Proposed Rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2016–18–01, which applies to certain The Boeing Company Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER series airplanes. AD 2016–18–01 requires repetitive lubrication of the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left main landing gears (MLGs); repetitive inspection of these assemblies for corrosion and chrome damage, and related investigative and corrective actions, if necessary; and installation of new or modified trunnion pin assembly components, which terminates the repetitive lubrication and repetitive inspections. Since we issued AD 2016–18–01, we have determined that rotatable parts were not addressed in that AD and that all airplanes of the affected models, excluding those with a certain configuration, should be inspected to determine if affected MLG trunnion pin assemblies are installed. This proposed AD would therefore add airplanes to the applicability. We are proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by April 19, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.
• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Pohl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax: 206–231–3527; email: alan.pohl@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2018–0162; Product Identifier 2017–NM–116–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this NPRM. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this NPRM because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

We issued AD 2016–18–01, Amendment 39–18631 (81 FR 59830, August 31, 2016) ("AD 2016–18–01"), for certain The Boeing Company Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER series airplanes. AD 2016–18–01 requires repetitive lubrication of the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left MLGs; repetitive inspection of these assemblies for corrosion and chrome damage, and related investigative and corrective actions, if necessary; and installation of new or modified trunnion pin assembly components, which terminates the repetitive lubrication and repetitive inspections. AD 2016–18–01 resulted from reports of heavy corrosion and chrome damage on the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left MLGs. We issued AD 2016–18–01 to detect and correct heavy corrosion and chrome damage on the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left MLGs, which could result in cracking of these assemblies and collapse of the MLGs.

Actions Since AD 2016–18–01 Was Issued

To support operations, many operators have put processes in place that, given certain conditions, allow them to rotate or transfer parts or equipment within their fleets to different aircraft than what is defined in the manufacturer’s type design. We have determined that the parts or equipment subject to the unsafe condition addressed by this proposed AD may have been rotated or transferred in this manner, due to similarity with parts or equipment not subject to the unsafe condition addressed by this proposed AD. Therefore, AD 2016–18–01 is being superseded to include all Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER airplanes.
Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–32–1448, Revision 2, dated August 2, 2017 ("BSASB 737–32–1448, R2"). This service information describes procedures for determining the part numbers of the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies installed on the right and left MLGs, inspections for corrosion or damage on the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies and related investigative and corrective actions, repetitive lubrication of these assemblies, and installation of new or modified trunnion pin assembly components. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA's Determination

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

Proposed AD Requirements

This proposed AD would retain all requirements of AD 2016–18–01. This proposed AD would add airplanes to the applicability. This proposed AD would also prohibit the installation of a MLG or MLG trunnion pin assembly on any airplane identified in paragraph (c) of the proposed AD unless certain actions are accomplished. In addition, this proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under “Differences Between this Proposed AD and the Service Information.” For information on the procedures and compliance times, see this service information at http://www.regulations.gov for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0162.

The phrase “related investigative actions” is used in this proposed AD. Related investigative actions are follow-on actions that (1) are related to the primary action, and (2) further investigate the nature of any condition found. Related investigative actions in an AD could include, for example, inspections.

The phrase “corrective actions” is used in this proposed AD. Corrective actions correct or address any condition found. Corrective actions in an AD could include, for example, repairs.

Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Information

The effectivity specified in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2 consists of Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER airplanes identified as line numbers 1 through 6510 inclusive. Expanding the applicability of this proposed AD to all Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER airplanes addresses the rotability of the MLG trunnion pin assembly.

In this proposed AD, operators would need to accomplish the actions required by paragraphs (g), (h), (i), (j) and (k) of this proposed AD, and comply with the parts installation prohibition in paragraph (m) of this proposed AD, on any Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER airplanes with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated on or before the effective date of the final rule. We have confirmed with Boeing that the accomplishment instructions in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2 are applicable to these expanded groups of airplanes.

For Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER airplanes with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated after the effective date of the final rule, operators would not be required to comply with the requirements of paragraphs (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k) of this proposed AD, but would be required to comply with the parts installation prohibition in paragraph (m) of this proposed AD.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects up to 1,814 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 per lubrication cycle.</td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$276,675 per lubrication cycle (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 work-hours × $85 per hour = $4,335 per inspection cycle.</td>
<td>$4,335 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$4,282,980 per inspection cycle (988 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$7,905 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$7,905 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$276,675 per inspection cycle (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$308,380, per lubrication cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$7,905 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$4,335 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$276,675 per inspection cycle (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 per lubrication cycle.</td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$276,675 per lubrication cycle (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4,335 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$4,335 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$276,675 per inspection cycle (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$308,380, per lubrication cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84 work-hours × $85 per hour = $7,140.</td>
<td>$7,140</td>
<td>$7,054,320 (988 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$7,140</td>
<td>$7,140</td>
<td>$7,054,320 (988 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86 work-hours × $85 per hour = $7,310.</td>
<td>$7,310</td>
<td>$255,850 (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$7,310</td>
<td>$7,310</td>
<td>$255,850 (35 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 per lubrication cycle.</td>
<td>$170 per lubrication cycle</td>
<td>$308,380, per lubrication cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 work-hours × $85 per hour = $4,335 per inspection cycle.</td>
<td>$4,335 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$7,594,920 per inspection cycle (1,752 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$7,905 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$7,905 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$490,110 per inspection cycle (62 airplanes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We have received no definitive data that would enable us to provide cost estimates for the on-condition actions specified in this proposed AD.

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

This proposed AD is issued in accordance with authority delegated by the Executive Director, Aircraft Certification Service, as authorized by FAA Order 8000.51C. In accordance with that order, issuance of ADs is normally a function of the Compliance and Airworthiness Division, but during this transition period, the Executive Director has delegated the authority to issue ADs applicable to transport category airplanes to the Director of the System Oversight Division.

**Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979), (2) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

   **Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§39.13 [Amended]**

2. The FAA amends §39.13 by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2016–18–01, Amendment 39–18631 (81 FR 59830, August 31, 2016), and adding the following new AD:


   (a) Comments Due Date

   The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by April 19, 2018.

   (b) Affected ADs

   This AD replaces AD 2016–18–01, Amendment 39–18631 (81 FR 59830, August 31, 2016) ("AD 2016–18–01").

   (c) Applicability

   This AD applies to all The Boeing Company Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900, and –900ER series airplanes, certificated in any category, as specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(7) of this AD:

   1. Airplanes in Groups 1 and 2, Configuration 1, as identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–32–1448, Revision 2, dated August 2, 2017 ("BSASB 737–32–1448, R2").

   2. Airplanes in Groups 1 and 2, Configuration 2, as identified in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2.

   3. Airplanes in Group 3, as identified in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2.

   4. Airplanes in Groups 4 and 5, Configuration 1, as identified in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, except where this service bulletin specifies the groups as line numbers 3527 through 6510 inclusive, this AD specifies those groups as line number 3527 through any line number of an airplane with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated on or before the effective date of this AD.

   5. Airplanes in Groups 4 and 5, Configuration 2, as identified in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, except where this service bulletin specifies the groups as line numbers 3527 through 6510 inclusive, this AD specifies those groups as line number 3527 through any line number of an airplane with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated on or before the effective date of this AD.

   6. Airplanes in Groups 6 as identified in BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, except where this service bulletin specifies the groups as line numbers 3527 through 6510 inclusive, this AD specifies those groups as line number 3527 through any line number of an airplane with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated on or before the effective date of this AD.

   7. All Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800, –900 and –900ER series airplanes with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated after the effective date of this AD.

   (d) Subject

   Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 32, Landing Gear.
(e) Unsafe Condition
This AD was prompted by reports of heavy corrosion and chrome damage on the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left main landing gears (MLGs). We are issuing this AD to detect and correct heavy corrosion and chrome damage on the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left MLGs, which could result in cracking of these assemblies and collapse of the MLGs.

(f) Comply
Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Inspection To Determine Part Number of MLG Trunnion Pin Assembly
For airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(3), (c)(4), and (c)(6) of this AD, except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD, at the applicable time specified in Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, or Table 5 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, do an inspection to determine if any of the MLG trunnion pin assembly part numbers identified in paragraph 2.C.3., “Parts Modified and Reidentified,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, installed: Except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD, at the time specified in Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, or Table 5, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, modify the left and right MLG trunnion pin assemblies, including all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with Work Package 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. All applicable related investigative and corrective actions must be done at the time specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. Accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraph (i) of this AD terminates the repetitive lubrication required by paragraph (h) of this AD and the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (i) of this AD.

(h) Repetitive Lubrication of MLG Trunnion Pin Assemblies
For airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(3), (c)(4), or (c)(6) of this AD, having any part number identified in paragraph 2.C.3., “Parts Modified and Reidentified,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, installed: Except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD, at the applicable time specified in Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, or Table 5, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, lubricate the applicable forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies of the right and left MLGs, in accordance with Work Package 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. Repeat the lubrication thereafter at intervals not to exceed those specified in Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, or Table 5, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. Accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraph (i) of this AD terminates the repetitive lubrication required by this paragraph.

(i) Repetitive Inspections, Corrective Actions, and Lubrication
For airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(3), (c)(4), or (c)(6) of this AD, having any part number identified in paragraph 2.C.3., “Parts Modified and Reidentified,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, installed: Except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD, at the applicable time specified in Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, or Table 5, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, do a general visual inspection of the left and right MLGs at the forward and aft trunnion pin locations and the visible surfaces of the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies for discrepancies including signs of corrosion or chrome platting damage, and lubricate the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies as applicable, in accordance with Work Package 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. Repeat the general visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed those specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. If any discrepancy is found during any inspection required by this paragraph, before further flight, do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions in accordance with Work Package 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. Accomplishment of the actions required by paragraph (j) of this AD terminates the repetitive inspections required by this paragraph.

(j) Modification of MLG Trunnion Pin Assemblies
For airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(3), (c)(4), or (c)(6) of this AD, having any part number identified in paragraph 2.C.3., “Parts Modified and Reidentified,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, installed: Except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD, at the time specified in Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, or Table 5, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, modify the left and right MLG trunnion pin assemblies, including all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with Work Package 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. All applicable related investigative and corrective actions must be done at the time specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2. Accomplishment of the actions in Work Package 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2 terminates the repetitive lubrication required by paragraph (h) of this AD and the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (i) of this AD.

(k) Replacement of MLG Forward Trunnion Pin Housing Assembly, Seal, and Retainer
For airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(5) of this AD: Except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD, at the time specified in Table 3 or Table 6, as applicable, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2, replace the seal, retainer, and support ring assembly with a new seal and retainer configuration; install the forward trunnion pin assembly into the housing assembly; and lubricate the forward and aft trunnion pin assemblies for the left and right MLGs; in accordance with Work Package 4 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2.

(l) Exception to Service Information Specification
Where paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BSASB 737–32–1448, R2 specifies a compliance time “after the Revision 2 date of this service bulletin,” this AD requires compliance within the specified compliance time after the effective date of this AD.

(m) Parts Installation Limitation
As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a MLG or MLG trunnion pin assembly on any airplane identified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(7) of this AD unless the actions required by paragraphs (j) or (k), as applicable, of this AD have been accomplished on the MLG or MLG trunnion pin assembly.

(n) Credit for Previous Actions
(1) This paragraph provides credit for the requirements of paragraph (l) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–32–1448, dated May 19, 2011; or Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–32–1448, Revision 1, dated May 29, 2015.

(2) This paragraph provides credit for the requirements of paragraphs (l), (j), and (k) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–32–1448, Revision 1, dated May 29, 2015.

(o) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)
(1) The Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (p)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) AMOCs approved previously for AD 2016–18–01 are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of this AD.

(p) Related Information
(1) For more information about this AD, contact Alan Pohl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax: 206–231–3527; email: alan.pohl@faa.gov.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (C&Ds), 2600 Westminster Blvd., MC 110–SK57, Seal Beach, CA 90740–5600; telephone 562–797–1717; internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information
on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 20, 2018.

Michael Kaszycki,
Acting Director, System Oversight Division,
Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–04228 Filed 3–2–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2018–0062; Airspace Docket No. 18–ASO–3]

Proposed Amendment of Class D Airspace and Class E Airspace; Pensacola, FL, and Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Milton, FL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to amend Class D airspace and Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Choctaw Naval Outlying Field (NOLF), Milton, FL, by changing the city associated with the airport name in the above airspace classes and adjusting the geographic coordinates of the airport and the Santa Rosa TACAN navigation aid to match the FAA’s aeronautical database. Additionally, Class E surface airspace would be established at Choctaw NOLF for the safety of aircraft landing and departing the airport when the air traffic control tower is closed. Also, an editorial change would be made to the Class D airspace legal description replacing “Airport/Facility Directory” with the term “Chart Supplement”. This action would enhance the safety and management of instrument flight rules (IFR) operations at the airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 19, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Bldg. Ground Floor Rm W12–140, Washington, DC 20590; Telephone: 1 (800) 647–5527, or (202) 366–9826. You must identify the Docket No. FAA–2018–0062; Airspace Docket No. 18–ASO–3, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit and review received comments through the internet at http://www.regulations.gov. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

FAA Order 7400.11B, airspace designations and reporting points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783. The Order is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order 7400.11B at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to https://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

FAA Order 7400.11, airspace designations and reporting points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fornito, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337; telephone: (404) 305–6364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would establish Class E airspace, and amend Class D and Class E airspace at Choctaw NOLF, Milton, FL, to support IFR operations at the airport.

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. You may also submit comments through the internet at http://www.regulations.gov.

Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this action must submit with those comments a self-addressed stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket No. FAA–2017–0062; Airspace Docket No. 18–ASO–3.” The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRMs

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the internet at http://www.regulations.gov. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA’s website at http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/airspace_amendments/.

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office (see the ADDRESSES section for address and phone number) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays at the office of the Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 350, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, GA 30337.

Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document proposes to amend FAA Order 7400.11B, airspace designations and reporting points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017. FAA Order 7400.11B is publicly available as listed in the ADDRESSES section of this document. FAA Order 7400.11B lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas,