

We consider these to be usual and customary and took that into account in estimating the burden.

BURDEN BREAKDOWN

Citation 30 CFR 550 subpart C and related NTL(s)	Reporting and recordkeeping requirement	Hour burden	Average number of annual responses	Annual burden hours
Facilities described in new or revised EP or DPP				
303	Submit, modify, or revise Exploration Plans and Development and Production Plans; submit information required under 30 CFR Part 550, Subpart B.	Burden covered under 1010–0151 (30 CFR Part 550, Subpart B).		0
303(k); 304(a), (g); and related NTL.	Collect and report (in manner specified) air emissions related data (such as facility, equipment, fuel usage, and other activity information) during each specified calendar year for input into State and regional planning organizations modeling.	44 hours per facility	2,381 facilities	104,764
303(l); 304(h)	Collect and submit (in manner specified) meteorological data (not routinely collected—minimal burden); emission data for existing facilities to a State.	8	1	8
Subtotal	2,382	104,772
Existing Facilities				
304(a), (f)	Affected State may submit request with required information to BOEM for basic emission data from existing facilities to update State’s emission inventory.	16	5	80
304(e)(2)	Submit compliance schedule for application of best available control technology (BACT).	40	1	40
304(e)(2)	Apply for suspension of operations	Burden covered under BSEE 1014–0022 (30 CFR 250.174)		0
304(f)	Submit information to demonstrate that exempt facility is not significantly affecting air quality of onshore area of a State. Submit additional information, as required.	16	1	16
Subtotal	7	136
General				
303–304	General departure and alternative compliance requests not specifically covered elsewhere in subpart C regulations.	24	5	120
Subtotal	5	120
Total Burden	2,394	105,028

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

We protect proprietary information according to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Department of the Interior’s implementing regulations (43 CFR part 2), and under regulations at 30 CFR 550.197, “Data

and information to be made available to the public or for limited inspection.”

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Dated: January 24, 2018.

Deanna Meyer-Pietruszka,
Chief, Office of Policy, Regulation and Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2018–01668 Filed 1–29–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation No. 337–TA–1096]

Certain Microperforated Packaging Containing Fresh Produce; Notice of Correction Concerning Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Correction of notice.

SUMMARY: Correction is made to notice 83 FR 3020, which was published on January 22, 2018, to clarify that the patent claims identified in paragraph 1 of the notice (claims 1–6, 11, and 13), refer to claims 1–6, 11, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,083,837.

Issued: January 24, 2018.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–01684 Filed 1–29–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–895 (Third Review)]

Pure Granular Magnesium From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on pure granular magnesium from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: December 5, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ayanna Butler (202–205–2200), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On December 5, 2017, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (82 FR 41651, September 1, 2017) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other

circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on January 11, 2018, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before January 18, 2018 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by January 18, 2018. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted by US Magnesium and Local 8319, filed a joint response to the notice of institution, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Commission’s website at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 24, 2018.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–01694 Filed 1–29–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–576–577 (Final)]

Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing from China and India

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of cold-drawn mechanical tubing from China and India, provided for in subheadings 7304.31.30, 7304.31.60, 7304.51.10, 7304.51.50, 7306.30.50, and 7306.50.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be subsidized by the governments of China and India.²

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 705(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)), instituted these investigations effective

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² The Commission also finds that imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determination are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the countervailing duty order on cold-drawn mechanical tubing from China.