

conducted a joint research program on weld residual stress (WRS) modeling under a memorandum of understanding. This research program consisted of several modeling and measurement studies on prototypic mockups to represent the residual stress state in safety-related nuclear components susceptible to primary water stress corrosion cracking, see NUREG-2162 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14087A118). Since then, the NRC has made use of the data gained in that research program to formulate a potential validation scheme for finite element modeling of WRS. The NRC's proposal is documented in a draft NUREG entitled, "Weld Residual Stress Finite Element Analysis Validation: Part II—Proposed Validation Procedure" (ADAMS Accession No. ML18242A007). The NRC is requesting public comment on this document. Specifically, the NRC would like feedback on four specific technical issues related to the proposed validation procedure:

1. The NRC recommended the use of the average hardening approach in the current version of the draft NUREG ("average hardening" meaning the arithmetic mean of isotropic and nonlinear kinematic results). Given the discussion in Section 5.2, please comment on the advantages and disadvantages of using either the averaging approach or isotropic hardening. What initial and recurring costs are foreseen in implementing either approach in future analyses?
2. The NRC introduced four options for benchmark in Section 5.4.2. The NRC chose to develop the validation scheme with a benchmark based upon the modeling results, rather than the measurements. Please comment on the NRC's proposal and whether the justification is adequate.
3. Please comment on the proposed quality metrics introduced in Section 5.4.7. Are these metrics appropriate for their intended purpose? Has the NRC presented an appropriate technical justification (see Sections 5.4.8 and 5.4.10) for the proposed metrics?
4. Please comment on the feasibility of the proposed validation scheme. What initial (e.g., software and guidance development) and recurring (i.e., costs for each analysis) costs are foreseen for implementing the validation scheme?

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of September, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Raj Iyengar,

Chief, Component Integrity Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2018-19941 Filed 9-12-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-1050; NRC-2016-0231]

Interim Storage Partners LLC's Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental impact statement; reopening of scoping comment period; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is correcting a notice that was published in the **Federal Register** (FR) on September 4, 2018, reopening the scoping comment period for the NRC's Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Interim Storage Partners LLC (ISP) proposed consolidated interim storage facility for spent nuclear fuel, to be located on the Waste Control Specialists LLC (WCS) site in Andrews County, Texas.

DATES: The correction is effective September 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2016-0231 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking Website:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0231. Address questions about dockets in [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual(s) listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. Documents related to WCS' license application can be found under Docket Number 72-1050.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- **Project web page:** Information related to the ISP CISF project can be accessed on the NRC's project web page

at: <https://www.nrc.gov/waste/spent-fuel-storage/cis/waste-control-specialist.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Park, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-6954; email: James.Park@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the FR on September 4, 2018, in FR Doc. 2018-18067, on page 44923, in column one, under the second paragraph, last line in Section II, "Discussion," correct the date from "October 19, 2018" to "September 4, 2018." The scoping comment period due date was inadvertently added.

The NRC has decided to reopen the EIS public scoping comment period on the application until October 19, 2018, to allow more time for members of the public to submit their comments.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, on September 7, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brian W. Smith,

Deputy Director, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety, Safeguards, and Environmental Review, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2018-19928 Filed 9-12-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2018-301]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* September 17, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: CP2018–301; *Filing Title*: Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 10 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-

Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: September 7, 2018; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: September 17, 2018.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–19924 Filed 9–12–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Temporary Emergency Committee of the Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 39 FR 45149.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: Thursday, September 13, 2018, at 1:00 p.m.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: A portion of this meeting will be held as an open session at 8:00 a.m. on Thursday, September 13, 2018. Agenda items include:

1. Administrative Items

The closed session will be held promptly afterwards.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Michael J. Elston, Acting Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW, Washington, DC 20260–1000. Telephone: (202) 268–4800.

Michael J. Elston,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–20066 Filed 9–11–18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736.

Extension:

Rule 154; SEC File No. 270–438; OMB Control No. 3235–0495.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information

summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

The federal securities laws generally prohibit an issuer, underwriter, or dealer from delivering a security for sale unless a prospectus meeting certain requirements accompanies or precedes the security. Rule 154 (17 CFR 230.154) under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a) (the “Securities Act”) permits, under certain circumstances, delivery of a single prospectus to investors who purchase securities from the same issuer and share the same address (“householding”) to satisfy the applicable prospectus delivery requirements.¹ The purpose of rule 154 is to reduce the amount of duplicative prospectuses delivered to investors sharing the same address.

Under rule 154, a prospectus is considered delivered to all investors at a shared address, for purposes of the federal securities laws, if the person relying on the rule delivers the prospectus to the shared address, addresses the prospectus to the investors as a group or to each of the investors individually, and the investors consent to the delivery of a single prospectus. The rule applies to prospectuses and prospectus supplements. Currently, the rule permits householding of all prospectuses by an issuer, underwriter, or dealer relying on the rule if, in addition to the other conditions set forth in the rule, the issuer, underwriter, or dealer has obtained from each investor written or implied consent to householding.² The rule requires issuers, underwriters, or dealers that wish to household prospectuses with implied consent to send a notice to each investor stating that the investors in the household will receive one prospectus in the future unless the investors provide contrary instructions. In addition, at least once a year, issuers, underwriters, or dealers relying on rule

¹ The Securities Act requires the delivery of prospectuses to investors who buy securities from an issuer or from underwriters or dealers who participate in a registered distribution of securities. See Securities Act sections 2(a)(10), 4(1), 4(3), 5(b) [15 U.S.C. 77b(a)(10), 77d(1), 77d(3), 77e(b)]; see also rule 174 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.174) (regarding the prospectus delivery obligation of dealers); rule 15c2–8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 CFR 240.15c2–8) (prospectus delivery obligations of brokers and dealers).

² Rule 154 permits the householding of prospectuses that are delivered electronically to investors only if delivery is made to a shared electronic address and the investors give written consent to householding. Implied consent is not permitted in such a situation. See rule 154(b)(4).

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).