burdens; (3) ways for the FHWA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB’s clearance of this information collection.


Issued on: July 13, 2018.

Michael Howell, Information Collection Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–15426 Filed 7–18–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

SUMMARY: The FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by Caltrans that are final. The actions relate to a proposed highway project, U.S. 101 between post miles 8.2 and 8.7 in the County of Del Norte, State of California. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is advising the public of final action taken by Caltrans and is granting permits or approvals for the project.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kellie Eldridge, Environmental Planner, Caltrans, 1656 Union Street, Eureka, CA 95501, kellie.eldridge@dot.ca.gov.

Supplementary Information: Effective July 1, 2007, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned, and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Notice is hereby given that Caltrans has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following highway project in the State of California: The Hunter and Panther Creek Bridges Seismic Restoration Project located on U.S. 101 between post miles 8.2 and 8.7 in Del Norte County, State of California, would upgrade Hunter and Panther Creek Bridges to current seismic and design standards by (1) replacing the existing three-span structure with two pier walls at Hunter Creek with a two-span structure with one pier, and (2) by replacing the existing three-span structure with two multi-columned piers at Panther Creek with a single-span, steel tied arch structure without piers. The project is expected to be completed within two years. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the project, approved July 5, 2018, and in other documents in the Caltrans project records. The EA, FONSI, and other project records are available by contacting Caltrans at the address provided above. The Caltrans EA and FONSI can be viewed and downloaded from the project website at http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist1/d1/projects/hunter_panther/.

This notice applies to all Federal agency actions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
2. Clean Water Act
3. Endangered Species Act
4. Migratory Bird Treaty Act
5. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
6. Civil Rights Act, Title VI
7. E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands
8. E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)


Tasha J. Clemmons,
Director, Planning and Environment, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 2018–15419 Filed 7–18–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–RY–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Funding Opportunity for Consolidated Rail Infrastructure and Safety Improvements

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

[FR Doc. 2018–15603 Filed 7–17–18; 4:15 pm]
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice to applicants: FRA recommends that applicants read this notice in its entirety prior to preparing application materials. A list providing the definitions of key terms used throughout the NOFO is in Section A(2) below. These key terms are capitalized throughout the NOFO. There are several administrative prerequisites and specific eligibility requirements described herein that applicants must comply with to submit an application. Additionally, applicants should note that the required Project Narrative component of the application package may not exceed 25 pages in length.
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A. Program Description

1. Overview

This program provides a comprehensive solution to leverage private, state and local investments to support safety enhancements and general improvements to infrastructure for both intercity passenger and freight railroads. The U.S. rail network is central to the success of the American economy, carrying more than 1.6 billion tons of freight valued at nearly $600 billion annually, and over 31.3 million passengers on intercity rail passenger transportation services. Both services primarily operate over privately-owned and maintained infrastructure, allowing for strong private, capital market investment that generates public benefit, including public-private partnerships among other models.

The Department is committed to addressing the unmet transportation infrastructure needs of rural areas. Underinvestment in rural transportation systems has allowed a slow and steady decline in the transportation routes that connect rural American communities to each other and to the rest of the country, fraying the fabric of American interconnectivity. A majority of the nation’s rail route miles are in rural America. Investment is necessary to grow rural economies, facilitate freight movement, improve access to reliable and affordable transportation options and enhance health access and safety for residents.

The Department also recognizes the importance of applying life cycle asset management principles throughout America’s infrastructure. It is important for rail infrastructure owners and operators, as well as those who may apply on their behalf, to plan for the maintenance and replacement of assets and the associated costs. In light of recent fatal passenger rail accidents, the Department particularly recognizes the opportunity to enhance safety in both track and equipment through this grant program.

Congress authorized this grant program for the Secretary to invest in a wide range of projects within the United States to improve railroad safety, efficiency, and reliability; mitigate congestion at both intercity passenger and freight rail checkpoints; enhance multi-modal connections; and lead to new or substantially improved Intercity Passenger Rail Transportation corridors. Additionally, the program includes rail safety projects, such as grade crossing enhancements, and rail line relocations and improvements. Applicable work also includes: rail regional and corridor planning, environmental analyses, and research, workforce development, and training. The purpose of this notice is to solicit applications for the competitive CRISI Program funding provided in the 2018 Appropriation that was not included in the CRISI PTC NOFO. The CRISI Program is authorized under Section 11301 of the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Public Law 114–94 (2015); 49 U.S.C. 24407 and funds made available in this NOFO are provided in the 2018 Appropriation.

2. Definitions of Key Terms

a. “Benefit-Cost Analysis” (or “Cost-Benefit Analysis”) is a systematic, data driven, and transparent analysis comparing monetized project benefits and costs, using a no-build baseline and properly discounted present values, including concise documentation of the assumptions and methodology used to produce the analysis; a description of the baseline, data sources used to project outcomes, and values of key input parameters; basis of modeling including spreadsheets, technical
memos, etc.; and presentation of the calculations in sufficient detail and transparency to allow the analysis to be reproduced and for sensitivity of results evaluated by FRA. Please refer to the Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs prior to preparing a BCA at https://www.transportation.gov/office-policy/transportation-policy/benefit-cost-analysis-guidance. In addition, please also refer to the BCA FAQs on FRA’s website for some rail specific examples of how to apply the BCA Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs to CRISI applications.

b. “Capital Project” means a project for: Acquiring, constructing, improving, or inspecting rail equipment, track and track structures, or a rail facility; expenses incidental to the acquisition or Construction including pre-construction activities (such as designing, engineering, location surveying, mapping, acquiring rights-of-way) and related relocation costs, environmental studies, and all work necessary for FRA to approve the project under the National Environmental Policy Act and related environmental laws and regulations; highway-rail grade crossing improvements; communication and signalization improvements; and rehabilitating, remanufacturing or overhauling rail rolling stock and facilities.

c. “Construction” means the production of fixed works and structures or substantial alterations to such structures or land and associated costs.

d. “Final Design (FD)” means design activities following Preliminary Engineering, and at a minimum, includes the preparation of final Construction plans, detailed specifications, and estimates sufficiently detailed to inform project stakeholders (designers, reviewers, contractors, suppliers, etc.) of the actions required to advance the project from design through completion of Construction.

e. “Improvement” means repair or enhancing Rail Infrastructure, or Construction of new Rail Infrastructure, that results in efficiency of the rail system and the safety of those affected by the system.

f. “Initiation” or “Initiate” means commencing service on a route that did not previously operate Intercity Rail Passenger Transportation.

g. “Intercity Rail Passenger Transportation” means rail passenger transportation, except commuter rail passenger transportation. See 49 U.S.C. 24401(4). In this notice, “Intercity Passenger Rail Service” and “Intercity Passenger Rail Transportation” are equivalent terms to “Intercity Rail Passenger Transportation.”

h. “National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)” is a Federal law that requires Federal agencies to assess the environmental impacts of a proposed action in consultation with appropriate federal, state, and local authorities, and with the public. The NEPA class of action depends on the nature of the proposed action, its complexity, and the potential impacts. For purposes of this NOFO, NEPA also includes all related Federal laws and regulations including Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act, Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. (See FRA’s Environmental Procedures at: https://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/details/L02561.)

i. “Planning” means activities that support the development of a state or regional rail plan or a corridor service development plan.

j. “Positive Train Control (PTC) system” is defined by 49 CFR 270.5 to mean a system designed to prevent train-to-train collisions, overspeed derailments, incursions into established work zone limits, and the movement of a train through a switch left in the wrong position, as described in 49 CFR part 236, subpart L.

k. “Preliminary Engineering (PE)” means engineering design to: (1) Define a project, including identification of all environmental impacts, design of all critical project elements at a level sufficient to assure reliable cost estimates and schedules, (2) complete project management and financial plans, and (3) identify procurement requirements and strategies. The PE development process starts with specific project design alternatives that allow for the assessment of a range of rail improvements, specific alignments, and project designs—to be used concurrent with project or service level NEPA and related analyses. PE occurs prior to FD and Construction.

l. “Rail Carrier” means a person providing common carrier railroad transportation for compensation, but does not include street, suburban, or interurban electric railways not operated as part of the general system of rail transportation. See 49 U.S.C. 10102(5).

m. “Railroad Infrastructure” means intermodal or rail facilities, including track, bridges, tunnels, rail yards, buildings, passenger stations, and maintenance and repair shops. In this NOFO, “Rail Infrastructure” is an equivalent term to “Railroad Infrastructure.”

n. “Relocation” is defined by 49 CFR 262.3 to mean moving a rail line vertically or laterally to a new location. Vertical Relocation refers to raising above the current ground level or sinking below the current ground level of a rail line. Lateral Relocation refers to moving a rail line horizontally to a new location.

o. “Restoration” means reinstating service to a route that formerly operated Intercity Rail Passenger Transportation.

p. “Rural Project” means a project in which all or the majority of the project (determined by the geographic location or locations where the majority of the project funds will be spent) is located in a Rural Area.

q. “Rural Area” is defined in 49 U.S.C. 24407(9)(g)(2) to mean any area not in an urbanized area as defined by the Census Bureau. The Census Bureau defines Urbanized Area (UA) as an area with a population of 50,000 or more people.1 Updated lists of UAs as defined by the Census Bureau are available on the Census Bureau website at http://www2.census.gov/geo/maps/dc10map/UAUC_RefMap/ua/.

r. “Tier 1 NEPA” includes the analysis and evaluation of the potential environmental impacts of an action at a broad level, such as a program concept for an entire corridor, and typically does not lead directly to project construction. It identifies the potential environmental impacts of the alternatives being considered for the program, as well as the mitigations that may be needed to address the impacts. The potential environmental impacts and mitigations must be incorporated into each alternative that is evaluated. These are generally Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) that result in the identification of a preferred alternative.

s. “Tier 2 NEPA” includes the required analysis and evaluation of the potential environmental impacts of an action at a project-specific level of detail. Tier 2 NEPA should be sufficient to support Final Design and Construction activities and may include an EIS, an environmental assessment (EA), or a categorical exclusion (CE).

B. Federal Award Information

1. Available Award Amount

The total funding available for awards under this NOFO is $318,430,337 of which $35,547,000 will be for projects under 49 U.S.C. 24407(c)(2) that contribute to the Initiation or Restoration of Intercity Passenger Rail Service consistent with the 2018

1 See 74 FR 53030, 53043 (August 24, 2011) available at https://www2.census.gov/geo/pdfs/reference/fdrreg76n164.pdf/
Appropriation. Of the CRISI funding made available in the 2018 Appropriation (including amounts available under this NOFO and the CRISI PTC NOFO) at least 25 percent, will be made available for Rural Projects as authorized under 49 U.S.C. 24407(g).

After $5,925,470 is set aside for FRA award and program oversight, the balance of the 2018 Appropriation CRISI Program funding includes $250,000,000 set aside for certain PTC projects and $18,191,193 set aside for Special Transportation Circumstances. These funds were announced under separate NOFOs.

2. Award Size

There are no predetermined minimum or maximum dollar thresholds for awards. FRA anticipates making multiple awards with the available funding. FRA may not be able to award grants to all eligible applications, nor even to all applications that meet or exceed the stated evaluation criteria (see Section E, Application Review Information). Projects may require more funding than is available. FRA encourages applicants to propose projects or components of projects that have operational independence and that can be completed and implemented with CRISI funding as a piece of the total project cost together with other, non-federal sources.

FRA strongly encourages applicants to identify and include other state, local, public, or private funding or financing to support the proposed project in order to maximize competitiveness.

3. Award Type

FRA will make awards for projects selected under this notice through grant agreements and/or cooperative agreements. Grant agreements are used when FRA does not expect to have substantial Federal involvement in carrying out the funded activity. Cooperative agreements allow for substantial Federal involvement in carrying out the agreed upon investment, including technical assistance, review of interim work products, and increased program oversight. The funding provided under these cooperative agreements will be made available to grantees on a reimbursable basis. Applicants must certify that their expenditures are allowable, allocable, reasonable, and necessary to the approved project before seeking reimbursement from FRA. Additionally, the grantee is expected to expend matching funds at the required percentage alongside Federal funds throughout the life of the project. See an example of standard terms and conditions for FRA grant awards at: https://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L19057.

4. Concurrent Applications

As DOT and FRA are concurrently soliciting applications for transportation infrastructure projects for several financial assistance programs, applicants may submit applications requesting funding for a particular project to one or more of these programs. In the application for CRISI Program funding under this NOFO, applicants must indicate the other programs, and if applicable the other CRISI NOFOs, to which they submitted or plan to submit an application for funding the entire project or certain project components, as well as highlight new or revised information in the application responsive to this NOFO that differs from the application(s) for other federal financial assistance programs or other CRISI NOFOs.

C. Eligibility Information

This section of the notice explains applicant eligibility, cost sharing and matching requirements, project eligibility, and project component operational independence. Applications that do not meet the requirements in this section will be ineligible for funding. Instructions for submitting eligibility information to FRA are detailed in Section D of this NOFO.

1. Eligible Applicants

The following entities are eligible applicants for all project types permitted under this notice:

a. A State;

b. A group of States;

c. An Interstate Compact;

d. A public agency or publicly chartered authority established by one or more States;

e. A political subdivision of a State;

f. Amtrak or another Rail Carrier that provides Intercity Rail Passenger Transportation (as defined in 49 U.S.C. 24102);

g. A Class II railroad or Class III railroad (as those terms are defined in 49 U.S.C. 20102);

h. Any Rail Carrier or rail equipment manufacturer in partnership with at least one of the entities described in paragraph (a) through (e); 3

i. The Transportation Research Board together with any entity with which it contracts in the development of rail- and related research, including cooperative research programs;

j. A University transportation center engaged in rail-related research;

k. A non-profit labor organization representing a class or craft of employees of Rail Carriers or Rail Carrier contractors.

Applications must identify an eligible applicant as the lead applicant. The lead applicant serves as the primary point of contact for the application, and if selected, as the recipient of the CRISI Program grant award. Eligible applicants may reference entities that are not eligible applicants in an application as a project partner.

2. Cost Sharing or Matching

The Federal share of total costs for projects funded under this notice will not exceed 80 percent, though FRA will provide selection preference to applications where the proposed Federal share of total project costs is 50 percent or less. The estimated total cost of a project must be based on the best available information, including engineering studies, studies of economic feasibility, environmental analyses, and information on the expected use of equipment and/or facilities. Additionally, in preparing estimates of total project costs, applicants should refer to FRA’s cost estimate guidance documentation, “Capital Cost Estimating: Guidance for Project Sponsors,” which is available at: https://www.fra.dot.gov/Page/P0926.

The minimum 20 percent non-Federal match may be comprised of public sector (e.g., state or local) and/or private sector funding. FRA will not consider any Federal financial assistance, nor any non-Federal funds already expended (or otherwise encumbered) that do not comply with 2 CFR 200.458, as applicable, toward the matching requirement. FRA is limiting the first 20 percent of the non-Federal match to in-kind contributions only. FRA will not accept “in-kind” contributions for the first 20 percent in matching funds. Eligible in-kind contributions may be accepted for any non-Federal matching beyond the first 20 percent. In-kind contributions, including the donation of services, materials, and equipment, may be credited as a project cost, in a uniform manner consistent with 2 CFR 200.306. Moreover, FRA encourages applicants to broaden their funding table in applications. Non-Federal shares consisting of funding from multiple sources (e.g., a state, county, railroad, and university contributing to a grade crossing improvement) to demonstrate broad participation and cost sharing.

3 See Section D(2)(a)(iv) for supporting documentation required to demonstrate eligibility under this eligibility category.

4 See Section D(2)(a)(iv) for supporting information required to demonstrate eligibility under this eligibility category.
from affected stakeholders, will be given preference.

Amtrak or another Rail Carrier may use ticket and other non-Federal revenues generated from its operations and other sources as matching funds. Applicants must identify the source(s) of its matching and other funds, and must clearly and distinctly reflect these funds as part of the total project cost.

Before applying, applicants should carefully review the principles for cost sharing or matching in 2 CFR 200.306. See Section D(2)(a)(iii) for required application information on non-Federal match and Section E for further discussion of FRA’s consideration of matching funds in the review and selection process. FRA will approve preaward costs consistent with 2 CFR 200.458, as applicable. See Section D(6).

3. Other
   a. Project Eligibility
      The following rail projects within the United States that improve the safety, efficiency, and/or reliability of passenger and/or freight rail transportation systems are eligible for funding under 49 U.S.C. 24407 and this NOFO.
      i. Under 49 U.S.C. 24407(c)(1) deployment of non-PTC railroad safety technology and rail integrity inspection systems. Examples include: Broken rail detection and warning systems; track intrusion systems; and hot box detectors, wheel impact load detectors, and other safety improvements.5
      ii. A capital project as defined in 49 U.S.C. 24401(2) relating to Intercity Passenger Rail Service, except that such projects under this NOFO are not required to be in a State rail plan. Examples include: Acquisition, improvement, or rehabilitation of railroad equipment (locomotives and rolling stock); Railroad Infrastructure (grade crossings, catenary, and signals); and rail facilities (yards, passenger stations, or maintenance and repair shops).
      iii. A Capital Project necessary to address congestion challenges affecting rail service. Examples include: Projects addressing congestion that increase rail capacity; add or upgrade the condition, clearances, and capacity of rail mainlines; enhance capacity and service with less conflict between freight and intercity passenger rail; reduce delays and risks associated with highway-rail grade crossings; and provide more effective rail equipment.
      iv. A Capital Project necessary to reduce congestion and facilitate ridership growth in Intercity Passenger Rail Transportation along heavily traveled rail corridors. Examples include: Projects addressing congestion that improve stations; increase rail capacity; reduce conflict between freight and intercity passenger rail; reduce delays and risks associated with highway-rail grade crossings; and provide more effective rail equipment.
      v. A highway-rail grade crossing improvement project, including installation, repair, or improvement of grade separations, railroad crossing signals, gates, and related technologies; highway traffic signalization; highway lighting and crossing approach signage; roadway improvements such as medians or other barriers; railroad crossing panels and surfaces; and safety engineering improvements to reduce risk in quiet zones or potential quiet zones.
      vi. A rail line Relocation and Improvement project. Examples include projects that: Improve the route or structure of a rail line by replacing degraded track; enhance/relocate railroad switching operations; add or lengthen passing tracks to increase capacity; improve interlockings; and relocate rail lines to alleviate congestion, and eliminate frequent rail service interruptions.
      vii. A Capital Project to improve short-line or regional Railroad Infrastructure.
      viii. The preparation of regional rail and corridor service development plans and corresponding environmental analyses. (See the examples under Track 1 and 2 below in Subsections C(3)(b)(i)–(ii) as they apply to regional and corridor rail Planning.)
      ix. A project necessary to enhance multimodal connections or facilitate service integration between rail service and other modes, including between Intercity Rail Passenger Transportation and intercity bus service or commercial air service. Examples include: Intermodal transportation facilities projects that encourage joint scheduling, ticketing, and/or baggage handling; freight rail intermodal connections; and rail projects improving access to ports.
      x. The development and implementation of a safety program or institute designed to improve rail safety. Examples include: Employee training; and public safety outreach and education.
      xi. Any research that the Secretary considers necessary to advance any particular aspect of rail related capital, operations, or safety improvements.
      xii. Workforce development and training activities, coordinated to the extent practicable with the existing local training programs supported by the Department of Transportation, the Department of Labor, and the Department of Education.

b. Project Tracks for Eligible Projects
   Applicants are not limited in the number of projects for which they seek funding. FRA will not limit eligible projects from consideration for funding for planning, environmental, engineering, design, and construction elements of the same project in the same application. Applicants are allowed to include multiple phases of a project in the same application. However, depending on the project, applications for multiple phases of project development may not contain sufficient detail with regards to scope, schedule, or budget for all phases of the application to compete well in the application review process.4

An applicant must identify one or more of the following four tracks for an eligible project: Track 1—Planning; Track 2—PE/NEPA; Track 3—FD/Construction; or Track 4—Research, Safety Programs and Institutes.

i. Track 1—Planning
   Track 1 consists of eligible rail Planning projects. Examples include the technical analyses and associated environmental analyses that support the development of state rail plans, regional rail plans, and corridor service development plans, including: Identification of alternatives, rail network Planning, market analysis, travel demand forecasting, revenue forecasting, railroad system design, railroad operations analysis and simulation, equipment fleet Planning, station and access analysis, conceptual engineering and capital programming, operating and maintenance cost forecasting, capital replacement and renewal analysis, railroad industry governance and organization, and economic analysis.

ii. Track 2—PE/NEPA
   Track 2 consists of eligible PE/NEPA projects. PE examples include: PE drawings and specifications (scale

---

5 Only FD and Construction costs are eligible within this project eligibility category. Funding for PTC projects under 49 U.S.C. 24407(c)(1) are eligible under the CRISI PTC NOFO published on May 18, 2016, and such projects are not eligible for funding under this NOFO.

4 The scope, schedule, and budget necessary to implement a project, as well as the definition of the project’s potential benefits, are typically informed by the work conducted in prior phases of project development (e.g., the specific elements of an FD/Construction project and their cost estimates are developed and refined through PE). The evaluation criteria for the CRISI program (see Section E of this NOFO) considers the level of detail contained in the applicant’s proposed scope of work and readiness for the project to be implemented.
drawings at the 30% design level, including track geometry as appropriate); design criteria, schematics and/or track charts that support the development of PE; and work that can be funded in conjunction with developing PE, such as operations modeling, surveying, project work/management plans, preliminary cost estimates, and preliminary project schedules. NEPA examples include analysis and documentation related to a Tier 2 NEPA EIS, EA or CE. PE/NEPA projects funded under this NOFO must result in sufficiently developed product(s) to support FD or Construction activities.

iii. Track 3—FD/Construction

Track 3 consists of eligible projects consisting of FD, Construction, and project implementation and deployment activities. Applicants must complete all necessary Planning, PE and NEPA requirements for FD/Construction projects. FD funded under this track must: Resolve remaining uncertainties or risks associated with changes to design scope; address procurement processes; and update and refine plans for financing the project or program to reflect accurately the expected year-of-expenditure costs and cash flow projections. Applicants selected for funding for FD/Construction must demonstrate the following to FRA’s satisfaction:

(A) PE is completed for the proposed project, resulting in project designs that are reasonably expected to conform to all regulatory, safety, security, and other design requirements, including those under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA);

(B) NEPA is completed for the proposed project;

(C) Signed agreements with key project partners, including infrastructure-owning entities; and

(D) A project management plan is in place for managing the implementation of the proposed project, including the management and mitigation of project risks.

FD examples include: Drawings at the 100% Design Level, interim design drawings that support development (e.g., drawings at the 60% Design Level), project work/project management plan, cost estimates, project schedules, and right-of-way acquisition and relocation plans. Construction examples include: Additions, improvements, replacements, renovations and/or repairs to track, bridge, station, rail yard, signal, and communication system infrastructure, or other railroad safety technology.

iv. Track 4—Research, Safety Programs and Institutes (Non-Railroad Infrastructure)

Track 4 consists of projects not falling within Tracks 1–3 and for the development and implementation of workforce development activities, research, safety programs or institutes designed to improve rail safety that clearly demonstrate the expected positive impact on rail safety. Sufficient detail must be provided on what the project will accomplish, as well as the applicant’s capability to achieve the proposed outcomes. Examples include: Initiatives for improving rail safety, training, public outreach, and education.

c. Project Component Operational Independence

If an applicant requests funding for a project that is a component or set of components of a larger project, the project component(s) must be attainable with the award amount, together with other funds as necessary, obtain operational independence, and must comply with all eligibility requirements described in Section C.

In addition, the component(s) must be capable of independent analysis and decision making, as determined by FRA, under NEPA (i.e., have independent utility, connect logical termini, if applicable, and not restrict the consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable rail projects.)

d. Rural Project

FRA will consider a project to be in a Rural Area if all or the majority of the project (determined by geographic location(s) where the majority of the project funds will be spent) is located in a Rural Area. However, in the event FRA elects to fund a component of the project, then FRA will reexamine whether the project is in a Rural Area.

D. Application and Submission Information

Required documents for the application are outlined in the following paragraphs. Applicants must complete and submit all components of the application. See Section D(2) for the application checklist. FRA welcomes the submission of additional relevant supporting documentation, such as planning, engineering and design documentation, and letters of support from partnering organizations that will not count against the Project Narrative 25-page limit.

1. Address To Request Application Package

Applicants must submit all application materials in their entirety through www.Grants.gov no later than 5:00 p.m. EDT, on September 17, 2018. FRA reserves the right to modify this deadline. General information for submitting applications through Grants.gov can be found at: https://www.fra.dot.gov/Page/P0270.

For any supporting application materials that an applicant cannot submit via Grants.gov, such as oversized engineering drawings, an applicant may submit an original and two (2) copies to Ms. Amy Houser, Office of Program Delivery, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W36–412, Washington, DC 20590. However, due to delays caused by enhanced screening of mail delivered via the U.S. Postal Service, FRA advises applicants to use other means of conveyance (such as courier service) to assure timely receipt of materials before the application deadline. Additionally, if documents can be obtained online, providing instructions to FRA on how to access files on a referenced website may also be sufficient.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission

FRA strongly advises applicants to read this section carefully. Applicants must submit all required information and components of the application package to be considered for funding. Additionally, applicants selected to receive funding must generally satisfy the grant readiness checklist requirements on https://www.fra.dot.gov/Page/P0268 as a precondition to FRA issuing a grant award, as well as the requirements in 49 U.S.C. 24405 explained in part at https://www.fra.dot.gov/page/P0185.

Required documents for an application package are outlined in the checklist below.

i. Project Narrative (see D.2.a)

ii. Statement of Work (see D.2.b.i)

iii. Benefit-Cost Analysis (see D.2.b.ii)

iv. SF424—Application for Federal Assistance

v. Either: SF 424A—Budget Information for Non-Construction projects (required for Tracks 1, 2 and 4) or SF 424C—Budget Information for Construction (required for any application that includes Track 3)

vi. Either: SF 424B—Assurances for Non-Construction projects (required for Tracks 1, 2 and 4) or SF 424D—Assurances for Construction (required
for any application that includes Track 3

vii. FRA’s Additional Assurances and Certifications
viii. SF LLL—Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

a. Project Narrative

This section describes the minimum content required in the Project Narrative of the grant application. The Project Narrative must follow the basic outline below to address the program requirements and assist evaluators in locating relevant information.

The above content must be provided in a narrative statement submitted by the applicant. The Project Narrative may not exceed 25 pages in length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Cover Page</th>
<th>See D.2.a.i.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>..............................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>..............................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Track</td>
<td>..............................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will this project contribute to the Restoration or Initiation of Intercity Passenger Rail Service?</td>
<td>Yes/no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was a Federal grant application previously submitted for this project?</td>
<td>Yes/no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, state the name of the Federal grant program and title of the project in the previous application. Federal Grant Program:</td>
<td>Project Title:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If applicable, what stage of NEPA is the project in (e.g., EA, Tier 1 NEPA, Tier 2 NEPA, or CE)?</td>
<td>NEPA stage:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Is this a Rural Project? What percentage of the project cost is based in a Rural Area? | Yes/no Percentage of total project cost:

City(ies), State(s) where the project is located
Urbanized Area where the project is located
Population of Urbanized Area

Is the project currently programmed in the: 
State rail plan, State Freight Plan, TIP, STIP, MPO Long Range Transportation Plan, State Long Range Transportation Plan?

If yes, state the name of the grant program and title of the project in the previous application. Federal Grant Program:

If applicable, what stage of NEPA is the project in (e.g., EA, Tier 1 NEPA, Tier 2 NEPA, or CE)?

Is this a Rural Project? What percentage of the project cost is based in a Rural Area?

City(ies), State(s) where the project is located
Urbanized Area where the project is located
Population of Urbanized Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. Project Summary</th>
<th>See D.2.a.ii.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III. Project Funding</td>
<td>See D.2.a.iii.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Applicant Eligibility</td>
<td>See D.2.a.iv.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Project Eligibility</td>
<td>See D.2.a.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Detailed Project Description</td>
<td>See D.2.a.vi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Project Location</td>
<td>See D.2.a.vii.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Evaluation and Selection Criteria</td>
<td>See D.2.a.viii.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Project Implementation and Management</td>
<td>See D.2.a.ix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Planning Readiness</td>
<td>See D.2.a.x.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. Environmental Readiness</td>
<td>See D.2.a.xi.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Project Narrative

ii. Project Summary: Provide a brief 4–6 sentence summary of the proposed project and what the project will entail. Include challenges the proposed project aims to address, and summarize the intended outcomes and anticipated benefits that will result from the proposed project.

iii. Project Funding: Indicate in table format the amount of Federal funding requested, the proposed non-Federal match, identifying contributions from the private sector if applicable, and total project cost. Describe the non-Federal funding arrangement, including multiple sources of non-federal funding if applicable. Include funding commitment letters outlining funding agreements, as attachments or in an appendix. Identify any specific project components that the applicant proposes for partial project funding. If all or a majority of a project is located in a Rural Area, identify the Rural Area(s) and estimated percentage of project costs that will be spent in the Rural Area. Identify any previously incurred costs, as well as other sources of Federal funds committed to the project and any pending Federal requests. Also, note if the requested Federal funding under this or other CRISI NOFOs or other programs must be obligated or spent by a certain date due to dependencies or relationships with other Federal or non-Federal funding sources, related projects, law, or other factors. If applicable, provide the type and estimated value of any proposed in-kind contributions, and demonstrate how the in-kind contributions meet the requirements in 2 CFR 200.306.
Example Project Funding Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task #</th>
<th>Task Name/Project Component</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Project Cost

Federal Funds Received from Previous Grant CRISI Federal Funding Request

Non-Federal Funding/Match Cash: In-Kind:

Portion of Non-Federal Funding from the Private Sector

Portion of Total Project Costs Spent in a Rural Area

Pending Federal Funding Requests

iv. Applicant Eligibility: Explain how the applicant meets the applicant eligibility criteria outlined in Section C of this notice, including references to creation or enabling legislation for public agencies and publicly chartered authorities established by one or more States. If the applicant is eligible under 49 U.S.C. 24407(b)(8) as a rail carrier or rail equipment manufacturer in partnership with at least one of the other eligible entities, the applicant should explain the partnership and each entity’s contribution to the partnership.

v. Project Eligibility: Identify which project eligibility category the project is eligible under in Section C(3) of this notice, and explain how the project meets the project eligibility criteria.

vi. Detailed Project Description: Include a detailed project description that expands upon the brief project summary. This detailed description should provide, at a minimum, background on the challenges the project aims to address; the expected users and beneficiaries of the project, including all railroad operators; the specific components and elements of the project; and any other information the applicant deems necessary to justify the proposed project. If applicable, explain how the project will benefit communities in Rural Areas.

For all projects, applicants must provide information about proposed performance measures, as discussed in Section F(3)(c) and required in 2 CFR 200.301 and 49 U.S.C. 24407(f).

(A) Grade crossing information, if applicable: For any project that includes grade crossing components, cite specific DOT National Grade Crossing Inventory information, including the railroad that owns the infrastructure (or the crossing owner, if different from the railroad), the primary railroad operator, the DOT crossing inventory number, and the roadway at the crossing. Applicants can search for data to meet this requirement at the following link: http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov/OfficeofSafety/default.aspx.

(B) Heavily traveled rail corridor information, if applicable: For any project eligible under the eligibility category in Subsection C(3)(a)(iv), that reduces congestion and facilitates ridership growth in Intercity Passenger Rail Transportation, describe how the project is located on a heavily traveled rail corridor.

(C) PTC information, if applicable: For any project that includes deploying PTC systems, applicants must:

1. Document submission of a revised Positive Train Control Implementation Plan (PTCIP) to FRA as required by 49 U.S.C. 20157(a);
2. Document that it is a tenant on one or more host railroads that submitted a revised PTCIP to FRA as required by 49 U.S.C. 20157(a), which states the tenant railroad is equipping its rolling stock with a PTC system and provides all other information required under 49 CFR 236.1011 regarding the tenant railroad; or
3. Document why the applicant is not required to submit a revised PTCIP as required by 49 U.S.C. 20157(a), and whether the proposed project will assist in the deployment (i.e., installation and/or full implementation) of a PTC system required under 49 U.S.C. 20157.

vii. Project Location: Include geospatial data for the project, as well as a map of the project’s location. On the map, include the Rural Area boundaries, if applicable, in which the project will take place.

viii. Evaluation and Selection Criteria: Include a thorough discussion of how the proposed project meets all the evaluation criteria and selection criteria, as outlined in Section E of this notice. If an application does not sufficiently address the evaluation and selection
criteria, it is unlikely to be a competitive application. For the life-cycle cost
selection criteria, applicants should demonstrate a credible plan to maintain
their asset without having to rely on federal funding including a description
of the applicants’ approach to ensuring operations and maintenance will not be
underfunded in future years.

ix. Project Implementation and
Management: Describe proposed project
implementation and project
management arrangements. Include
descriptions of the expected
arrangements for project contracting,
contract oversight, change-order
management, risk management, and
conformance to Federal requirements
for project progress reporting (see
https://www.fra.dot.gov/Page/P0274).
Describe past experience in managing
and overseeing similar projects.

x. Planning Readiness for Tracks 2
and 3 (PE/NEPA and FD/Construction
Projects): Provide information about
the planning process that analyzed the
invested service objectives of the project. If applicable, cite sources of
this information from a Service
Development Plan, State or regional rail
plan, or similar planning document
where the project has been identified for
solving a specific existing transportation
problem, and makes the case for
investing in the proposed solution.

xi. Environmental Readiness for Track
3 FD/Construction Projects: If the NEPA
process is complete, an applicant
should indicate the date of completion,
and provide a website link or other
reference to the documents
demonstrating compliance with NEPA,
which might include a final CE, Finding
of No Significant Impact, or Record of
Decision. If the NEPA process is not yet
underway or is underway, but is not
complete, the application should detail
the type of NEPA review underway,
where the project is in the process, and
indicate the anticipated date of
completion of all NEPA and related
milestones. If the last agency action
with respect to NEPA documents
occurred more than three years before
the application date, the applicant
should describe why the project has
been delayed and include a proposed
approach for verifying, and if necessary,
updating this information in accordance
with applicable NEPA requirements.

Additional information regarding FRA’s
environmental processes and
requirements are located at https://
www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L05286.

b. Additional Application Elements
Applicants must submit:

i. A Statement of Work (SOW)
addressing the scope, schedule, and
budget for the proposed project if it
were selected for award. The SOW must
contain sufficient detail so FRA, and the
applicant, can understand the expected
outcomes of the proposed work to be
performed and monitor progress toward
completing project tasks and
deliverables during a prospective grant’s
period of performance. Applicants must
use FRA’s standard SOW template to be
considered for award. The SOW
template is located at https://
www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L18661.
When preparing the budget as part of
the SOW, the total cost of a project must
be based on the best available
information as indicated in cited
references that include engineering
studies, studies of economic feasibility,
environmental analyses, and
information on the expected use of
equipment or facilities.

ii. A Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA), as
an appendix to the Project Narrative for
each project submitted by an applicant.
The BCA must demonstrate in economic
terms the merits of investing in
the proposed project. The BCA for Track
2—PE/NEPA projects should be for the
underlying project, not the PE/NEPA
work itself. The project narrative should
summarize the project’s benefits.

Benefits may apply to existing and
new rail users, as well as users of other
modes of transportation. In some cases,
benefits may be applied to populations
in the general vicinity of the project
area. Improvements to multimodal
connections and shared-use rail
 corridors may benefit all users involved.
Benefits may be quantified for savings
in safety costs, reduced costs from
disruption of service, maintenance
costs, reduced travel time, emissions
reductions, and increases in capacity or
ability to offer new types of freight or
passenger services. Applicants may also
describe other categories of benefits that
are difficult to quantify such as noise
reduction, environmental impact
mitigation, improved quality of life, or
reliability of travel times. All benefits
claimed for the project must be clearly
tied to the expected outcomes of the
project. Please refer to the Benefit-Cost
Analysis Guidance for Discretionary
Grant Programs prior to preparing a
BCA at https://www.transportation.gov/
office-policy/transportation-policy/
benefit-cost-analysis-guidance. In
addition, please also refer to the BCA
FAQs on FRA’s website for some rail
specific examples of how to apply the
BCA Guidance for Discretionary Grant
Programs to CRISI applications.

iii. For Tracks 1 and 4—Applicants are
required to demonstrate project
benefits. Any subjective estimates of
benefits and costs should be quantified
whenever possible, and applicants
should provide appropriate evidence to
support their subjective estimates.
Estimates of benefits should be
presented in monetary terms whenever
possible; if a monetary estimate is not
possible, then a quantitative estimate (in
physical, non-monetary terms, such as
space or employee casualty rates,
ridership estimates, emissions levels,
energy efficiency improvements, etc.)
should be provided. At a minimum,
qualitatively describe the project
benefits.

iv. SF 424—Application for Federal
Assistance;

v. SF 424A—Budget Information for
Non-Construction or SF 424C—Budget
Information for Construction;

vi. SF 424B—Assurances for Non-
Construction or SF 424D—Assurances
for Construction;

vii. FRA’s Additional Assurances and
Certifications; and

viii. SF LLL—Disclosure of Lobbying
Activities.

For forms needed for the electronic
application process are at

c. Post-Selection Requirements
See subsection F(2) of this notice for post-selection requirements.

3. Unique Entity Identifier, System for
Award Management (SAM), and
Submission Instructions

To apply for funding through
Grants.gov, applicants must be properly
registered. Complete instructions on
how to register and submit an
application can be found at
Grants.gov is a one-time process;
however, it can take up to several weeks
for first-time registrants to receive
confirmation and a user password. FRA
recommends that applicants start the
registration process as early as possible
to prevent delays that may preclude
submitting an application package by
the application deadline. Applications
will not be accepted after the due date.
Delayed registration is not an acceptable
justification for an application
extension.

FRA may not make a grant award to
an applicant until the applicant has
complied with all applicable Data
Universal Numbering System (DUNS)
and SAM requirements. (Please note
that if a Dun & Bradstreet DUNS number
must be obtained or renewed, this may
take a significant amount of time to
complete.) Late applications that are
the result of a failure to register or comply
with Grants.gov application requirements
timely manner will not be
considered. If an applicant has not fully
complied with the requirements by the submission deadline, the application will not be considered. To submit an application through Grants.gov, applicants must:

a. Obtain a DUNS Number

A DUNS number is required for Grants.gov registration. The Office of Management and Budget requires that all businesses and nonprofit applicants for Federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or renewal of an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for the government in identifying and keeping track of entities receiving Federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for Federal assistance applicants, recipients, and sub-recipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Applicants may obtain a DUNS number by calling 1–866–705–5711 or by applying online at http://www.dnb.com/us.

b. Register With the SAM at www.SAM.Gov

All applicants for Federal financial assistance must maintain current registrations in the SAM database. An applicant must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. The SAM database is the repository for standard information about Federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and sub-recipients. Organizations that have previously submitted applications via Grants.gov are already registered with SAM, as it is a requirement for Grants.gov registration. Please note, however, that applicants must update or renew their SAM registration at least once per year to maintain an active status. Therefore, it is critical to check registration status well in advance of the application deadline. If an applicant is selected for an award, the applicant must maintain an active SAM registration with current information throughout the period of the award. Information about SAM registration procedures is available at www.sam.gov.

c. Create a Grants.Gov Username and Password

Applicants must complete an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) profile on www.Grants.gov and create a username and password. Applicants must use the organization’s DUNS number to complete this step. Additional information about the registration process is available at: https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html.

d. Acquire Authorization for your AOR

From the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC) registration.html.

The E-Biz POC at the applicant’s organization must respond to the registration email from Grants.gov and login at www.Grants.gov to authorize the applicant as the AOR. Please note there can be more than one AOR for an organization.

e. Submit an Application Addressing All Requirements Outlined in This NOFO

If an applicant experiences difficulties at any point during this process, please call the Grants.gov Customer Center Hotline at 1–800–518–4726, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on Federal holidays). For information and instructions on each of these processes, please see instructions at: http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html.

Note: Please use generally accepted formats such as .pdf, .doc, .docx, .xls, .xlsx and .ppt, when uploading attachments. While applicants may embed picture files, such as .jpg, .gif, and .bmp, in document files, applicants should not submit attachments in these formats. Additionally, the following formats will not be accepted: .com, .bat, .exe, .vbs, .cfg, .dat, .db, .dbf, .dll, .ini, .log, .ora, .sys, and .zip.

4. Submission Dates and Times

Applicants must submit complete applications to www.Grants.gov no later than 5:00 p.m. EDT, September 17, 2018. FRA reviews www.Grants.gov information on dates/times of applications submitted to determine timeliness of submissions. Late applications will be neither reviewed nor considered. Delayed registration is not an acceptable reason for late submission. In order to apply for funding under this announcement, all applicants are expected to be registered as an organization with Grants.gov. Applicants are strongly encouraged to apply early to ensure all materials are received before this deadline.

To ensure a fair competition of limited discretionary funds, the following conditions are not valid reasons to permit late submissions: (1) Failure to complete the Grants.gov registration process before the deadline; (2) failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as outlined in its website; (3) failure to follow all instructions in this NOFO; and (4) technical issues experienced with the applicant’s computer or information technology environment.

5. Intergovernmental Review

Executive Order 12372 requires applicants from State and local units of government or other organizations providing services within a State to submit a copy of the application to the State Single Point of Contact (SPOC), if one exists, and if this program has been selected for review by the State. Applicants must contact their State SPOC to determine if the program has been selected for State review.

6. Funding Restrictions

FRA is prohibited under 49 U.S.C. 24405(f) from providing CRISI grants for commuter rail passenger transportation (as defined in 49 U.S.C. 24102(3)) FRA’s interpretation of this restriction is informed by the language in 49 U.S.C. 24407. FRA’s primary intent in funding passenger rail projects is to make reasonable investments in intercity passenger rail transportation. Such projects may be located on shared corridors where Commuter Rail Passenger Transportation and/or freight rail also benefit from the project.

Consistent with 2 CFR 200.458, as applicable, FRA will only approve pre-award costs if such costs are incurred pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the grant agreement and if such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Under 2 CFR 200.458, grant recipients must seek written approval from the administering agency for pre-award activities to be eligible for reimbursement under the grant. Activities initiated prior to the execution of a grant or without written approval may not be eligible for reimbursement or included as a grantee’s matching contribution.

7. Other Submission Requirements

If an applicant experiences difficulties at any point during this process, please call the Grants.gov Customer Center Hotline at 1–800–518–4726, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on Federal holidays). For information and instructions on each of these processes, please see instructions at: http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html.

E. Application Review Information

1. Criteria

a. Eligibility and Completeness Review

FRA will first screen each application for applicant and project eligibility (eligibility requirements are outlined in
Section C of this notice), completeness (application documentation and submission requirements are outlined in Section D of this notice), and the 20 percent minimum match in determining whether the application is eligible.

FRA will then consider the applicant’s past performance in developing and delivering similar projects and previous financial contributions, and previous competitive grant technical evaluation ratings that the proposed project received under previous competitive grant programs administered by the DOT if applicable.

b. Evaluation Criteria

FRA subject-matter experts will evaluate all eligible and complete applications using the evaluation criteria outlined in this section to determine project benefits and technical merit.

i. Project Benefits:
FRA will evaluate the Benefit-Cost Analysis of the proposed project for the anticipated private and public benefits relative to the costs of the proposed project and the summary of benefits provided in response to subsection D(2)(a)(ii) including—
(A) Effects on system and service performance;
(B) Effects on safety, competitiveness, reliability, trip or transit time, and resiliency;
(C) Efficiencies from improved integration with other modes; and
(D) Ability to meet existing or anticipated demand.

ii. Technical Merit:
FRA will evaluate application information for the degree to which—
(A) The tasks and subtasks outlined in the SOW are appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes of the proposed project.
(B) Applications indicate strong project readiness and meet requirements under the project track(s) designated by the applicant.
(C) The technical qualifications and experience of key personnel proposed to lead and perform the technical efforts, and the qualifications of the primary and supporting organizations to fully and successfully execute the proposed project within the proposed timeframe and budget are demonstrated.
(D) The proposed project’s business plan considers potential private sector participation in the financing, construction, or operation of the proposed project.
(E) The applicant has, or will have the legal, financial, and technical capacity to carry out the proposed project; satisfactory continuing control over the use of the equipment or facilities; and

the capability and willingness to maintain the equipment or facilities.7
(F) The proposed project is consistent with planning guidance and documents set forth by DOT, including those required by law or State rail plans developed under Title 49, United State Code, Chapter 227.

c. Selection Criteria

In addition to the eligibility and completeness review and the evaluation criteria outlined in this subsection, the FRA Administrator will select projects applying the following selection criteria:

1. The Administrator will give preference to projects for which the:
   (A) Proposed Federal share of total project costs is 50 percent or less;
   (B) Proposed non-Federal share is comprised of more than one source, including private sources, demonstrating broad participation by affected stakeholders; and
   (C) Net benefits of the grant funds will be maximized considering the Benefit-Cost Analysis, including anticipated private and public benefits relative to the costs of the proposed project, and factoring in the other considerations in 49 U.S.C. 24407(e).

ii. After applying the above preferences, the FRA Administrator will take into account the following key Departmental objectives:
   (A) Supporting economic vitality at the national and regional level;
   (B) Leveraging Federal funding to attract other, non-Federal sources of infrastructure investment;
   (C) Preparing for future operations and maintenance costs associated with their project’s life-cycle, as demonstrated by a credible plan to maintain assets without having to rely on future federal funding.
   (D) Using innovative approaches to improve safety and expedite project delivery; and
   (E) Holding grant recipients accountable for their performance and achieving specific, measurable outcomes identified by grant applicants.

2. Review and Selection Process

FRA will conduct a three-part application review process, as follows:

a. Screen applications for completeness and eligibility;

b. Evaluate eligible applications (completed by technical panels applying the evaluation criteria); and

c. Select projects for funding (completed by the FRA Administrator applying the selection criteria).

3. Reporting Matters Related to Integrity and Performance

Before making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold of $150,000 (see 2 CFR 200.88 Simplified Acquisition Threshold), FRA will review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)). See 41 U.S.C. 2313.

An applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

FRA will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant’s integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 CFR 200.205.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

1. Federal Award Notice

FRA will announce applications selected for funding in a press release and on the FRA website after the application review period. FRA will contact applicants with successful applications after announcement with information and instructions about the award process. This notification is not an authorization to begin proposed project activities. A formal cooperative agreement or grant agreement signed by both the grantee and the FRA, including an approved scope, schedule, and budget, is required to obligate the grant.

For all projects, obligation occurs when a selected applicant and FRA enter a written project specific cooperative agreement or grant agreement and is after the applicant has satisfied applicable requirements. For Track 2 PE/NEPA projects, these requirements may include transportation planning. For Track 3 FD/Construction projects, these
requirements may include transportation planning, PE and environmental reviews.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Due to funding limitations, projects that are selected for funding may receive less than the amount originally requested. In those cases, applicants must be able to demonstrate the proposed projects are still viable and can be completed with the amount awarded.

Grantees and entities receiving funding from the grantee, must comply with all applicable laws and regulations. Examples of administrative and national policy requirements include: 2 CFR part 200; procurement standards; compliance with Federal civil rights laws and regulations; requirements for disadvantaged business enterprises, America requirements and the provision deeming operators rail carriers for certain purposes.

See an example of standard terms and conditions for FRA grant awards at https://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Document/14426.

3. Reporting

a. Progress Reporting on Grant Activity

Each applicant selected for a grant will be required to comply with all standard FRA reporting requirements, including quarterly progress reports, interim and final performance reports, as well as all applicable auditing, monitoring and close out requirements. Reports may be submitted electronically.

b. Additional Reporting

Applicants selected for funding are required to comply with all reporting requirements in the standard terms and conditions for FRA grant awards including 2 CFR 180.335 and 2 CFR 180.350. See an example of standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rail measures</th>
<th>Unit measured</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Primary strategic goal</th>
<th>Secondary strategic goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slow Order Miles</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>State of Good Repair</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>The number of miles per year within the project area that have temporary speed restrictions (&quot;slow orders&quot;) imposed due to track condition. This is an indicator of the overall condition of track. This measure can be used for projects to rehabilitate sections of a rail line since the rehabilitation should eliminate, or at least reduce the slow orders upon project completion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Ton</td>
<td>Gross Tons</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Economic Competitiveness</td>
<td>State of Good Repair</td>
<td>The annual gross tonnage of freight shipped in the project area. Gross tons include freight cargo minus tare weight of the rail cars. This measure the volume of freight a railroad ships in a year. This measure can be useful for projects that are anticipated to increase freight shipments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Track Grade</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Economic Competitiveness</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>The number of annual automobile crossings that are eliminated at an at-grade crossing as a result of a new grade separation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Counts</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Economic Competitiveness</td>
<td>State of Good Repair</td>
<td>Count of the annual passenger boardings and alightings at stations within the project area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time</td>
<td>Time/Trip</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Economic Competitiveness</td>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>Point-to-point travel times between pre-determined station stops within the project area. This measure demonstrates how track improvements and other upgrades improve operations on a rail line. It also helps make sure the railroad is maintaining the line after project completion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

For further information regarding this notice and the grants program, please contact Ms. Amy Houser, Office of Program Delivery, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W36–412, Washington, DC 20590; email: amy.houser@dot.gov; phone: 202–493–0303, or Ms. Frances Bourne, Office of Policy and Planning, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W38–207, Washington, DC 20590; email: frances.bourne@dot.gov; phone: 202–493–6366.

H. Other Information

All information submitted as part of or in support of any application shall use publicly available data or data that can be made public and methodologies that are accepted by industry practice and standards, to the extent possible. If the application includes information the applicant considers to be a trade secret or confidential commercial or financial information, the applicant should do the following: (1) Note on the front cover that the submission “Contains Confidential Business Information (CBI)” (2) mark each affected page “CBI”; and (3) highlight or otherwise denote the CBI portions.

DOT protects such information from disclosure to the extent allowed under applicable law. In the event the DOT receives a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for the information, DOT will follow the procedures described in its FOIA regulations at 49 CFR 7.17. Only information that is ultimately determined to be confidential under that procedure will be exempt from disclosure under FOIA.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 11, 2018.

Ronald Louis Batory, Administrator, Federal Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc. 2018–15412 Filed 7–18–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD–2018–0111]

Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws: Vessel ACQUA BLU; Invitation for Public Comments

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR part 388, that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-flag vessels, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter’s interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in section 388.4 of MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

Privacy Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT/MARAD solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT/MARAD posts these comments, without edit, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice, DOT/MARAD, accessible through www.dot.gov/privacy. In order to facilitate comment tracking and response, we encourage commenters to provide their name, or the name of their organization; however, submission of names is completely optional. Whether or not commenters identify themselves, all timely comments will be fully considered. If you wish to provide comments containing proprietary or confidential information, please contact the agency for alternate submission instructions.