

public agency; however, the FLSA contains exemptions that apply to employees in certain types of employment. *See* 29 U.S.C. 213, *et al.* FLSA section 11(a) provides that the Secretary of Labor may investigate and gather data regarding the wages, hours, or other conditions and practices of employment in any industry subject to the FLSA, and may enter and inspect such places and such records (and make such transcriptions thereof), question such employees, and investigate such facts, conditions, practices, or matters deemed necessary or appropriate to determine whether any person has violated any provision of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. 211(a).

Other Federal laws the WHD administers provide similar authority. These Acts include the: Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act (41 U.S.C. 38); McNamara-O'Hara Service Contract Act (41 U.S.C. 353(a)); Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. 3141 *et seq.*, pursuant to Reorganization Plan No. 14 of 1950, and Related Acts); Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. 1676); Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act (29 U.S.C. 1862(a)); Employee Polygraph Protection Act (29 U.S.C. 2004(a)(3)); Family and Medical Leave Act (29 U.S.C. 2616(a)); Immigration and Nationality Act H-2A program (8 U.S.C. 1188(g)); the Immigration and Nationality Act H-2B program (8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(14)(B) and the Immigration and Nationality Act H-1C program (8 U.S.C. 1182(m)(2)(E)(ii)). The regulatory provisions authorizing the filing of complaints under these laws and how the agency acts upon the concerns can be found at 29 CFR 4.191, 5.6, 500.1(e), 501.1(c), 501.5, 801.7(a)(3), 825.401; 41 CFR 50–201.1202; and 20 CFR 655.1200(b).

WHD staff use Form WH-3 as a guide for obtaining optional information from complainants (*e.g.*, current and former employees, unions, and competitor employers, self-reporting employers) about alleged employer violations of the labor standards provisions of the above-cited Acts. Complainants generally provide the optional information requested on the form to WHD staff over the telephone or in-person. Where the information provided does not support a potential WHD enforcement action, complainants are advised and referred to the appropriate agency for further assistance. When the WHD schedules a complaint-based investigation, the agency makes the completed Form WH-3 part of the investigation case file. The form is printed in both English and Spanish.

The Wage and Hour Division (WHD) uses this information to determine

whether covered employers have complied with various legal requirements of the laws administered by the Wage and Hour Division. The WHD seeks a revision to this collection associated with the new pilot self-audit program titled: Payroll Audit Independent Determination (PAID). Under the PAID program, employers are encouraged to conduct audits and, if they discover minimum wage or overtime violations, to self-report and correct their mistakes. This ICR is being submitted as a revision for approval for the addition of the PAID pilot program.

II. *Review Focus:* The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. *Current Actions:* The Department of Labor seeks an approval for the revision of this information collection in order to ensure effective administration of the government contract programs.

Type of Review: Revision.

Agency: Wage and Hour Division.

Title: Information Collections: Employment Information Form.

OMB Number: 1235–0021.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, Farms, State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Total Respondents: 35,677.

Total Annual Responses: 35,677.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 12,059.

Estimated Time per Response: various.

Frequency: On occasion.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operation/maintenance): \$5.

Dated: April 26, 2018.

Melissa Smith,

Director, Division of Regulations, Legislation and Interpretation.

[FR Doc. 2018–09301 Filed 5–1–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–27–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF)

Sunshine Act Meetings; National Science Board

The National Science Board, pursuant to NSF regulations (45 CFR part 614), the National Science Foundation Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 1862n–5), and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice of a revision to an announcement of meetings for the transaction of National Science Board business.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 83 FR 18351–53, published on April 26, 2018.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETINGS:

Thursday, May 3, 2018

Committee on Strategy (CS)

Open session: 9:10–9:30 a.m.

- Committee Chair's Opening Remarks
- Approval of Prior Minutes
- FY 2018 Appropriations and FY 2019 Budget Request Summary
- Committee Chair's Reflections on Past Board Term

Committee on Strategy (CS)

Closed session: 9:30–10:30 a.m.

- Committee Chair's Opening Remarks
- Approval of Prior Minutes
- FY 2018–FY 2019 Budget Discussion

CHANGES IN THE MEETINGS:

Thursday, May 3, 2018

Committee on Strategy (CS)

Open session: 9:10–10:10 a.m.

- Committee Chair's Opening Remarks
- Approval of Prior Minutes
- Convergence Accelerators and Big Ideas
- Committee Chair's Reflections on Past Board Term

Closed session: 10:10–10:30 a.m.

- Committee Chair's Opening Remarks
- Approval of Prior Minutes
- FY 2018 Appropriations

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Brad Gutierrez, bgutierrez@nsf.gov, 703–292–7000. Please refer to the National

Science Board website for additional information. Meeting information and schedule updates (time, place, subject matter, and status of meeting) may be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/meetings/notices.jsp#sunshine>.

Chris Blair,

Executive Assistant, National Science Board Office.

[FR Doc. 2018-09346 Filed 4-30-18; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455, STN 50-456, and STN 50-457; NRC-2018-0081]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Director's decision under 10 CFR 2.206; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued a director's decision in response to a petition dated February 8, 2017, filed by Mr. Barry Quigley (the petitioner), requesting that the NRC take action with regard to Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon or the licensee). The petitioner's requests and the director's decision are included in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

DATES: The director's decision was issued on April 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0081 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0081. Address questions about NRC dockets to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at

1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. In addition, for the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" section of this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Wiebe, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555-0001, telephone: 301-415-6606; e-mail: Joel.Wiebe@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the director's decision is attached.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of April, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Joel S. Wiebe,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch III, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

Attachment—Director's Decision DD-18-01
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR
REGULATION

In the Matter of Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Braidwood Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Docket Nos. STN 50-456, 50-457

License Nos. NPF-72, NPF-77

Byron Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Docket Nos. STN 50-454, 50-455

License Nos. NPF-37, NPF-66

DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 CFR 2.206

I. Introduction

By e-mail to Mr. Victor M. McCree, Executive Director for Operations, dated February 8, 2017 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML17061A127), Mr. Barry Quigley filed a petition under Title 10, "Energy," of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 2.206, "Requests for Action under this Subpart." Attachments to the petition are located at ADAMS Accession Nos. ML17061A126, ML17061A125, and ML17061A124. The petitioner requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) take the following actions against Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon), the licensee for Byron Station,

Unit Nos. 1 and 2, and Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2:

1. Issue a violation under 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants," Criterion III, "Design Control," for deficiencies in the analysis of record (AOR) for the main steam isolation valve (MSIV) room pressurization following a high-energy line break (HELB).

2. Issue a violation under 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," for failure to update the AOR in a timely manner.

3. Require Exelon to show that the consequences of the secondary missiles resulting from MSIV room pressurization do not have adverse consequences.

4. Issue a Demand for Information under 10 CFR 2.204, "Demand for Information," to compare and contrast the behavior of Exelon management as described in the petition with the NRC's policy statement on the attributes of a safety-conscious work environment (SCWE).

5. Use Exelon's response to Item 4 above as a basis on which to determine whether to issue a "chilling effects" letter.

As the basis for the request, the petitioner stated the following:

1. (a)—Break enthalpies used in the MSIV room pressurization AOR are actually the thermodynamic internal energy of the steam, not the enthalpy. Because, in the range of interest, the internal energy is about 13 percent less than the enthalpy, the energy flow to the areas of concern is nonconservative.

- (b)—Steam flow from secondary piping is neglected.

2. Corrective actions to resolve an issue in the AOR are long overdue (8 years) and improperly tracked.

3. A proposed revision to the AOR shows that the MSIV room roof slabs will be ejected by the high pressures in the MSIV rooms becoming potential missiles.

4. Management dismissed information in the updated final safety evaluation report (UFSAR) that supported the concerns about the AOR as "excessive detail" and directed personnel to remove the information. Management dismissed UFSAR internal inconsistency related to the "Break Exclusion Zone" without discussion or review and stated that the information supporting the concern could be deleted as an UFSAR cleanup item. Recently, there was an operability concern for which engineering management maintained a position of operability in the face of conflicting information. The information that engineering management relied on to support operability was demonstrably irrelevant.

The petitioner met with the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Petition Review Board (PRB) on April 13, 2017, to clarify the basis for the petition. The NRC is treating the transcript of this meeting (ADAMS Accession No. ML17111A774) as a supplement to the petition. In its acknowledgement letter dated July 17, 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17125A245), the NRC informed the petitioner that Items 1, 2, 4, and 5 were accepted for review under 10