C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(3) thereunder, the Exchange has designated this proposal as one that is concerned solely with the administration of the self-regulatory organization, and therefore has become effective.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BatsEDGA–2017–28 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BatsEDGA–2017–28 on the subject line.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.10

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.
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October 26, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on October 12, 2017, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

This proposed rule change by OCC would formalize OCC’s Counterparty Credit Risk Management Policy (“CCRM Policy” or “Policy”), which promotes compliance with multiple requirements applicable to OCC under Rule 17Ad–22, including Rules 17Ad–22(e)(3) concerning frameworks for the comprehensive management of risks, (e)(4) concerning credit risk management, (e)(16) concerning the safeguarding of assets, (e)(18) concerning risk-based participation criteria, (e)(19) concerning risks form indirect participants, and (e)(20) concerning linkages.3 The CCRM Policy is included as confidential Exhibit 5.

The proposed rule change does not require any changes to the text of OCC’s By-Laws or Rules. All terms with initial capitalization that are not otherwise defined herein have the same meaning as set forth in the OCC By-Laws and Rules.

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

Background

As a central counterparty providing clearance, settlement, and risk management services, OCC is exposed to and must manage a range of risks, including credit risk. The purpose of the CCRM Policy is to outline OCC’s overall approach to identify, measure, monitor, and manage its exposures to direct and indirect participants.

Footnotes:

1 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(3), (4), (16), (18), (19), and (20).
2 The Commission notes that Exhibit 5 is included in the filing, not in this Notice.
Identification of Credit Risk

The CCRM Policy identifies various ways in which credit risk originates from the failure of a Counterparty to perform. With respect to a Clearing Member, the CCRM Policy details a number of different ways in which OCC may be exposed to credit risk. This includes the potential failure of a Clearing Member to pay for purchased options, to meet expiration-related settlement obligations, or to make certain mark-to-market variations payments or initial margin deposits. It also includes the potential insufficiency of a defaulting Clearing Member’s margin and Clearing Fund deposits in a liquidation scenario. Other sources of credit risk identified in the CCRM Policy include the inability of OCC to access collateral (e.g., cash or securities) from a custodian or investment counterparty that is needed to facilitate a liquidation, or a failure by an issuer of a letter of credit to honor its corresponding obligations. The CCRM Policy also identifies that certain relationships with other FMUs, such as cross-margining programs and cash market settlement services, represent critical linkages that may present certain degrees of credit exposure based on the terms and design of the linkage. The CCRM Policy also notes that OCC may face additional risks from Counterparties, such as the potential failure of a Liquidity Provider to honor a borrowing request.

Counterparty Credit Risk Management Policy

OCC’s CCRM Policy outlines the key components of OCC’s framework for identifying, measuring, monitoring, and managing OCC’s exposures to its Counterparties. This framework includes: (1) The identification of credit risk, (2) Counterparty access and participation standards, (3) the measurement of its Counterparty exposures, (4) the monitoring and managing of Counterparty exposures, and (5) voluntary termination of Counterparty relationships. Each of these components is described in more detail below.

6 Under the CCRM Policy, “Liquidity Provider” is defined as a Commercial Bank or a non-banking institution—generally a pension funds—that provides a committed liquidity facility to OCC.

7 Under the CCRM Policy, “Financial Market Utility” is defined as a derivatives clearing organization partnering with OCC to provide a cross-margin program; a clearing agency providing settlement services of securities arising from the exercise, assignment or maturity of options or futures; the Depository providing book-entry securities transfers and asset custodian services.


10 12 U.S.C. 5461 et seq.

11 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(3), (4), (16), (18), (19), and (20).

12 Pursuant to Article V, Section 2 of the By-Laws, the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Administrative Officer each have delegated authority to approve Clearing Member applicants provided that (1) there is no recommendation to impose additional membership criteria in accordance with Article V of the By-Laws and (2) the Risk Committee is given not less than five days to determine the application should be reviewed at a meeting of the Risk Committee. Pursuant to Interpretation and Policy.06 to Article V, Section 1 of the By-Laws, the Risk Committee has the authority to impose additional requirements on Clearing Member applicants, such as increased capital or margin requirements as well as restrictions on clearing activities. The Risk Committee also has the authority to approve waivers of certain clearing member requirements under Article V, Section 1 of the By-Laws. Approvals of a Clearing Member business expansion by the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer or Chief Administrative Officer are subsequently presented to the Risk Committee for ratification, except in limited circumstances detailed in Article V, Section 1.03(e) of the By-Laws.
departments (such as Collateral Services or Treasury) to evaluate each bank against the minimum standards of creditworthiness and for its overall financial condition and operational capabilities as provided in OCC Rule 604 and related OCC procedures. Such evaluation shall also consider the Counterparty’s aggregation of exposure on an individual and related-entities level, as applicable, as well as whether OCC would be able to structure its custodial relationships in a manner that allows prompt access to its own and its Clearing Members’ assets. The latter shall include holding assets at supervised and regulated institutions that adhere to generally accepted accounting practices, maintain safekeeping procedures, and have internal controls that fully protect these assets. Under the Policy, Credit Risk Management and either the Collateral Services or Treasury department, as applicable, shall document the results of its evaluation in a memorandum, including the bank’s ability to meet relevant participation standards, and report those results to OCC’s Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer or Chief Administrative Officer, each of which shall have the authority to approve new and expanded relationships with asset custodians, settlement banks, letter of credit issuers, investment counterparties, and Liquidity Providers.

Liquidity Providers

Under the Policy, OCC maintains internal procedures outlining the minimum standards for Commercial Banks and non-bank institutions acting as Liquidity Providers. OCC’s Credit Risk Management and Treasury departments would be responsible for evaluating each Liquidity Provider against the minimum standards of creditworthiness and for its overall financial condition and operational capabilities as provided in the procedures. Because Liquidity Providers present both credit and liquidity risk to OCC, the due diligence around such institutions shall include a review of each lender’s ability to perform their commitments as well as understand and manage their liquidity risks. Pursuant to the Policy, Credit Risk Management and Treasury shall document the results of its evaluation in a memorandum, including the Liquidity Provider’s ability to meet relevant participation standards, and report those results to the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer or Chief Administrative Officer, each of which shall have the authority to approve new and expanded relationships with Liquidity Providers.

FMUs

Under the Policy, OCC maintains internal procedures outlining minimum standards for FMUs. OCC’s Business Operations and Credit Risk Management departments shall evaluate each FMU for its overall financial condition and operational capabilities as provided in the procedure. Pursuant to the Policy, before entering into any link arrangement, the Legal department shall assist the aforementioned business units to identify legal risks relating to rights and interests, collateral arrangements, settlement finality and netting arrangements, and financial and custody risks. The Business Operations, Credit Risk Management and Legal departments shall document the results of its evaluation in a memorandum, including the FMU’s ability to meet relevant standards. All new and expanded FMU relationships shall be reviewed and approved by the Risk Committee and subsequently recommended for approval to the Board of Directors.

Measuring Counterparty Credit Risk

The CCRM Policy describes various ways in which OCC measures the credit risk posed by different Counterparties. With respect to Clearing Members, the CCRM Policy provides that OCC measures its credit exposures to Clearing Members under normal market conditions through the calculation of margin requirements and its credit exposures to Clearing Members under extreme but plausible conditions through stress testing and the calculation of Clearing Fund requirements, in accordance with applicable OCC policies. Margin, Clearing Fund and stress test results may be used by OCC’s Financial Risk Management department (“FRM”) to evaluate OCC’s counterparty credit risk framework and inform Clearing Member surveillance processes.

With respect to Commercial Banks, Central Banks, and Liquidity Providers, OCC shall measure its credit exposures to these Counterparties by the balances generated from the various activities provided by these institutions in accordance with relevant internal procedures.

FMUs provide a range of services to OCC, including the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) as collateral custodian and provider of book order entry of securities transfers, Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. (“CME”) and ICE Clear U.S. as cross-margin clearing organizations, and the National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) as a provider of securities settlement. Under the Policy, DTC credit exposures shall be measured by the collateral balances held and the value of securities lending/borrowing transactions facilitated. CME and ICE Clear U.S. credit exposures shall be measured by the projected margin impact in the event of suspension of a cross-margin program and, therefore, the absence of risk reducing positions cleared away from OCC. NSCC exposure shall be measured by the value of securities and cash to be settled in connection with the delivery obligations settled through NSCC.

Monitoring and Managing Counterparty Credit Risk

The CCRM Policy also describes the manner in which OCC monitors and manages credit risk from its Counterparties. Under the Policy, OCC’s monitoring and management of such risks is comprised of “Watch Level Reporting” processes in conjunction with other tools including margin adjustments, internal credit ratings, risk examinations, and monitoring of tiered participation arrangements and dormant Counterparties.

Watch Level Reporting Overview

Under the Policy, Counterparties are monitored by OCC’s FRM, Business Operations, and Treasury departments for ongoing compliance with the minimum participation standards described above to identify any trends that might signal the deterioration of a Counterparty’s ability to timely meet its obligations. When these trends are identified, Credit Risk Management shall report on a Counterparty through OCC’s Watch Level Reporting processes, which are described in further detail as services at a Central Bank, when available and where determined to be practical by the Board of Directors, to enhance its management of liquidity risk. Due to the inherently low credit risk presented by Central Banks, only limited monitoring activities would be performed pursuant to relevant OCC procedures.
below. As a Counterparty approaches or no longer meets minimum standards, FRM’s monitoring heightens and, in the case of Commercial Banks and Clearing Members, increasingly rigorous protective measures may be imposed to limit or eliminate OCC’s credit exposure.

Pursuant to the Policy, the Watch Level Reporting process shall be administered by OCC’s Management Committee, which maintains approval authority of Watch Level parameter changes. The Watch Level Reporting process provides each of the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Administrative Officer with authority to take action to protect OCC given the facts and circumstances of the exposure presented by a Clearing Member or Commercial Bank. Under the Policy, Credit Risk Management shall provide monthly internal reporting to FRM summarizing the circumstances relating to a violation, additional risks observed and any corrective measure taken by any Clearing Member, Commercial Bank, or FMU at or above Watch Level II (described below); and monthly reporting to OCC’s Credit and Liquidity Risk Working Group, Management Committee and the Risk Committee of any Clearing Member or Commercial Bank at or above Watch Level III (described below).

Clearing Member Watch Level Reporting and Bank Watch Level Reporting

Pursuant to the CCRM Policy, the Clearing Member Watch Level Reporting process and Bank Watch Level Reporting process shall support initial and on-going participation standards by allowing OCC’s Credit Risk Management department, with the support of other FRM business units, Business Operations and Treasury, to detect business-related concerns and/or financial or operational deterioration of a Counterparty in order to protect OCC and its Clearing Members against the potential default of a Clearing Member or Commercial Bank. Pursuant to the Policy, the Clearing Member Watch Level Reporting process and Bank Watch Level Reporting process shall be organized into four-tiered surveillance structures.

1. Watch Level I. Watch Level I is the lowest tier of severity and shall be used to categorize Clearing Members and Commercial Banks presenting minimal to very low credit risk. This level of violation shall be identified but not reported.

2. Watch Level II. This tier shall be used to categorize Clearing Members and Commercial Banks presenting low to lower moderate credit risk. This level of violation shall be identified and reported to internal personnel pursuant to FRM procedures.

3. Watch Level III. This tier shall be used to categorize Clearing Members and Commercial Banks potentially presenting upper moderate to substantial credit risk. Violations in this tier may indicate a Clearing Member or Commercial Bank that is below early warning participation thresholds and may soon become non-compliant with OCC’s minimum participation standards, as specified in Article V of OCC’s By-Laws, Chapters II and III of OCC’s Rules, and internal OCC procedures. This level of violation shall be identified and reported to the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer or Chief Administrative Officer, who shall have the authority to approve the imposition or waiver of protective measures. The Risk Committee shall be informed of these violations on a monthly basis.

4. Watch Level IV. Watch Level IV is the highest tier of severity and shall be used to categorize Clearing Members and Commercial Banks potentially presenting high to very high credit risk with a heightened probability of default. Violations in this tier may indicate a Clearing Member or Commercial Bank may imminent become or has already become non-compliant with OCC’s minimum participation standards, as specified in Article V of OCC’s By-Laws, Chapters II and III of OCC’s Rules, and internal OCC procedures. This level of violation shall be identified and reported to OCC’s Credit and Liquidity Risk Working Group, with subsequent reporting to the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer or Chief Administrative Officer, who shall have the authority to approve the imposition or waiver of protective measures, including the option to restrict business of or suspend the Clearing Member or Commercial Bank. The Risk Committee shall promptly be informed of these violations and a meeting of the Risk Committee may occur to discuss the event.

In addition, under the Policy, a Clearing Member reporting (1) aggregate uncollateralized stress test exposure under normal market conditions less (2) the sum of base expected shortfall and stress test charges as computed under OCC’s margin methodology, exceeding 75% of the Clearing Member’s excess net capital shall be identified and reported on Watch Level II. When this exposure exceeds 100% of net capital, a Clearing Member shall be identified and reported on Watch Level III and shall be subject to a margin call for the amount of exposure exceeding net capital. A margin call shall be the standard form of protective measures for position risk monitoring and shall not require officer approval or further prompt escalation. However, Clearing Members may be reported to the Executive Chairman, Chief Operating Officer or Chief Administrative officer for consideration of additional protective measures.

FMU Watch Level Reporting

The FMU Watch Level Reporting process allows Credit Risk Management, with the support of other FRM business units and Business Operations, to detect business-related concerns and/or financial or operational deterioration of a FMU. Pursuant to the CCRM Policy, the FMU Watch Level Reporting process is organized into a two-tiered surveillance structure.

1. Watch Level I. Watch Level I is the lowest tier of severity and shall be used to categorize FMUs presenting minimal to very low credit risk. This level of violation shall be identified but not reported.

2. Watch Level II. This tier is the highest tier of severity and shall be used to categorize FMUs presenting low to lower moderate credit risk. This level of violation shall be identified and reported.

Other Tools for Monitoring and Managing Credit Risk

In addition to the Watch Level Reporting processes discussed above, the CCRM Policy discusses other tools and processes used by OCC to monitor and manage credit risks arising from its Counterparties. For example, in cases where ongoing monitoring of Clearing Members identifies circumstances impacting margin levels due to changing portfolio characteristics, market conditions, elevated Clearing Fund stress test results, upcoming holidays where trading is allowed but OCC is unable to call for additional margin deposits, and certain other situations, OCC shall have the authority to call for additional margin deposits or otherwise adjust margin requirements as further detailed in OCC’s margin and Clearing Fund-related policies.

Under the Policy, OCC’s Credit Risk Management department also maintains Internal Credit Ratings (“ICRs”) which shall be incorporated into the Watch Level Reporting process and shall be designed to identify quarterly creditworthiness scores of Clearing Members and Commercial Banks. ICR reporting shall summarize the underlying cause of the ICR score, recent trend and exposure introduced by a Clearing Member or Commercial Bank.
In addition, the Policy provides that Credit Risk Management shall perform examinations of the risk management frameworks, policies, procedures and practices of each Clearing Member no less than once in a three calendar year period focusing on the risks posed to OCC. For certain exams, Credit Risk Management may coordinate with external parties to realize operational efficiencies for both the Clearing Member and OCC.

The CCRM Policy also provides that OCC’s Counterparty monitoring includes managing the material risks that arise from indirect participants through tiered participation arrangements. In particular, Credit Risk Management, supported by other FRM business units and Business Operations, shall monitor the material risks that arise from indirect participants through tiered participation arrangements. Credit Risk Management (or other FRM business units, as appropriate) shall identify these tiered participation arrangements through standard monitoring processes when they present elevated risk to the Clearing Member or OCC. Furthermore, Clearing Member risk examinations shall seek to understand how direct participants identify, measure and manage the risks posed to OCC from indirect participants. In this regard, the CCRM Policy is designed to promote compliance with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(19) by addressing the material risks that may arise from indirect participants.15

Additionally, under the CCRM Policy, OCC shall monitor Clearing Members, Commercial Banks and investment counterparties during prolonged periods of inactivity, and Clearing Members shall be allowed to voluntarily enter a dormant state in order to reduce credit risk originating from unexpected trading activity. A dormant Clearing Member shall continuously adhere to all operational and financial standards and may reactivate its membership after submitting to an operational and financial review. OCC shall maintain sole discretion to terminate inactive Commercial Banks and investment counterparties in order to reduce credit risk.

Counterparty Credit Risk Termination

Finally, the CCRM Policy addresses the voluntary off-boarding of Counterparties. Under the Policy, voluntary off-boarding shall be performed in a manner designed to wind down all credit exposures in an orderly fashion before a relationship is terminated.16

(2) Statutory Basis

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act17 requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in its custody or control or for which it is responsible and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Through each of its respective sections, the CCRM Policy provides a framework that is designed to enable OCC to identify, evaluate, measure, monitor and manage potential credit risks posed by its Counterparties. In identifying these credit risks, the CCRM Policy details various ways in which OCC may be exposed to such risks. In evaluating counterparty credit risks, the CCRM Policy states that OCC evaluates each Counterparty against objective and risk-based minimum standards of creditworthiness, overall financial condition and operational capabilities. The Policy also provides detail on how OCC structures its custodial relationship to ensure it has prompt access to its own assets and Clearing Members’ assets. In measuring counterparty credit risk, the CCRM Policy describes various ways in which OCC measures credit risk posed by different Counterparties, as well as the three main tools for managing credit risk posed by Clearing Members. In monitoring and managing counterparty credit risk, the CCRM Policy specifies the steps taken by OCC’s internal units to monitor Counterparties, including by conducting examinations of Clearing Members’ risk management frameworks and performing monthly Watch Level Reporting. The CCRM Policy’s promotion of each aforementioned activity ultimately inures to the protection of investors and the public interest, as well as the safeguarding of securities and funds in OCC’s custody or control18 in a manner consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.19 OCC also believes that that the CCRM Policy is consistent with several requirements under Rule 17Ad–22. For example, Rules 17Ad–22(e)(3) and (e)(4)20 require a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to, among other things, maintain a sound risk management framework for addressing credit risk, to effectively identify, measure, monitor, and manage credit risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, including its credit exposures to participants and those arising from participation in payment, clearing, and settlement processes. OCC believes that the CCRM Policy is consistent with Rules 17Ad–22(e)(3) and (4)21 because the CCRM Policy describes OCC’s framework for comprehensively managing its credit risks. Specifically, the CCRM Policy describes the various processes by which OCC identifies, measures, monitors, and manages its credit exposures to participants and exposures arising from its payment, clearing, and settlement processes, including the Counterparty access and participation standards used by OCC to evaluate potential Counterparties, OCC’s processes for measuring its Counterparty exposures, and OCC’s processes for monitoring and managing Counterparty exposures (particularly through the use of its Watch Level Reporting processes).

In addition, Rule 17Ad–22(e)(16)22 requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to, among other things, safeguard the covered clearing agency’s own and its participants’ assets and minimize the risk of loss and delay in access to these assets. OCC believes that the access and participation requirements for Commercial and Central Banks outlined in the CCRM Policy enable it to reasonably design its processes for evaluating minimum standards of creditworthiness and for its overall financial condition and operational capabilities, and are therefore designed to minimize the risk of loss and delay in access to OCC’s assets and its participants’ assets in a manner consistent with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(16).23 Rule 17Ad–22(e)(18)24 further requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement and maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to, among other things, establish objective, risk-based, and publicly disclosed criteria for participation, which permit fair and open access and require participants to have sufficient financial resources and robust operational capacity to meet obligations arising from participation in the clearing agency, and monitor

16 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(19).
18 These activities, in turn, help ensure that OCC remains capable of continuing its operations and services in a manner that promotes the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.
20 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(3) and (4).
21 Id.
22 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(16).
23 Id.
compliance with such participation requirements on an ongoing basis. OCC believes the CCRM Policy promotes compliance with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(19)25 by ensuring that OCC has objective, risk-based and publicly disclosed criteria for participation and requiring Clearing Members to have sufficient financial resources to meet their obligations to OCC. Moreover, the Policy outlines the Watch Level Reporting process used by OCC to monitor compliance with such participation requirements on an ongoing basis.

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(19)26 requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to identify, monitor, and manage the material risks to the covered clearing agency arising from arrangements in which firms that are indirect participants in the covered clearing agency rely on the services provided by direct participants to access the covered clearing agency’s payment, clearing, or settlement facilities. OCC believes the Policy is designed to comply with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(19)27 because it outlines the process by which OCC identifies and monitors the material risks arising from indirect participants through tiered participation arrangements, including through the use of risk examinations of its Clearing Members.

Finally, Rule 17Ad–22(e)(20)28 requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to, among other things, identify, monitor, and manage risks related to any link the covered clearing agency establishes with one or more other clearing agencies or FMUs. OCC believes the Policy promotes compliance with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(20)29 because it outlines the standards OCC uses to evaluate FMU Counterparties prior to entering into any link arrangement (including the evaluations OCC would perform relating to rights and interests, collateral arrangements, settlement finality and netting arrangements, and financial and custody risks that may arise due to such link arrangement) and the processes by which OCC measures and monitors the risks arising from such FMU Counterparties (including its FMU Watch Level Reporting process).

The proposed rule change is not inconsistent with the existing rules of OCC, including any other rules proposed to be amended.

(B) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Burden on Competition

Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act30 requires that the rules of a clearing agency not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impact or impose any burden on competition. The proposed rule change addresses the framework by which OCC manages counterparty credit risk arising from its business, as set forth in the CCRM Policy. Because any individual Counterparty under the CCRM Policy is equally subject to the aspects of the counterparty credit risk framework that apply to it based on the type of Counterparty that it represents (i.e., direct and indirect participants, Liquidity Providers, asset custodians, settlement banks, letter of credit issuers, investment counterparties, clearing agencies and FMUs) and the related counterparty credit risks that are posed to OCC by that type of Counterparty, the proposed rule change would not provide any Counterparty with a competitive advantage over any other similar Counterparty. Further, the proposed rule change would not affect Clearing Members’ or other Counterparties’ existing access to OCC’s services or impose any new or different direct burdens on Clearing Members or other Counterparties.

For the foregoing reasons, OCC believes that the proposed rule change is in the public interest, would be consistent with the requirements of the Act applicable to clearing agencies, and would not impact or impose a burden on competition.

(C) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change and none have been received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (I) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–OCC–2017–009 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–OCC–2017–009. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC’s Web site at http://www.theocc.com/components/docs/legal/rules_and_bylaws/sr_occ_17_009.pdf.

All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that the Commission does not redact or edit personal identifying information from

25 Id.

26 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(19).

27 Id.


29 Id.

comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. 

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–OCC–2017–009 and should be submitted on or before November 22, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated Authority.31

Eduardo A. Aleman, Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–23731 Filed 10–31–17; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations: Bats BYX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To reflect in the Exchange’s Governing Documents, Rulebook and Fee Schedule, a Non–Substantive Corporate Branding Change, Including Changes to the Company’s Name, the Intermediate’s Name, and the Exchange’s Name

October 26, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 the Commission appoints a Self-Regulatory Organization (“SRO”), Bats BYX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange”), to publish this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to (i) delete and restate the Company’s Certificate and Bylaws in connection with a related name change for the Intermediate. The Exchange also proposes to amend its Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the “Certificate”), Amended and Restated Bylaws of Bats BYX Exchange, Inc. (the “Bylaws”), rulebook and fee schedule (collectively “Operative Documents”) in connection with the name change of its parent Company, Intermediate, and the Exchange.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s Web site (http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOE/legal/RegulatoryHome.aspx), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Background

The purpose of this filing is to reflect in the Exchange’s governing documents (and the governing documents of its parent company, CBOE Holdings, Inc. (“CBOE Holdings” or the “Company”) to change the name of the Company to Cboe Global Markets, Inc., in connection with the proposed name change. The Exchange notes that it is not amending the Company’s Certificate and Bylaws. Specifically, the Company is changing its name from “CBOE Holdings, Inc.” to “Cboe Global Markets, Inc.”.

Company’s Certificate

The Exchange proposes to (i) delete the following language from Paragraph (1) of the introductory paragraph: “The name of the Corporation is CBOE Holdings, Inc.” and (ii) amend Article First of the Company’s Certificate to reflect the new name, “Cboe Global Markets, Inc.” The Exchange also proposes to add clarifying language and cite to the applicable provisions of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware in connection with the proposed name change. The Exchange notes that although the name of “Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated” is changing to “Cboe Exchange Inc.”, it is not amending the name of Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE”) referenced in Article Fifth(a)(iii) at this time. Particularly, the Exchange notes that unlike the exception applicable to proposed changes to the Company’s name,3 a vote of stockholders is required to adopt an amendment to the reference of CBOE’s name. As such, the Exchange will submit a rule filing to amend the Certificate to reflect the new CBOE name at such time it is ready to obtain stockholder approval.