and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement;
2. The Bureau’s processes for the issuance of CIDs, including the non-delegable authority of the Director, Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement, and the Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement to issue CIDs;
3. Specific steps that the Bureau could take to improve CID recipients’ understanding of investigations, whether through the notification of purpose included in each CID or through other avenues, including facilitating a better understanding of the specific types of information sought by the CID;
4. The nature and scope of requests included in Bureau CIDs, including whether topics, questions, or requests for written reports effectively achieve the Bureau’s statutory and regulatory objectives, while minimizing burdens, consistent with applicable law, and the extent to which the meet and confer process helps achieve these objectives;
5. The timeframes associated with each step of the Bureau’s CID process, including return dates, and the specific timeframes for meeting and conferring, and petitioning to modify or set aside a CID;
6. The Bureau’s taking of testimony from an entity, including whether 12 CFR 1080.6(a)(4)(ii), and/or the Bureau’s processes should be modified to make expressly clear that the standards applicable to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) also apply to the Bureau’s taking of testimony from an entity;
7. The Bureau’s processes for handling the inadvertent production of privileged information, including whether 12 CFR 1080.8(c) and/or the Bureau’s processes should be modified in order to make expressly clear that the standards applicable to Federal Rule of Evidence 502 also apply to documents inadvertently produced in response to a CID;
8. The timeframes for responses by the Bureau, including 12 CFR 1080.9, including limitations on the role of counsel described in 12 CFR 1080.9(b) in light of the statutory delineation of objections set forth in 12 U.S.C. 5562(c)(13)(D)(iii);
9. The Bureau’s processes concerning meeting and conferring with recipients of CIDs, including, for example, negotiations regarding modifications and the delegation of authority to the Assistant Director of the Office of Enforcement and Deputy Assistant Directors of the Office of Enforcement to negotiate and approve the terms of satisfactory compliance with civil investigative demands and extending the time for compliance;
10. The Bureau’s requirements for responding to CIDs, including certification requirements, and the Bureau’s CID document submission standards; and
11. The Bureau’s processes concerning CID recipients’ petitions to modify or set aside Bureau CIDs, including:
a. Whether it is appropriate for Bureau investigators to provide the Director with a statement setting out a response to the petition without serving that response on the petitioner;
b. Whether petitions and the Director’s orders should be made public, consistent with applicable laws; and
c. The costs and benefits of the petition to modify or set aside process, vis-à-vis direct adjudication in Federal court, in light of the statutory requirement for the petition process and the fact that CIDs are not self-enforcing.
Authority: 12 U.S.C. 5511(c).
Dated: January 18, 2018.
Mick Mulvaney,
Acting Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.
[FR Doc. 2018–01435 Filed 1–25–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Department of the Air Force
Acceptance of Group Application Under Public Law and Department of Defense Directive
AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DoD Civilian/Military Service Review Board, DoD.
ACTION: Notice.

Under the provisions of Section 401, Public Law 95–202 and DoD Directive 100.20, the Department of Defense Civilian/Military Service Review Board has accepted an application on behalf of a group known as “Department of the Navy (DON) Civilian Special Agents who Served in Vietnam in the Mentor-Protege Program” (the Program). The Department has accepted this application in accordance with the procedures set forth in DoD Directive 100.20.

The Program is designed to provide mentor-protege agreements between DoD Civilian Special Agents who served in Vietnam in the Mentor-Protege Program and mentors who served in Vietnam in the Armed Forces of the United States. The Program is intended to provide opportunities for DoD Civilian Special Agents who served in Vietnam in the Mentor-Protege Program to obtain or retain benefits.

The Program is open to all DoD Civilian Special Agents who served in Vietnam in the Mentor-Protege Program.

The Program is open to DoD Civilian Special Agents who served in Vietnam in the Mentor-Protege Program.

Respondent’s Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Reporting Frequency: On occasion.

Number of Respondents: Approximately 12,750.

Responses per Respondent: 2.

Annual Responses: 255.

Average Burden per Response: 2.3 hours, approximately.

Annual Burden Hours: 595.

Needs and Uses: DoD needs this information to ensure that participants in the Mentor-Protege Program (“the Program”) are fulfilling their obligations under the mentor-protege agreements and that the Government is receiving value for the benefits it provides through the Program. DoD uses the information as source data for reports to

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

Comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra, DoD Desk Officer, at Oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Please identify the proposed information collection by DoD Desk Officer and the Docket ID number and title of the information collection.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Frederick C. Licari.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Licari at: WHS/ESD Directives Division, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 03F09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Jennifer L. Hawes, Regulatory Control Officer, Defense Acquisition Regulations System

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Pamela Young, (703) 697–9107, pamela.a.young14.civ@mail.mil or Kathy Valadez, (703) 697–9217, kathy.a.valadez.civ@mail.mil; DSCA/DSA–RAN.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary
[Transmittal No. 17–61]
Arms Sales Notification


ACTION: Arms sales notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of an arms sales notification.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pamela Young, (703) 697–9107, pamela.a.young14.civ@mail.mil or Kathy Valadez, (703) 697–9217, kathy.a.valadez.civ@mail.mil; DSCA/DSA–RAN.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This 36(b)(1) arms sales notification is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated July 21, 1996. The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 17–61 with attached Policy Justification and Sensitivity of Technology.


Aaron Siegel, Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.