

to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov. or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on January 16, 2018.

Dated: December 18, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-27735 Filed 12-22-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 848-037-NV]

Wells Rural Electric Company; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for a new license for the Trout Creek Hydroelectric Project, located on Trout Creek in Elko County, near the Town of Wells, Nevada, and has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA).

The EA contains the staff's analysis of the potential environmental impacts of the project and concludes that licensing the project, with appropriate environmental protective measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the EA is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access documents. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, (866) 208-3676 (toll free), or (202) 502-8659 (TTY).

You may also register online at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp> to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file comments using the Commission's eFiling system

at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ecomment.asp>. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support. In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426. The first page of any filing should include docket number P-848-037.

For further information, contact Kelly Wolcott at (202) 502-6480 or kelly.wolcott@ferc.gov.

Dated: December 19, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-27733 Filed 12-22-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9971-91-OCSPPP]

Production of Confidential Business Information in Pending Litigation; Transfer of Information Claimed or Determined to Potentially Contain Confidential Business Information to the United States Department of Justice and Parties to Certain Litigation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is providing notice, of disclosure of potential confidential business information in litigation.

DATES: Access by U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and the parties to litigation to material, including CBI, discussed in this Notice, is ongoing and expected to continue during the litigation discussed in this Notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Goodis, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; main telephone number: (703) 305-7090; email address: RDfRNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is being provided, pursuant to 40 CFR 2.209(d), to inform affected businesses that the EPA, via the DOJ, has recently disclosed documents to the parties and the Court in the matter of

National Family Farm Coalition, et al. v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Scott Pruitt, Case No. 17-70196 (9th Cir.) (the "Dicamba Litigation"), and in the consolidated matters of *National Family Farm Coalition, et al. v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Scott Pruitt*, Case No. 17-70810 (9th Cir.) and *Natural Resources Defense Council v. Scott Pruitt and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*, Case No. 17-70817 (9th Cir.) (the "Enlist Duo Litigation"), that have been submitted to EPA by pesticide registrants or other data-submitters and that have been claimed to be, or have been determined to potentially contain, confidential business information (collectively "CBI").

In the "Dicamba Litigation," Petitioners seek judicial review of EPA's order granting a conditional pesticide registration under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") for the new uses of the herbicide dicamba on genetically engineered cotton and soybean. In the "Enlist Duo Litigation," Petitioners seek judicial review of EPA's order granting a conditional pesticide registration under FIFRA of the herbicide "Enlist Duo," containing the active ingredients 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid choline salt ("2,4-D") and glyphosate dimethylammonium salt ("glyphosate").

The documents are being produced as part of the Administrative Records of the decisions at issue and include documents that registrants or other data-submitters may have submitted to EPA regarding the pesticides dicamba, 2,4-D, and/or glyphosate, and that may be subject to various release restrictions under federal law. The information includes documents submitted with pesticide registration applications and may include CBI as well as scientific studies subject to the disclosure restrictions of section 10(g) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. 136h(d).

All documents that may be subject to release restrictions under federal law are designated as "Confidential or Restricted Information" under Protective Orders that the Court entered on November 8, 2017 in both cases (Dkt. 61-2 in the Dicamba Litigation; Dkt. 55-2 in the Enlist Duo Litigation). The Protective Orders preclude public disclosure of any such documents by the parties in this action who have received the information from EPA, unless a party successfully obtains a de-designation as Confidential or Restricted Information of any portion of the Administrative Record via the procedure described in paragraph 6 of the Protective Orders, and limits the use of such documents to litigation