

Panarese Soceieta Agricola (Alessio), Antico Pastificio Morelli 1860 S.r.l. (Antico), Colussi SpA (Colussi), Francesco Tamma S.p.A. (Tamma), Ghigi 1870 S.p.A. (Ghigi), Ghigi Industria Agroalimentare in San Clemente S.r.l.,<sup>3</sup> G.R.A.M.M. S.r.l. (GR.A.M.M.), Industria Alimentare Colavita S.p.A. (Indalco), La Molisana S.p.A. (La Molisana), Liguori Pastificio dal 1820 S.p.A. (Liguori), Pasta Zara S.p.A. (Zara), Pastificio Andalini S.p.A. (Andalini), Pastificio Fratelli DeLuca S.r.l. (DeLuca), Pastificio Menuccia SpA (Menucci), Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.l. (Zaffiri), and Tesa SrL (Tesa).<sup>4</sup>

On September 18, 2017, La Molisana timely withdrew its request for a review.<sup>5</sup> On November 13, 2017, Tamma timely withdrew its request for a review.<sup>6</sup> On December 12, 2017, Andalini, DeLuca, GR.A.M.M., and Zaffiri timely withdrew their respective requests for an administrative review.<sup>7</sup> No other party requested an administrative review of these particular companies.

#### Partial Rescission of the 2016–2017 Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. All of the aforementioned withdrawal requests were timely submitted and no other interested party requested an administrative review of these particular companies. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), and consistent with our practice,<sup>8</sup> we are

<sup>3</sup> In the 2015–16 antidumping duty review of Certain Pasta from Italy, Commerce determined that Ghigi 1870 S.p.A. was formerly known as Ghigi Industria Agroalimentare in San Clemente S.r.l. See Memorandum titled “2015–2016 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Pasta from Italy: Ghigi and Zara Collapsing Memorandum,” dated July 31, 2017.

<sup>4</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>5</sup> See Letter from Tamma to Commerce, “Certain Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review Request,” dated November 13, 2017.

<sup>6</sup> See Letter from La Molisana to Commerce, “Certain Dry Pasta from Italy, A–475–818; Withdraw Request for Review,” dated September 18, 2017.

<sup>7</sup> See Letter from DeLuca, GR.A.M.M., Andalini, and Zaffiri to Commerce, “Certain Dry Pasta from Italy, A–475–818; Withdraw Request for Review,” dated December 12, 2017.

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 21781 (May 11, 2009); see also *Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings*

rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, in part, with respect to Andalini, DeLuca, GR.A.M.M., La Molisana, Tamma, and Zaffiri.

#### Assessment

Commerce will instruct Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, Andalini, DeLuca, GR.A.M.M., La Molisana, Tamma, and Zaffiri, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

from Thailand: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 7218 (February 13, 2009).

Dated: January 12, 2018.

#### James Maeder,

Senior Director performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2018–00923 Filed 1–18–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–583–008]

#### Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016. The amended final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled “Amended Final Results.”

**DATES:** Applicable January 19, 2018.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Hoefke, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4947.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 20, 2017, Commerce published the *Final Results* of this review in the *Federal Register*.<sup>1</sup> On December 1, 2017, Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd. (Shin Yang) timely filed a ministerial error allegation concerning the *Final Results* and requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that Commerce correct the alleged ministerial error.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015–2016*, 82 FR 55093 (November 20, 2017) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan; 2015–2016,” dated November 13, 2017 (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>2</sup> See Shin Yang’s December 1, 2017 Ministerial Error Allegation.

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, and 7306.30.5055. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.<sup>3</sup>

## Ministerial Error

Section 351.224(e) of Commerce's regulations provides that Commerce will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any ministerial error by amending the final determination or the final results of the review. Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.224(f) define a "ministerial error" as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial."

We analyzed Shin Yang's ministerial error allegation and determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e) and (f), that we made a ministerial error in our calculation of Shin Yang's dumping margin. Specifically, we used an incorrect window period to identify home market sales available for matching to U.S. sales (*i.e.*, the ENDDAY variable in Commerce's calculations program). We have now corrected the error.<sup>4</sup>

## Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of correcting the ministerial error for this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Producer/Exporter	Dumping margin (percent)
Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd .....	1.71

## Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results of review within five days of the

<sup>3</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see Final Results* and accompanying (Issues and Decision Memorandum). The Department is not making any changes to the scope of the order for these amended final results.

<sup>4</sup> See memorandum, "Amended Final Results Analysis Memorandum for Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd." dated concurrently with this notice.

date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

## Assessment

Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). For Shin Yang, because its weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), Commerce has calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates. We calculated customer-specific weighted-average dumping margins by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to the customer by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. Commerce will direct CBP to assess customer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.<sup>5</sup> We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review where a customer-specific assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*.

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 9.70 percent, the all-others rate established

in the LTFV investigation.<sup>6</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

## Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 11, 2018.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2018-00925 Filed 1-18-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

### Procurement List; Proposed Addition and Deletions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed addition to and deletions from the Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is proposing to add a product to the Procurement List that will be furnished by a nonprofit agency employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities,

<sup>6</sup> See *Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Antidumping Duty Order*, 49 FR 19369 (May 7, 1984).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).