

lands and accretions to the original patent description.

The parcel that is the subject of this disclaimer application is claimed by LaRue J. Rich and Violet B. Rich based on the fact that they are the current owners of the property immediately abutting the northerly boundary of the unsurveyed property. The adjacent property owned by LaRue J. Rich and Violet B. Rich was obtained via a United States patent that was issued on April 12, 1928 (no. 1014619), to their predecessor, Lafayette S. Rich, under the authority of the Desert Land Act of March 3, 1877 (19 Stat. 377). The unsurveyed parcel that is the subject of this disclaimer application abuts the patented property, and the application states that the parcel has been used by the Rich family as a part of their property since the family first entered the area in 1895. Issuing a recordable disclaimer would clarify title to the land. If no valid objection is received, a Disclaimer of Interest may be approved stating that the United States does not have a valid interest in the above-described land.

Comments, including names and street addresses of commentors, will be available for public review at the BLM Idaho State Office (see **ADDRESSES** above), during regular business hours, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 43 CFR Subpart 1864.

**James M. Fincher,**

*Chief, Branch of Lands, Minerals and Water Rights.*

[FR Doc. 2018-01322 Filed 1-24-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-AK-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1055]

### Certain Mirrors With Internal Illumination and Components Thereof Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order Directed Against the Defaulting Respondent; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has terminated the above-captioned investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and has issued a limited exclusion order directed against infringing products of the respondent Project Light, LLC (d/b/a Project Light, Inc., Prospetto Light, LLC, and/or Prospetto Lighting, LLC) of Stow, Ohio (“Project Light” or “the defaulting respondent”) previously found in default. The Commission has also issued a cease and desist order directed against the defaulting respondent.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on May 8, 2017, based on a complaint filed by Electric Mirror, LLC of Everett, Washington (“Electric Mirror”) and Kelvin 42 LLC of Pensacola, Florida (“Kelvin”). 82 FR 21405-06. The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,853,414 (“the ‘414 patent’”) and 7,559,668 (“the ‘668 patent’”). The complaint further alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Project Light; Lumidesign Inc. of Ontario, Canada (“Lumidesign”); and Majestic Mirrors & Frame, LLC of Miami, Florida (“Majestic”). The complaint and notice of investigation were served on all respondents. See Notice of Investigation, Certificate of Service (May

2, 2017) (EDIS Document 610362). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations did not participate in the investigation.

On July 10, 2017, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 6) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the investigation as to complainant Kelvin, respondent Majestic, and the ‘668 patent based on withdrawal of those allegations in the complaint. On July 27, 2017, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 8) terminating the investigation as to Lumidesign based on a settlement agreement.

On August 3, 2017, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 10) finding Project Light in default, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.16, because this respondent did not respond to the complaint and notice of investigation, or to Order No. 9 to show cause why it should not be found in default. On August 22, 2017, the Commission determined not to review the ID finding Project Light in default. The Commission found that the statutory requirements of section 337(g)(1)(A)–(E) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)(A)–(E)) were met with respect to Project Light. Accordingly, pursuant to section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) and Commission rule 210.16(c) (19 CFR 210.16(c)), the Commission presumed the facts alleged in the complaint to be true.

On the same date, the Commission requested public briefing on remedy, the public interest, and bonding with respect to Project Light. 82 FR 43252-54 (Sept. 14, 2017). On September 5, 2017, Electric Light submitted responsive briefing including a proposed limited exclusion order directed to the covered products of Project Light and a cease and desist order directed to the defaulting respondent.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief includes a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of mirrors with internal illumination and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9 and 18 of the ‘414 patent, which are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or are imported by or on behalf of, Project Light, or any of its affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, licensees, contractors, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns. Appropriate relief also includes a cease and desist order prohibiting Project Light from conducting any of the following activities in the United States: importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for

sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for mirrors with internal illumination and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9 and 18 of the '414 patent. See *Certain Electric Skin Care Devices, Brushes and Chargers Therefor, and Kits Containing the Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-959, Comm'n Op. (Feb. 13, 2017) (public version) (including Chairman Schmidlein Separate views on issuing cease and desist orders governed by section 337(g)(1)).

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in sections 337(d), (f), and (g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), and (g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist order. Finally, the Commission has determined that a bond in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the covered products is required to permit temporary importation during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)). The Commission's orders were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The Commission has terminated this investigation. The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 19, 2018.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2018-01318 Filed 1-24-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amendment to a Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On January 9, 2018, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed amendment to the 2003 consent decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York in the lawsuit entitled *United States, et al. v. Mattiace Industries, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 03-1011.

In that action, the United States sought, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*,

injunctive relief and recovery of response costs regarding the Mattiace Petrochemical Superfund Site in the City of Glen Cove, Nassau County, New York (the "Site"). The matter was originally resolved in 2003 when the United States entered into a Consent Decree with 27 potentially responsible parties regarding the Site (the "2003 Consent Decree"). These parties were joined by a 28th party, TRC Companies, Inc. ("TRC"), which, though not a liable party, agreed to be bound by the 2003 Consent Decree and to perform the remedy. The 2003 Consent Decree required, among other things, that the settlers implement portions of the remedial action selected by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in a 1991 record of decision ("1991 ROD") for the Site.

On September 29, 2014, EPA issued an amendment to the 1991 ROD, which, among other things, documented EPA's decision regarding a modification to the remedy to be implemented at the Site and identification of a new remedy to address remaining contaminated groundwater and soil gas at the Site. The proposed amendment to the 2003 Consent Decree, which was lodged with the Court on January 9, 2018, modifies the 2003 Consent Decree to make it consistent with the amended ROD. Specifically, it will substitute the amended ROD for the 2003 ROD; will substitute a new statement of work for the original statement of work; and will include updates to the Site history, definitions and internal references. TRC will continue to perform the work, as a signatory with the settling defendants.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Amendment to the 2003 Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States, et al. v. Mattiace Industries, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 03-1011, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-07234. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed amended consent decree may be examined and downloaded at

this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>.

We will provide a paper copy of the proposed amended consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$9.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Jeffrey Sands,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2018-01326 Filed 1-24-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Proposal Review Panel for Physics; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting:

*Name and Committee Code:* Proposal Review Panel for the Division of Physics (1208)—University of Utah Site Visit.

*Date and Time:* February 20, 2018; 8:30 a.m.–6:00 p.m., February 21, 2018; 8:30 a.m.–3:00 p.m.

*Place:* University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.

*Type of Meeting:* Part-Open.

*Contact Person:* Jean Cottam-Allen, Program Director for Physics Frontier Centers, Division of Physics, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Room W9217, Alexandria, VA 22314; Telephone: (703) 292-8783.

*Purpose of Meeting:* Site visit to provide an evaluation of the progress of the projects at the host site for the Division of Physics at the National Science Foundation.

### Agenda

*February 20, 2018; 8:30 a.m.–6:00 p.m.*

08:30 a.m.–09:30 a.m. Greetings and introductions  
09:30 a.m.–10:15 a.m. P. Sokolsky (composition, anisotropy, sFLASH)  
10:15 a.m.–10:30 a.m. Break  
10:30 a.m.–12:00 p.m. D. Bergman and G. Thomson presentations  
12:00 p.m.–1:00 p.m. Lunch (panel meets with students and post docs)  
1:00 p.m.–2:15 p.m. J. Betz and C. Jui presentations  
2:15 p.m.–2:30 p.m. Break  
2:30 p.m.–4:00 p.m. J. Calahan and C. Jui discussions and Thomson (summary)  
4:00 p.m.–5:00 p.m. Panel meeting and questions on experiments  
5:00 p.m.–6:00 p.m. Poster Session (Greg, Jackson, JiHee, Jon Paul and Bill)