205–3438. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 18, 2017, based on a complaint filed by Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan and Sony Electronics Inc. of San Diego, California (collectively, “Sony”), alleging a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337. 82 FR 18310 (Apr. 18, 2017). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the sale for importation, importation and sale after importation of certain digital cable and satellite products, set-top boxes, gateways, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,467,093; 8,032,919; 6,556,221; 6,915,525; and RE45,126. The notice of investigation names as respondents ARRIS International plc, ARRIS Group, Inc., ARRIS Enterprises LLC, and ARRIS Solutions, Inc., all of Suwanee, Georgia; ARRIS Technology, Inc. of Horsham, Pennsylvania; ARRIS Global Ltd. (formerly Pace Ltd.) of Saltalre, England; and Pace Americas, LLC, Pace Americas Holdings, Inc., Pace USA LLC, and Pace Americas Investments LLC, all of Boca Raton, Florida (collectively, “ARRIS”). Id. at 18310–11. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party. Id. at 18311.

On December 15, 2017, Sony and ARRIS filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a patent cross license agreement. On December 18, 2017, OUII filed a response supporting the motion.

On December 27, 2017, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued the subject initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 37), granting the motion. The ALJ found that the cross license agreement is not contrary to the public interest, that good cause has been shown for termination of the investigation, and that termination of the investigation is in the public interest. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Aqua Connect, Inc. of Orange, CA; and Strategic Technology Partners, LLC of Orange, CA (collectively, “Aqua Connect”). 82 FR 55117, 55117–18 (Nov. 14, 2017). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. RE46,386 and 8,924,502. The complaint named as a respondent Apple Inc. of Cupertino, CA (“Apple”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party in this investigation.

On December 20, 2017, Aqua Connect and Apple filed a confidential joint motion to terminate this investigation based on a settlement agreement (the “Agreement”) and withdrawal of the complaint. On December 21, 2017, the private parties filed a public version of this motion. OUII filed a response supporting the motion.

On January 2, 2018, the administrative law judge issued the ID, which grants the motion. The ID finds that the private parties’ motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b), particularly finding that the parties have provided a confidential and a public version of the Agreement, and also finding that the parties’ motion states that “[t]here are no other agreements, written, oral, express or implied, between Aqua Connect and Apple concerning the subject matter of this investigation.” The ID additionally finds that “there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion.” The ID further considers the public interest, as is required under Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2), and determines that “there is no evidence indicating that terminating this investigation based on the Agreement would be contrary to the public interest.” Accordingly, the ID grants the motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. This investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Investigation No. 337–TA–1083]
Certain Personal Computers, Mobile Devices, Digital Media Players, and Microconsoles Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination (Order No. 7) Terminating the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the “Commission”) has determined not to review a January 2, 2018, initial determination (Order No. 7) (the “ID”) granting a joint motion to terminate this investigation based on a settlement agreement and withdrawal of the complaint. This investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal at 202–205–1810.


On December 20, 2017, Aqua Connect and Apple filed a confidential joint motion to terminate this investigation based on a settlement agreement (the “Agreement”) and withdrawal of the complaint. On December 21, 2017, the private parties filed a public version of this motion. OUII filed a response supporting the motion.

On January 2, 2018, the administrative law judge issued the ID, which grants the motion. The ID finds that the private parties’ motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b), particularly finding that the parties have provided a confidential and a public version of the Agreement, and also finding that the parties’ motion states that “[t]here are no other agreements, written, oral, express or implied, between Aqua Connect and Apple concerning the subject matter of this investigation.” The ID additionally finds that “there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion.” The ID further considers the public interest, as is required under Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2), and determines that “there is no evidence indicating that terminating this investigation based on the Agreement would be contrary to the public interest.” Accordingly, the ID grants the motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. This investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Calendar Year (CY) 2017 Cost of Outpatient Medical, Dental, and Cosmetic Surgery Services Furnished by the Department of Defense Medical Treatment Facilities; Certain Rates Regarding Recovery From Tortiously Liable Third Persons

AGENCY: Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: By virtue of the authority vested in the President by Section 2(a) of Public Law 87–693 and delegated to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget by the President through Executive Order 11060, as amended by Executive Order 12608 of September 9, 1987, the rates referenced below are hereby established. These rates are for use in connection with the recovery from tortiously liable third persons for the outpatient medical, dental and cosmetic surgery services furnished by military treatment facilities through the Department of Defense. They are the same rates as the outpatient third party reimbursement rates that were set on July 1, 2017 for billing medical insurers, but require a different approval authority for the purpose of billing for tort liability. The rates were established in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A–25, requiring reimbursement of the full cost of all services provided. The CY 2017 outpatient medical, dental and cosmetic surgery services referenced are effective for billing tort liability upon publication of this notice in the Federal Register and will remain in effect until further notice. Previously published inpatient rates remain in effect until further notice. Pharmacy rates are updated periodically. A full disclosure of the rates is posted at Health.mil website in the Defense Health Agency Uniform Business Office section [http://health.mil/Military-Health-Topics/Business-Support/Uniform-Business-Office/Billing/Medical-Affirmative-Claims].

John Mulvaney, 
Director of the Office of Management and Budget. 

[FR Doc. 2018–01244 Filed 1–23–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–271; NRC–2015–0157]

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is correcting a notice that was published in the Federal Register (FR) on December 26, 2017, regarding issuance of a final environmental assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI). The EA and FONSI address the issuance of two exemptions that allow Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. to use funds from the Vermont Yankee decommissioning trust fund for irradiated fuel management activities. This action is necessary to delete erroneous text in the response to petitioner’s comment 1.a. and provide clarification.

DATES: The correction is effective January 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2015–0157 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking website: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2015–0157. Address questions about NRC docket to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.
- NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then