services essential to preparing at-risk youth for in-demand occupations through YouthBuild programs. There are no changes proposed for ETA–9136 in this information collection request package. The Work Site Description and Housing Census (ETA–9143) requests information on the proposed work sites for low-income or homeless individual or families on which YouthBuild participants will be trained and participate in construction skills activities. This form also requests annual information on the number of houses or apartments that were built or renovated each year and allows ETA to demonstrate on an annual basis the increase in affordable housing units supported by YouthBuild.

The accuracy, reliability, and comparability of program reports submitted by grantees using Federal funds are fundamental elements of good public administration and are necessary tools for maintaining and demonstrating system integrity. The use of a standard set of data elements, definitions, and specifications at all levels of the workforce system helps improve the quality of performance information that is received by ETA.

The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (29 U.S.C. 3101) authorizes this information collection.

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by the OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

Interested parties are encouraged to provide comments to the contact shown in the ADDRESSES section. Comments must be written to receive consideration, and they will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of the final ICR. In order to help ensure appropriate consideration, comments should mention OMB 1205–0464.

Submitted comments will also be a matter of public record for this ICR and posted on the internet, without redaction. The DOL encourages commenters not to include personally identifiable information, confidential business data, or other sensitive statements/information in any comments. The DOL is particularly interested in comments that:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: DOL–ETA.
Type of Review: REVISION.
Title of Collection: YouthBuild (YB) Reporting System.
OMB Control Number: OMB 1205–0464.
Affected Public: Grantees.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 440.
Frequency: As necessary but at a minimum, quarterly.
Total Estimated Annual Responses: 8,330.
Estimated Average Time per Response: 1.5 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 24,565 hours.
Total Estimated Annual Other Cost Burden: $162,487.85.
Rosemary Lahasky,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Labor.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This report can be found at https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/paygo/.

Authority: 2 U.S.C. 934.
Kelly Kinneen,
Assistant Director for Budget.

This Report is being published pursuant to section 5 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go (PAYGO) Act of 2010, Public Law 111–139, 124 Stat. 8, 2 U.S.C. 934, which requires that OMB issue an annual PAYGO report, including a sequestration order if necessary, no later than 14 working days after the end of a congressional session. This Report describes the budgetary effects of all PAYGO legislation enacted during the first session of the 115th Congress and presents the 5-year and 10-year PAYGO scorecards maintained by OMB. Because neither the 5-year nor 10-year scorecard shows a debit for the budget year, which for purposes of this Report is fiscal year 2018, a sequestration order under subsection 5(b) of the PAYGO Act, 2 U.S.C § 934(b) is not necessary.

During the first session of the 115th Congress, two laws were enacted with emergency requirements under section 4(g) of the PAYGO Act, 2 U.S.C. 933(g) that had PAYGO effects. Three laws had estimated budgetary effects on direct spending and revenues that were excluded from the calculations of the PAYGO scorecards due to provisions excluding all or part of the law from section 4(d) of the PAYGO Act, 2 U.S.C. 933(d).

I. PAYGO Legislation With Budgetary Effects

PAYGO legislation is authorizing legislation that affects direct spending or revenues, and appropriations legislation that affects direct spending in the years after the budget year or affects revenues in any year. For a more complete description of the Statutory

---

1 References to years on the PAYGO scorecards are to fiscal years.
2 Provisions in appropriations acts that affect direct spending in the years after the budget year (also known as “outyears”) or affect revenues in any year are considered to be budgetary effects for the purposes of the PAYGO scorecards except if the provisions produce outlay changes that net to zero over the current year, budget year, and the four subsequent years. As specified in section 3 of the PAYGO Act, off-budget effects are not counted as budgetary effects. Off-budget effects refer to effects on the Social Security trust funds (Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance) and the Postal Service.

---

The 5-year and 10-year PAYGO scorecards for each congressional session begin with the balances of costs or savings carried over from previous sessions and then tally the costs or savings of PAYGO laws enacted in the most recent session. The 5-year PAYGO scorecard for the first session of the 115th Congress began with balances of savings of $3,579 million in 2018, $3,604 million in 2019, and $2,978 million in 2020, and with costs of $478 million in 2021. The completed 5-year scorecard for the session shows that PAYGO legislation enacted during the session was estimated to have PAYGO budgetary effects that increased the deficit by an average of $1,089 million each year from 2018 through 2022.3 These new costs on the scorecard decreased the balances of savings in each year on the 5-year scorecard from 2018 through 2020, and increased the balances of costs in 2021. The 5-year PAYGO window extended only through 2025, so there were no 5-year scorecard balances in 2022 to carry over and the 5-year scorecard total is the average $653 million costs from this session.

In the first session of the 115th Congress, 28 laws were enacted that were determined to constitute PAYGO legislation. Of the 28 enacted PAYGO laws, 9 laws were estimated to have PAYGO budgetary effects (costs or savings) in excess of $500,000 over one or both of the 5-year or 10-year PAYGO windows. These were:

- Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, Public Law 115–31;
- Countering America’s Adversaries Through Sanctions Act, Public Law 115–44;
- An Act to authorize appropriations and to appropriate amounts for the Veterans Choice Program of the Department of Veterans Affairs, to improve hiring authorities of the Department, to authorize major medical facility leases, and for other purposes, Public Law 115–46;
- Department of Veterans Affairs Expiring Authorities Act of 2017, Public Law 115–62;  
- Disaster Tax Relief and Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2017, Public Law 115–63;  
- Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Requirements Act, 2017, Public Law 115–72;  
- National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, Public Law 115–91; and  
- Western Oregon Tribal Fairness Act, Public Law 115–103.

In addition to the laws identified above, 19 laws enacted in this session were estimated to have negligible budgetary effects on the PAYGO scorecards—costs or savings of less than $500,000 over both the 5-year and 10-year PAYGO windows.

II. Budgetary Effects Excluded From the Scorecard Balances

A. Legislation Designated as Emergency Requirements

As shown on the scorecards, two laws were enacted in the first session of the 115th Congress with an emergency designation under the Statutory PAYGO Act, and that had PAYGO effects:

- Emergency Aid to American Survivors of Hurricanes Irma and Jose Overseas Act, Public Law 115–57; and
- Disaster Tax Relief and Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2017, Public Law 115–63.

The effects of the provisions in these laws that are designated as emergency requirements appear on the scorecard, but are subtracted before computing the scorecard totals.

Two additional laws included an emergency designation under the Statutory PAYGO Act, but OMB estimated that the designated portions of the laws did not have any PAYGO effects:

- Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria Education Relief Act of 2017, Public Law 115–64; and

B. Statutory Provisions Excluding Legislation From the Scorecards

Three laws enacted in the first session of the 115th Congress had estimated budgetary effects on direct spending and revenues that were excluded from the calculations for the PAYGO scorecards due to provisions in law excluding all or part of the law from section 4(d) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010. One law, An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to titles II and V of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018, Public Law 115–97 (also referred to as H.R. 1, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act), was excluded entirely from the scorecards by Section 5002 of Public Law 115–96.

In addition, budgetary effects in two laws were excluded by provisions excluding certain portions of those laws from the scorecards:

- Making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2017, and for other purposes, Public Law 115–30; and

III. PAYGO Scorecards

3 As provided in section 4(d) of the PAYGO Act, 2 U.S.C. 933(d), budgetary effects on the PAYGO scorecards are based on congressional estimates for bills including a reference to a congressional estimate in the Congressional Record, and for which such a reference is indeed present in the Record. Absent such a congressional cost estimate, OMB is required to use its own estimate for the scorecard. None of the bills enacted during this session had such a congressional estimate and therefore OMB was required to provide an estimate for all PAYGO laws enacted during the session.
IV. Sequestration Order

As shown on the scorecards, the budgetary effects of PAYGO legislation enacted in the first session of the 115th Congress, combined with the balances from previous sessions of the Congress left on each scorecard, resulted in net savings on both the 5-year and the 10-year scorecard in the budget year, which is 2018 for the purposes of this Report. Because the costs for the budget year, as shown on the scorecards, do not exceed savings for the budget year, there is no “debit” on either scorecard under section 3 of the PAYGO Act, 2 U.S.C. 932, and there is no need for a sequestration order.4

The savings shown on the scorecards for 2018 will be removed from the scorecards that are used to record the budgetary effects of PAYGO legislation enacted in the second session of the 115th Congress. The totals shown in 2019 through 2027 will remain on the scorecards and will be used in determining whether a sequestration order will be necessary in the future. On the 5-year scorecard for the second session of the 115th Congress, 2019 and 2020 will show balances of savings. The years 2021 and 2022 will show balances of costs. On the 10-year scorecard, each year from 2019 to 2025 will show balances of savings. The years 2026 and 2027 will show balances of costs.
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NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting:

NAME AND COMMITTEE CODE: Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee (#13883)

DATE AND TIME: February 27, 2018; 12:00 p.m.—4:00 p.m.

PLACE: National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Room C2010, Alexandria, VA 22314.

TYPE OF MEETING: Open.

Attendance information for the meeting will be forthcoming on the website: http://www.nsf.gov/mps/ast/aac.jsp.

CONTACT PERSON: Dr. Christopher Davis, Program Director, Division of Astronomical Sciences, Suite W 9136, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314; Telephone: 703–292–4910.

PURPOSE OF MEETING: To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation (NSF) on issues within the field of astronomy and astrophysics that are of mutual interest and concern to the agencies.

AGENDA: To provide updates on Agency activities and to discuss the Committee’s draft annual report due 15 March 2018.


Crystal Robinson, Committee Management Officer.
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NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[No D–2018–0001]

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

DATE: Weeks of January 29, February 5, 12, 19, 26, March 5, 2018.

PLACE: Commissioners’ Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of January 29, 2018

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of January 29, 2018.

Week of February 5, 2018—Tentative

Thursday, February 8, 2018
9:00 a.m. Discussion of Potential Changes to the 10 CFR 2.206 Enforcement Petition Process (Public Meeting), [Contact: Doug Broadbent; 301–415–8124].

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov/.

---

4Joint Committee reductions for 2018 were calculated and ordered in a separate report and are not affected by this determination. See, https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/sequestration_reports/FY_2018_Sequestration_Update_8-18-17.pdf