

safety as “the performance of a motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment in a way that protects the public against unreasonable risk of accidents occurring because of the design, construction, or performance of a motor vehicle, and against unreasonable risk of death or injury in an accident, and includes nonoperational safety of a motor vehicle.” In this instance, the risk involved is a low speed engine stall happening immediately after the fuel tank is overfilled. NHTSA considers several factors when assessing the safety risk posed by conditions that may result in engine stall while driving. These include the speeds at which stalling may occur, the ability of the driver to restart the vehicle, the warning available to the driver prior to stalling, the effects of engine stall on vehicle controllability, when and where the stalling may occur and the effects of the condition on other safety systems of the vehicle. In general, conditions that result in engine stall during low-speed operation at idle, such as when slowing to a stop, and where the engine may be restarted right away, are considered by NHTSA to be among the least hazardous types of stalling problems and, absent other risk factors, are not considered to be unreasonable risks to safety.

Prior ODI Investigation PE13–016

On February 10, 2014, ODI closed an investigation of an alleged defect in approximately 153,817 MY 2006 Chrysler 300, Dodge Charger and Dodge Magnum vehicles (LX cars) that may result in engine stall shortly after refueling (PE13–016). In response to ODI’s information request for PE13–016, FCA identified a problem with the multifunction control valve (MFCV) fuel shutoff float integrated into 19-gallon fuel tanks in certain LX vehicles. According to FCA, the float may swell after exposure to fuels with high ethanol content, which may cause the valve to stick. A float valve that is stuck open during refueling could result in fuel tank overfill and allow raw fuel to enter the purge line and vapor canister. This could result in problems with engine drivability (e.g., stumble or hesitation) or stall due to a rich fuel mixture while driving, in the brief period immediately after filling the fuel tank.

ODI’s complaint review showed most of the engine stall incidents occurred when vehicles were stopped or travelling at low speeds. This review also revealed that no significant difficulty restarting the vehicle was reported and no crashes or injuries were identified in the subject vehicles, which had been in service for 7 to 8 years. The investigation (PE13–016) was closed

without a finding of a defect due to the low safety risk associated with the alleged defect condition. Further details of the investigation are available at <https://www.NHTSA.gov>.

Prior ODI Petition DP14–002

In response to ODI’s information request letter for DP14–002, FCA indicated that the RS Minivan may experience MFCV float sticking similar to that investigated in PE13–016 and described above. Further details of the investigation are available at <https://www.NHTSA.gov>.

As part of its evaluation of DP14–002, NHTSA’s Vehicle Research and Test Center (VRTC) tested a 2005 Chrysler Town & Country LMT (3.6L SFI, 20 gal. fuel tank) that was the subject of an ODI complaint (VOQ 10641603) and proved the vehicle was affected by the sticking in-tank fuel valve. VRTC’s examination assessed engine performance after refueling, including the driving conditions and ease of engine restart associated with any observed engine stalls. When refueling the vehicle up to the initial shut-off of the filling station pump nozzle, the VRTC testing was able to reproduce stalling incidents when the vehicle was stopped or coasting to a stop at low speed. The vehicle did not stall 4 out of 5 times when travelling at 5 mph, but minor hesitation was noted. No stalls and only minor hesitation occurred when travelling at 10 mph or above in tanks filled to the initial nozzle shut-off. Stalling was more likely to occur if the tank was overfilled (i.e., adding fuel past the initial fill nozzle shutoff). Testing after overfilling resulted in stalls in 4 of 5 tests at speeds up to 10 mph. Regardless of fill condition, the vehicle could always be immediately restarted after each engine stall.

2008 Jeep Patriot Analysis

In response to ODI’s information request letter for DP17–002, FCA indicated that the 2007 Jeep Patriot may experience a condition with MFCV float sticking similar to the one investigated in the LX Cars in PE13–016 and 2007 Chrysler Minivans in DP14–002. As described above in PE13–016, the failure mechanism is a result of a swollen refueling float within the multifunction control valve. The FCA response also indicated no reported accidents or property damage in a fleet of 29,573 vehicles with more than 4 billion vehicle miles driven over 10 years of service. FCA believes that, predicated upon these findings, there is no unreasonable risk to motor safety. Further details of the investigation will

be available in the near future at <https://www.NHTSA.gov>.

ODI’s complaint analysis of the alleged defect, completed in March 2017, identified 39 post-refueling engine stall incidents in approximately 29,573 vehicles. Similar to the LX Car analysis in PE13–016, and 2007 Chrysler Minivans analysis in DP14–002, the engine stalls occurred immediately after refueling when the vehicle was stopped or coasting to a stop at low speed. There were no allegations of significant difficulty restarting the engines immediately after the stalls occurred. None of the complaints alleged any crash or injury. Based upon the above facts and the conditions in which any stall occurs, ODI concludes that further investigation is unlikely to result in a finding that a defect related to motor vehicle safety exists.

Conclusion

In the Agency’s view, additional investigation is unlikely to result in a finding that a defect related to motor vehicle safety exists given the limited conditions under which the subject condition may result in engine stall. Although NHTSA can and will take action before a defect results in a crash, injury or death, the absence of any reported crashes or injuries in a fleet of nearly 30,000 vehicles estimated to have driven 4 billion vehicle miles indicates that further investigation is not warranted under the facts known to the Agency at this time. Therefore, in view of the need to allocate and prioritize NHTSA’s limited resources to best accomplish the agency’s safety mission, the petition is denied. The Agency will take further action if warranted by future circumstances.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30162(d); delegations of authority at CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Jeffrey M. Giuseppe,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2018–10404 Filed 5–15–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2018–0063]

Reports, Forms, and Recordkeeping Requirements

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Reinstatement of a previously approved collection of information.

SUMMARY: This document solicits public comments on continuation of the requirements for the collection of information entitled “Consolidated Child Restraint System Registration, Labeling and Defect Notifications” (OMB Control Number: 2127–0576) and the accuracy of the revised agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection.

Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatement of previously approved collections.

DATES: You should submit your comments early enough to ensure that Docket Management receives them no later than July 16, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments (identified by the DOT Docket ID Number above) by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, 20590–0001 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- *Fax:* 202–493–2251.

Regardless of how you submit your comments, you should mention the docket number of this document. You may call the Docket at 202–366–9324. Please identify the proposed collection of information for which a comment is provided, by referencing its OMB clearance number. It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comment be provided.

Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the street address listed above. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Complete copies of each request for collection of information may be obtained at no charge from Cristina Echemendia, U.S. Department of Transportation, NHTSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building Room W43–447, NRM–130, Washington, DC 20590. Cristina Echemendia’s telephone number is 202–366–6345 and fax number is 202–366–7002. Please identify the relevant collection of information by referring to its OMB Control Number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the **Federal Register** providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB’s regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

- (i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;
- (iv) How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks for public comments on the following proposed collection of information:

Title: “Consolidated Child Restraint System Registration, Labeling and Defect Notifications.”

OMB Control Number: 2127–0576.

Requested Expiration Date of Approval: Three years from the approval date.

Type of Request: Reinstatement of a previously approved collection.

Affected Public: Businesses, Individuals and Households.

Summary of the Collection of Information: Child restraint manufacturers are required to provide an owner’s registration card for purchasers of child safety seats in accordance with title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), part 571–section 213, “Child restraint systems.” The registration card is perforated into two-parts (see Figures 1 and 2). The top part contains a message and suitable instructions to be retained by the purchaser. The bottom part is to be returned to the manufacturer by the purchaser. The bottom part includes prepaid return postage, the pre-printed name/address of the manufacturer, the pre-printed model and date of manufacture, and spaces for the purchaser to fill in his/her name and address. Optionally, child restraint manufacturers are permitted to add to the registration form: (a) Specified statements informing child restraint system (CRS) owners that they may register online; (b) the internet address for registering with the company; (c) revisions to statements reflecting use of the internet to register; and (d) a space for the consumer’s email address. For those CRS owners with access to the internet, online registration may be a preferred method of registering a CRS.

In addition to the registration card supplied by the manufacturer, NHTSA has implemented a CRS registration system to assist those individuals who have either lost the registration card that came with the CRS or purchased a previously owned CRS. Upon the owner’s request, NHTSA provides a substitute registration form that can be obtained either by mail or from the internet¹ (see Figure 3). When the completed registration is returned to the agency, it is then submitted to the CRS manufacturers. In the absence of a substitute registration system, many owners of child passenger safety seats, especially any second-hand owners, might not be notified of safety defects and non-compliances, and would not have the defects and non-compliances remedied.

Child seat owner registration information is retained in the event that owners need to be contacted for defect recalls or replacement campaigns. Chapter 301 of title 49 of the United States Code specifies that if either NHTSA or a manufacturer determines that motor vehicles or items of motor

¹ <http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov/cars/problems/recalls/register/childseat/csregfrm.pdf>.

vehicle equipment contain a defect that relates to motor vehicle safety or fail to comply with an applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard, the manufacturer must notify owners and purchasers of the defect or noncompliance and must provide a remedy without charge. In title 49 of the CFR, part 577, defect and noncompliance notification for equipment items, including child restraint systems, must be sent by first class mail to the most recent purchaser known to the manufacturer.

Child restraint manufacturers are also required to provide a printed instructions brochure with step-by-step information on how the restraint is to be used. Without proper use, the effectiveness of these systems is greatly diminished. Each child restraint system must also have a permanent label. A permanently attached label gives “quicklook” information on whether the restraint meets the safety requirements, recommended installation and use, and warnings against misuse. CRSs equipped with internal harnesses to restrain children, and with components to attach to a child restraint anchorage system, are also required to be labeled with a child weight limit for using the lower anchors to attach the child restraint to the vehicle. The child weight limit depends upon the weight of the CRS.

Estimated Annual Burden: 99,330 hours.

The total burden hours for this collection consist of: (1) The hours spent by consumers filling out the

registration form, (2) the hours spent collecting registration information and (3) the hours spent determining the maximum allowable child weight for lower anchor use and adding the information to the existing label and instruction manual.

NHTSA estimates that 14,500,000 CRSs are currently sold each year by 29 CRS manufacturers. Of the CRSs sold each year, NHTSA estimates that 2,147,504 are registered using registration cards and 421,895 are registered online. A consumer spends approximately 60 seconds (1 minute) filling out the registration form. The estimated annual number of burden hours for consumers to fill out the registration form is 42,823 hours ($= 2,569,400 \times (60 \text{ seconds} / 3,600 \text{ seconds/hour})$). Manufacturers must spend about 90 seconds (1.5 min) to enter the information from each returned registration card; while, online registrations are considered to have no burden for the manufacturer, as the information is entered by the purchaser. Therefore, the estimated annual number of burden hours for CRS registration information collection is 53,688 hours ($= 2,147,504 \times (90 \text{ seconds} / 3,600 \text{ seconds/hour})$).

About 10,150,000 of the CRSs sold each year are equipped with internal harnesses. About half of the CRSs equipped with internal harnesses sold annually ($5,075,000 = 10,150,000 \times 0.5$) would require a label with the maximum allowable child weight for using the lower anchors. Manufacturers must spend about 2 seconds to

determine the maximum allowable child weight for lower anchor use and to add the information to the existing label and instruction manual. Therefore, the total annual burden hours for the information on the maximum allowable child weight in the existing label and instruction manual is 2,819 hours ($= 5,075,000 \times (2 \text{ seconds} / 3,600 \text{ seconds/hour})$).

The estimated total annual number of burden hours is 99,330 ($= 42,823 + 53,688 + 2,819$) hours. The total estimated hour burden increased from 40,497 hours in the 2015 information collection notice to 99,330 burden hours (a 58,833 burden hour increase). The increase in burden is due to the inclusion of the burden hours to consumers for filling the registration form and due to an increase in CRS sales. In 2015, NHTSA estimated that approximately 10,600,000 CRSs are sold each year while NHTSA’s estimate in 2018 increased to 14,500,000 CRSs.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

The diagram shows a registration card with the following layout and annotations:

- Dimensions:**
 - Top width: 5" minimum
 - Left height (top section): 3" minimum
 - Left height (bottom section): 3" minimum
- Top Section:**
 - FOR YOUR CHILD'S CONTINUED SAFETY**
 - Please take a few moments to promptly fill out and return the attached card (or register online using the direct link to the manufacturer's registration website provided).
 - Although child restraint systems undergo testing and evaluation, it is possible that a child restraint could be recalled.
 - In case of recall, we can reach you only if we have your name and address, so please send in the card (or register online) to be on our recall list.
 - Please fill this card out and mail it NOW, for register online at (insert manufacturer's registration website) while you are thinking about it.*
 - The card is already addressed and we've paid the postage.
- Bottom Section (Tear off and mail this part):**
 - Consumer:** Just fill in your name and address and e-mail address (optional).
 - Your Name _____
 - Your Street Address _____
 - City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____
 - E-mail Address (optional) _____
 - CHILD RESTRAINT REGISTRATION CARD**
 - RESTRAINT MODEL XXX
SERIAL NUMBER YYYY
MANUFACTURED ZZ-ZZ-20ZZ
- Annotations:**
 - References to online registration are optional.
 - Preprinted message to consumer, bold typeface, caps and lower case minimum 12 point type.
 - FOLD/PERFORATION
 - References to e-mail address are optional.
 - Minimum 10% screen tint.
 - Preprinted or stamped child restraint system model name or number and date of manufacture.

Figure 1 – Registration form for child restraint systems – product identification number and purchaser information side

5-inch minimum

3" minimum

IMPORTANT

In case of a recall, we can reach you only if we have your name and address. You **MUST** send in the attached card or register online to be on our recall list.

We've already paid the postage.

Do it today.

Block letters (sans serif)-Bold minimum 48 point type, caps.

Minimum 10% screen tint

Preprinted message to consumer; bold typeface, caps and lower case minimum 12 point type. Reference to online registration is optional

3" minimum

NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

FOLD/PERFORATION

Indication that postage is prepaid.

Reference to online registration is optional.

Preprinted or stamped name and address of manufacturer or its designee.

MANUFACTURER
POST OFFICE BOX 0000
ANYTOWN, ST 12345-6789

Figure 2 – Registration form for child restraint systems – address side

**CHILD SAFETY SEAT REGISTRATION FORM
FOR YOUR CHILD'S CONTINUED SAFETY**

Although child safety seats undergo testing and evaluation, it is possible that your child seat could be recalled. In case of a recall it is important that the manufacturer be able to contact you as soon as possible so that your seat can be corrected.

All child safety seats manufactured since March 1993 have a registration form so that owners can provide their names/addresses to the manufacturer. In case of a safety recall, the manufacturer can use that information to send recall letters to owners. Also, child safety seat manufacturers have agreed to maintain owner names/addresses for child safety seats manufactured before March 1993, so they can notify those consumers in the event of a future safety recall. However, in order for the manufacturer to know which child safety seat you own, all of the information on the lower half of this page must be provided.

If you would like the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to give your name and address to the manufacturer of your child safety seat, so that you can be notified of any future safety recalls regarding your child safety seat, fill out this form. Please type or print clearly, sign and mail this postage-paid, pre-addressed form.

If you have any questions, or need help with any child safety seat or motor vehicle safety issue, call the U.S. Department of Transportation's toll-free Vehicle Safety Hotline at 1-888-424-9393 (Washington DC AREA RESIDENTS, 202-366-6123).

Your Name: _____ Telephone: _____

Your Street Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____

IMPORTANT: The following information is essential and can be found on labels on your child seat.

Child Seat Manufacturer: _____

Child Seat Model Name & Number: _____

Child Seat Date of Manufacture: _____

I AUTHORIZE NHTSA TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THIS REPORT TO THE CHILD SAFETY SEAT MANUFACTURER.

SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____

Please mail to:
U.S. Department of Transportation
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
DOT Vehicle Safety Hotline
800 368 5800
Washington, DC 20590

The Privacy Act of 1974 - Public Law 93-579, As Amended: This information is requested pursuant to the authority vested in the National Highway Traffic Safety Act and subsequent amendments. You are under no obligation to respond to this questionnaire. Your response may be used to assist the NHTSA in determining whether a manufacturer should take appropriate action to correct a safety defect. If the NHTSA proceeds with administration enforcement or litigation against a manufacturer, your response, or material voluntarily furnished, may be used in support of the agency's action.

Figure 3 – Illustration of a child restraint system registration form

Issued in Washington, DC, under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Raymond R. Posten,
Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.
[FR Doc. 2018-10427 Filed 5-15-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-C

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Petition for Exemption From the Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard; Ford Motor Company

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Grant of petition for exemption.

SUMMARY: This document grants in full the Ford Motor Company's (Ford) petition for an exemption of the Lincoln Nautilus vehicle line in accordance with *Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard*. This petition is granted because the agency has determined that the antitheft device to be placed on the line as standard equipment is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the *Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention*