

The virtual meeting will include electronic discourse and evaluation of grant applications reviewed by SAMHSA's Initial Review Groups, and involve an examination of confidential financial and business information as well as personal information concerning the applicants. Therefore, the meeting will be closed to the public as determined by the SAMHSA Assistant Secretary for Mental Health and Substance Use in accordance with Title 5 U.S.C 552b(c)(4) and (6) and Title 5 U.S.C. App. 2, 10(d).

Meeting information and a roster of Council members may be obtained by accessing the SAMHSA Committee website at <http://www.samhsa.gov/about-us/advisory-councils/csat-national-advisory-council> or by contacting the CSAT National Advisory Council Designated Federal Officer; Tracy Goss (see contact information below).

*Council Name:* SAMHSA's Center for Substance Abuse Treatment National Advisory Council.

*Date/Time/Type:* September 7, 2018/ CLOSED.

*Place:* SAMHSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

*Contact:* Tracy Goss, Designated Federal Officer, CSAT National Advisory Council, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857 (mail), Telephone: (240) 276-0759, Fax: (240) 276-2252, Email: [tracy.goss@samhsa.hhs.gov](mailto:tracy.goss@samhsa.hhs.gov).

#### Summer King,

Statistician, SAMHSA.

[FR Doc. 2018-18259 Filed 8-22-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

[Docket No. USCG-2018-0706]

#### Cook Inlet Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (CIRCAC) Recertification

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of recertification.

**SUMMARY:** This notice informs the public that the Coast Guard has recertified the Cook Inlet Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (CIRCAC) as an alternative voluntary advisory group for Cook Inlet, Alaska. This certification allows the CIRCAC to monitor the activities of terminal facilities and crude oil tankers under an alternative composition, other than prescribed, Cook Inlet Program established by statute.

**DATES:** This recertification is effective for the period from September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** LCDR Jonathan Dale, Seventeenth Coast Guard District (dpi), by phone at (907) 463-2812, email at [jonathan.dale@uscg.mil](mailto:jonathan.dale@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background and Purpose

As part of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, Congress passed the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990 (the Act), 33 U.S.C. 2732, to foster a long-term partnership among industry, government, and local communities in overseeing compliance with environmental concerns in the operation of crude oil terminals and oil tankers.

The President has delegated his authority under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o) respecting certification of advisory councils, or groups, subject to the Act to the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security. Section 8(g) of Executive Order 12777, (56 FR 54757, October 22, 1991), as amended by section 34 of Executive Order 13286 (68 FR 10619, March 5, 2003). The Secretary redelegated that authority to the Commandant of the USCG. Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1, paragraph 80 of section II. The Commandant redelegated that authority to the Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection (G-M) on March 19, 1992 (letter #5402).

The Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety and Environmental Protection (G-M), redelegated recertification authority for advisory councils, or groups, to the Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District on February 26, 1999 (letter #16450).

On July 7, 1993, the USCG published a policy statement, "Alternative Voluntary Advisory Groups, Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet" (58 FR 36504), to clarify the factors considered in making the determination as to whether advisory councils, or groups, should be certified in accordance with the Act.

On September 16, 2002, the USCG published a policy statement, 67 FR 58440, which changed the recertification procedures such that applicants are required to provide the USCG with comprehensive information every three years (triennially). For each of the two years between the triennial application procedures, applicants submit a letter requesting recertification that includes a description of any substantive changes to the information provided at the previous triennial recertification. Further, public comment is only solicited during the triennial comprehensive review.

#### Recertification

By letter dated August 2, 2018, the Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District, certified that the CIRCAC qualifies as an alternative voluntary advisory group under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o). This recertification terminates on August 31, 2019.

Dated: August 2, 2018.

**Matthew T. Bell, Jr.,**

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2018-18234 Filed 8-22-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-HQ-WSFR-2018-N088; 91400-5110-0000; 91400-9410-0000]

#### The Fiscal Year 2017 Multistate Conservation Grant Program Award List

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of priority list and publication of grant awards into the **Federal Register**.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, announce the Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 priority list of grant awards for the wildlife and sport fish conservation projects from the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (Association). As required by the Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Programs Improvement Act of 2000, the Association submits a list of projects to us each year to consider for funding under the Multistate Conservation Grant Program. We have reviewed the list and recommended all for award to the Director. The Director approved the list of projects for award and we have awarded all projects from the list.

**ADDRESSES:** John C. Stremple, Multistate Conservation Grants Program Coordinator; Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Program; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 5275 Leesburg Pike; MS: WSFR; Falls Church, VA 22041-3808.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John C. Stremple, (703) 358-2156 (phone) or [John\\_Stremple@fws.gov](mailto:John_Stremple@fws.gov) (email).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Fish and Wildlife Programs Improvement and National Wildlife Refuge System Centennial Act of 2000 (Improvement Act, Pub. L. 106-408) amended the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669 *et seq.*) and the Dingell-Johnson Sport Fish Restoration

Act (16 U.S.C. 777 *et seq.*) and established the Multistate Conservation Grant Program. The Improvement Act authorizes us to award grants of up to \$3 million annually from funds available under each of the restoration acts, for a total of up to \$6 million annually. Projects can be funded from both funds, depending on the project activities. We may award grants to projects from a list of priority projects recommended to us by the Association. The Service Director, exercising the authority of the Secretary of the Interior, need not fund all projects on the list, but all projects funded must be on the list.

The Improvement Act provides that funding for Multistate grants is available in the year it is appropriated and for the following year. Total funding available for new FY 2017 Multistate Conservation grants was \$2,522,000. This total was made up of funding that was carried over from FY 2016, added to the funding that was previously sequestered, and subtracted committed funds (\$3,261,027) for FY 2017. Those committed funds were directed into the three components of the 2016 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and

Wildlife-Associated Recreation (parts A and B).

Grantees under this program may use funds for sport fisheries and wildlife management and research projects, boating access development, hunter safety and education, aquatic education, fish and wildlife habitat improvements, and other purposes consistent with the enabling legislation.

To be eligible for funding, a project must benefit fish and/or wildlife conservation for at least 26 States, a majority of the States in any one Service Region, or one of the regional associations of State fish and wildlife agencies. We may award grants to a State, a group of States, or one or more nongovernmental organizations. For the purpose of carrying out the National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation, we may award grants to the Service, if requested by the Association, or to a State or a group of States. Also, the Association requires all project proposals to address its National Conservation Needs, which the Association announces annually at the same time it requests proposals. Further, applicants must provide certification that no activities conducted under a Multistate Conservation Grant

will promote or encourage opposition to regulated hunting or trapping of wildlife, or to regulated angling or taking of fish.

The Association committees and interested nongovernmental organizations that represent conservation organizations, sportsmen's and women's organizations, and industries that support or promote fishing, hunting, trapping, recreational shooting, bowhunting, or archery review and rank eligible project proposals. The Association's National Grants Committee recommends a final list of priority projects to the directors of the State fish and wildlife agencies for their approval by majority vote. By statute, the Association then transmits the final approved list to the Service for funding under the Multistate Conservation Grant program by October 1 of the fiscal year. For FY 2017, the Association sent us a list of 17 new projects, plus the three previously approved components of the 2016 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation that they recommended for funding. The Director approved all projects on this list and all have been awarded. The list follows:

MULTISTATE CONSERVATION GRANT PROGRAM  
[FY 2017 Projects]

ID	Title	Submitter	PR funding <sup>1</sup>	DJ funding <sup>2</sup>	Total 2017 grant
1	State Fish & Wildlife Agency Technical Workgroup for the 2016 National Survey.	AFWA	\$51,040	\$51,040	\$102,080
2	State Fish and Wildlife Agency Coordination and Communication	AFWA	80,241	80,241	160,482
3	Coordination of Farm Bill Implementation	AFWA	76,510	76,510	153,020
4	Multistate Conservation Grant Program Coordination	AFWA	42,000	42,000	84,000
5	Management Assistance Team and the National Conservation Leadership Institute.	AFWA	270,376.63	270,376.63	540,753.26
6	State Fish & Wildlife Agency Director Travel-Enabling Coordination and Planning of National Level Conservation Initiatives.	AFWA	50,000	50,000	100,000
7	Increasing Awareness and Knowledge of Fish and Wildlife Management Through Legal Education that Instructs on the North American Model of Wildlife Conservation and the Public Trust.	AFWA	50,000	50,000	100,000
8	Preserve State Agencies' Authority to Manage Wildlife Resources and Promote Their Interest in the Implementation of International Treaties.	AFWA	33,600	33,600	67,200
9	Implementation of the National Hunting & Shooting Sports Action Plan	CAHSS	171,000	0	171,000
10	Telling the State Story to Ensure Fish and Wildlife Agency Relevancy	AFWA	42,600	42,600	85,200
11	Coordination of the Industry, Federal, and State Agency Coalition	AFWA	77,130	77,130	154,260
12	2017 National WSFR—Federal Aid Coordinators Meeting	WMI	94,874	94,874	189,748
13	Applying Wildlife Governance Principles to Enhance Leadership and Relevance of State Wildlife Agencies.	WMI	49,680	49,680	99,360
14	2017—Raising Awareness of the WSFR Program and Improving Industry Relations To Ensure the Long-term Stability of the Program.	WMI	86,864.50	86,864.50	173,729
15	Advancing the Objectives of the National Fish Habitat Action Plan through Regional and Collaborative Science and Priority Setting.	AFWA/NFHB	0	143,711.87	143,711.87
16	Quantifying and Communicating the Economic Significance of Hunting and Shooting Sports.	NSSF	98,000	0	98,000
17	Quantifying and Communicating the Economic Significance of Sportfishing.	ASA	0	99,200	99,200
NS	Coordination of the 2016 National Survey Efforts (part A)	FWS	131,560	131,560	263,120
NS	National Level Results for the 2016 Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation (Part A).	FWS/U.S. Census Bureau	884,824	884,824	1,769,648
NS	2016 Fifty State Surveys Related to Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation (Part B).	Rockville Intitute (Westat)	614,129.50	614,129.50	1,228,259
			2,904,429.63	2,878,341.50	5,782,771.13

<sup>1</sup> PR Funding: Pitman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration funds.  
<sup>2</sup> DJ Funding: Dingell-Johnson Sport Fish Restoration funds.  
AFWA: Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies.

ATA: Archery Trade Association.  
 ASA: American Sportfishing Association.  
 CAHSS: Council to Advance Hunting and the Shooting Sports.  
 NFHB: National Fish Habitat Board.  
 NS: 2016 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife- Associated Recreation.  
 NSSF: National Shooting Sports Foundation.  
 WMI: Wildlife Management Institute.

Dated: June 22, 2018.

**James W. Kurth,**

*Deputy Director for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Exercising the Authority of the Director for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2018-18235 Filed 8-22-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-NPS0026149;  
 PPWOCDADNO-PCU00RP14.R50000]

**Notice of Inventory Completion:  
 Brooklyn Museum, Brooklyn, NY**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Brooklyn Museum has completed an inventory of associated funerary objects, in consultation with the appropriate Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations, and has determined that there is a cultural affiliation between the associated funerary objects and present-day Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations. Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these associated funerary objects should submit a written request to the Brooklyn Museum. If no additional requestors come forward, transfer of control of the associated funerary objects to the lineal descendants, Indian Tribes, or Native Hawaiian organizations stated in this notice may proceed.

**DATES:** Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to the Brooklyn Museum at the address in this notice by September 24, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Nancy Rosoff, Andrew W. Mellon Senior Curator, Arts of the Americas, Brooklyn Museum, 200 Eastern Parkway, Brooklyn, NY 11238, telephone (718) 501-6283, email [nancy.rosoff@brooklynmuseum.org](mailto:nancy.rosoff@brooklynmuseum.org).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and

Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of associated funerary objects under the control of the Brooklyn Museum, Brooklyn, NY. The associated funerary objects were removed from Canyon del Muerto, Apache County, AZ.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American associated funerary objects. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

**Consultation**

A detailed assessment of the associated funerary objects was made by the Brooklyn Museum professional staff in consultation with representatives of Colorado River Indian Tribes of the Colorado River Indian Reservation, Arizona and California; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico & Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation, Utah; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico (hereafter referred to as "The Consulted Tribes").

**History and Description of the Associated Funerary Objects**

The associated funerary objects and the mummified remains of a man were removed from an unidentified site within Canyon del Muerto in Apache County, AZ, by Charles L. Day at an unknown date prior to April 1903. In April 1903, Brooklyn Museum curator Stewart Culin purchased the associated funerary objects and human remains from Day. Culin's catalog cards indicate that the associated funerary objects were found with the human remains. In 1907, the human remains were transferred to the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL. The human remains can be found in the Field Museum of Natural History's culturally unidentifiable inventory. The two associated funerary objects are one fragmented arrow shaft and one bow with cord.

The arrow fragments and bow are Ancestral Puebloan and date to the Pueblo I-III Periods (700-1300 C.E.). This determination was made by Susan Kennedy Zeller, former Associate

Curator of Native American Art, on August 8, 1996, on the basis of parallel materials found within the archeological literature. Canyon del Muerto is located within the Western Ancestral Puebloan cultural area. Archeologically, this cultural area is characterized by a temporal shift from subterranean pit houses to above-ground masonry rooms surrounding plazas, rectangular kivas, and a wide variety of regionally distinct painted ceramics. Other archeological sites within Canyon del Muerto indicate sustained Ancestral Puebloan occupation from the late Basketmaker II through the Pueblo III Periods. After the start of the Pueblo III Period, around 1300 C.E., the archeological evidence suggests that much of the population living within Canyon del Muerto moved to other settlements.

The associated funerary objects were examined during consultations by representatives from The Tribes during 1996 and 1997, as part of the Brooklyn Museum's 1996 NAGPRA Grant.

The Colorado River Tribes of the Colorado River Reservation, Arizona and California are composed of four distinct tribes: the Mohave, Chemehuevi, Hopi, and Navajo. Evidence for a cultural affiliation between the Ancestral Puebloan occupants of Canyon del Muerto and the Colorado River Tribes of the Colorado River Reservation, Arizona and California includes similarities in material culture and architectural design, as well as archeological data and oral tradition. Canyon del Muerto lies within traditional Hopi territory, and Hopi oral tradition speaks of clan migrations through the Canyon de Chelly region, of which Canyon del Muerto is a part.

Evidence for cultural affiliation between the Ancestral Puebloan occupants of Canyon del Muerto and the Hopi Tribe of Arizona includes similarities in material culture and architectural design, as well as archeological data, geographic proximity, and oral tradition. The Hopi Tribe of Arizona considers all of Arizona to either lie within traditional Hopi territory or to be a territory through which Hopi clans migrated.

Evidence for cultural affiliation between the Ancestral Puebloan occupants of Canyon del Muerto and the Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico & Utah, includes expert opinion and