

Total estimated burden: 5,305,696 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$464,896,000 (per year), includes \$258,937,000 annualized capital or operation and maintenance costs.

Changes in estimates: There is no estimated increase or decrease of hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared to what was identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB.

Dated: August 31, 2018.

Peter Grevatt,

Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

[FR Doc. 2018–19761 Filed 9–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OAR–2004–0016; FRL–9983–61–OAR]

Proposed Information Collection Request; Comment Request; Part 71 Federal Operating Permit Program (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is planning to submit an information collection request (ICR), “Part 71 Federal Operating Permit Program (Renewal)” (EPA ICR No. 1713.12, OMB Control No. 2060.0336) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). Before doing so, the EPA is soliciting public comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through May 31, 2019. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2004–0016, at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other

information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joanna W. Gmyr, Air Quality Policy Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, C504–05, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC; telephone number: (919) 541–9782; fax number: (919) 541–5509; email address: gmyr.joanna@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is (202) 566–1744. For additional information about the EPA’s public docket, visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the EPA is soliciting comments and information to enable it to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses. The EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package

will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval. At that time, the EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB.

Abstract: Title V of the Clean Air Act (Act) requires the EPA to operate a federal operating permits program in areas not subject to an approved state program. The EPA regulations setting forth the requirements for the federal (EPA) operating permit program are at 40 CFR part 71. The part 71 program is designed to be implemented primarily by the EPA in all areas where state and local agencies do not have jurisdiction, such as Indian country and offshore, beyond states’ seaward boundaries. The EPA may also delegate authority to implement the part 71 program on its behalf to a state, local or tribal agency, if the agency requests delegation and makes certain showings regarding its authority and ability to implement the program. One such delegate agency for the part 71 program exists at present.

In order to receive an operating permit for a major or other source subject to the permitting program, the applicant must conduct the necessary research, perform the appropriate analyses, and prepare the permit application with documentation to demonstrate that its facility meets all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. Specific activities and requirements are listed and described in the Supporting Statement for the part 71 ICR.

Under part 71, the permitting authority (the EPA or a delegate agency) reviews permit applications, provides for public review of proposed permits, issues permits based on consideration of all technical factors and public input, and reviews information submittals required of sources during the term of the permit. Under part 71, the EPA reviews certain actions and performs oversight of any delegate agency, consistent with the terms of a delegation agreement. Consequently, information prepared and submitted by sources is essential for sources to receive permits, and for federal and tribal permitting agencies to adequately review the permit applications and issue the permits, oversee implementation of the permits, and properly administer and manage the program.

Information that is collected is handled according to the EPA’s policies set forth in title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B—Confidentiality of Business Information (*see* 40 CFR part 2). *See also* section 114(c) of the Act.

Form Numbers: The forms are 5900–01, 5900–02, 5900–03, 5900–04, 5900–

05, 5900–06, 5900–79, 5900–80, 5900–81, 5900–82, 5900–83, 5900–84, 5900–85 and 5900–86.

Respondents/affected entities:

Industrial plants (sources) and tribal permitting authorities.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (see 40 CFR part 71).

Estimated number of respondents: 94 (total); 93 industry sources and one tribal delegate permitting authority (the EPA serves as a permitting authority but is not a respondent).

Frequency of response: On occasion.

Total estimated burden: 22,702 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$1,587,810 (per year). There are no annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in Estimates: There is a decrease of 2,998 hours per year for the estimated respondent burden compared with the ICR currently approved by OMB. This decrease is due to updated estimates of the number of sources and permits subject to the part 71 program, rather than any change in federal mandates.

Dated: August 29, 2018.

Anna Marie Wood,

Director, Air Quality Policy Division.

[FR Doc. 2018–19786 Filed 9–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[CERCLA–04–2018–3755; FRL–9983–48—Region 4]

J.J. Seifert Machine Shop Superfund Site, Sun City, Hillsborough County, Florida; Notice of Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of settlement.

SUMMARY: Under 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency has entered into a settlement concerning the J.J. Seifert Machine Shop Superfund Site located in Sun City, Hillsborough County, Florida with the following parties: U B Corp, the Robert J. Upcavage Family Trust and Lawrence J. Bauer, Jr. The settlement addresses recovery of CERCLA costs for a cleanup action performed by the EPA at the Site.

DATES: The Agency will consider public comments on the settlement until October 11, 2018. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to

the proposed settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the settlement are available from the Agency by contacting Ms. Paula V. Painter, Program Analyst, using the contact information provided in this notice. Comments may also be submitted by referencing the Site's name through one of the following methods:

Internet: <https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/about-epa-region-4-southeast#r4-public-notices>.

• *U.S. Mail:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Superfund Division, Attn: Paula V. Painter, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

• *Email:* Painter.Paula@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Painter at 404/562–8887.

Dated: July 30, 2018.

Greg Armstrong,

Acting Chief, Enforcement and Community Engagement Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 2018–19768 Filed 9–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 18–07]

Marine Transport Logistics, Inc. v. CMA–CGM (America), LLC; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by Marine Transport Logistics, Inc., hereinafter “Complainant”, against CMA–CGM (America), LLC, hereinafter “Respondent”. Complainant states that it is a Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier (NVOCC) located in Bayonne, New Jersey and is licensed with the Commission. Complainant asserts that Respondent is a Vessel Operating Common Carrier (VOCC) located in East Rutherford, New Jersey.

Complainant states that Respondent was contracted to ship nine containers of cars to Yemen in December 2017 and those containers were not delivered.

Specifically, Complainant alleges that the Respondent violated:

a. “. . . Section 41102(c) of the Shipping Act in that such respondent failed to establish, observe, and enforce just reasonable regulations and practices relating to or connected with receiving, handling, or delivering of property . . .”;

b. “. . . Section 41104(9) of the Shipping Act in that, such Respondent

imposed undue and unreasonable prejudice or disadvantage . . .”; and c. “. . . Section 41104 (10) of the Shipping Act in that, such Respondent unreasonably refused to deal or negotiate . . .”

Complainant seeks reparations and other relief. The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission's Electronic Reading Room at www.fmc.gov/18-07/. This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges.

The initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by September 6, 2019, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by March 20, 2020.

Rachel E. Dickon,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–19638 Filed 9–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6731–AA–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals To Engage in or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than September 25, 2018.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Mark A. Rauzi, Vice President), 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480–0291:

1. *FSB Holding Company, Inc., Trimont, Minnesota*; to engage *de novo* in extending credit and servicing loans,