

Incident Period: 02/14/2018 through 03/04/2018.

DATES: Issued on 05/05/2018.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 07/05/2018.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 02/05/2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW, Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that as a result of the President's major disaster declaration on 05/05/2018, Private Non-Profit organizations that provide essential services of a governmental nature may file disaster loan applications at the address listed above or other locally announced locations.

The following areas have been determined to be adversely affected by the disaster:

Primary Counties: Benton, Clark, Crawford, Dearborn, Elkhart, Floyd, Fulton, Gibson, Harrison, Jasper, Jefferson, La Porte, Marshall, Newton, Ohio, Perry, Porter, Spencer, St Joseph, Starke, Switzerland, Vanderburgh, Vermillion, Wabash, Warren, Warrick, White.

The Interest Rates are:

	Percent
<i>For Physical Damage:</i>	
Non-Profit Organizations with Credit Available Elsewhere ...	2.500
Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.500
<i>For Economic Injury:</i>	
Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.500

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 155146 and for economic injury is 155150.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

James Rivera,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2018-10032 Filed 5-10-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36190]

Terminal Railway Alabama State Docks—Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Terminal Railway Alabama State Docks (TASD), a Class III switching and terminal carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8) for its acquisition of temporary overhead trackage rights from Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR) over NSR's 3-B South District rail line between the connection with TASD at approximately milepost 146.9 MB at CN Crossing and the connection with TASD at approximately milepost 144.0 MB at Terminal Junction, a distance of approximately 2.9 miles in Mobile, Ala. (the Line).

TASD states that, pursuant to a written Detour and Temporary Trackage Rights Agreement (Agreement) dated April 18, 2018,¹ NSR has agreed to grant the specified temporary overhead trackage rights to TASD. TASD has concurrently filed a petition for waiver of the 30-day period under 49 CFR 1180.4(g) to allow the proposed temporary trackage rights to become effective immediately.² TASD states that it intends to consummate the transaction immediately upon issuance of the Board's decision on its petition for waiver, if waiver is granted, or upon the effective date of the notice, May 27, 2018. The sole purpose of the trackage rights is to accommodate emergency detour operations by TASD over the Line while repairs are made to TASD's Viaduct Bridge. The temporary trackage rights will expire on October 18, 2018.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the acquisition of the temporary trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk & Western Railway—Trackage Rights—Burlington Northern, Inc.*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Railway—Lease & Operate—California Western Railroad*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980), and any employees affected by the discontinuance of those trackage rights will be protected by the conditions set out in *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

¹ A copy of the Agreement was filed with the notice.

² The petition for waiver will be addressed in a separate decision.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8). If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36190, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on applicant's representative, Thomas J. Litwiler, Fletcher & Sippel LLC, 29 North Wacker Drive, Suite 920, Chicago, IL 60606-2832.

According to TASD, this action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c) and historic reporting under 49 CFR 1105.8(b)(3).

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "WWW.STB.GOV."

Decided: May 8, 2018.

By the Board, Scott M. Zimmerman, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Jeffrey Herzig,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2018-10115 Filed 5-10-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36185]

Hainesport Industrial Railroad, LLC—Lease and Operation Exemption—Hainesport Secondary Railroad, LLC

Hainesport Industrial Railroad, LLC (Hainesport Industrial), a Class III rail carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.41 to lease and operate a line of railroad consisting of a yard, grounds, and improvements thereon (the Line), owned by its corporate affiliate, Hainesport Secondary Railroad, LLC (Hainesport Secondary). The Line is located at Block 104 of Lot 11 on the Tax Map of the Township of Hainesport, NJ, at 5900 Delaware Avenue. The Line includes a permanent easement running over Block 104, Lot 8.01, on the Tax Map of Hainesport.¹

The verified notice states that Hainesport Industrial and Hainesport Secondary entered into a 10-year lease agreement on January 1, 2016, but did not realize that the lease and operation agreement required Board approval.

¹ Hainesport Industrial states that there are no milepost designations associated with the Line.

Hainesport Industrial states that the transaction will not result in it becoming a Class I or Class II rail carrier but that its projected annual revenues will exceed \$5 million. Accordingly, Hainesport Industrial is required, at least 60 days before this exemption is to become effective, to send notice of the transaction to the national office of the labor unions with employees on the affected lines, post a copy of the notice at the workplace of the employees on the affected lines, and certify to the Board that it has done so. 49 CFR 1150.42(e).

Hainesport Industrial, concurrently with its notice of exemption, filed a letter requesting waiver of the 60-day advance labor notice requirement under § 1150.42(e), asserting that: (1) Hainesport Secondary will be the entity actually performing rail operations and employing personnel; and (2) no Hainesport Industrial employees will be affected because Hainesport Industrial does not have any employees. Hainesport Industrial's waiver request will be addressed in a separate decision. The Board will establish in the decision on the waiver request the date this exemption will become effective.

Hainesport Industrial also certifies that the proposed acquisition does not involve an interchange commitment or other limitation of future interchange with a third-party connecting carrier.²

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than May 18, 2018.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36185, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on John D. Heffner, ClarkHillStrasburger, 1025 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 717, Washington, DC 20036.

According to Hainesport Industrial, this action is exempt from environmental review under 49 CFR

1105.6(c) and exempt from historic review under 49 CFR 1105.8(b)(1).

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at WWW.STB.GOV.

Decided: May 8, 2018.

By the Board, Scott M. Zimmerman, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Kenyatta Clay,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2018-10059 Filed 5-10-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket Number USTR-2018-0011; Dispute Number WT/DS436]

WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding: United States Countervailing Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that India has requested the establishment of a dispute settlement panel under the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization* (WTO Agreement). That request may be found at www.wto.org in a document designated as WT/DS436/18. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

DATES: Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, you should submit your comment on or before June 11, 2018 be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

ADDRESSES: USTR strongly prefers electronic submissions made through the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments in Section III below. The docket number USTR-2018-0011. For alternatives to on-line submissions, please contact Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395-9483.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Assistant General Counsel Amanda Lee at 202-395-9589 or Assistant General Counsel Ryan Majerus at 202-395-0380.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 127(b)(1) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)) requires notice and opportunity for comment after the

United States submits or receives a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel. Pursuant to this provision, USTR is providing notice that the United States has requested a dispute settlement panel pursuant to the WTO *Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes* (DSU). Once the WTO establishes a dispute settlement panel, the panel will hold its meetings in Geneva Switzerland.

II. Major Issues Raised by India

On December 19, 2014, the WTO Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) adopted its recommendations and rulings in the dispute *United States—Countervailing Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from India* (DS436). The DSB found that certain countervailing duty measures imposed by the United States on certain hot-rolled steel flat products imported from India (C-533-821) were inconsistent with its obligations under the *Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures* (SCM Agreement). The DSB recommended that the United States bring its measures into conformity with its obligations under the SCM Agreement.

The U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) subsequently issued section 129 determinations. On April 28, 2016, the U.S. Trade Representative directed DOC to implement its determinations, pursuant to section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (19 U.S.C. 3538(b)(4)). Notice of the completed implementation process was published in the **Federal Register** on May 6, 2016 as *Implementation of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act* (81 FR 27412).

On June 5, 2017, pursuant to an understanding on procedures under Articles 21 and 22 of the DSU, India requested consultations with the United States. You can find that at www.wto.org in a document designated as WT/DS436/17. The United States and India held consultations on July 13, 2017. On March 29, 2018, the United States received India's request for the establishment of a panel.

In its request for the establishment of a panel, India alleges that the DOC and ITC section 129 determinations are not consistent with the United States' obligations under Articles 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22 and 32 of the SCM Agreement, as well as Article VI of the GATT 1994. India also alleges that the United States' failure to amend 19 U.S.C. 1677(7)(G)(iii) is inconsistent with Article 15 of the SCM Agreement.

² The verified notice of exemption includes conflicting information regarding the existence of interchange commitments. See Verified Notice of Exemption 7. However, in a letter filed on May 4, 2018, Hainesport Industrial certified that "there are no interchange commitments involved in this transaction." The letter cites 49 CFR part 1180. The correct regulation governing disclosure of interchange agreements in this proceeding is 49 CFR 1150.43(h), but as the relevant portion of the regulations in parts 1150 and 1180 are identical, the certification is adequate.