

review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the underlying investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 8.32 percent, the all-others rate established in the underlying investigation.<sup>5</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>6</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>7</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>8</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>9</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>10</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically-filed request for a hearing must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS

by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>11</sup> Hearing requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.<sup>12</sup>

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless otherwise extended.<sup>13</sup>

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 3, 2018.

**James Maeder,**

*Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Particular Market Situation
- VI. Use of Facts Available and Adverse Facts Available
  - A. Legal Authority
  - B. Application of Facts Available to Hyundai
  - C. Application of Facts Available with an Adverse Inference
  - D. Selection and Corroboration of Adverse Facts Available Rate
- VII. Review-Specific Average Rate for Non-

- Examined Companies
- VIII. Discussion of the Methodology
  - A. Normal Value Comparisons
    1. Determination of Comparison Method
    2. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
  - B. Date of Sale
  - C. Product Comparisons
  - D. Export Price and Constructed Export Price
  - E. Normal Value
    1. Home Market Viability
    2. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
    3. Level of Trade
    4. Overrun Sales
    5. Cost of Production Analysis
    6. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Home Market Prices
    7. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Constructed Value
- IX. Currency Conversion
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–17155 Filed 8–9–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017. This review covers mandatory respondents Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) and SRF Limited of India, producers and exporters of PET film from India. Commerce preliminarily determines that sales of subject merchandise have been made below normal value by Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India), and that sales of subject merchandise have not been made below normal value by SRF Limited of India during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable August 10, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5255.

<sup>5</sup> See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016), as amended by *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Results*, issued July 23, 2018.

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c); 19 CFR 351.303(b)(1).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>13</sup> See Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On July 3, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India, for the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017.<sup>1</sup> In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), in July 2017, we received requests for reviews of the following companies: Chiripal Poly Films Limited (Chiripal); Ester Industries Limited (Ester); Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware); MTZ Polyesters Ltd. (MTZ); Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex); SRF Limited; SRF Limited of India; Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India); Uflex Ltd. (Uflex); and Vacmet India Limited (Vacmet).<sup>2</sup>

Subsequently, on September 13, 2017, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India.<sup>3</sup>

On September 27, 2017, we released U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) import data to eligible parties under the Administrative Protective Order and invited interested parties to submit comments with respect to the

selection of respondents for individual examination.<sup>4</sup>

On November 20, 2017, Commerce determined to limit the number of companies subject to individual examination in this administrative review, and selected Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) (Jindal) and SRF Limited of India (SRF) as mandatory respondents.<sup>5</sup>

We issued our initial questionnaires to Jindal and SRF on November 24, 2017, and November 27, 2017, respectively. The deadline for withdrawal requests was December 12, 2017.<sup>6</sup> All review requests were timely withdrawn for two companies, MTZ and Uflex.

On January 23, 2018, Commerce issued a memorandum tolling all deadlines for this investigation by three days due to the shutdown of the federal government.<sup>7</sup> On March 22, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce extended the due date for the preliminary results by an additional 60 days, from April 5, 2018, to June 4, 2018.<sup>8</sup> On June 1, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce extended the due date for the preliminary results by an additional 60 days. The current deadline is August 3, 2018.<sup>9</sup>

## Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip, whether extruded or

coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

## Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

Commerce initiated a review of ten companies in this proceeding.<sup>10</sup> We are rescinding this administrative review with respect to MTZ and Uflex, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), because all review request of these companies were timely withdrawn.<sup>11</sup> Accordingly, the companies that remain subject to the instant review are: Chiripal; Ester; Garware; Jindal; Polyplex, SRF; and Vacmet India Limited.

## Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 82 FR 30833 (July 3, 2017).

<sup>2</sup> See the Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India, Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated July 31, 2017. See also the Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India, Amended Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 11, 2017. See also the Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India, Amended Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 30, 2017; see also Polyplex USA LLC's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated July 28, 2017. See also Polyplex's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated August 29, 2017; see also SRF Limited's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, (PET Film) from India/Request for Antidumping Admin Review/SRF Limited," dated July 31, 2017; see also Jindal's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, (PET Film) from India/Request for Antidumping Admin Review/ Jindal Poly Films Limited," dated July 27, 2017; see also Chiripal's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Request for Administrative Review of Anti-Dumping Duty of Chiripal Poly Films Limited," dated July 31, 2017.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET) Film from India: U.S. Customs Entries," dated September 27, 2017.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Selection of Respondents for Individual Examination," dated November 20, 2017 (Respondent Selection Memorandum). SRF Limited of India and SRF limited are the same company. See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India; 2016–2017," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted, by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum) at 2–3.

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated January 23, 2018. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by three days.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (2016–2017)," dated March 22, 2018.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film from Taiwan: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (2016–2017)," dated June 1, 2018.

<sup>10</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>11</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

## Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

In accordance with section 735(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we preliminarily assign to those companies not selected for individual review the rate calculated for Jindal in this review, because SRF's rate is *de minimis*.<sup>12</sup>

## Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins for the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017.

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) <sup>13</sup> .....	5.50
SRF Limited of India .....	0.00
Garware Polyester Ltd .....	5.50
Chiripal Poly Films Limited ...	5.50
Polyplex Corporation Ltd .....	5.50
Ester Industries Limited .....	5.50
Vacmet India Limited .....	5.50

## Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>14</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>15</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, must submit a written request

to the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

## Assessment Rates

Upon completion of this administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for an importer's examined sales and the total entered value of such sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.<sup>16</sup> This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by a respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

## Assessment and Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET film from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters is 5.71 percent.<sup>17</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: August 3, 2018.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- IV. Scope of the Order

<sup>12</sup> See the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>13</sup> The *Initiation Notice* also lists the company as Jindal Poly Films Limited of India. Commerce has previously determined that Jindal Poly Films Limited of India is the same company as Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India). See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016*, 82 FR 36735 (August 7, 2017), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at FN 1 (unchanged in *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016*, 83 FR 6162 (February 13, 2018)).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>16</sup> For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

<sup>17</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016*, 83 FR 6162 (February 13, 2018).

## V. Product Comparisons

## VI. Comparison to Normal Value

1. Determination of Comparison Method
2. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
3. Date of Sale
4. Export Price
5. Normal Value
6. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
7. Currency Conversion

## VII. Companies Not Selected

## VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018-17178 Filed 8-9-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[C-533-864]

**Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From India: Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from India are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) November 6, 2015, through December 31, 2016.

**DATES:** Applicable August 10, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Justin Neuman or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0486 or (202) 482-2312, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On September 13, 2017, Commerce initiated this administrative review on CORE from India covering JSW Steel Limited and Uttam Galva Steels Limited.<sup>1</sup> The events that have occurred between initiation and these preliminary results are discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India," dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the order are certain corrosion-resistant steel products from India. For a full description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

**Methodology**

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>4</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

We preliminarily determine the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rates for the period November 6, 2015, through December 31, 2016 to be:

Manufacturer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
JSW Steel Limited and JSW Steel Coated Products Limited <sup>5</sup> .....	11.30

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

<sup>5</sup> Cross-owned affiliates are: JSW Steel Coated Products Limited (a producer and exporter of subject merchandise), Amba River Coke Limited, JSW Steel (Salav) Limited, and JSW Steel Processing Centers Limited.

<sup>6</sup> Cross-owned affiliates are: Uttam Value Steels Limited (a producer and exporter of subject merchandise) and Uttam Galva Metalics Limited.

Manufacturer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
Uttam Galva Steels Limited and Uttam Value Steels Limited <sup>6</sup> .....	15.45

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

Commerce intends to disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**.<sup>7</sup> Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) within 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>8</sup> Rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs.<sup>9</sup> Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>10</sup>

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must do so within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results by submitting a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system.<sup>11</sup> Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we intend to inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.<sup>12</sup> Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Issues addressed at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs.<sup>13</sup> All briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically and received successfully in their entirety through ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310.

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).