

including informational interviews and discussions, direct observation, and focus groups. The work covered under this information request is for interviews with PHA staff, partners, and

study participants receiving FSS services.  
*Respondents:* For the annual web-based partnership survey and weekly time text survey, 100 respondents from

the grantee and key project partner at 7 demonstration sites.  
 For the monthly web-based time survey, 35 supervisory staff.  
*Respondents:*

PHA and Partner Staff .....	90
Individuals receiving subsidized housing and enrolled in the FSS program (treatment group) .....	90

Table 1

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Study Participant Interviews and/or Focus Groups .....	90.00	1.00	90.00	1.50	135.00	1 7.25	978.75
PHA Staff Interviews (on-site) .....	27.00	1.00	27.00	1.50	40.50	<sup>2</sup> 25.92	1,049.76
PHA Staff Interviews (telephone) .....	18.00	1.00	18.00	1.50	27.00	<sup>2</sup> 25.92	699.84
Cost Study Data Collection Activities with PHA staff .....	18.00	1.00	18.00	2.00	36.00	<sup>3</sup> 35.97	1,294.92
FSS Partner Staff Interviews .....	27.00	1.00	27.00	1.50	40.50	<sup>3</sup> 35.97	1,456.79
<b>Total</b> .....	<b>180.00</b>				<b>279.00</b>		<b>5,480.06</b>

<sup>1</sup> Households participating in the Family Self-Sufficiency Demonstration will range widely in employment position and earnings. We have estimated the hourly wage at the expected prevailing minimum wage, which is \$7.25 per hour. While we expect about 50 percent of the participants to be employed at the time of study entry (based on a report by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, wherein some 55 percent of non-elderly, non-disabled households receiving voucher assistance reported earned income in 2010. (<http://www.cbpp.org/cms/?fa=view&id=3634>)), the calculation above assumes an up to amount if all participants were employed.

<sup>2</sup> For program staff participating in interviews, the \$25.91 estimate uses the median hourly wages of selected occupations (classified by Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) codes) and was sourced from the Occupational Employment Statistics from the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics. Potentially relevant occupations and their median hourly wages are:

Occupation	SOC code	Median hourly wage rate
Community and Social Service Specialist .....	21-1099	\$20.73
Social/community Service Manager .....	11-9151	31.10

**Source:** Occupational Employment Statistics, accessed online October 16, 2017 at [http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes\\_stru.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_stru.htm).

<sup>3</sup> For program staff supporting data extraction activities and FSS Partner staff, the estimate uses the median hourly wages of selected relevant occupations in a manner similar to the above. A standard wage assumption of \$35.97/hr was created by averaging median hourly wage rates for these occupations:

Occupation	SOC code	Median hourly wage rate
Database Administrator .....	15-1141	\$40.84
Social/community Service Manager .....	11-9151	31.10

**Source:** Occupational Employment Statistics, accessed online October 16, 2017 at [http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes\\_stru.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_stru.htm).

**B. Solicitation of Public Comment**

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

- (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including using appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: November 17, 2017.

**Anna P. Guido,**  
 Department Reports Management Officer,  
 Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017-26522 Filed 12-7-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-5997-N-76]

**30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Evaluation of the HUD-DOJ Pay for Success Re-Entry Permanent Supportive Housing Demonstration**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD submitted the proposed information collection requirement described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date: January 8, 2018.*

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5806. Email: [OIRA\\_Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:** Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing

and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410; email Anna P. Guido at [Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov](mailto:Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov) or telephone 202-402-5535. This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Guido.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on August 25, 2017 at 82 FR 40586.

**A. Overview of Information Collection**

*Title of Information Collection:* Evaluation of the HUD-DOJ Pay for Success Permanent Supportive Housing Demonstration.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2528-New.

*Type of Request:* New.

*Form Number:* No forms.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* The U.S. Departments of Housing and Urban

Development (HUD) and Justice (DOJ) entered into an interagency collaboration that combines DOJ’s mission to promote safer communities by focusing on the reentry population with HUD’s mission to end chronic homelessness. This collaboration resulted in the Pay for Success Permanent Supportive Housing Demonstration with \$8.68M awarded to seven communities to develop supportive housing for persons cycling between the jail or prison systems and the homeless service systems using pay for success (PFS) as a funding mechanism. HUD-DOJ announced seven grantees from across the country in June 2016. The PFS Demonstration grant supports activities throughout the PFS lifecycle, including feasibility analysis, transaction structuring, and outcome evaluation and success payments, with each grantee receiving funds for different stages in the PFS lifecycle. Through the national evaluation, which is funded through an interagency agreement between HUD and DOJ and managed by HUD’s Office of Policy Development and Research, HUD-DOJ seek to assess whether PFS is a viable model for scaling supportive housing to improve outcomes for a re-entry population. The main goal of the evaluation is to learn how the PFS

model is implemented in diverse settings with different structures, populations, and community contexts. The Urban Institute has designed a multi-disciplinary, multi-method approach to “learn as we do” and meet the key objectives of the formative evaluation. To understand project implementation, the evaluation includes data collection on both the time that project partners dedicate to each PFS project as well as PFS partner perceptions and interactions and community-level changes that may benefit the target population. This information collection request is for an ongoing time survey and an annual partnership web survey. The time survey will be used to assess staff time spent on development of each PFS project throughout the different lifecycle phases and the partnership survey will be used to document partner perceptions and interactions and community-level changes that may benefit the target population.

*Respondents:* For the annual web-based partnership survey and weekly time text survey, 100 respondents from the grantee and key project partner at 7 demonstration sites. For the monthly web-based time survey, 35 supervisory staff.

*Respondents:*

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Annual Web-Based Partnership Survey ...	100.00	1.00	100.00	0.25	25.00	25.92	\$648.00
Weekly Time Text Survey .....	100.00	52.00	5,200.00	0.03	156.00	25.92	4,043.52
Monthly Web-Based Time Survey .....	35.00	12.00	420.00	0.17	71.40	31.10	2,220.54
Total .....	235.00	.....	.....	.....	252.40	.....	6,912.06

**B. Solicitation of Public Comment**

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including using appropriate automated collection

techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: November 15, 2017.

**Anna P. Guido,**

*Department Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2017-26525 Filed 12-7-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS-HQ-ES-2017-N142]; [FF09E42000 178 FXES11130900000]

**Endangered Species; Issuance of Recovery Permits and Interstate Commerce Permits January 2, 2017, through June 30, 2017**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, have issued permits to conduct activities with endangered and threatened species under the authority of the Endangered Species Act, as amended (ESA). With some exceptions, the ESA prohibits activities involving