the NRC's litigation matters generally.

With respect to the codification of the need to make appeals and rehearing decisions in accordance with the Model Rules of Professional Conduct, each NRC attorney is already subject to the disciplinary rules of the bar in which he or she is admitted as well as the courts in which he or she appears. All decisions to seek further review of an adverse ruling are coordinated with the DOJ and, as necessary, the Solicitor General, who are likewise bound by applicable disciplinary rules. It is therefore not necessary to reference the ABA's Model Rules of Professional Conduct in the NRC's regulations.

The NRC therefore denies further consideration of the petitioner's first proposed rule for the reasons stated.

The NRC is denying further consideration of the petitioner's second proposed rule because it is the NRC's practice to refrain from disclosing pre-decisional budgetary information, consistent with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance. OMB Circular A–11 directs agencies to withhold pre-decisional materials underlying budget deliberations. *See* OMB Circular A–11, *Preparation, Submission, and Execution of the Budget*, 22–1 (July 2016). Circular A–11 directs agencies “not [to] release agency justifications provided to OMB and any agency future year plans or long-range estimates to anyone outside of the Executive Branch” unless otherwise allowed under the Circular. Communications within the Executive Branch that ultimately lead to the President's budgetary decisions are not disclosed either by the NRC or by OMB. The petitioner's proposed rule would require the NRC to disclose annually certain budget decisions and the Executive Branch communications underlying those decisions. On the basis of our practice of compliance with OMB guidance, the NRC will not proceed with the petitioner's proposed rule.

The arguments presented by the petitioner focus heavily on the outcome and safety consequences of the *Aiken County* decision, but they fail to justify the need for additional processes in the NRC's regulations. In light of the processes currently in place, the NRC did not identify any safety,

environmental, or security issues associated with the petitioner's concerns. Further, the NRC continues to be committed to its safety mission and to promoting a positive safety culture.⁷

With regard to the petitioner's concerns about agency inaction with respect to Yucca Mountain, the NRC has used virtually all of the remaining funds appropriated through fiscal year 2011 by Congress for the Yucca Mountain project to further review the application, consistent with the *Aiken County* decision and the Commission's Order in response to the case. Among other things, the NRC staff completed the Safety Evaluation Report and a Final Supplement to DOE's Environmental Impact Statement for the Yucca Mountain geologic repository. The NRC staff also placed millions of items of discovery material from the adjudicatory proceeding relating to the application in the public portion of the agency's online records collection.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated in Section II, the NRC is denying PRM–2–15. The petition failed to identify a need for the proposed rules. Further, the NRC evaluated the petition in light of the considerations described in § 2.803(h)(1) and found the petition inconsistent with current agency policies and practice.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of October 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–21804 Filed 10–5–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 21

[Docket No. FAA–2018–0860]

Proposed Primary Category Design Standards; Vertical Aviation Technologies (VAT) Model S–52L Rotorcraft

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice shortening comment period.

SUMMARY: This action shortens the comment period for the notice of availability; request for comments that was published on September 26, 2018. In that document, the FAA announced the existence of and requested comments on the proposed airworthiness design standards for acceptance of the Vertical Aviation Technologies (VAT) Model S–52L rotorcraft under the regulations for primary category aircraft.

DATES: The comment period for the document published September 26, 2018, at 83 FR 48574, is shortened. Comments must be received on or before October 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Federal Aviation Administration, Policy and Innovation Division, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, AIR–681, Attention: Michael Hughlett, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Ft. Worth, Texas 76117. Comments may also be emailed to: Michael.Hughlett@faa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Hughlett, Aviation Safety Engineer, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, FAA, 10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Fort Worth, Texas 76177; telephone (817) 222–5110; email Michael.Hughlett@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested parties to submit comments on the proposed airworthiness standards to the address specified above. Commenters must identify the VAT Model S–52L on all submitted correspondence. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the airworthiness standards, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received on or before the closing date before issuing the final acceptance. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We

⁷ The NRC has processes to self-assess and promote the safety culture of the agency. In conjunction with the IG's Office, the NRC participates in a safety culture climate survey to evaluate the comfort of the agency's workforce to raise safety concerns through these processes. The IG's Office appraises the outcome of these surveys in reports and provides corrective action recommendations, where appropriate. The most recent IG report on this topic was released on April 15, 2016. *See* U.S. NRC, *OIG Reports*, available at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/insp-gen/> (last updated October 19, 2017).

may change the proposed airworthiness standards based on received comments.

Background

On September 26, 2018, the FAA issued a notice of availability; request for comments, entitled “Proposed Primary Category Design Standards; Vertical Aviation Technologies (VAT) Model S–52L Rotorcraft” (83 FR 48574) (“notice of availability”). The notice of availability established a 60-day comment period.

The FAA finds that a 30-day comment period is sufficient for the public to analyze and provide meaningful comment to notice of availability. The date by which to file comments is therefore shortened from November 26, 2018, to October 26, 2018. The FAA does not anticipate any further action to be taken regarding this comment period.

Shortening of Comment Period

Accordingly, the comment period for the notice of availability has been shortened to close on October 26, 2018.

Issued in Ft Worth, Texas, on September 27, 2018.

Jorge Castillo,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–21661 Filed 10–5–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2018–0809; Product Identifier 2018–NM–092–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Dassault Aviation Model FALCON 2000 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Dassault Aviation Model FALCON 2000 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by a report of chafing of a wire bundle located at the bottom of the right hand electrical cabinet. This proposed AD would require a one-time general visual inspection of the wiring bundle for damage, measurement of the clearance between the metallic plate and the wiring bundle, and corrective actions if necessary. We are proposing

this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by November 23, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- **Fax:** 202–493–2251.
- **Mail:** U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.
- **Hand Delivery:** Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact Dassault Falcon Jet Corporation, Teterboro Airport, P.O. Box 2000, South Hackensack, NJ 07606; telephone 201–440–6700; internet <http://www.dassaultfalcon.com>. You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0809; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations (phone: 800–647–5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax 206–231–3226.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2018–0809; Product Identifier 2018–NM–092–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory,

economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this NPRM. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this NPRM because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this NPRM.

Discussion

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, has issued EASA AD 2018–0114, dated May 23, 2018, (referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for certain Dassault Aviation Model FALCON 2000 airplanes. The MCAI states:

One Falcon 2000 aeroplane experienced some chafing of a wire bundle located at the bottom of the right-hand (RH) electrical cabinet (between Frames 4 and 5). The wire loom interfered with a metallic (ground) plate of terminal strip 700J and at least 12 wires were damaged. This wire loom includes 250 wires and in case of chafing, any wire may be damaged.

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could lead to improper functioning of aeroplane systems [such as loss of wing anti-icing or wing anti-icing inoperative indication, loss of normal braking indication, and loss of “No take-off” indication], possibly resulting in reduced control of the aeroplane.

To address this potential unsafe condition, Dassault developed a modification M3889 to improve the clearance between the metallic plate and the wire loom, and published the SB [Dassault Aviation Service Bulletin F2000–436] to inspect and modify aeroplanes in service.

For the reasons described above, this [EASA] AD requires a one-time inspection of the wiring bundle for interference or damage, measurement of the clearance between the metallic plate and the wiring bundle, and depending on findings, modification of the aeroplane by cutting out the lower part of the ground plate of terminal strip 700J and adding an edge protection to prevent interference. Aeroplanes that do not have a metallic plate installed are not affected by this [EASA] AD.

You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0809.

Related Service Information Under 14 CFR Part 51

Dassault Aviation has issued Service Bulletin F2000–436, dated September 28, 2017. This service information