currently leased by the applicant in Polk County, Florida.

Next Steps

We will evaluate the ITP application, including the HCP, and any comments we receive to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA. We will also evaluate whether a section 10(a)(1)(B) ITP should be issued, as well as conduct an intra-Service consultation pursuant to section 7 of the ESA. We will use the results of this consultation and the above findings in our final analysis to determine whether to issue the ITP. If we determine that the requirements are met, we will issue the ITP number TE21091C–0 for the incidental take of the sand skink and the blue-tailed mole skink to the applicant.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10 of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Mike Oetker,
Acting Regional Director.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Josh Alexander, BLM Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Montana; telephone: (406) 896–5123; email: jalexand@blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at (800) 877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The lands surveyed are:

Fifth Principal Meridian, North Dakota
T. 145 N. R. 102 W
Secs. 34 and 35.

A person or party who wishes to protest an official filing of a plat of survey identified above must file a written notice of protest with the BLM Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Montana at the address listed in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. The notice of protest must identify the plat(s) of survey that the person or party wishes to protest. The notice of protest must be received in the BLM Montana State Office no later than the scheduled date of the proposed official filing for the plat(s) of survey being protested; if received after regular business hours, a notice of protest will be considered filed the next business day. A written statement of reasons in support of the protest, if not filed with the notice of protest, must be filed with the BLM Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Montana within 30 calendar days after the notice of protest is received.

If a notice of protest of the plat(s) of survey is received prior to the scheduled date of official filing or during the 10 calendar day grace period provided in 43 CFR 4.401(a) and the delay in filing is waived, the official filing of the plat(s) of survey identified in the notice of protest will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat of survey will not be officially filed until the next business day after all timely protests have been dismissed or otherwise resolved.

If a notice of protest is received after the scheduled date of official filing and the 10 calendar day grace period provided in 43 CFR 4.401(a), the notice of protest will be untimely, may not be considered, and may be dismissed. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in a notice of protest or statement of reasons, you should be aware that the documents you submit—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available in their entirety at any time. While you can ask us to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.


Joshua F. Alexander,
Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Montana.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

Notice of Proposed Class II Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease UTU77328, Utah

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of reinstatement.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 31 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended, Berry Petroleum Company timely filed a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease UTU77328 for lands in Duchesne County, Utah, along with all required rentals and royalties accruing from July 1, 2014, the date of termination. The BLM proposes to reinstate the lease.

DATES: The BLM is proposing to reinstate the lease on March 5, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kent Hoffman, Deputy State Director, Lands and Minerals, Utah State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84101, phone: 801–539–4063, email: khoffman@blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The lessee has agreed to new lease terms for rental and royalty. The rental for UTU77328 will increase to $5 per acre or fraction thereof and the royalty will increase to 16½ percent. The $500 administrative fee for the leases has been paid, and the lessee has reimbursed the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for the cost of publishing this notice.

The following-described lands in Duchesne County, Utah, include:

Supplementary information: Background.—On November 1, 1996, the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) suspended an antidumping duty investigation on imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico (61 FR 56618). On October 1, 2001, Commerce initiated its first five-year review of the suspended investigation (66 FR 49926). On the basis of the withdrawal from the suspension agreement by Mexican tomato growers which accounted for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico, Commerce terminated the suspension agreement, terminated the first five-year review, and resumed the antidumping investigation, effective July 30, 2002 (67 FR 50858, August 6, 2002). On December 16, 2002, Commerce suspended the antidumping duty investigation on imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico (67 FR 77044). On November 1, 2007, Commerce initiated its second five-year review of the suspended investigation (72 FR 61861). Once again, based on the withdrawal from the suspension agreement by Mexican tomato growers which accounted for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico, Commerce terminated the suspension agreement, terminated the second five-year review, and resumed the antidumping investigation, effective January 18, 2008 (73 FR 2887, January 16, 2008). The antidumping investigation was again suspended effective January 22, 2008 (73 FR 4831, January 8, 2008). On December 3, 2012, Commerce initiated its third five-year review of the suspended investigation (77 FR 71684). On February 28, 2013, Mexican tomato growers/exporters accounting for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico provided written notice to Commerce of their withdrawal from the suspension agreement on fresh tomatoes from Mexico. Because the suspension agreement no longer covered substantially all imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico, Commerce terminated the suspension agreement, terminated the third five-year review of the suspended investigation, and resumed the antidumping investigation, effective March 1, 2013 (78 FR 14771, March 7, 2013). On March 4, 2013, Commerce signed a new agreement with certain growers/exporters of fresh tomatoes from Mexico, and again suspended its investigation on these imports effective March 4, 2013 (78 FR 14967, March 8, 2013). The Commission is now instituting a fourth review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether termination of the suspended investigation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts 201, subparts A and B and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited review. The Commission’s determination in any expedited review will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice. Definitions.—The following definitions apply to this review:

1. Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year review, as defined by Commerce.
2. The Subject Country in this review is Mexico.
3. The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. For the purpose of the original preliminary investigation, the Commission defined the Domestic Like Product as all fresh market tomatoes. Fresh market tomatoes do not include processing tomatoes.
4. The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like Product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. For the purpose of the original