Interdiction systems; Section 20.18(r), Contraband Interdiction System (CIS) requirement; Section 20.23(a), good faith negotiations.

Form Number: N/A.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit entities and state, local or Tribal Governments.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 26 respondents and 28 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 8–16 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: There is no obligation to respond; response required to obtain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 154(i), 154(j), 301, 302a, 303, 307, 308, 309, 310, and 332.

Total Annual Burden: 325 hours.

Total Annual Cost: No cost.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Privacy Act: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: On March 24, 2017, the Federal Communications Commission released a Report and Order, Promoting Technological Solutions to Combat Contraband Wireless Devices in Correctional Facilities, GN Docket No. 13–111, FCC 17–25 (Report and Order), in which the Commission took important steps to help law enforcement combat the serious threats posed by the illegal use of contraband wireless devices by inmates. Across the country, inmates have used contraband devices to order hits, run drug operations, operate phone scams, and otherwise engage in criminal activity that endangers prison employees, other inmates, and innocent members of the public. In the Report and Order, the Commission streamlined the process of deploying contraband wireless device interdiction systems—systems that use radio communications signals requiring Commission authorization—in correctional facilities. The action will reduce the cost of deploying solutions and ensure that they can be deployed more quickly and efficiently. In particular, the Commission waived certain filing requirements and provided for immediate approval of the spectrum lease applications needed to operate these systems.

The effectiveness of Contraband Interdiction System (CIS) deployment requires all carriers in the relevant area of the correctional facility to execute a spectrum lease with the CIS provider. Even if the major Commercial Mobile Radio Services (CMRS) licensees negotiate expeditiously and in good

faith, if one CMRS licensee in the area fails to engage in lease negotiations in a reasonable time frame or at all, the CIS solution will not be effective. The lack of cooperation of even a single wireless provider in a geographic area of a correctional facility can result in deployment of a system with insufficient spectral coverage, subject to abuse by inmates in possession of contraband wireless devices operating on frequencies not covered by a spectrum lease agreement. While some carriers have been cooperative, it is imperative that all CMRS licensees be required to engage in lease negotiations in good faith and in a timely fashion. Therefore, the Commission adopted a rule requiring that CMRS licensees negotiate in good faith with entities seeking to deploy a CIS in a correctional facility. If, after a 45 day period, there is no agreement, CIS providers seeking Special Temporary Authority (STA) to operate in the absence of CMRS licensee consent may file a request for STA with the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB), with a copy served at the same time on the CMRS licensee, accompanied by evidence demonstrating its good faith, and the unreasonableness of the CMRS licensee’s actions, in negotiating an agreement. The CMRS licensee may then file a response with WTB, with a copy served on the CIS provider at that time, within 10 days of the filing of the STA request.

The supplementary information provided along with the STA application by the CIS provider will be used by WTB to determine whether the CIS provider has negotiated in good faith, yet the CMRS licensee has not negotiated in good faith. The CMRS licensee may use the evidence accompanying the STA application to craft a response. WTB will analyze the evidence from the CIS providers and the CMRS licensee’s response to determine whether to issue STA to the entity seeking to deploy the CIS.

The Commission explored whether it should impose a requirement that the community in the vicinity of a correctional facility where a CIS is installed be notified of the installation. The Commission explained that a goal of the proceeding is to expedite the deployment of technological solutions to combat the use of contraband wireless devices, not to impose unnecessary barriers to CIS deployment. Consistent with that goal, the Commission found that a flexible and community-tailored notification requirement for certain CISs outweighed the minimal burden of notification and furthered the public interest. After careful consideration of the record, the Commission imposed a rule that, 10 days prior to deploying a CIS that prevents communications to or from mobile devices, a lessee must notify the community in which the correctional facility is located, and the Commission amended its spectrum leasing rules to reflect this requirement. The Commission agreed with commenters that support notification of the surrounding community due to the potential for accidental call blocking and the public safety issues involved. The information provided in the notification will put the houses and businesses in the surrounding community on notice that a CIS will be deployed in the vicinity that has the potential for accidental call blocking.

Acknowledging the importance of ensuring the availability of emergency 911 calls from correctional facilities, and the fact that delivering emergency calls to public safety answering points (PSAPs) facilitates public safety services and generally serves the public interest, the Commission amended its rules to require that CIS providers regulated as private mobile radio service (PMRS) must route all 911 calls to the local PSAP. That said, the Commission also acknowledged the important role state and local public safety officials play in the administration of the 911 system. Accordingly, although the CIS provider is required to pass through emergency 911 calls, the PSAPs can inform the CIS provider that they do not want to receive calls from a given correctional facility. By allowing the PSAPs to decline the emergency 911 calls, the Commission recognized the reported increased volume of PSAP harassment through repeated inmate fraudulent 911 calls. The information provided by the PSAP or emergency authority will result in the CIS provider not passing through E911 calls from a particular correctional facility.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,
Secretary.
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47 CFR Parts 36, 42, 54, 63, and 64 [WC Docket No. 15–33; FCC 17–112]

Modernizing Common Carrier Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.
SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) adopted a Report and Order that eliminates certain rules from which the Commission has granted unconditional forbearance for all carriers, and eliminates references to telegraph service from certain sections of the Commission’s rules. The Report and Order updates our rules to remove outdated regulations from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) that no longer reflect current requirements or technology. In so doing, we further our goals of reducing regulatory burdens, eliminating unnecessary rule provisions, and making the agency as efficient and effective as possible.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wireline Competition Bureau, Competition Policy Division, Alex Johns, at (202) 418–1167, alexis.johns@fcc.gov.


I. Introduction

1. In the R&O, we update our rules to remove outdated regulations from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) that no longer reflect current requirements or technology. Specifically, we eliminate certain rules from which the Commission has granted unconditional forbearance for all carriers, and we eliminate references to telegraph service from certain sections of the Commission’s rules. In so doing, we further our goals of reducing regulatory burdens, eliminating unnecessary rule provisions, and making the agency as efficient and effective as possible.

2. The R&O acts on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) which sought comment on the modifications we adopt here. The NPRM followed (1) two orders adopted in 2013 that, in response to a petition filed by USTelecom, granted forbearance from 126 legacy wireline regulations; and (2) the Process Reform Report, a Commission report that recommended eliminating or streamlining rules that are no longer necessary due to marketplace or technology changes. No comments were filed in response to the NPRM.

II. Discussion

A. Deleting Rules From Which the Commission Granted Forbearance in the 2013 USTelecom Forbearance Orders

1. In many instances in the 2013 USTelecom Forbearance Orders, the Commission granted unconditional forbearance from a requirement, but did not alter the text of the codified rule or remove the rule from the CFR. Thus, the rules appear in the CFR even though the Commission has stated that it will forbear from applying such rules. Absent additional research, a carrier or consumer might mistakenly believe the regulations are still in effect. Therefore, deleting the rules identified below, for which the Commission has granted unconditional forbearance, clarifies carriers’ regulatory obligations and ensures that the CFR accurately reflects the Commission’s actions with respect to those rules.

2. Specifically, we delete the following CFR provisions from which the Commission has forborne: (1) Sections 42.4, 42.5, and 42.7, which required carriers to preserve certain records; (2) section 64.1, which governed traffic damage claims for carriers engaged in radio-telegraph, wire-telegraph, or ocean-cable service; (3) section 64.301, which required carriers to provide communications services to foreign governments for international communications; (4) section 64.501, which governed telephone companies’ obligations when recording telephone conversations; (5) section 64.804(c)–(g), which governed a carrier’s recordkeeping and other obligations when it extended unsecured credit for communications services to candidates for federal office; and (6) section 64.5001(a)–(c)(2), and (c)(4), which imposed certain reporting and certification requirements on prepaid calling card providers.

B. Other Rules and Requirements Related to Telegraph Service

5. In light of the evolution of technology over many decades away from the use of telegraphs, we find that the references to telegraph service in the rules discussed below are unnecessary and should be deleted. We also grant forbearance from the application of all exit regulation pursuant to section 214(a) of the Communications Act, as amended (the Act), to telegraph service.

6. Section 36.126 of the Separations Rules, Jurisdictional separations is the process by which incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) apportion regulated costs between intrastate and interstate jurisdictions. As part of this process, section 36.126 identifies equipment that is considered “Circuit equipment—Category 4.” Section 36.126 lists examples of such equipment, including “telegraph system terminals,” “telegraph repeaters,” certain equipment used for “telegraph . . . testing,” and “telegraph carrier terminals.” To the extent that this equipment is still used, it remains subject to section 36.126, but we delete these terms from the examples provided throughout section 36.126 and we delete the reference to “telegraph grade private line services” in section 36.126(e)(3)(ii) to modernize our rules to better reflect today’s marketplace.

7. Section 54.706(a)(13) of the Universal Service Rules. Section 54.706(a) requires providers of interstate telecommunications services to contribute to the universal service fund, and subparagraph (a)(13) lists “telegraph” as an illustrative example of interstate telecommunications. No entities filing FCC Form 499–A in the past five years indicated that they provide telegraph service, and we are not aware of any interstate telegraph service providers today. Nor did any entities file comments or objections in response to this proposal in the NPRM.

As discussed in the NPRM, telegraph service remains theoretically subject to universal service contributions, but it no longer warrants inclusion in the list of examples in section 54.706(a). We therefore, as proposed, delete the term “telegraph” in section 54.706(a) to update the rule to better reflect today’s marketplace.

8. Section 214(a) Discontinuance Requirement and Part 63 Discontinuance, Reduction, Outage and Impairment Rules. Under section 214(a) of the Act, common carriers must obtain Commission approval before they discontinue, reduce, or impair service to a community or part of a community. To the extent that any carriers still provide telegraph service or may do so in the future, we conclude that it is not necessary to subject them to a requirement to obtain Commission approval before discontinuing, reducing, or impairing such service. We thus grant such providers forbearance from the application of this statutory requirement to telegraph service. We also grant forbearance from the application of the Commission’s implementing rules under Part 63 to telegraph service, and we delete the references to “telegraph” from those rules.
9. Under section 10 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. 160(a), the Commission is required to forbear from any statutory provision or regulation if it determines that: (1) Enforcement of the regulation is not necessary to ensure that the telecommunications carrier’s charges, practices, classifications, or regulations are just, reasonable, and not unjustly or unreasonably discriminatory; (2) enforcement of the regulation is not necessary to protect consumers; and (3) forbearance from applying such provision or regulation is consistent with the public interest. In the NPRM, we stated our intent to exempt telegraph service from all exit approval requirements by exercising our forbearance authority. No commenters opposed our doing so. In light of market forces and technological advances, we conclude that forbearance from the application of the section 214(a) discontinuance requirement and the Commission’s implementing rules to telegraph service is warranted under the section 10 criteria. Telegraph service is obsolete, and we find that no purpose is served by requiring any remaining (or future) providers of telegraph service to file discontinuance applications with the Commission. Nor is the public interest served by maintaining outdated and unnecessary requirements in our rules or by expending future agency resources on the processing of any such applications. To the extent that common-carrier telegraph service will ever be offered in the future, allowing unregulated discontinuance would promote competitive market conditions. Accordingly, we forbear from the application of section 214 exit regulation to telegraph service. Having thus foreborne, we also take the opportunity to delete references to telegraph service from our discontinuance rules.

III. Procedural Matters


11. Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, requires that an initial regulatory flexibility analysis be prepared for notice and comment rulemaking proceedings, unless the agency certifies that “the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.” See 5 U.S.C. 605(b). The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small governmental jurisdiction.” See 5 U.S.C. 601(6). In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small Business Act. See 5 U.S.C. 601(3). A “small business concern” is one which: (1) Is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA). See 15 U.S.C. 632.

12. This R&O eliminates certain rules from which the Commission granted unconditional forbearance for all carriers three years ago, and also eliminates references to telegraph service from certain sections of the Commission’s rules. As noted in this Report and Order, in the 2013 USTelecom Forbearance Orders, the Commission granted unconditional forbearance from certain requirements, but did not alter the text of the codified rule or remove the rule from the CFR. Thus, the rules appear in the CFR even though the Commission has stated that it will forbear from applying such rules. In addition, a number of wireline rule provisions continue to reference telegraph service, which appears to have a limited role, at best, in the marketplace.

13. The Commission is committed to removing unnecessary requirements to reflect new technologies and changing market conditions. Deleting these rules and references clarifies carriers’ (including small entities’) regulatory obligations and ensures that the CFR accurately reflects the Commission’s intended approach to those rules. Therefore, we certify that the requirements of this Report and Order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

14. Congressional Review Act. The Commission will send a copy of this R&O, including a copy of the Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, in a report to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A). In addition, the R&O and this final certification will be sent to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA, and will be published in the Federal Register, see 5 U.S.C. 605(b).

IV. Ordering Clauses

15. Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to sections 10, 201, 214, 218–221, 254, 403, and 410 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 160, 201, 214, 218–221, 254, 403, 410, and section 401 of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, 52 U.S.C. 30141, that this Report and Order is adopted.

16. It is further ordered that the Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, shall send a copy of this Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

17. It is further ordered that this Report and Order shall be effective 30 days after publication of the text or a summary thereof in the Federal Register.

18. It is further ordered that the Commission’s rules are hereby amended, effective November 20, 2017.

19. It is further ordered that, should no petitions for reconsideration or petitions for judicial review be timely filed, WC Docket No. 15–33 shall be terminated and its docket closed.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Parts 36, 42, 54, 63 and 64

Communications common carriers, Radio, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Telecommunications, Telegraph, and Telephone.

Katura Jackson, Federal Register Liaison Officer, Office of the Secretary.

Final Rules

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR parts 36, 42, 54, 63, and 64 as follows:

PART 36—JURISDICTIONAL SEPARATIONS PROCEDURES; STANDARD PROCEDURES FOR SEPARATING TELECOMMUNICATIONS PROPERTY COSTS, REVENUES, EXPENSES, TAXES AND RESERVES FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 36 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i) and (j), 205, 221(c), 254, 303(r), 403, 410, and 1302 unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. Section 36.126 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(1) and (2), (a)(8), the first and second sentence of
§ 36.126 Circuit equipment—Category 4.

(a) * * *
(1) Carrier telephone system terminals.

(2) Telephone repeaters, termination sets, impedance compensators, pulse link repeaters, echo suppressors and other intermediate transmission amplification and balancing equipment except that included in switchboards.

(3) * * *
(iii) The cost of special circuit equipment is segregated among private line services based on an analysis of the use of the equipment and in accordance with § 36.126(b)(4). The special circuit equipment cost assigned to private line services is directly assigned to the appropriate operations.

(b) * * *
(4) In addition, for the purpose of identifying and separating property associated with special services, circuit equipment included in Categories 4.12 (other than wideband equipment) 4.13 and 4.23 is identified as either basic circuit equipment, i.e., equipment that performs functions necessary to provide and operate channels suitable for voice transmission (telephone grade channels), or special circuit equipment, i.e., equipment that is peculiar to special service circuits. Carrier telephone terminals and carrier telephone repeaters are examples of basic circuit equipment in general use, while audio program transmission amplifiers, bridges, monitoring devices and volume indicators are examples of special circuit equipment in general use.

(d) * * *
(1) Interexchange Circuit Equipment Furnished to Another Company for Interstate Use—Category 4.21—This category comprises that circuit equipment as telephone carrier terminals and microwave systems used wholly for interstate services. The total cost of the circuit equipment in this category for the study area is assigned to the interstate operation.

(e) * * *
(1) Interexchange Circuit Equipment Furnished to Another Company for Interstate Use Category—4.21—This category comprises that circuit equipment as telephone carrier terminals and microwave systems used wholly for interstate services. The total cost of the circuit equipment in this category for the study area is assigned to the interstate operation.

§ 63.60 Definitions.

(c) Emergency discontinuance, reduction, or impairment of service means any discontinuance, reduction, or impairment of the service of a carrier occasioned by conditions beyond the control of such carrier where the original service is not restored or comparable service is not established within a reasonable time. For the purpose of this part, a reasonable time shall be deemed to be a period not in excess of the following: 10 days in the case of public coast stations; and 60 days in all other cases.

11. Section 63.61 is amended by revising the introductory text to read as follows:

§ 63.61 Applicability.

Any carrier subject to the provisions of section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, proposing to discontinue, reduce or impair interstate or foreign telephone service to a community, or a part of a community, shall request authority therefor by formal application or informal request as specified in the pertinent sections of this part.

12. Section 63.62 is amended by revising the section heading to read as follows:

§ 63.62 Type of discontinuance, reduction, or impairment of telephone service requiring formal application.

§ 63.65 [Amended]

13. Section 63.65 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (a)(4).

14. Section 63.500 is amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 63.500 Contents of applications to dismantle or remove a trunk line.

(g) Name of any other carrier or carriers providing telephone service to the community;

15. Section 63.501 is amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 63.501 Contents of applications to sever physical connection or to terminate or suspend interchange of traffic with another carrier.

(g) Name of any other carrier or carriers providing telephone service to the community.
§ 63.504 Contents of applications to close a public toll station where no other such toll station of the applicant in the community will continue service and where telephone toll service is not otherwise available to the public through a telephone exchange connected with the toll lines of a carrier.

(k) Description of the service involved, including a statement of the number of toll telephone messages sent-paid and received-collect, and the revenues from such traffic, in connection with the service proposed to be discontinued for each of the past 6 months; and, if the volume of such traffic handled in the area has decreased during recent years, the reasons therefor.

PART 64—MISCELLANEOUS RULES RELATING TO COMMON CARRIERS

17. The authority citation for part 64 continues to read as follows:


Subpart A—[Removed and Reserved] 18. Remove and reserve subpart A, consisting of § 64.1.

Subpart C—[Removed and Reserved] 19. Remove and reserve subpart C, consisting of § 64.301.

Subpart E—[Removed and Reserved] 20. Remove and reserve subpart E, consisting of § 64.501.

§ 64.804 [Amended] 21. Section 64.804 is amended by removing paragraphs (c) through (g).

§ 64.5001 Reporting and certification requirements.

On a quarterly basis, every prepaid calling card provider must submit to the Commission a certification with respect to the prior quarter, signed by an officer of the company under penalty of perjury, stating that it is making the required Universal Service Fund contribution. This provision shall not apply to any prepaid calling card provider that has timely filed required annual and quarterly Telecommunications Reporting Worksheets, FCC Forms 499–A and 499–Q, during the preceding two-year period.
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