On October 27, 2017, Complainant filed a motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety under Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1), based on a withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 5 at 1. Respondent submitted a response but did not oppose the motion to terminate. Id. at 1–2.

On November 6, 2017, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion and terminating the investigation in its entirety. Id. at 3. The ALJ found that the motion complies with the Commission Rules and that termination of the investigation is not contrary to the public interest. Id. at 2. The ALJ also found that no extraordinary circumstances prevent termination of the investigation based on a withdrawal of the complaint. Id.

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.


By order of the Commission.
Issued: November 21, 2017.

Katherine M. Hiner, Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2017–25478 Filed 11–24–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–589 and 731–TA–1394–1396 (Preliminary)]

Determinations; Forged Steel Fittings From China, Italy, and Taiwan

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of forged steel fittings from China, Italy, and Taiwan, provided for in subheadings 7307.99.10, 7307.99.30, and 7307.99.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”) and to be subsidized by the government of China.

1 The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission’s rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the Federal Register as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission’s rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under sections 703(b) or 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under sections 705(a) or 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

Background

On October 5, 2017, Bonney Forge Corporation, Mount Union, Pennsylvania and the United Steel, Paper, and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania filed petitions with the Commission and Commerce, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV and subsidized imports of forged steel fittings from China and LTFV imports of forged steel fittings from Italy and Taiwan. Accordingly, effective October 5, 2017, the Commission, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)), instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701–TA–589 and antidumping duty investigation Nos. 731–TA–1394–1396 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission’s investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of October 12, 2017 (82 FR 47578). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on October 26, 2017, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on November 20, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4743 (November 2017), entitled Forged Steel Fittings from China, Italy, and Taiwan: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–589 and 731–TA–1394–1396 (Preliminary).

By order of the Commission.

Katherine M. Hiner, Supervisory Attorney.
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–1085]

Certain Glucosylated Steviol Glycosides, and Products Containing Same Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on October 20, 2017, under the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of PureCircle USA Inc. of Oak Brook, Illinois and PureCircle Sdn Bhd of Malaysia. A supplement was filed on November 13, 2017. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of the Tariff Act based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain glucosylated steviol glycosides, and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,420,815 (“the ‘815 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained