

through the 5300 Call Report, credit unions will need to maintain supporting documentation for the information typically requested during examination and supervision contacts. The NCUA uses the Call Report and Profile to collect financial and nonfinancial information from federally insured credit unions. The resulting data are integral to risk supervision at institution and industry levels, which is central to safeguarding the integrity of the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund.

After extensive outreach, the working group developed a prototype of streamlined Call Report and Profile forms. The current Call Report has 1,523<sup>1</sup> account codes (the September 2017 post-MBL/Commercial loan changes). The prototype retires 1,017 account codes. Most of the account codes proposed to be retired are no longer needed, but some would be collected through another means, such as the exam process.

The prototype Call Report adds 413 new account codes to accommodate necessary changes such as for ASC Topic 326, Financial Instruments Current Expected Credit Losses and for the risk-based capital rule currently scheduled to go into effect in January 2019.<sup>2</sup>

After these additions, the final number of total account codes on the prototype Call Report is 919—a net reduction of approximately 40 percent.<sup>3</sup> The schedules have been reorganized so they are separated by programs and significantly smaller. The reorganization of the schedules would also facilitate an adaptive user interface the NCUA plans to provide for CU Online as part of the Enterprise Solutions Modernization. The prototype also includes improved instructions. The Profile underwent a

<sup>1</sup> Of the 1,523 account codes, 1,179 account codes are for data input. The remaining accounts are calculated or prepopulated.

<sup>2</sup> The NCUA is considering delaying the effective date of this rule, as well as modifying or eliminating the rule. This schedule would revert to the current risk-based net worth requirement collection if the risk-based capital rule is delayed or eliminated, and would otherwise be modified based on any changes to the capital rules the Board might adopt. The risk-based schedule was designed as a stand-alone schedule so it could be removed or modified without impacting any other schedules of the Call Report.

<sup>3</sup> Of the 919 account codes, 753 account codes are for data input and the remaining account codes are calculated or prepopulated. However, the proposed new form provides for credit unions to report information based on ASC 326 (CECL) if they have chosen to adopt it early. Otherwise, credit unions would continue to report based on current account standards. CECL is an either or selection in the new form; thus the total account codes and the fillable account codes for a credit union adopting CECL is 891 and 733 respectively, and 871 and 716 respectively if they have not early adopted CECL.

similar review and redesign, eliminating outdated data elements and attributes resulting in a reduction of approximately 150 data elements and attributes. All of these changes incorporate the stakeholder feedback received.

#### Request for Comment

The NCUA is seeking comments on all proposed changes to the Call Report form 5300, the Profile form 4501A, and their accompanying instructions. The proposed forms and instructions are available on the NCUA's Call Report Modernization web page. When reviewing the comment documents, please consider the following questions.

1. Are there account codes that are proposed to be retired that are still pertinent? If so, please provide the account code(s) and the reason for maintaining it.
2. Are there additional account codes that should be retired or consolidated? If so, please provide the account code(s) and the reason for retiring it.
3. Are relocated account codes grouped logically? If not, please propose a location you feel is more logically suited.
4. Should any of the schedules be expanded to assist in analysis based on new rules or accounting changes? If so, please provide details of data the NCUA should also collect.
5. Are the instructions adequate in both content and design? If not, please cite specific sections that require improvement or correction.
6. How much lead time do credit unions need to work with vendors to make changes to their systems in order to support such changes to the Call Report?
7. Are there any other operational issues the NCUA should be aware of prior to implementing the proposed changes?

8. From your perspective, do you think this is a reduction in your reporting burden? Please explain. Information received will not be used for statistical purposes. Responses containing references to studies, research, or data not widely available to the public should include copies of referenced materials. A description of the commenter's organization and its interest in the Call Report and Profile will help the NCUA use the input provided.

*Next Steps:* The NCUA will review all comments and make necessary changes before implementing the future Call Report and Profile forms. When the NCUA is ready to implement the future Call Report and Profile forms, the OMB Paperwork Reduction Act process will

be used to formally announce planned changes.

By the National Credit Union Administration, this 25th day of January 2018.

**Gerard Poliquin,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2018-01879 Filed 1-30-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

---

## NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

### Amendment of Statement of Organization and Functions; Restructuring of National Labor Relations Board's Field Organization

**AGENCY:** National Labor Relations Board.

**ACTION:** Notice of administrative change in status of the Anchorage, Alaska Resident Office (Region 19) of the National Labor Relations Board, which has been closed and the area will be served by agents working from other locations.

**SUMMARY:** The National Labor Relations Board has closed its Anchorage, Alaska Resident Office because it has determined that closing the office and serving the area with resident agents working in the area, will result in significant savings while continuing to effectively serve the area currently served by this office.

**DATES:** *Applicable Date:* The change with respect to the Anchorage, Alaska office was announced by press release on December 11, 2017 and was effective December 29, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roxanne Rothschild, Deputy Executive Secretary, 1015 Half Street SE, Room 5011, Washington, DC 20570. Telephone: (202) 273-1940.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Labor Relations Board has closed its Anchorage Resident Office and now services the area through full-time Resident Agents. This change was prompted by an examination of the staffing, caseloads, and rental and operating costs for the Anchorage office. This revision is nonsubstantive or merely procedural in nature. The Board expects no adverse impact on the quality of casehandling as a result of the office closure.

Region 19, which handles cases arising in Alaska, is headed by a Regional Director, who works in the Seattle, Washington Regional office and has full authority for the processing of both unfair labor practice and representation cases in that area.

Currently, the other employees in this Region work in Seattle, Washington and Portland, Oregon. The Seattle and Portland offices will continue to be open. The geographical area covered by the Region will not be changed.

The most recent list of Regional and Subregional Offices was published at 65 FR 53228–53229 on August 29, 2000, as amended at 78 FR 44602–44603 on Wednesday, July 24, 2012.

Concurrent with this Notice, the NLRB is revising its Statement of Organization and Functions to delete reference to the Anchorage office as a place where persons can obtain service in Region 19. The revision to the Board's Statement of Organization and Functions is attached.

Since July 20, 2017, the NLRB has solicited and received feedback on the proposed closure of the Anchorage, Alaska office. The decision to close this office and restructure the Agency's operations in the manner set forth here was informed by comments from stakeholders. Because this is a general notice that is related to the organization of the NLRB, it is not a regulation or rule subject to Executive Order 12866.

Pursuant to the change set forth here, the National Labor Relations Board has amended its Statement of Organization and Functions as follows:

#### Part 201—Description of Organization

##### Subpart B—Description of Field Organization

(A) “Areas Served by Regional and Subregional Offices” is amended in following manner:

(1) Region 19 is amended to read as follows:

Region 19. Seattle, Washington. Services Alaska and all counties in Washington except Clark; in Idaho, services Adams, Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Clark, Clearwater, Custer, Fremont, Idaho, Kootenai, Latah, Lemhi, Lewis, Nez Perce, Shoshone, and Valley Counties; and in Montana, services Beaverhead, Broadwater, Cascade, Deer Lodge, Flathead, Gallatin, Glacia, Granite, Jefferson, Lake, Lewis, and Clark, Liberty, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Pondera, Powell, Ravatti, Sanders, Silver Bow, Teton, and Toole Counties.

Subregion 36. Portland, Oregon. Services Oregon and Clark County in Washington.

Persons may also obtain service from a Resident Agent located in Anchorage, Alaska.

By Direction of the Board.

Dated: January 26, 2018.

**Roxanne Rothschild,**

*Deputy Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2018–01921 Filed 1–30–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7545–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2012–0110]

### An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Regulatory guide; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing Revision 3 to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.174, “An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis.” This proposed guide, Revision 3, has been revised to incorporate additional information related to the evaluation of defense-in-depth since Revision 2 of RG 1.174 was issued in 2011. The proposed revision includes additional guidance on defense-in-depth to assure the defense-in-depth philosophy is interpreted and implemented consistently.

**DATES:** Revision 3 to RG 1.174 is available on January 31, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0110 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2012–0110. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by

email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. Revision 3 to RG 1.174 and the regulatory analysis may be found in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML17317A256 and ML16358A156 respectively.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anders Gilbertson, telephone: 301–415–1541, email: [Anders.Gilbertson@nrc.gov](mailto:Anders.Gilbertson@nrc.gov), and Harriet Karagiannis, telephone: 301–415–2493, email: [Harriet.Karagiannis@nrc.gov](mailto:Harriet.Karagiannis@nrc.gov). Both are staff of the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Discussion

The NRC is issuing a revision to an existing guide in the NRC's “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information regarding methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency's regulations, techniques that the NRC staff uses in evaluating specific issues or postulated events, and data that the NRC staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses. Revision 3 of RG 1.174 describes an approach that is acceptable to the staff of the NRC when developing risk-informed applications for a licensing basis change that considers engineering issues and applies risk insights.

Revision 3 of RG 1.174 was issued with a temporary identification of Draft Regulatory Guide, DG–1285. This revision (Revision 3) presents up-to-date defense-in-depth guidance using precise language to assure the defense-in-depth philosophy is interpreted and implemented consistently. Revision 3 contains significant changes including expansion of the guidance on the meaning of, and the process for assessing, the defense-in-depth considerations.

In addition, this revision adopts the term “PRA Acceptability,” including related phrasing variants, in place of terms such as “PRA quality,” “PRA technical adequacy,” and “technical