Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) does not apply. Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Administrator has determined that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, Section 1315, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed no longer comply with the statutory requirements, and after the effective date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the communities unless remedial action takes place. Regulatory Classification. This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism. This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule meets the applicable standards of Executive Order 12988.

Paperwork Reduction Act. This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64
Flood insurance, Floodplains.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows:

PART 64—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 64 continues to read as follows:

§ 64.6 [Amended]

■ 2. The tables published under the authority of §64.6 are amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State and location</th>
<th>Community No.</th>
<th>Effective date authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community</th>
<th>Current effective map date</th>
<th>Date certain Federal assistance no longer available in SFHAs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region V</td>
<td>170463</td>
<td>January 19, 1977, Emerg; February 1, 1984, Reg; June 6, 2018, Susp.</td>
<td>June 6, 2018</td>
<td>June 6, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois: Mason</td>
<td>380231</td>
<td>July 31, 1975, Emerg; August 5, 1986, Reg; June 6, 2018, Susp.</td>
<td>June 6, 2018</td>
<td>June 6, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County, Unincorporated Areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region VIII</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota: Harvey, City of, Wells County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-d-o- = Ditto.
Code for reading third column: Emerg.—Emergency; Reg.—Regular; Susp.—Suspension.


Eric Letvin,
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Bureaus) reconsider certain aspects of the Mobility Fund Phase II (MF–II) challenge process procedures on their own motion and modify certain parameters for speed test measurements needed to file a successful challenge to an area that is initially deemed ineligible for MF–II support.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Bureaus’ Order on Reconsideration (MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration), WC Docket No. 10–90, WT Docket No. 10–208, DA 18–427, adopted on April 30, 2018 and released on April 30, 2018. The complete text of this document is available for public inspection and copying from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) Monday through Thursday or from 8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. ET on Fridays in the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th Street SW, Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text is also available on the Commission’s website at https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-18-427A1.docx. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by sending an email to FCC504@fcc.gov or by calling the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, the Commission has prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification (Certification) certifying that the requirements of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Certification is set forth in Section IV of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration, and is summarized below. The Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, will send a copy of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration, including the Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA).

Paperwork Reduction Act

The MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13.

Congressional Review Act


I. Introduction

1. On February 27, 2018, the Rural Broadband Auctions Task Force, in conjunction with the Wireline Competition Bureau and the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (the Bureaus), released the MF–II Challenge Process Procedures Public Notice, 83 FR 13417, March 29, 2018, establishing the procedures to be used in the MF–II challenge process. The Bureaus reconsider certain aspects of those procedures on their own motion and increase the maximum distance between speed test measurements to 800 meters (approximately one-half of one mile) and the associated buffer radius to 400 meters (approximately one-quarter of one mile).

II. Background

2. In the MF–II Challenge Process Procedures Public Notice, the Bureaus determined, consistent with the Commission’s decision in the MF–II Challenge Process Order, 82 FR 42473, September 8, 2017, that speed test measurements submitted to support a successful challenge and/or respond to a challenge to an area that is initially deemed ineligible for MF–II support must be no more than one-half of one kilometer (500 meters) apart from one another. The Bureaus concluded that to allow speed test measurements to 800 meters (approximately one-half of one mile) and the associated buffer radius to 400 meters (approximately one-quarter of one mile) would create an “insurmountable burden.” Upon reconsideration of new evidence in the record, which was unavailable at the time of our prior decision, the Bureaus are now persuaded that applying a buffer radius of one-quarter of one kilometer may be unduly burdensome to some challengers. Since the Commission determined in the MF–II Challenge Process Order that the radius of the buffer will equal one-half of the maximum distance parameter, the Bureaus will require that speed test measurements be taken no further than 800 meters (eight-tenths of one kilometer) apart from one another, resulting in an associated buffer radius of 400 meters (four-tenths of one kilometer). These modified parameters will reduce the number of speed test measurements needed to file a successful challenge.

V. Procedural Matters

A. Congressional Review Act

5. The Commission will send a copy of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration to Congress and the Government Accountability Office, pursuant to the Congressional Review Act.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act Analysis

6. This document does not contain new or modified information collection requirements subject to the PRA. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198.

C. Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification

6. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), requires that a regulatory flexibility analysis be
prepared for a notice-and-comment rulemaking proceeding, unless the agency certifies that “the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.” The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small governmental jurisdiction.” In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small Business Act. A “small business concern” is one which: (1) Is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA.

7. As required by the RFA, the Commission prepared Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analyses (IRFAs) in connection with the USF/ICC Transformation FNPRM, 76 FR 78383, December 16, 2011, the 2014 CAF FNPRM, 79 FR 39195, July 9, 2014, and the MF–II FNPRM, 82 FR 13413, March 13, 2017 (collectively, MF–II FNPRMs). A Supplemental Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (Supplemental IRA) was also filed in the MF–II Challenge Process Comment Public Notice, 82 FR 51180, November 3, 2017, in this proceeding. The Commission sought written public comment on the proposals in the MF–II FNPRMs and in the MF–II Challenge Process Comment Public Notice, including comments on the IRFAs and Supplemental IRA. The Commission received three comments in response to the MF–II FNPRM IRA. Those comments were addressed in the MF–II Challenge Process Order Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis. No comments were filed addressing the other IRFAs or the Supplemental IRA. The Commission included Final Regulatory Flexibility Analyses in connection with the 2014 CAF Order, 79 FR 39163, July 9, 2014, the MF–II Order, 82 FR 15422, March 28, 2017, and the MF–II Challenge Process Order, and a Supplemental Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis in connection with the MF–II Challenge Process Procedures Public Notice.

8. The MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration modifies the Bureaus’ decision in the MF–II Challenge Process Procedures Public Notice that speed test measurements submitted to support and/or respond to a challenge to an area that is initially deemed ineligible for MF–II support must be no more than one-half of one kilometer (500 meters) apart from one another. The MF–II Challenge Process Order on reconsideration increases the maximum distance parameter to 800 meters (approximately one-half of one mile) and, correspondingly, increases the associated buffer radius used to assess challenges to 400 meters (approximately one-quarter of one mile). By reducing the number of speed test measurements needed to submit a successful challenge, the Bureaus expect that these modified parameters will reduce the burden on potential challengers. Therefore, the Bureaus certify that the requirements of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

9. The Commission will send a copy of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration, including a copy of this Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, in a report to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act. In addition, the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration and this final certification will be sent to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA, and will be published in the Federal Register.

VI. Ordering Clauses

10. Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 4(i), 254, 303(r), and 332 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 254, 303(r), 332, 1302, and Section 1.113(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.113(a), that the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration is adopted.

   • Pursuant to Section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.103, the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration shall become effective 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register.

   • The Commission shall send a copy of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

   • The Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, shall send a copy of the MF–II Challenge Process Order on Reconsideration, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. Federal Communications Commission.

Gary Michaels,
Deputy Chief, Auctions and Spectrum Access Division, WTB.

[Federal Register Document: 2018–10854 Filed 5–21–18; 8:45 am]
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FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 74


Promoting Spectrum Access for Wireless Microphone Operations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; announcement of effective date.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved, for a period of three years, the new information collection associated with the Commission’s Promoting Spectrum Access for Wireless Microphone Operations, Order on Reconsideration, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. This document is consistent with the Order, which stated that the Commission would publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date of those rules.

DATES: The amendments to 47 CFR 74.803(c) and (d) published at 82 FR 41549, September 1, 2017, are effective May 22, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Murray, Office of Engineering and Technology Bureau, at (202) 418–0688, or email: Paul.Murray@fcc.gov.

For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements, contact Nicole Ongele at (202) 418–2991 or nicole.ongele@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document announces that, on May 1, 2018, OMB approved, for a period of three years, the information collection requirements relating to the consumer disclosure and labeling rules contained in the Commission’s Wireless Microphones Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 17–95 (82 FR 41549, September 1, 2017). The OMB Control Number is 3060–1253. The Commission publishes this document as an announcement of the effective date of the specific §74.803(c) and (d) rules. If you have any comments on the burden estimates listed below, or how the Commission can improve the collections and reduce any burdens caused thereby, please contact Nicole Ongele, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A620, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554.