CFR 351.213(d)(1), Zekelman timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of all 20 companies.²

Recission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws the requests within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Zekelman withdrew its review request by the 90-day deadline, and no other parties requested an administrative review of this order. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon quality steel pipe from the PRC covering the period July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017, in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertains shall be assessed antidumping duties that are equal to the cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP within 15 days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 6, 2017.

James Maeder.
Senior Director performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017–24514 Filed 11–9–17; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P
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International Trade Administration

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line and pressure pipe (large diameter pipe) from Japan and certain small diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line and pressure pipe (small diameter pipe) from Japan and Romania would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and, therefore, notified the USITC of the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail should the orders be revoked.

On October 16, 2016, the USITC published its determination, pursuant to section 751(c)(1) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on large diameter pipe from Japan and small diameter pipe from Japan and Romania would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.⁵

Supplemental Information:

Background

On June 26, 2000, and August 10, 2000, the Department published the AD orders on large diameter pipe from Japan and small diameter pipe from Japan and Romania, respectively. On September 1, 2016, the Department published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the antidumping duty orders on large diameter pipe from Japan and small diameter pipe from Japan and Romania pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On December 16, 2016, the USITC instituted its review of the orders.³ As a result of its review, the Department determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on large diameter pipe from Japan and small diameter pipe from Japan and Romania would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and, therefore, notified the USITC of the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail should the orders be revoked.⁴

³ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan; Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania: Final Results of the Expedited Third Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 93648 (December 21, 2016).

⁴ See Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan; Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania: Final Results of the Expeditied Third Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 93648 (December 21, 2016).


² See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 81 FR 60343 (September 1, 2016).

³ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 60343 (September 1, 2016).

⁴ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 60343 (September 1, 2016).

⁵ See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania: Final Results of the Expeditied Third Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 93648 (December 21, 2016).


³ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan; and Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania: Final Results of the Expeditied Third Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 93648 (December 21, 2016).

⁴ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan; and Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania: Final Results of the Expeditied Third Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 93648 (December 21, 2016).

⁵ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan; and Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania: Final Results of the Expeditied Third Five-Year Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 93648 (December 21, 2016).
Scope of the Orders

Large Diameter Pipe From Japan

The products covered by this order are large diameter seamless carbon and alloy (other than stainless) steel standard, line, and pressure pipes. The seamless pipes subject to this order are currently classifiable under the subheadings 7304.10.10.30, 7304.10.10.45, 7304.10.10.60, 7304.10.50.50, 7304.19.10.30, 7304.19.10.45, 7304.19.10.60, 7304.19.50.50, 7304.31.60.10, 7304.31.60.50, 7304.39.00.04, 7304.39.00.06, 7304.39.00.08, 7304.39.00.36, 7304.39.00.40, 7304.39.00.44, 7304.39.00.48, 7304.39.00.52, 7304.39.00.56, 7304.39.00.62, 7304.39.00.68, 7304.39.00.72, 7304.51.50.15, 7304.51.50.45, 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.20.30, 7304.59.20.55, 7304.59.20.60, 7304.59.20.70, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.30, 7304.59.80.35, 7304.59.80.40, 7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50, 7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, and 7304.59.80.70 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheading is 7304.59.80.20, and 7304.59.80.25 of the HTSUS subheadings 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.30, 7304.59.80.35, 7304.59.80.40, 7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50, 7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, and 7304.59.80.70 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written product description remains dispositive.7

Small Diameter Pipe From Japan and Romania

The products covered by these orders include small diameter seamless carbon and alloy (other than stainless) steel standard, line, and pressure pipes and redraw hollows. The seamless pipes subject to these orders are currently classifiable under the subheadings 7304.10.10.20, 7304.10.50.20, 7304.19.10.20, 7304.19.50.20, 7304.31.30.00, 7304.31.60.50, 7304.39.00.16, 7304.39.00.20, 7304.39.00.24, 7304.39.00.28, 7304.39.00.32, 7304.51.50.05, 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.10, 7304.59.80.15, 7304.59.80.20, and 7304.59.80.25 of the HTSUS. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written product description remains dispositive.6
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1 Id.
4 See Final Determination, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 15.
5 Trina Solar and SolarWorld appealed the Amended Final Determination to the CIT, and on December 30, 2016, the CIT
6 A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan (A−588−850), Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Japan (A−588−851) and Romania (A−485−805),” dated December 15, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C−570−011]
Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 8, 2017, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) entered final judgment sustaining the Department of Commerce’s (the Department’s) final results of remand redetermination pertaining to the counterverting duty (CVD) investigation of certain crystalline silicon photovoltaic products (solar products) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The Department is notifying the public that the CIT’s final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department’s final determination, as amended, in the CVD investigation of solar products from the PRC.

DATES: Applicable September 18, 2017.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 23, 2014, the Department published its final determination in the CVD investigation of solar products from the PRC.1 On February 18, 2015, the Department published an amended final determination and CVD order.2 In the Final Determination, the Department found that certain unreported assistance discovered during the investigation was countervailable using adverse facts available (AFA) pursuant to section 776 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).3 Additionally, the Department determined not to initiate investigations into the mandatory respondents’ creditworthiness in certain years, finding that SolarWorld Americas, Inc.’s (SolarWorld) creditworthiness allegation failed to satisfy the threshold initiation requirements of 19 CFR 351.505(a)(6)(i).4 In the Amended Final Determination, the Department found that it made a ministerial error in countervailing one of the unreported programs, and removed that program from the net countervailable subsidy rate calculated for Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. (Trina Solar).5 Trina Solar and SolarWorld appealed the Amended Final Determination to the CIT, and on December 30, 2016, the CIT...