

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rulemaking does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rulemaking will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal, which is the subject of this rulemaking, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. In making the determination as to whether this rulemaking would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rulemaking is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small

Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rulemaking: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; (b) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and (c) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rulemaking, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rulemaking.

Unfunded Mandates

This rulemaking will not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of \$100 million or more in any given year. This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rulemaking, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was

prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation did not impose an unfunded mandate.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 901

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: May 31, 2018.

Paul Ehret,

Acting Regional Director, Mid-Continent Region.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR part 901 is amended as set forth below:

PART 901—ALABAMA

■ 1. The authority citation for part 901 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*

■ 2. Section 901.25 is amended in the table by adding a new entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

§ 901.25 Approval of Alabama abandoned mine land reclamation plan amendments.

* * * * *

Original amendment submission date	Date of final publication	Citation/description
* * * * *		
June 7, 2016	June 22, 2018	Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation Plan for the State of Alabama.

[FR Doc. 2018–13434 Filed 6–21–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**Department of the Navy****32 CFR Part 736**

[Docket ID: USN–2018–HQ–0002]

RIN 0703–AB05

Disposition of Property

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule removes Department of the Navy regulations governing disposition of property, including surplus real property, warships, other surface vessels, personal property, and strategic materials. The disposal of surplus property is governed by standing authorities found within the U.S. Code. Further, disposal of surplus property is also governed by Department

of Defense regulations entitled “Defense Material Disposition.” Therefore, this rule can be removed from the CFR.

DATES: This rule is effective on June 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Omans at 703–614–5848.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on removing a duplicative CFR part.

Removal of this part does not add or reduce the burden or cost on the public in any way. The cost of disposal of surplus property will remain the same with removal of the part.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” therefore, E.O. 13771, “Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs” does not apply.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 736

Surplus Government property.

PART 736—[REMOVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 736 is removed.

Dated: June 12, 2018.

E.K. Baldini,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–13409 Filed 6–21–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

[Docket No. USCG–2018–0541]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Cape Fear River, North Carolina, Wilmington, NC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.