Estimated Number of Respondents: 1.  
Estimated Time per Response: 201 hours.  
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 201.  
Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Sheleen Dumas,
Departmental PRA Lead, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–01323 Filed 1–25–18; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–653]

Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From Taiwan: Amended Preliminary Results and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain crystalline silicon photovoltaic products (solar products) from Taiwan covering the period of review (POR) February 1, 2016, through January 31, 2017.  

DATES: January 26, 2018.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 10, 2017, Commerce published a notice initiating an antidumping administrative review of solar products from Taiwan covering 34 companies for the POR.1 On December 20, 2017, Commerce published the preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review and partial rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.2 In this notice, Commerce stated incorrectly that 23 of the 34 companies3 listed in the Initial Notice had withdrawn their requests for administrative review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).4 Actually, neither petitioner nor any of the 23 companies had withdrawn requests for administrative review. Thus, all 23 companies remain under review.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Of the 23 companies at issue, 14 companies filed timely statements reporting that they made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Based on the certifications submitted by these companies and our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information, we preliminarily determine that these 14 companies had no shipments during the POR.5 Given that these companies certified that they made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, and there is no information calling their claims into question, we preliminarily determine that these companies did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. Commerce will issue a no-shipment inquiry to CBP requesting that it review these no-shipment claims. Consistent with Commerce’s practice, we will not rescind the review, but, rather, will complete the review and issue instructions to CBP based on the final results.6

Rate for Companies Not Individually Examined

Of the 23 companies at issue, the remaining nine are non-selected respondents. Consistent with our preliminary results and Commerce’s practice, we preliminarily assign to these nine companies the Motech Industries Inc.7 preliminary rate of 1.07 percent. See table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Solar Inc ...............</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Solar International, Ltd</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc .............</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang), Inc .............</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Solar Solution Inc ..........</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise Global Solar Energy ........</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trina Solar (Schweiz) AG ........</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 See Preliminary Results, 82 FR at 60371.
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) sustained the final remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (China), covering the period of March 1, 2010, through February 28, 2011. The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with Commerce’s final results of the administrative review and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co. Ltd. (Baoding Mantong).


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline Heeren or Edythe Artman, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9179 or (202) 482–3931, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 18, 2012, Commerce published the Final Results, in which it determined Baoding Mantong to have a weight-averaged dumping margin of 453.79 percent for the period under review. On November 3, 2015, the Court remanded these results to Commerce for reconsideration of all aspects of its determination of the margin assigned to Baoding Mantong in the Final Results. In the final results of redetermination, Commerce relied on surrogate financial information that resulted in a dumping margin of 64.97 percent. On April 19, 2017, the Court remanded the revised results to Commerce for reconsideration of the selection of certain surrogate values in its determination of the margin assigned to Baoding Mantong. In its second final results of redetermination, Commerce revised the surrogate values for three inputs—liquid ammonia, formaldehyde and steam coal—which resulted in a dumping margin of 0.00 percent.

Timken Notice

In its decision in Timken, as clarified by Diamond Sawblades, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not in harmony with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s December 20, 2017, final judgment sustaining the Second Results of Redetermination constitutes a final decision of the Court that is not in harmony with Commerce’s Final Results. This notice is published in fulfillment of the Timken publication requirements. Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Results of Review

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce is amending the Final Results with respect to the dumping margin calculated for Baoding Mantong. Based on the Second Results of Redetermination, as sustained by the CIT, the revised dumping margin for Baoding Mantong, for the period March


3 See “Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand,” dated March 29, 2017.


5 See “Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand,” dated January 18, 2018 (Second Results of Redetermination).


7 See Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken).