

1–8, 10–15, and 18–22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,508 (“the ‘508 patent”); claims 1–10 and 13–15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,171,103 (“the ‘103 patent”); claims 2–4, 9, 11–12, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,067,564; and claims 1–3, 9, 12, 16–18, 21, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 7,706,659 (“the ‘659 patent”). *Id.* The Commission’s Notice of Investigation named as respondents Momentive UV Coatings (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China (“MUV”); and OFS Fitel, LLC of Norcross, Georgia (“OFS”) (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

Prior to the evidentiary hearing, DSM withdrew its allegations as to certain patent claims. *See* Order 12 (Apr. 12, 2017), *unreviewed*, Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants’ Unopposed Motion to Terminate this Investigation with respect to One Patent Claim (May 11, 2017); Order 50 (Aug. 25, 2017), *unreviewed*, Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Withdrawing from the Complaint Certain Allegations Regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,067,564 (Sept. 15, 2017). DSM proceeded at the evidentiary hearing on the following patents and claims: claims 1–8, 11–15, 18–19, 20–21, and 22 of the ‘508 patent; claims 1–10 and 13–15 of the ‘103 patent; and claims 1–3, 9, 12, 16–18, 21, and 30 of the ‘659 patent.

On February 15, 2018, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued the ID, which finds only MUV in violation of section 337, and only as to the ‘508 and ‘103 patents. On February 27–28, 2018, OUII, DSM, MUV, and OFS filed petitions for review of the ID, and on March 7–8, 2018, the parties filed responses to the petitions. On March 19, 2018, the private parties filed statements on the public interest. The Commission also received comments on the public interest from members of the public.

On April 16, 2018, after considering the parties’ petitions and responses thereto, the Commission determined to review the following issues:

(1) Whether respondent OFS imports respondent MUV’s accused KS1–043/048 coating.

(2) Whether claim 30 of ‘659 patent is invalid for lack of written description.

(3) Whether claims 1–8, 11, 15, and 18–19 of the ‘508 patent are invalid for lack of written description and enablement.

(4) Whether claim 21 of the ‘508 patent and claims 1–10 and 13–15 of the

‘103 patent are invalid for lack of written description and enablement.

(5) Whether the accused products infringe the ‘508, ‘103, and ‘659 patents.

(6) Whether the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement have been met for the ‘508, ‘103, and ‘659 patents.

The Commission had determined to not review the remainder of the ID and did not request any briefing.

On review, the Commission has now determined that DSM has not shown that Respondents have violated section 337. As to the issues under review and as explained more fully in the related Commission Opinion, the Commission has determined to affirm with modifications in part, reverse in part, and take no position as to certain issues under review. More particularly, the Commission has determined to affirm with modified reasoning the ID’s conclusion that claims 1–8, 11, 15, and 18–19 of the ‘508 patent are invalid for lack of written description. The Commission has also determined to supplement the ID’s reasoning as to its conclusion that claim 30 of the ‘659 patent is invalid for lack of written description. The Commission has further determined to reverse the ID’s conclusion that claim 21 of the ‘508 patent and claims 1–10 and 13–15 of the ‘103 patent are not invalid for lack of written description. The Commission has additionally determined to modify the ID to include a finding that respondent OFS imports respondent MUV’s accused KS1–043/048 coating. Finally, the Commission has determined not to take a position as to whether claims 1–8, 11, 15, 18–19, and 21 of the ‘508 patent and claims 1–10 and 13–15 of the ‘103 patent are invalid for lack of enablement; whether the accused products infringe the ‘508, ‘103, and ‘659 patents; and whether the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement have been met for those patents.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 8, 2018.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2018–10164 Filed 5–11–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—ODVA, INC.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 23, 2018, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), ODVA, Inc. (“ODVA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ingersoll-Rand Company, Davidson, NC; Erhardt+Leimer GmbH, Stadtbergen, GERMANY; Dalian SeaSky Automation Co., Ltd., Dalian Liaoning, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; CIMON, Gyeonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; SYNTEC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., Hsinchu City, TAIWAN; and ASA–RT s.r.l., Torino, ITALY, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Bedrock Automation, Canton, MA; Criterion NDT, Auburn, WA; Hermany Opto Electronics Inc., Coquitlam, CANADA; Alfa Laval LKM as, Kolding, DENMARK; Pico and Tera, Suwon-si, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; and SWAC Automation Consult GmbH, Oberhaching, GERMANY, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

In addition, Dynatronix has changed its name to ProTec Dynatronix LLC dba Dynatronix, Amery, WI; and Microscan Systems, Inc. to Omron Microscan Systems, Inc., Renton, WA.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and ODVA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 21, 1995, ODVA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 15, 1996 (61 FR 6039).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 29, 2018. A notice was published in the **Federal**

**Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 27, 2018 (83 FR 8506).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2018–10161 Filed 5–11–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110–0065]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection; Private Industry Feedback Survey

**AGENCY:** Cyber Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Cyber Division (CyD) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until July 13, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Stacy Stevens, Unit Chief, FBI, Cyber Division, 935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20535 (facsimile: 703–633–5797; email: [slstevens2@fbi.gov](mailto:slstevens2@fbi.gov)) or Stacey Rubin, Management and Program Analyst, FBI, Cyber Division, 935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20535 (facsimile: 703–633–5797; email: [sjrubin@fbi.gov](mailto:sjrubin@fbi.gov)). Written comments and/or suggestions can also be sent to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted via email to [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary

for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

- Type of Information Collection:* Extension with change of a currently approved collection.
- The Title of the Form/Collection:* 2013 Private Industry Feedback Survey.
- The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* There is not a form number on the survey.
- Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The FBI, Cyber Division, produces reports that provide information related to cyber trends and threats for private sector partners. The reports are referred to as Private Industry Notifications (PINs) and FBI Liaison Alert Systems (FLASHs). In order to improve the PIN/FLASH reports, a “Feedback” Section has been added to the reports containing a URL that links to a voluntary online survey. The results of the survey are reviewed by CyD and used to improve future reports to better serve the FBI's private sector partners.
- An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 5,000 respondents will complete the survey. It is estimated that it takes each respondent 3 minutes to complete the survey.
- An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 250 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice

Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 9, 2018.

**Melody Braswell,**

*Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2018–10171 Filed 5–11–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

**Docket No. OSHA–2006–0042]**

### CSA Group Testing & Certification Inc.: Grant of Expansion of Recognition

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, OSHA announces the final decision to expand the scope of recognition for CSA Group Testing & Certification Inc., as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL).

**DATES:** The expansion of the scope of recognition becomes effective on May 14, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Information regarding this notice is available from the following sources:

*Press inquiries:* Contact Mr. Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA Office of Communications, U.S. Department of Labor, phone: (202) 693–1999; email: [meilinger.francis2@dol.gov](mailto:meilinger.francis2@dol.gov).

*General and technical information:* Contact Mr. Kevin Robinson, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, phone: (202) 693–2110; email: [robinson.kevin@dol.gov](mailto:robinson.kevin@dol.gov). OSHA's web page includes information about the NRTL Program (see <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/index.html>).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Notice of Final Decision

OSHA hereby gives notice of the expansion of the scope of recognition of CSA Group Testing & Certification Inc. (CSA), as a NRTL. CSA's expansion covers the addition of six test standards to its scope of recognition.

OSHA recognition of a NRTL signifies that the organization meets the requirements specified by 29 CFR 1910.7. Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization