

Respondent	Estimated number respondent	Responses annually per respondent	Total annual responses (col. bxc)	Estimated avg. number of hours per response	Estimated total hours (col. dxe)
Reporting Burden					
State agencies	53	8	424	0.125	53
Total Reporting Burden	53	424	53

Dated: July 30, 2018.

Brandon Lipps,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2018-16816 Filed 8-6-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Boundary Establishment for Ontonagon National Wild and Scenic River, Ottawa National Forest; Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, and Ontonagon Counties, Michigan

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 3(b) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, the USDA Forest Service, Washington Office, transmitted the final boundary of the Ontonagon National Wild and Scenic River to Congress.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Information may be obtained by contacting the Ottawa National Forest, E6248 US 2; Ironwood, MI; 49938; (906)-932-1330.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Ontonagon Wild and Scenic River boundary is available for review at the following offices: USDA Forest Service, Lands, Yates Building, 14th and Independence Avenues SW, Washington, DC 20024; Eastern Region, 626 East Wisconsin Ave., Milwaukee, WI, 53202; and, Ottawa National Forest, E6248 US 2, Ironwood, MI, 49938.

The Michigan Scenic Rivers Act of 1991, Public Law 102-249, March 3, 1992, designated the Ontonagon River, Michigan, as a National Wild and Scenic River, to be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture. The USDA Forest Service transmitted the final boundary to Congress on March 2, 2017. As specified by law, the boundary became effective ninety days after Congress received the transmittal.

Dated: July 19, 2018.

Glenn P. Casamassa,

Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.

[FR Doc. 2018-16815 Filed 8-6-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3411-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda E. Brown, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (Commerce) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by Commerce discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event Commerce limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, Commerce intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(CBP) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. Commerce invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event Commerce decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, Commerce finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, Commerce will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of a review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to a review, if Commerce determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, Commerce will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, Commerce will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding